

BRÖCKER AND JÄNICH, CHAPTER VIII: DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

The purpose of this section is to study *flows* on manifolds. A flow is really just a one parameter group of diffeomorphisms.

Here is the rough idea: Let  $\text{Diff}(M)$  be the set of diffeomorphisms  $M \rightarrow M$ . This has the structure of a group under composition:

- (1) if  $f$  and  $g$  are diffeomorphisms, then so is  $f \circ g$ , and composition is associative;
- (2) the identity map  $\text{id}: M \rightarrow M$  is the identity element for the multiplication.
- (3) if  $f$  is a diffeomorphism, then so is  $f^{-1}$ , and this is the inverse to  $f$  in the group structure.

The idea is that a flow should be a “smooth” homomorphism  $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the group of real numbers under addition. The problem though is that we haven’t even defined a topology on  $\text{Diff}(M)$  so we can’t even talk about *continuous* homomorphisms  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$ .

There is an alternative way to proceed: a function  $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$  determines, and is determined by a function  $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow M$ , where

$$\Phi(t, x) := \phi(t)(x)$$

and we can make sense of what it means for  $\Phi$  to be smooth.

**Definition.** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. A smooth map

$$\Phi: \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow M$$

is said to be a *dynamical system* or *flow* if

- (1)  $\Phi(0, x) = x$ ,
- (2)  $\Phi(t, \Phi(s, x)) = \Phi(t + s, x)$ .

Note that these two conditions amount to the statement that the corresponding function  $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$  is a homomorphism.

*Notation.* We let  $\Phi_t: M \rightarrow M$  denote the diffeomorphism given by  $\Phi_t(x) := \Phi(t, x)$ . Then the two axioms amount to

- (1)  $\Phi_0 = \text{id}$ , and
- (2)  $\Phi_t \circ \Phi_s = \Phi_{s+t}$

In particular,

$$\Phi_{-t} = (\Phi_t)^{-1}$$

This last condition is called *time reversibility*.

More generally, one has the notion of non-reversible flows, which are given by smooth maps

$$\Phi: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times M \rightarrow M$$

satisfying the above axioms. This corresponds to a *monoid homomorphism*

$$\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M, M).$$

Given a (reversible) flow  $\Phi$ , if we choose a point  $x \in M$  we obtain a smooth curve

$$\alpha_x: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$$

given by  $t \mapsto \Phi_t(x)$ . This is called the *integral curve* of  $\Phi$  which passes through  $x$ . The image of this curve,  $\alpha_x(\mathbb{R})$  is called the *orbit* of  $x$ .

This gives rise to an equivalence relation on  $M$ : two points of  $M$  are related if and only if they lie on the same orbit.

**Example.** If  $\Phi$  is a flow on  $M$  the tangent map the flow line  $\alpha_x: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  at  $t = 0$  gives a homomorphism of vector spaces

$$T_0\alpha_x: \mathbb{R} = T_0\mathbb{R} \rightarrow T_xM.$$

Let us set

$$\dot{\alpha}_x(0) := T_0\alpha_x(1).$$

Then  $x \mapsto T_0\alpha_x(1)$  defines a smooth vector field on  $M$ . This is called the *velocity field* of the flow.

**Assertion.** A flow line  $\alpha_x: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  must satisfy exactly one of the following conditions:

- (1) a one-to-one immersion,
- (2) a periodic immersion (the latter means there's a  $p$  such that  $\alpha_x(t+p) = \alpha_x(t)$ ), or
- (3)  $\alpha_x$  is constant (one says in this case that  $x$  is a fixed point for the flow).

*Proof.* The flow condition and the chain rule imply that

$$\dot{\alpha}_x(t) = T\Phi_t\dot{\alpha}_x(0).$$

Since  $\Phi_t$  is a diffeomorphism, either  $\dot{\alpha}_x(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t$  (in which case  $\alpha_x$  is an immersion) or  $\dot{\alpha}_x(t) = 0$  for all  $t$  which implies  $\alpha_x$  is constant. In the former case, if  $\alpha_x$  isn't one-to-one, then there are  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\alpha_x(p) = \alpha_x(q)$ ,  $p < q$  and there is no point  $p < r < q$  such that  $\alpha_x(p) = \alpha_x(r)$ . By the flow property, we obtain  $\alpha_x(t) = \alpha_x(t + q - p)$  for all  $t$ .

