

Motivation. We saw that for a manifold M , we have an assignment

$$p \mapsto T_p M$$

which sends a point of M to its tangent space. so what we have constructed is way of going from points of M to vector spaces. This assignment (in a sense later to be made precise) varies in a smooth way.

The goal of this chapter is to explain how this construction is an example of a more general notion of a vector bundle on a topological space. Roughly, a vector bundle is a “continuously varying family” of vector spaces. Our first step is to make this idea precise.

The Definition.

A *vector bundle* of rank d is a map of topological spaces $p: E \rightarrow X$ equipped with the following property: for each point $x \in X$ there is a neighborhood U of x and a homeomorphism

$$h: p^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} U \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

called a *local trivialization* (or *bundle chart*) such that $p_1 \circ h = p$, where $p_1: U \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow U$ is first factor projection. That is, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & U \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p_1 \\ U & \xlongequal{\quad} & U \end{array}$$

is required to commute. Furthermore, we require another condition, which runs as follows: for any two such pairs (U_α, h_α) and (U_β, h_β) , set $U_{\alpha\beta} = U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Then we will require that for each $x \in U_{\alpha\beta}$, the map $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ given by

$$v \mapsto p_2 \circ h_\beta h_\alpha^{-1}(x, v)$$

is a *linear isomorphism* of the vector space \mathbb{R}^d , where $p_2: U_{\alpha\beta} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is second factor projection. In other words, the composition

$$x \times \mathbb{R}^d \xrightarrow{h_\alpha^{-1}} p^{-1}(x) \xrightarrow{h_\beta} x \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

is a vector space isomorphism.

Because of this additional condition, we see that $p^{-1}(x)$ is *equipped with the structure of a vector space in a preferred way* (since we can define vector addition using the map h_α is this is independent of which map that we are using).

If X is covered by open sets U_α attached to bundle charts (h_α, U_α) , we call the collection $\mathfrak{B} = \{(h_\alpha, U_\alpha)\}$ a *bundle atlas*.

Remark. A single vector bundle $p: E \rightarrow X$ can have many atlases. The bundle atlas information is not a fixed part of the definition—i.e., the definition only requires that a bundle atlas exists but not necessarily chosen.

In a way similar to what we did in the case of smooth manifolds, we can always enlarge a bundle atlas to a *maximal bundle atlas*.

Terminology. One says that E is the *total space*, X is the *base space* and the inverse images $p^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in X$ are the *fibers* of $p: E \rightarrow X$. The map p is called *bundle projection*.

We sometimes denote the fiber at $x \in X$ by

$$E_x = p^{-1}(x).$$

When $p: E \rightarrow X$ is understood, then we sometimes denote the bundle by its total space E .

In what follows, we set

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = h_\beta h_\alpha^{-1}: U_{\alpha\beta} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow U_{\alpha\beta} \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

then the adjoint of $h_{\alpha\beta}$ is the (continuous!) map

$$\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}: U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{R})$$

given by $x \mapsto (v \mapsto p_2 h_{\alpha\beta}(x, v))$.

Example. (The Trivial Bundle). The projection $p_1: X \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle of rank d . This is called the *trivial bundle*.

Example. (The Clutching Construction). Let $\hat{f}: S^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{R})$ be a continuous map (where $\mathrm{GL}_d(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ is a subspace). Let $S^k = D_+^k \cup D_-^k$ be the decomposition of S^k into upper and lower hemispheres.

Define a space E to be the amalgamated union

$$(D_-^k \times \mathbb{R}^k) \cup_f (D_+^k \times \mathbb{R}^k)$$

where $f: S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is the homeomorphism that is the adjoint of \hat{f} (i.e., $f(x, v) = (x, \hat{f}(x)(v))$).

Let $p: E \rightarrow X$ be defined by first factor projection. We claim this gives a vector bundle of rank d . The idea in showing this isn't really difficult, but it is somewhat tedious.

