

# APPROXIMATION AND REGULARITY OF EVOLUTION VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES

GIUSEPPE SAVARÉ

*Istituto di Analisi Numerica del C.N.R. - Corso Carlo Alberto 5, 27100 PAVIA.  
fax 0031-382-28079, e-mail SAVARE at IPVIAN.BITNET.PV.IT*

**Summary.** In the framework of a Hilbert triple  $\{V, H, V'\}$  we study the approximation and the regularity of parabolic variational inequalities, by a time discretization by means of the backward Euler scheme. Under suitable regularity hypotheses on the data, we prove that the order of convergence in  $H^1(0, T; H)$  is  $1/2$  and the solution belongs to  $H^s(0, T; H)$ ,  $\forall s < 3/2$ . Moreover, in the case of a symmetric linear operator with  $L^2(0, T; H)$  data, we prove the  $H^{1/2}(0, T; V)$ -regularity of the solution with the same error estimate in the “energy norm” of  $L^2(0, T; V) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H)$ .

## 0 Introduction.

Let  $V \subset H$  be a couple of separable real Hilbert spaces, the inclusion being continuous and dense; the norms on  $V$  and  $H$  and the scalar product on  $H$  are denoted respectively by  $\|\cdot\|$ ,  $|\cdot|$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . We identify  $H$  with its dual  $H'$ , so that the dual space  $V'$  is the completion of  $H$  with respect the dual norm:

$$\|h'\|_* = \sup_{\|v\|=1} (h', v)$$

and the relations:

$$V \subset H \equiv H' \subset V'$$

hold with continuous and dense imbeddings;  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  can also be used for the pairing between  $V$  and  $V'$ .

Let  $A$  be a continuous and coercive linear operator from  $V$  to  $V'$ :

$$\exists \alpha, M > 0 : \quad \|Av\|_* \leq M\|v\|; \quad (Av, v) \geq \alpha\|v\|^2 \quad \forall v \in V \quad (0.1)$$

and let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a closed convex subset of  $V$  with:

$$0 \in \mathbb{K} \quad (0.2)$$

We call  $K$  the closure of  $\mathbb{K}$  in  $H$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  the projection of  $H$  on  $K$  (with respect to the norm of  $H$ ).

We want to study the approximation and the regularity of the solution  $u(t)$  of the following problem:

**0.1 PROBLEM.** *We are given an initial value  $u_0 \in H$ , a function  $f(t)$  a.e. defined on the interval  $]0, T[$  ( $T \in ]0, +\infty[$ ) with values in  $V'$ , we ask for  $u(t)$  such that:*

$$\begin{cases} u(t) \in \mathbb{K}, & \text{a.e. in } ]0, T[ \\ (u'(t) + Au(t) - f(t), u(t) - v) \leq 0 & \forall v \in \mathbb{K}, \quad \text{a.e. in } ]0, T[ \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases} \quad (0.3)$$

This problem has been studied in several papers (see for instance [3], [4], [1]); our starting point is the work of BAIocchi [1] where the existence and the regularity of the solution of a weak form of Problem 0.1 were proved by studying the time discretization of the same problem by means of the backward Euler scheme; moreover, this technique gives an optimal estimate of the order of convergence in the space <sup>(1)</sup> Let us briefly recall the outline of this method. The natural function space for the datum  $f$  is the “sum” <sup>(2)</sup>

$$S(0, T) = L^2(0, T; V') + L^1(0, T; H) = \{f = g + h; \quad g \in L^2(0, T; V'), \quad h \in L^1(0, T; H)\} \quad (0.6)$$

---

<sup>(1)</sup> For a generic Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $L^p(0, T; \mathcal{H})$  ( $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ ) is the Banach space of the strongly Lebesgue-measurable functions  $f$  a.e. defined on  $]0, T[$  with values in  $\mathcal{H}$ , such that  $t \mapsto \|f(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  belongs to  $L^p(0, T)$ ; the  $L^p$ -norm of this function gives the norm in  $L^p(0, T; \mathcal{H})$ :

$$I(0, T) = L^2(0, T; V) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H) \quad (0.4)$$