**Example.** Suppose we think of  $M$  as representing a liquid. If we fix a starting time, and we fix a molecule in the liquid at that time then we can ask how a given molecule of the liquid moves. This describes an integral curve of a dynamical system on  $M$ .

**Example.** There are many ways to cook up a flow. For example, suppose that  $f: M \rightarrow M$  is a diffeomorphism. Consider the following construction: form  $M \times [0, 1]$  and identify  $(x, 1)$  with  $(f(x), 0)$ . This is called the *mapping torus* of  $f$ . Denote it by  $T_f$ . It turns out to be a smooth manifold (but we cannot yet quite show that). It will be convenient in what follows to redefine  $T_f$  as the quotient space of  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  in which we identify  $(x, t)$  with  $(f(x), t - 1)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

There is a canonical flow on  $T_f$  which is induced by the operation

$$(t, (x, s)) \mapsto (x, s + t),$$

where  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(x, s) \in T_f$ . This type of flow is called a *suspension flow*. For a suspension flow, every integral curve is periodic of period one.

**Example.** Let  $X: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth vector field on  $\mathbb{R}$  and fix  $(t_0, x_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Consider the initial value problem

$$\frac{df(t)}{dt} = X(f(t)), \quad f(t_0) = x_0.$$

Then, according to the standard existence and uniqueness results, there's a solution  $f(t)$  which is defined in an interval containing  $t_0$ . Since  $f$  depends on  $x$ , we may as well write  $f = f(t, x)$ . And the same results tell us there's an open rectangular box around  $(t_0, x_0)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $f(t, x)$  is defined and smooth on this box. So  $f$  describes a map

$$I \times J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

where  $I$  is an open interval around  $t_0$  and  $J$  is an open interval around  $x_0$ . This map describes a flow on its domain of definition—it's what we might call a *restricted flow*, i.e.,  $f(s + t, x) = f(s, f(t, x))$  whenever both sides are defined.

**Definition.** Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold. A *local flow* on  $M$  is a smooth map

$$\Phi: A \rightarrow M$$

from an open subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R} \times M$ , where  $A$  contains  $0 \times M$  such that

- (1)  $\Phi(0, x) = x$ ,
- (2)  $\Phi(t, \Phi(s, x)) = \Phi(t + s, x)$  whenever defined,
- (3) for each  $x \in M$ , the set  $A \cap (\mathbb{R} \times x)$  is connected.

**Notation.** For a local flow  $\Phi: A \rightarrow M$  we have a flow curve  $\Phi_x: A \cap (\mathbb{R} \times x) \rightarrow M$ . We shall denote the domain  $A \cap (\mathbb{R} \times x)$  by the interval  $(a_x, b_x)$ .

**Integrability Theorem.** *Locally, any smooth vector field on  $M$  arises as the velocity field of precisely one maximal local flow. If  $M$  is compact, this flow is a global one.*

*Remark.* The meaning of this statement will be made precise in the proof.

A preparation for the proof we will quote the standard existence and uniqueness theorems from the theory of ODEs:

**Theorem.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open domain and let  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be smooth. Then*

(Existence): *For each  $x \in \Omega$  there is an open neighborhood  $W \subset \Omega$ , an  $\epsilon > 0$  and a smooth map*

$$\phi: (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times W \rightarrow \Omega$$

*such that  $\phi(0, x) = x$ , and*

$$\dot{\phi}(t, x) = f(\phi(t, x))$$

*for all  $(t, x) \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times W$ .*

(Uniqueness): *Given two smooth curves*

$$\alpha: (a_0, a_1) \rightarrow \Omega, \quad \beta: (b_0, b_1) \rightarrow \Omega$$

whose domains contain 0 such that  $\alpha(0) = x = \beta(0)$ . and  $\dot{\alpha}(t) = f(\alpha(t))$ ,  $\dot{\beta}(t) = f(\beta(t))$  for all  $t$  whenever defined. Then

$$\alpha(t) = \beta(t)$$

on  $(a_0, a_1) \cap (b_0, b_1)$ .