To see it, let U_- be $S^k \setminus q$, where q is the north pole, and let $r: U_- \rightarrow S^{k-1}$ be the map defined by

$$r(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) = \frac{(x_1, \dots, x_k)}{\sqrt{\sum_i x_i^2}}$$

(this is an example of what is called a *deformation retraction*; if you don't know what this means, you can learn more about it if you take MAT7510). Next define a homeomorphism

$$h_-: p^{-1}U_- = (D_-^k \times \mathbb{R}^k) \cup_f ((D_+^k \setminus q) \times \mathbb{R}^k) \rightarrow U_- \times \mathbb{R}^k$$

by the formula

$$h_-(x, v) = \begin{cases} (x, v) & \text{for } x \in D_-^k; \\ (x, p_2 f^{-1}(r(x), v)) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The above defines a local trivialization of $p: E \rightarrow S^k$ on the open set $S^k \setminus q$. To complete the argument we must define a local trivialization in a neighborhood of q . This is given by setting $U_+ = \text{int} D_+^k$ and defining $h_+: p^{-1}(U_+) \rightarrow U_+ \times \mathbb{R}^k$ by the identity map.

Remark. Although we do not as yet have the requisite tools to prove it, up to bundle isomorphism, it is true that any vector bundle $E \rightarrow S^k$ of rank d is given by the clutching construction applied to some map $\hat{f}: S^{k-1} \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{R})$. However, see below for the $k = 1, d = 1$ case.

Example. (The Möbius Band). Let $f: S^0 \rightarrow \text{GL}_1(\mathbb{R})$ be the inclusion (here $S^0 = \{\pm 1\}$ and $\text{GL}_1(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$). Take the clutching construction on this map. This gives a vector bundle $E \rightarrow S^1$ of rank 1. It is easy to see that E is the Möbius band, since E is a quotient space of $D^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ by identifying each point of the form $(-1, t)$ with the point $(+1, -t)$:

FIG.: The Möbius band as a vector bundle

Bundle Maps. Let $p: E \rightarrow X$ and $q: E' \rightarrow X$ be vector bundles over X . Then a *bundle homomorphism* is a map of spaces $f: E \rightarrow E'$ such that $q \circ f = p$ (this means f maps E_x to E'_x for every x), and furthermore each map $f_x: E_x \rightarrow E'_x$ is required to be linear (we use the notation f_x to denote the restriction of f to the fiber at x).

We say that f has constant *rank* r when each $f_x: E_x \rightarrow E'_x$ has rank r .

A bundle homomorphism f is said to be a *monomorphism* (resp. *epimorphism*) if each f_x is injective (resp. surjective). It is a *bijection* if f is both a mono- and epi-morphism. It is an *isomorphism* when f is invertible, meaning that there's a bundle homomorphism $g: E' \rightarrow E$ such that $g \circ f$ and $f \circ g$ are the identity. Clearly, an isomorphism is, in particular, a bijection.

A vector bundle $p: E \rightarrow X$ is said to be *trivializable* if it is isomorphic to the trivial bundle $X \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow X$.

If $p: E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle of rank k , then subspace $E' \subset E$ defines a *subbundle* of rank $\ell \leq k$ if every point of X admits a bundle chart (= local trivialization) (h, U) for E such that $h(p^{-1}(U) \cap E') = U \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \subset U \times \mathbb{R}^k$. In this instance, (h, U) restricts to a bundle chart for E' , so $E' \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle of rank ℓ .

Example. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{R})$ be a continuous map. Then $\hat{f}: X \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{R}^k$, given by $\hat{f}(x, v) = f(x)(v)$, is a bundle isomorphism from the trivial bundle to itself.

Example. (Restriction). If $A \subset X$ is a subspace, and $p: E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle of rank k , then so is $p^{-1}(A) \rightarrow A$. Henceforth, we write $E|_A$ for $p^{-1}(A)$.