<sup>(2)</sup> We recall that  $I(0, T) = (S(0, T))'$ , the pairing between  $i(t) \in I(0, T)$  and  $s(t) \in S(0, T)$  being given by:

$$\langle i, s \rangle = \int_0^T (i(t), s(t)) \, dt \quad (0.5)$$

In order to discretize this problem, we choose a stepsize  $k > 0$  <sup>(3)</sup> and we set for  $n \geq 0$ :

$$J_{k,n} = [nk, (n+1)k]; \quad f_n^k = \frac{1}{k} \int_{J_{k,n}} f(t) dt \in V'; \quad u_{-1}^k = \mathcal{P}u_0 \quad (0.7)$$

Then we consider the sequence of equations in the unknown  $u_{n+1}^k$ ,  $n \geq -1$ :

$$\begin{cases} u_{n+1}^k \in \mathbb{K}; \\ (u_{n+1}^k - u_n^k + kAu_{n+1}^k - kf_{n+1}^k, u_{n+1}^k - v) \leq 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{K} \end{cases} \quad (0.8)$$

The Lions–Stampacchia theorem (see [9]) ensures us that, for all given  $u_n^k \in V'$ , there exists a unique solution  $u_{n+1}^k$  of (0.8), so that the sequence  $\{u_n^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is well defined and it is contained in  $V$ , for  $n \geq 0$ .

We construct the continuous function  $\hat{u}_k(t)$ , linear on each  $J_{k,n}$ , such that  $\hat{u}_k(nk) = u_n^k$ ,

$$\hat{u}_k(t) = (n+1 - t/k)u_n^k + (t/k - n)u_{n+1}^k, \quad t \in J_{k,n}, \quad 0 \leq n < N \quad (0.9)$$

and we go to evaluate the error  $u - \hat{u}_k$  in various norms,  $u$  being the solution of a weak form of problem 0.1.

In [1] is proved that, if  $\{u_0, f\}$  satisfies compatibility and smoothness conditions (see Teorem 1.3), then  $\hat{u}_k$  with its derivative remains bounded in  $I(0, T)$  and converges to  $u$  in  $I(0, T)$  with an  $O(k)$ -error.

We shall consider the error between the derivatives  $u' - \hat{u}_k'$  in the norm of  $L^2(0, T; H)$ ; our estimates gives a bound:

$$\|u' - \hat{u}_k'\|_{L^2(0, T; H)} = O(k^{1/2})$$

and the associated regularity  $u \in H^s(0, T; H)$ ,  $\forall s < 3/2$ , and even a little more (see Theorem 1). Since  $u'$  can have jump discontinuities, also for smooth data, this is the maximal time regularity which one can expect.

We shall also consider the case of a symmetric operator  $A$ , with  $\{u_0, f\} \in \mathbb{K} \times L^2(0, T; H)$ . In this framework, it is well known that the solution  $u$  belongs to  $H^1(0, T; H) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V)$  and is continuous with values in  $V$ . Also in this case we will prove that  $\hat{u}_k'$  converges to  $u'$  in  $L^2(0, T; H)$ ; moreover the solution  $u$  belongs to  $H^{1/2}(0, T; H)$  and we can estimate the error in the “energy norm” of  $I(0, T)$  as:

$$\|u - \hat{u}_k\|_{L^2(0, T; V) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H)} = o(k^{1/2})$$

Regularity results for the solution of 0.1 and related estimates of the rate of convergence of approximated solutions under “intermediate” assumptions on  $\{u_0, f\}$  (in the same framework of [2]) are contained in a forthcoming paper.

We wish to thank Prof. C. Baiocchi for many useful discussions on this subject.

---

<sup>(3)</sup> which will tend to 0; when  $T < +\infty$ , for the sake of simplicity, we may limit ourselves to the values of the type  $k = T/N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## 1 Notations and main results.

We begin with a weak reformulation of Problem 0.1:

1.1 PROBLEM. Given  $u_0 \in H$  and  $f \in S(0, T)$ , find  $u \in I(0, T) \cap C^0([0, T]; H)$  such that

$$u(t) \in \mathbb{K}, \quad \text{a.e. in } ]0, T[$$

and the function:

$$t \in [0, T] \mapsto \frac{1}{2}|u(t) - v(t)|^2 + \int_0^t (v'(\tau) + Au(\tau) - f(\tau), u(\tau) - v(\tau)) \, d\tau$$

is not increasing and bounded by  $\frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{P}u_0 - v(0)|^2$ ,  $\forall v \in H^1(0, T; V) \cap W^{1,\infty}(0, T; H)$ ,  $v(t) \in \mathbb{K}$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ .  
(<sup>4</sup>)