Before proving the Integrability Theorem, we first paste the above into our manifold  $M$  using a chart. Let  $X$  be a smooth vector field on  $M$  and suppose  $(h, U)$  is a smooth chart. Set  $U' = h(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then using the diffeomorphism  $h$ , we can transfer  $X$  to a smooth Euclidean vector field  $f: U' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  using the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{X|_U} & TU \\ h \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow Th \\ U' & \xrightarrow[(\text{id}, f)]{} & U' \times \mathbb{R}^n = TU' \end{array}$$

i.e.,  $f(y) := T_{h^{-1}(y)}h(X(h^{-1}(y)))$ . Then for a smooth curve  $\alpha: (a, b) \rightarrow U$  we have that

$$\dot{\alpha}(t) = X(\alpha(t))$$

precisely when

$$\frac{dh \circ \alpha}{dt}(t) = f(h(\alpha(t))).$$

We say in this case that  $\alpha$  is a solution curve if and only if the equation  $\dot{\alpha}(t) = X(\alpha(t))$  holds for all  $t$ .

Then the existence part of the ODE theorem shows that for each  $x \in M$ , there's a solution curve  $\alpha_x: (a_x, b_x) \rightarrow M$  such that  $\alpha_x(0) = x$ . Furthermore, by uniqueness, any two such solutions agree on there domain of intersection. And if we take the union of all intervals of all solution curves, we obtain the *maximal* solution curve.

*Proof of Integrability Theorem.* Set

$$A := \bigcup_{x \in M} (a_x, b_x) \times x$$

where  $(a_x, b_x)$  is the domain of the maximal solution curve  $\alpha_x$  determined by  $x$ .

*Claim:*  $A \subset \mathbb{R} \times M$  is open and the function

$$\Phi: A \rightarrow M$$

given by  $\Phi(t, x) = \alpha_x(t)$  is a maximal local flow whose velocity field is the given  $X$ .

To prove the claim, it suffices to establish:

- (1)  $\Phi(0, x) = x, \Phi(t, \Phi(s, x)) = \Phi(t + s, x)$ ;
- (2)  $A$  is open;
- (3)  $\Phi$  is smooth.

Now, (1) follows directly from the statement that  $\Phi: (a_x, b_x) \times x \rightarrow M$  is a solution curve  $\alpha_x$ . So for the claim, we only need to establish (2) and (3).

For  $x \in M$  let

$$J_x \subset [0, \infty)$$

be the set of  $t \geq 0$  such that  $A$  contains an open neighborhood of  $[0, t] \times x$  on which  $\Phi$  is differentiable. It will be enough to show that  $J_x = [0, b_x)$  and also that the corresponding statement holds for  $t \leq 0$ .

The definition of  $J_x$  implies that it is an open subset of  $[0, b_x)$ . Therefore, we will be done if we can show that  $J_x$  is non-empty and closed in  $[0, b_x)$ . The standard existence theorem in this situation shows that  $A$  contains an neighborhood of  $0 \times M$  on which  $\Phi$  is differentiable. In particular  $J_x$  isn't empty. Here are the details: For  $p \in M$  we can find a neighborhood  $W$ , an  $\epsilon > 0$  and a smooth map

$$\phi: (-2\epsilon, 2\epsilon) \times W \rightarrow M$$

such that  $\phi|_{(-2\epsilon, 2\epsilon) \times q}: (-2\epsilon, 2\epsilon) \rightarrow M$  is a solution curve with initial value  $q \in W$ . Then the existence of  $\phi$  implies that  $A$  contains a neighborhood of  $0 \times M$  on which  $\Phi$  is smooth and by uniqueness  $\Phi = \phi$  on  $(-2\epsilon, 2\epsilon) \times W$ .

We next show that  $J_x$  is closed in  $[0, b_x)$ . Suppose  $\tau \in \overline{J_x}$ . Then we want to show  $\tau \in J_x$ . Set  $\Phi_\tau(x) = p$ . Then if  $U$  is a sufficiently small neighborhood of  $x \in M$ , we have

$$[0, \tau - \epsilon] \times U \subset A$$

and  $\Phi$  is defined and differentiable on a neighborhood of this set, where  $\epsilon$  is as above and we impose the additional constraint  $\tau - 2\epsilon > 0$ .