Example. (Kernel subbundles). If $f: E \rightarrow E'$ is a bundle homomorphism, we set

$$K_x := \ker(f_x: E_x \rightarrow E'_x) \subset E_x$$

and $K = \cup_{x \in X} K_x$. If we assume the rank of K_x is constant in x , then $K \subset E$ is a subbundle.

Example. (A Nontrivializable Vector Bundle). Let $\eta: E \rightarrow S^1$ denote the vector bundle of rank one given by the Möbius band. We will show that η is isn't trivializable.

Let $s: S^1 \rightarrow E$ be the map which sends a point $x \in S^1$ to the zero vector $0 \in E_x$. This map is one-to-one and a homeomorphism onto its image. It's an easy "paper cutting" exercise to show that $E \setminus s(S^1)$ is a connected space.

On the other hand, if there were a bundle isomorphism $h: E \xrightarrow{\cong} S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$, then $h \circ s: S^1 \rightarrow S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ is necessarily the inclusion $i: S^1 \times 0 \subset S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \setminus S^1 \times 0$ is disconnected.

The homeomorphism h therefore restricts to a homeomorphism $E \setminus s(S^1) \cong S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \setminus S^1 \times 0$ from a connected space to a disconnected one. This gives a contradiction to the existence of h , so η is not trivializable.

The following result shows that a bundle homomorphism of fixed rank locally corresponds to a projection.

Rank Theorem. *If $f: E \rightarrow E'$ is a bundle homomorphism, of constant rank r , then there exist bundle charts (ϕ, U) for E and (ψ, U) for E' , such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E|_U & \xrightarrow{f|_U} & E'|_U \\ \phi \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow \psi \\ U \times \mathbb{R}^k & \longrightarrow & U \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \end{array}$$

such that the bottom map is given by

$$(x, (v_1, \dots, v_k)) \mapsto (x, (v_1, \dots, v_r, 0, \dots, 0))$$

i.e., it's the inclusion of the projection onto the first r coordinates.

The proof will require an elementary lemma from linear algebra.

Lemma. *Suppose*

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

is a decomposition of an $\ell \times k$ matrix into submatrices, where A is an $r \times r$ invertible matrix. Then S has rank r if and only if $D = CA^{-1}B$.

Proof. Set

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ CA^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then T is invertible so S has rank r if and only if TS does. But TS is the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D - CA^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}$$

and it's clear that TS has rank r if and only if $D = CA^{-1}B$.

Corollary. *Let S be as in the previous lemma and suppose that S has rank r . Let V be the $k \times k$ matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & -A^{-1}B \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then V is invertible and TSV is the $\ell \times k$ matrix of rank r

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{r \times r} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof of the Rank Theorem. Choose bundle charts (g, U) for E and (h, U) for E' , then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E|_U & \xrightarrow{f|_U} & E'|_U \\ g \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow h \\ U \times \mathbb{R}^k & \xrightarrow{h \circ f|_U \circ g} & U \times \mathbb{R}^\ell. \end{array}$$

This diagram shows that we can assume at the outset that $E = U \times \mathbb{R}^k$, $E' = U \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ are trivial, and $f: U \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ has constant rank r . Let $S_r(\ell \times k)$ be the set of $\ell \times k$ matrices of rank r , topologized as a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{k\ell}$. Then f corresponds to a continuous map

$$\hat{f}: U \rightarrow S_r(\ell \times k)$$

by the formula $f(x, v) = (x, \hat{f}(x)v)$. If we fix for a moment any point $x \in U$, then by reordering the rows and columns of $\hat{f}(x)$ if necessary, we can assume that the $r \times r$ submatrix of $\hat{f}(x)$ given by the first r rows and columns is invertible. By continuity, this will also be true for all y sufficiently close to x . By replacing U by a smaller neighborhood if necessary, it suffices to

assume that the $r \times r$ submatrix formed from the first r rows and columns of $\hat{f}(y)$ is invertible for all $y \in U$.