1.2 REMARK. This is really a weak form of Problem 0.1: assuming that the solution  $u$  of 1.1 is also absolutely continuous with values in  $H$ , the function:

$$\tau \mapsto (u'(\tau) + Au(\tau) - f(\tau), u(\tau) - v(\tau)); \quad v, v' \in I(0, T)$$

belongs to  $L^1(0, t)$ ,  $\forall t \in ]0, T[$ ; moreover, thanks to the identity:

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}|u(\tau) - v(\tau)|^2 = 2(u'(\tau) - v'(\tau), u(\tau) - v(\tau)) \quad (1.2)$$

we get:

$$t \in [0, T] \mapsto \int_0^t (u'(\tau) + Au(\tau) - f(\tau), u(\tau) - v(\tau)) \, d\tau \quad \text{is decreasing} \quad (1.3)$$

and:

$$|u(0) - v(0)| \leq |\mathcal{P}u_0 - v(0)|$$

Since  $\mathbb{K}$  is dense in  $K$ , we have  $u(0) = \mathcal{P}u_0$ ; from (1.3) and the additional hypothesis  $u_0 \in K$  we deduce that  $u$  solves (0.3).  $\square$

The fundamental result we use is the following:

1.3 THEOREM (BAIOCCHI [1]). *The operator:*

$$T_k : \{u_0, f\} \in H \times S(0, T) \mapsto \hat{u}_k \in L^2(0, T; V) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H) \quad (1.14)$$

is bounded and Lipschitz continuous, uniformly in  $k$ ; the family  $\{\hat{u}_k\}$  converges as  $k \rightarrow 0^+$  to the unique solution  $u$  of Problem 1.1, which also belongs to  $C([0, T]; H)$  and satisfies the initial condition:

$$u(0) = \mathcal{P}u_0 \in K \quad (1.15)$$

---

(<sup>4</sup>) With  $W^{1,p}(0, T; \mathcal{H})$ , we denote the Banach space of the absolutely continuous functions in  $L^p(0, T; \mathcal{H})$ , with derivative in the same space; by induction we define also  $W^{n,p}(0, T; \mathcal{H})$ . As usual, the norms in this spaces are given by:

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,p}(0, T; \mathcal{H})}^p = \|f\|_{L^p(0, T; \mathcal{H})}^p + \|f'\|_{L^p(0, T; \mathcal{H})}^p \quad (1.1)$$

with the obvious changes when  $p = \infty$ . When  $p = 2$  we are dealing with Hilbert spaces; in this case we use the notation  $W^{n,2}(0, T; \mathcal{H}) = H^n(0, T; \mathcal{H})$  (see [3], [7] for more details).

in particular, the operator  $T : \{u_0, f\} \mapsto u$  is bounded and lipschitz continuous from  $H \times S(0, T)$  to  $L^2(0, T; V) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H)$ .

Furthermore, assuming:

$$f \in H^1(0, T; V') + BV(0, T; H)^{(5)}, \quad \mathcal{P}u_0 \in \mathbb{K}, \quad A\mathcal{P}u_0 - f(0) \in H \quad (1.16)$$

the family  $\{\hat{u}_k\}$  is bounded and weakly\* converge to  $u$  in  $H^1(0, T; V) \cap W^{1,\infty}(0, T; H)$ ;  $u \in H^1(0, T; V) \cap W^{1,\infty}(0, T; H)$  is a strong solution of Problem 0.1 satisfying (0.3) and the estimate  $^{(6)}$ :

$$\|u - \hat{u}_k\|_{L^2(0, T; V) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H)} \leq Ck [\|f\|_{H^1(0, T; V') + BV(0, T; H)} + |A\mathcal{P}u_0 - f(0)|] \quad (1.17)$$

1.4 REMARK. In the same work is proved that  $u$  belongs to  $H^{4/3-\epsilon}(0, T; H)$   $^{(7)}$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , if (1.16) holds true, while at the lowest level of regularity, that is  $u_0 \in H$  and  $f \in S(0, T)$ , if  $\mathbb{K}$  is a cone then  $u$  belongs to  $B_{2\infty}^{1/2}(0, T; H)$ . We don't use these informations.  $\square$

Our regularity results concerne the family of spaces  $B_{2\infty}^s(0, T; \mathcal{H})$ ; the relationships between convergence estimates of the approximating solutions  $\hat{u}_k$  and the regularity properties of  $u$  are showed by the following charcterization (see [8]):