Set

$$U' = \Phi_{\tau-\epsilon}^{-1} \phi_{-\epsilon}(W)$$

where  $W$  is the neighborhood of  $p := \Phi_\tau(x)$  chosen above. Then  $U'$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $M$ . Then  $\Phi$  is defined and smooth in a neighborhood of  $[0, \tau + \epsilon] \times U'$  (I think that's because  $\Phi_s \Phi_{\tau-\epsilon}^{-1} = \Phi_{\epsilon-\tau+s}$  is defined for  $\epsilon - \tau + s \leq 2\epsilon$ , i.e., for  $s \leq \tau + \epsilon$ ). In particular  $\Phi$  is defined and smooth on a neighborhood of the subset  $[0, \tau] \times x$ .

Consider the smooth map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi: (\tau - 2\epsilon, \tau + 2\epsilon) \times U' &\rightarrow M \\ (t, u) &\mapsto \phi_{t-\tau} \circ \Phi_\tau(u). \end{aligned}$$

Then by the uniqueness theorem,  $\Psi$  extends the solution curves defined by  $\Phi$  on  $[0, t - \epsilon] \times U'$ . This shows that  $\Phi$  extends to a smooth map on a neighborhood of  $(0, \tau) \times x \cup (\tau - 2\epsilon, \tau + 2\epsilon) \times U'$ . This implies  $\tau \in J_x$ . Hence,  $J_x \subset [0, b_x]$  is closed. We have just concluded the proof of the claim.

The claim shows how to construct a maximal local flow to the given vector field. And the uniqueness of this local maximal local flow is a consequence of the claim since any other one

having the same vector field must be a restriction of  $\Phi$  since its flow lines are solution curves to the field and  $\Phi$  has the maximal solution curves as flow lines. This establishes the uniqueness part of the Integrability Theorem.

We now show that the maximal flow of a velocity field is also a global flow when the manifold is compact. By compactness, the domain  $A$  of  $\Phi$  contains a subset of the form  $(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times M$ . Then  $A$  also contains  $(-2\epsilon, 2\epsilon) \times M$  since we can define an extension by

$$\Phi(t, x) := \Phi\left(\frac{t}{2}, \Phi\left(\frac{t}{2}, x\right)\right).$$

As epsilon was arbitrary, we conclude that  $A$  contains  $\mathbb{R} \times M$ . So  $A = \mathbb{R} \times M$  and the flow is global.

*Remark.* The argument we gave when  $M$  is compact to produce the global flow actually delivers slightly more: If  $\alpha_x: (a_x, b_x) \rightarrow M$  is a solution curve of a vector field on  $M$ ,  $b_x < \infty$ , and  $K \subset M$  is a compact subset, then there's an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\alpha_x(t) \notin K$$

for  $t > b_x - \epsilon$ .

For suppose  $x \in K$ , then we can choose  $\epsilon$  so small that  $[0, \epsilon] \times K \subset A$ . The our argument shows that  $\mathbb{R} \times K \subset A$ . And that will imply that  $b_x$  is  $\infty$  which contradicts our hypothesis.

On the other hand suppose  $x \notin K$  and  $\alpha_x(t) \subset K$  for all  $t \in (b_x - \epsilon, b_x)$ . Then a similar argument to the one we gave when  $M$  is compact shows that  $\alpha_x((a_x, b_x) \subset K$ . But this will also show that  $b_x = \infty$ , again giving a contradiction.

### THE EHRESMANN FIBRATION THEOREM

Consider a smooth map  $p: E \rightarrow M$ . If  $x \in M$  we let  $E_x := p^{-1}(x)$ . We say that  $p$  *smooth fiber bundle*<sup>1</sup> if for any  $x \in M$ , there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  and a diffeomorphism

$$U \times E_x \xrightarrow{\phi} p^{-1}(U)$$

such that  $p \circ \phi: U \times E_x \rightarrow U$  coincides with the first factor projection. This condition says that  $p$  is locally like a product map (where by “locally” we mean in terms of points in  $M$ ).

Clearly, a smooth fiber bundle is necessarily a smooth submersion. We will be interested in the extent to which the converse holds.

**Theorem.** (Ehresmann). *Let  $p: E \rightarrow M$  is a proper submersion. Then  $p$  is a smooth fiber bundle.*

*Proof.* Choose a chart  $h: (\mathbb{R}^m, 0) \xrightarrow{\cong} (U, x)$  where  $U$  is an open set of  $M$ . This allows us, by taking pullbacks, to assume  $M = \mathbb{R}^m$ . So we have a smooth proper submersion  $p: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ .

Let  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  denote the smooth vector field defined by the condition

$$\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(y), v \rangle = dx_i(v)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>This is sometimes called a *locally trivial fibration* in the smooth category.

where  $x_i: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $i$ -th coordinate projection  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

*Claim 1:* There are smooth vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  on  $E$  such that

$$Tp \circ X_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

To prove the claim, note that the rank theorem implies that at each  $y \in E$  with  $p(y) = x \in \mathbb{R}^m$  we can find a product neighborhood  $W$  an open neighborhood  $U$  of 0, an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$  inside  $E_x$  and a diffeomorphism  $\psi: U \times V \rightarrow W$  such that  $p \circ \psi$  is the first factor projection. Hence,  $p$  looks like a product map locally from the point of view of its domain. Clearly, the vector field  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  restricted to  $U$  lifts to  $U \times V$  since  $T_y(U \times V) = T_x U \oplus T_y E_x$ . Using the diffeomorphism we see that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  lifts to the neighborhood  $W$  of  $y$ . Doing this for every  $y$  in  $E$  and appealing to a partition of unity yields Claim 1.

Given Claim 1, we can appeal the Integrability Theorem to find local flows  $\Phi^1, \dots, \Phi^m$  whose associated velocity fields yield  $X_1, \dots, X_m$ .

Recall that  $M = \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $E_0 = p^{-1}(0)$ . Then we define

$$\phi: \mathbb{R}^n \times E_0 \rightarrow p^{-1}(U)$$

by

$$((u_1, \dots, u_m), y) \mapsto \Phi_{u_1}^1 \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{u_m}^m(y)$$

To complete the proof it suffices to establish the following.

*Claim 2:* The map  $\phi$  is well-defined and a diffeomorphism. Moreover,  $p \circ \phi$  is the first factor projection.

We first establish the last part. First observe

$$p \circ \Phi_{u_i}^i(y) = p(y) + u_i e_i$$

where  $e_i$  is the  $i$ -th standard basis vector of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . To verify we think of both sides as a function of a single variable  $u_i$ . Note first that both sides agree by definition when  $u_i = 0$ . If we differentiate both sides with respect to  $u_i$  we see that the derivative both sides is  $e_i$  (since the vector field  $X_i$  lifts  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ ). Hence the two functions agree everywhere whenever they are defined.

Let  $p_1: \mathbb{R}^n \times E_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the projection. The last displayed equation implies that

$$p_1(u, y) = u = p \circ \phi(u, y)$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} p \circ \phi(u, y) &= p \circ \Phi_{u_1}^1(\Phi_{u_2}^2 \cdots \Phi_{u_m}^m(y)), \\ &= p(\Phi_{u_2}^2 \cdots \Phi_{u_m}^m(y)) + u_1 e_1, \\ &\vdots \\ &= p(y) + \sum_i u_i e_i, \\ &= u, \quad \text{since } y \in E_0. \end{aligned}$$

This argument establishes the last sentence of Claim 2.

We now turn to the first sentence. Then we have that  $p^{-1}(B_K) \subset E$  is compact where  $B_K$  is the closed ball of radius  $K$  (this uses the properness of  $p$ ). The way  $\phi$  is defined shows for all  $u \in B_K$  all flow lines remain in  $p^{-1}(B_K)$  (since the flows in  $E$  project to standard coordinate axis flows in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). This shows that all the flow maps exist in the definition of  $\phi$ .

Finally, it is clear that  $\phi$  is smooth. The inverse smooth map for  $\phi$  is given by the formula

$$\phi^{-1}(z) = (u, \Phi_{-u_m}^n \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{-u_1}^1(z))$$

where  $u := p(z)$ .  $\square$

**Example.** Consider the first factor projection  $p: \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . This is a (non-proper!) submersion, but it is not a smooth fiber bundle.

For if it were, there would exist an interval  $(a, b)$  containing 0 such that  $(a, b) \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus 0)$  is diffeomorphic to  $p^{-1}(a, b)$ . It is easy to see that this isn't the case  $(a, b) \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus 0)$  has two connected components whereas  $p^{-1}(a, b)$  is connected.