For each $y \in U$, let $T(y)$ and $V(y)$ be the invertible matrices constructed in the previous lemmas, so that $T(y)\hat{f}(y)V(y)$ is the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{r \times r} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is fairly clear that $T(y)$ and $V(y)$ are continuous functions of y . Set $\phi(y, v) = (y, V(y)^{-1}v)$ and $\psi(y, w) = (y, T(y)w)$. Then these define bundle charts satisfying the conclusions of the Rank Theorem \square .

Corollary. *If $f: E \rightarrow E'$ is a bundle monomorphism, then $f(E) \subset E'$ is a subbundle.*

Proposition. *If $f: E \rightarrow E'$ is a bundle bijection, then f is a bundle isomorphism.*

Proof. By the Rank Theorem, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E|_U & \xrightarrow{f|_U} & E'|_U \\ \phi \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow \psi \\ U \times \mathbb{R}^k & \xlongequal{\quad} & U \times \mathbb{R}^k. \end{array}$$

So $f|_U$ is a homeomorphism and $f_x: E_x \rightarrow E'_x$ is a linear isomorphism for each $x \in U$. In particular, f is a local homeomorphism and a bijection. This implies that f is a homeomorphism. The inverse $f^{-1}: E' \rightarrow E$ is clearly a bundle map, so f is a bundle isomorphism.

More General Morphisms. Suppose we are given a commutative diagram of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{F} & E' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are vector bundles. We say that F is a *linear map covering f* , if for every $x \in X$ the map of fibers $F_x: E_x \rightarrow E'_{f(x)}$ is a homomorphism of vector spaces.

In the special case when F_x is an isomorphism for all x , we say that F is a *bundle map* over f .

Pullbacks. Suppose that $p: E \rightarrow Y$ is a vector bundle of rank k and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be any map. The *pullback* (or *basechange*) of E to X is

$$f^*E := \{(x, e) \mid x \in X, e \in E, f(x) = p(e)\}.$$

Note that first factor projection defines a map $q: f^*E \rightarrow X$. This defines a rank k vector bundle over X : for if $x \in X$, we can choose a bundle chart (ϕ, U) for E at $f(x)$. Then a bundle chart $h: q^{-1}(f^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow f^{-1}(U) \times \mathbb{R}^k$ is given by $h(x, e) = (x, \phi(f(x), e))$.

Note that the pullback construction is functorial up to canonical isomorphism: if $g: Z \rightarrow X$ is a map, then there's a canonical isomorphism of vector bundles

$$(f \circ g)^* E \cong g^* f^* E.$$

Remark. (Universality). Second factor projection defines a bundle map $f^* E \rightarrow E$ covering f . Suppose that $\phi: E' \rightarrow E$ is a linear map covering f , then there is a *unique* factorization of ϕ as

$$E' \xrightarrow{h} f^* E \rightarrow E$$

where h is a bundle homomorphism over X , and $f^* E \rightarrow E$ is the (canonical) bundle map covering f defined by second factor projection. The map h is defined by $h(e) = (p'(e), \phi(e))$, where $p': E' \rightarrow X$ is bundle projection.

A special case of the pullback construction occurs when f is the inclusion of a subspace $A \subset X$. In this case $f^* E$ coincides with the restriction $E|_A$.

Remark. In some sense, even in the case of a map f which isn't an inclusion, $f^* E$ is still a kind of restriction (when suitably reinterpreted). To see this, note that the cartesian product

$$X \times E$$

is naturally a vector bundle over $X \times Y$. The restriction of this bundle along the *graph* of f , i.e.,

$$G_f: \{(y, x) | x = f(y)\} \subset Y \times X$$

gives the vector bundle $(X \times E)|_{G_f}$. On the other hand, G_f and X are canonically homeomorphic, via the map $X \rightarrow G_f$ given by $x \mapsto (x, f(x))$. If we identify these two spaces via the homeomorphism, then $f^* E$ corresponds to $(X \times E)|_{G_f}$ (i.e., if we pullback the latter along $X \rightarrow G_f$ we obtain $f^* E$).