1.5 REMARK. Let  $s$  be in  $]0, 1[$  and  $v \in L^2(0, T; \mathcal{H})$ ; then  $v$  belongs to  $B_{2\infty}^s(0, T; \mathcal{H})$  iff there exists a measurable family of functions

$$k \in ]0, \infty[ \mapsto v_k \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$$

and a constant  $C$  independent of  $k$  such that:

$$\|v - v_k\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathcal{H})} \leq Ck^s; \quad \|v_k\|_{H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})} \leq \frac{C}{k^{1-s}} \quad (1.18)$$

Moreover, an equivalent norm on  $B_{2\infty}^s(0, T; \mathcal{H})$  is given by the infimum of the constants  $C$  for which the estimate (1.18) holds for some  $\{v_k\}$ .  $\square$

Now we can state our results:

$^{(5)}$   $BV(0, T; \mathcal{H})$  is the space of the function  $h : [0, T] \mapsto \mathcal{H}$  of bounded variation; the variation of  $h$  is:

$$\|h\|_{BV(0, T; \mathcal{H})} = \sup \sum_{m=0}^n \|h(t_{m+1}) - h(t_m)\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

where the supremum is taken over all the subdivisions of the interval  $[0, T]$ :  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n+1} = T$

$^{(6)}$  As usual, we denote by  $C$  a constant only depending on  $\alpha, M$ .

$^{(7)}$  If  $s \in ]0, 1[$ , we consider the interpolation spaces (see [6], [8] and [5] for more details):

$$H^s(0, T; \mathcal{H}) = (L^2(0, T; \mathcal{H}), H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}))_{s, 2}$$

$$B_{2\infty}^s(0, T; \mathcal{H}) = (L^2(0, T; \mathcal{H}), H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}))_{s, \infty}$$

Finally, if  $s \in ]1, 2[$ ,  $H^s(0, T; \mathcal{H})$  and  $B_{2\infty}^s(0, T; \mathcal{H})$  are the spaces of the  $H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$ -functions, whose derivative belongs to  $H^{s-1}(0, T; \mathcal{H})$  and  $B_{2\infty}^{s-1}(0, T; \mathcal{H})$  respectively.

**Theorem 1.** The operators  $T_k$  defined in (1.14) are uniformly bounded with values in  $B_{2\infty}^{1/2}(0, T; H)$ ; the family  $\{\hat{u}_k\}$  weakly\* converges in  $B_{2\infty}^{1/2}(0, T; H)$  to the solution  $u$  of problem 1.1, which satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \int_0^{T-h} |u(\tau+h) - u(\tau)|^2 d\tau \leq C h [\|f\|_{S(0,T)} + |u_0|] & \forall h \in [0, T] \\ \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^{-1} \int_0^{T-h} |u(\tau+h) - u(\tau)|^2 d\tau = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.19)$$

**Theorem 2.** Assume that (1.16) holds true; then the solution  $u$  of Problem 1.1 belongs to  $B_{2\infty}^{3/2}(0, T; H)$ . The family  $\{\hat{u}_k\}$  is uniformly bounded in this space and strongly converges to  $u$  in  $H^1(0, T; H)$  with the estimate:

$$\|u' - \hat{u}'_k\|_{L^2(0,T;H)} \leq C k^{1/2} [\|f\|_{H^1(0,T;V') + BV(0,T;H)} + |APu_0 - f(0)|] \quad (1.20)$$

1.6 REMARK. This regularity is optimal, at least in the family

$$(H^1(0, T; H), H^2(0, T; H))_{\theta, q}, \quad \theta \in ]0, 1[, \quad q \in [1, \infty]$$

even in the scalar case (say  $V \equiv H \equiv \mathbb{R}$ ). Actually, [3] gives an example of solution  $u$  relative to smooth data with  $u' \notin (L^2(0, T), H^1(0, T))_{1/2, q}$ ,  $\forall q < \infty$ .  $\square$

1.7 REMARK. We recall that there hold the continuous and dense inclusions:

$$\forall r, s \in ]0, 1[, \quad r < s, \quad B_{2\infty}^s(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \subset H^r(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \quad (1.21)$$

so that the regularity results announced in the introduction are justified.  $\square$