Whitney Sum (Fiber Product). if $p_1: E_1 \rightarrow X$ and $p_2: E_2 \rightarrow X$ are vector bundles, then the pullback of $E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow X \times X$ along the diagonal map $X \rightarrow X \times X$ is a vector bundle over X . The fiber of this bundle at $x \in X$ is just $(E_1)_x \oplus (E_2)_x$. This is called the *Whitney sum* of E_1 and E_2 . It is sometimes written as $E_1 \oplus E_2$.

Sections. If $p: E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle, then a *section* for E is a map $s: X \rightarrow E$ such that $p \circ s: X \rightarrow X$ is the identity. The *zero section* is the section defined by $s(x) = 0 \in E_x$. A section is said to be *nowhere zero* if each vector $s(x) \in E_x$ is non-trivial.

Smooth Vector Bundles. Suppose $p: E \rightarrow X$ is a vector bundle where X is a smooth manifold. Suppose there is bundle atlas $\mathfrak{B} = \{(h_\alpha, U_\alpha)\}$ such that the associated transition maps

$$\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}: U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{R})$$

are smooth maps. In this case we say the \mathfrak{B} is a *smooth bundle atlas*.

Prebundles. A pre-vector bundle over a space X of rank k is a triple (E, p, \mathfrak{B}) consisting of

- (1) a set E ;
- (2) a surjective function $p: E \rightarrow X$;
- (3) a vector space structure on each $E_x := p^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in X$;
- (4) a set $\mathfrak{B} := \{(h_\alpha, U_\alpha)\}$ consisting of a covering of open sets U_α of X and bijective functions

$$h_\alpha: p^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^k$$

such that for each $x \in U_\alpha$ the induced function of vector spaces $h_{\alpha x}: E_x \rightarrow x \times \mathbb{R}^k$ is a linear isomorphism.

Furthermore, the transition maps

$$\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}: U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \text{GL}_k(\mathbb{R})$$

are required to be continuous.

Of course, a vector bundle is just a pre-vector bundle with the additional condition that E has a topology making p continuous and the h_α a homeomorphism.

Conversely, there is a unique way to equip the set E in a pre-vector bundle with a topology making the pre-vector bundle into a vector bundle: a basis for this topology is gotten by taking the inverse images of open sets $h_\alpha^{-1}(V \times O)$ where V ranges through the open sets of X , O ranges through the open sets of \mathbb{R}^k and α ranges through the indices of \mathfrak{B} .

Note. If X is a smooth manifold, and (E, p, \mathfrak{B}) is a *smooth* pre-vector bundle on X (meaning that the $\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}$ are smooth maps), then the topology on E constructed above defines a smooth vector bundle structure.

The Tangent Bundle. Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension n and a smooth atlas $\mathcal{U} = \{(h_\alpha, U_\alpha)\}$. Set

$$TM = \bigcup_{x \in M} T_x M$$

Then we have a function $p: TM \rightarrow M$ sending a tangent vector $v \in T_x M$ to its initial point $x \in M$. In what follows we use the algebraic definition of $T_x M$ in terms of derivations $\mathcal{E}(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

For each smooth chart $h: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, we have the coordinate function $h_i: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then the function

$$f: p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

defined by

$$f(x \in U, X \in T_x M) = (x, X(h_1), \dots, X(h_n))$$

is a bijection (we say this in a previous lecture in Chapter 2). This defines a smooth pre-vector bundle structure on M of rank n . The associated smooth vector bundle is called the *tangent bundle*.

If $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a smooth map, then the tangent map construction of Chapter 2 gives a bundle map

$$Tf: TM \rightarrow TN$$

over f .

Definition. A vector field on a smooth manifold M is a section $s: M \rightarrow TM$.

Remark. In fact, we show below that TM is a smooth manifold (of dimension $2n$) in its own right. Hence, it makes sense to ask whether a vector field is smooth.

Lemma. *The tangent bundle TM is a smooth manifold of dimension $2n$.*

Proof. As above, the bundle charts $f: p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^n$ for TM are defined by $(x, X) \mapsto (x, X(h_1), \dots, X(h_n))$, where $h: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a smooth chart for M . Then the composite

$$p^{-1}(U) \xrightarrow{f} U \times \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{h} \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

is a smooth chart for TM with domain $p^{-1}(U)$. (It is trivial to check that TM is Hausdorff and second countable.) \square

Line Bundles Over S^1 . The goal of this section is to give a sketch of the following statement:

Theorem. *Up to isomorphism, there are just two line bundles over S^1 , the trivial bundle and the Möbius band bundle.*

In order to prove this, we need to develop a minimal amount of machinery.

Lemma. *Let $p: E \rightarrow X$ be a line bundle over X . Then p is trivializable if and only if p admits a nowhere zero section $s: X \rightarrow E$.*

Proof. A trivialization $X \times \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\cong} E$ determines a nowhere zero section $s: X \rightarrow E$ using the section $s_1: X \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{R}$ given by $s_1(x) = (x, 1)$. Conversely, a nowhere zero section s gives a trivialization $h: X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$ defined by $h(x, t) = ts(x)$. \square

Lemma. *Let $p: E \rightarrow [a, b]$ be a line bundle. Then p is trivializable.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $[a, b] = [0, 1]$. Let $c \in [0, 1]$ be the maximum value such that $E|_{[0, c]}$ is trivializable. If $c = 1$ there is nothing to prove, so assume that $c < 1$. Then $c > 0$ because the restriction of E to $[0, \delta]$ is trivializable for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. Choose a trivialization $E|_{[0, c]} \cong [0, c] \times \mathbb{R}$. In particular, we have a nowhere zero section $s: [0, c] \rightarrow E|_{[0, c]}$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a number such that $E|_{[c-\epsilon, c+\epsilon]}$ is trivializable and choose a trivialization

$$\phi: E|_{[c-\epsilon, c+\epsilon]} \xrightarrow{\cong} [c-\epsilon, c+\epsilon] \times \mathbb{R}.$$

With respect to ϕ , the restriction of s to $[c-\epsilon, c]$ corresponds to a nowhere zero function $f: [c-\epsilon, c] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (in the sense that $\phi \circ s|_{[c-\epsilon, c]} = (\text{id}, f)$). Let $F: [c-\epsilon, c+\epsilon] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any continuous extension of f to a nowhere zero function (the extension exists by the Tietze Extension Theorem). Then F corresponds to a nowhere section $t: [c-\epsilon, c+\epsilon] \rightarrow E|_{[c-\epsilon, c+\epsilon]}$ that coincides with s on $[c-\epsilon, c]$. Hence, s and t together define a nowhere zero section $[0, c+\epsilon] \rightarrow E|_{[c-\epsilon, c+\epsilon]}$ which contradicts the maximality of c . Consequently, $c = 1$. \square

Suppose $p: E \rightarrow S^1$ is a line bundle. Writing $S^1 = D_+^1 \cup D_-^1$, $E_{\pm} = E|_{D_{\pm}^1}$ and using the previous lemma, we can choose trivializations

$$\phi_{\pm}: E_{\pm} \cong D_{\pm}^1 \times \mathbb{R}.$$

We can recover E up to bundle isomorphism as follows: The clutching map \hat{f} is defined using the adjoint of $f := \phi_+ \circ \phi_-^{-1}: S^0 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^0 \times \mathbb{R}$ in the sense that $f(x, t) = (x, \hat{f}(x)(t))$. In this case,

$$\hat{f}: S^0 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \setminus 0 =: \mathbb{R}^\times$$

is just a pair of 1×1 -matrices, i.e., a choice nonzero of real numbers r_\pm such that $\hat{f}((\pm 1, 0))(t) = r_\pm t$. Hence, we can completely specify E up to isomorphism by the ordered pair (r_-, r_+) and conversely, each such ordered pair determines a line bundle $E(r_-, r_+)$ over S^1 by means of the clutching construction.