1.8 REMARK. The most interesting way to deduce a regularity result from an estimate of the rate of convergence is surely showed by remark 1.5. In this context, however, we cannot immediately use (1.20), since  $\hat{u}_k$  does not belong to  $H^2(0, T; H)$ . In order to overcome this difficulties we shall introduce a piecewise quadratic approximating function  $\tilde{u}_k$ , which satisfies (1.20) and the bound

$$k^{1/2} \|\tilde{u}_k''\|_{L^2(0,T;H)} \leq C \quad \square$$

1.9 REMARK. One other characterization of the interpolation spaces in the case  $T = +\infty$  is related to the estimate (1.19), and will be also useful in other circumstances:

$$v \in B_{2\infty}^{1/2}(0, \infty; \mathcal{H}) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} v \in L^2(0, \infty; \mathcal{H}) \\ \sup_{h>0} h^{-1} \int_0^\infty \|v(t+h) - v(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 dt < +\infty \end{cases} \quad (1.22)$$

The sum of  $L^2$ -norm of  $v$  and the square root of the last “sup” gives an equivalent norm on  $B_{2\infty}^s(0, \infty; \mathcal{H})$ . Analogously, we have for  $H^{1/2}(0, \infty; \mathcal{H})$ :

$$\|v\|_{H^{1/2}(0, \infty; H)}^2 = \|v\|_{L^2(0, \infty; \mathcal{H})}^2 + \int_0^\infty \frac{dh}{h^2} \int_0^\infty \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|^2 dt \quad \square \quad (1.23)$$

In order to have shorter notations, we denote by  $\mathcal{V}$  the subset of  $H \times S(0, \infty)$  defined by (1.16), and we write:

$$\|\{u_0, f\}\|_{\mathcal{V}} = \|f\|_{H^1(0, \infty; V') + BV(0, \infty; H)} + |A\mathcal{P}u_0 - f(0)| \quad (1.24)$$

**Theorem 3.** *The operator  $T : \{u_0, f\} \mapsto u$ ,  $\mathcal{V} \mapsto H^1(0, \infty; H)$  is  $1/2$ -Hölder continuous with respect to the  $H \times S(0, \infty)$ -metric on the bounded subset of  $\mathcal{V}$ .*

The last result requires the additional hypothesis on the symmetry of the operator  $A$ :

$$\forall v, w \in V : (Av, w) = (v, Aw) \quad (1.25)$$

We denote by  $a(v, w)$  the bilinear form associated to  $A$ :

$$\forall v, w \in V, \quad a(v, w) = (Av, w)$$

$a(v, w)$  is a scalar product on  $V$  which induces an equivalent norm to  $\|\cdot\|$ .

It is well known that, if

$$f \in L^2(0, T; H)^{(8)} \quad \mathcal{P}u_0 \in \mathbb{K}, \quad (1.26)$$

then the solution  $u$  of problem 1.1 belongs to  $H^1(0, T; H) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V)$ , is continuous with values in  $\mathbb{K}$  and satisfies the estimate:

$$\int_0^t |u'(\tau)|^2 d\tau + a(u(t), u(t)) \leq a(\mathcal{P}u_0, \mathcal{P}u_0) + \int_0^t |f(\tau)|^2 d\tau, \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \quad (1.27)$$

Therefore  $u$  is a strong solution.

Even if  $f$  does not satisfy any derivability hypothesis, one can expect an  $O(\sqrt{k})$  error in the energy norm of  $I(0, T)$ : this estimate will be accomplished by a new smoothness result:

**Theorem 4.** *Assume that (1.25) and (1.26) hold true; then the functions  $\hat{u}_k$  strongly converge to  $u$  in  $H^1(0, T; H)$  as  $k \rightarrow 0^+$ ;  $u$  belongs also to  $H^{1/2}(0, T; V)$  and we have the estimates:*

$$\|u\|_{H^{1/2}(0, T; V)} \leq C [\|f\|_{L^2(0, T; H)} + \|\mathcal{P}u_0\|] \quad (1.28)$$

$$\|u - \hat{u}_k\|_{I(0, T)} = o(k^{1/2}) \quad (1.29)$$

---

<sup>(8)</sup> More generally,  $f$  can be chosen in  $L^2(0, T; H) + BV(0, T; V')$ ; our proofs may be easily adapted to this case. On the other side, when  $u_0 \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $f \in BV(0, T; V')$ , we can apply the intermediate convergence estimates of [1], and we can prove the last formula of the following theorem and the related regularity  $u \in B_{2\infty}^{1/2}(0, T; V)$ , whitout (1.25); so, we simplify our analysis considering only  $L^2(0, T; H)$ -data.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.