Lemma. (1). *The ordered pair (r_-, r_+) and the ordered pair $(\mathrm{sgn}(r_1), \mathrm{sgn}(r_2))$ determine isomorphic line bundles.*

(2). *The ordered pairs (r_-, r_+) and $(-r_-, -r_+)$ determine isomorphic line bundles.*

Proof. (1). Let $s_\pm = \mathrm{sgn}(r_\pm)$. Choose a homeomorphism $h: D_+^1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $h((1, 0)) = 0$. Define a bundle isomorphism $\phi: E(s_-, s_+) \rightarrow E(r_-, r_+)$ by the formula

$$\phi(x, t) = \begin{cases} (x, t) & \text{for } (x, t) \in D_-^k \times \mathbb{R}; \\ (x, t((1 - h(x))|r_-| + h(x)|r_+|)) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2). Define a bundle isomorphism $\phi: E(r_-, r_+) \rightarrow E(-r_-, -r_+)$ by the formula

$$\phi(x, t) = \begin{cases} (x, t) & \text{for } (x, t) \in D_-^k \times \mathbb{R}; \\ (x, -t) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof of the theorem. The last lemma implies that any line bundle over S^1 is isomorphic to either the trivial bundle $E(1, 1)$ or the Möbius bundle $E(-1, +1)$. We have already seen that these are non-isomorphic. \square

The Tautological Bundle.

For non-negative integers k and n , let $I(k, n + k)$ denote the space of linear injections $\mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$, in other words, the space of $(n + k) \times k$ matrices with real entries (topologized as a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{k(n+k)}$).

Define an equivalence relation on this space by $f \sim g$ if and only if there is an element $A \in \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g = fA$. The quotient space

$$G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}) := I(k, n + k) / \sim$$

is called the *Grassmannian* of k -planes in \mathbb{R}^{n+k} . That's because an equivalence class $[f]$ is equivalent to specifying its image $f(\mathbb{R}^k) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$. So we can think of points of $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$ as being k -dimensional subspaces $X \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$.

In fact, $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$ it can be shown that $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$ has the structure of a smooth manifold of dimension nk (for what I want to say here, we don't need to know this last statement).

Let

$$F: G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \rightarrow G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$$

be the map given by

$$(X, v) \mapsto (X, p_{X^\perp}(v)),$$

where $p_{X^\perp}: \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ is orthogonal projection onto the orthogonal complement of X . Then F is a bundle map (of the trivial bundle to itself) over $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$. For $X \in G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$ the kernel of $F_X: \{X\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+k} \rightarrow \{X\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ is given by the set of vectors $v \in X$, i.e., the vector space X itself. We denote this vector bundle by

$$\gamma^k: E \rightarrow G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}).$$

It is a k -plane bundle called the canonical or tautological bundle over $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$.

For example, consider the case $k = n = 1$. Then $G_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the space of lines through the origin in \mathbb{R}^2 . We can parametrize such lines by the angle they make with the X axis, with the condition that we identify the angle π with the angle zero. It follows that $G_1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is homeomorphic to the circle S^1 , or even better, it is really more closely identified with $\mathbb{R}P^1 = S^1/(x \sim -x)$ (which is of course homeomorphic to the circle). With respect to this identification, it's not difficult to show that $\gamma^1: E \rightarrow S^1$ in this case is isomorphic to the Möbius bundle (this will be one of your homework exercises)

More generally, if $k = 1$ and n is arbitrary, then $G_1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ is the space of lines in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . It is true in this case that $G_1(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}P^n$ (as you will see in the exercises). The bundle $\gamma^1: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^n$ is called the *canonical line bundle*.