*Preliminary remarks.*

We limit ourselves to the case  $T = +\infty$ ; otherwise we can extend the datum  $f$  to the whole interval  $]0, +\infty[$  and consider the restriction to  $]0, T[$  of the solution of the corresponding Cauchy problem: the extension and the restriction will preserve the regularity and the convergence estimates.

The regularity assumptions on  $\{u_0, f\}$  are related to the behaviour of the quantity:

$$E_k = k^{1/2} \|u_1^k - u_0^k\| + |u_1^k - u_0^k| + |u_0^k - \mathcal{P}u_0| + \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq k} \|f(t + \tau) - f(t)\|_{S(0, \infty)} \quad (2.1)$$

A different way to formulate Theorem 1.3 is the following:

2.1 LEMMA. We have

$$E_k \leq C [\|f\|_{S(0, \infty)} + |u_0|], \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} E_k = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

and, if (1.16) holds true,

$$E_k \leq C k [\|f\|_{H^1(0, \infty; V') + BV(0, \infty; H)} + |A\mathcal{P}u_0 - f(0)|] \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover the following estimate holds:

$$\|k\hat{u}'_k\|_{I(0, \infty)} \leq C E_k; \quad \|u - \hat{u}_k\|_{I(0, \infty)} \leq C E_k \quad (2.4)$$

For the proof see [1]. ■

It is useful to introduce the step functions  $u_k(t), f_k(t)$  which take on  $J_{k,n}$  the values  $u_{n+1}^k, f_{n+1}^k$  respectively <sup>(9)</sup>.

On  $J_{k,n}$  we have:

$$\hat{u}_k(t) = (n + 1 - t/k)u_n^k + (t/k - n)u_{n+1}^k$$

so that, introducing the  $k$ -periodic function  $\ell_k(t)$  which takes the values  $t/k$  on  $[0, k[$ , the functions  $u_k$  and  $\hat{u}_k$  are related by the following simple relations:

$$\hat{u}_k(t) = \ell_k(t)u_k(t) + (1 - \ell_k(t))u_k(t - k); \quad u_k(t) - \hat{u}_k(t) = k(1 - \ell_k(t))\hat{u}'_k(t) \quad (2.5)$$

$$\hat{u}'_k(t) \equiv \frac{u_{n+1}^k - u_n^k}{k} = \frac{u_k(t) - u_k(t - k)}{k} \quad (2.6)$$

so that, if we are not interested to the derivative of  $u$ , we can indifferently use  $u_k$  or  $\hat{u}_k$ , since (2.4) shows:

$$\|u_k - \hat{u}_k\|_{I(0, \infty)} \leq C E_k, \quad \|u_k - u\|_{I(0, \infty)} \leq C E_k \quad (2.7)$$

Also  $f_k$  is strictly related to  $f$  via the  $E_k$ -estimate:

$$\|f - f_k\|_{S(0, \infty)} \leq C E_k \quad (2.8)$$

$u$  belongs to  $B_{2\infty}^{1/2}(0, T; H)$ .

We must estimate in  $L^2(0, \infty; H)$  the difference  $u(t+h) - u(t)$ ; we want to read this quantity on the discretized equation and then to pass to the limit as  $k \rightarrow 0^+$ . The advantage is to use piecewise constant functions.

<sup>(9)</sup> We extend this definition also for  $t, n \leq 0$  setting

$$u_n^k \equiv u_{-1}^k \quad f_n^k \equiv f_0^k, \quad n \leq -1$$

and consequently:

$$u_k(t) \equiv \hat{u}_k(t) \equiv \mathcal{P}u_0, \quad t < -k; \quad u_k(t) \equiv u_0^k, \quad t \in [-k, 0[; \quad f_k(t) \equiv f_0^k, \quad t < 0$$



2.2 LEMMA. The function:

$$h \mapsto \int_0^\infty |u_k(t+h) - u_k(t)|^2 dt \quad (2.9)$$

is linear on each  $J_{k,n}$  and in  $pk$  takes the value:

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty |u_{n+p}^k - u_n^k|^2 \quad (2.10)$$

Moreover, we have:

$$\sup_{h>0} h^{-1} \int_0^\infty |u_k(t+h) - u_k(t)|^2 dt = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} p^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^\infty |u_{n+p}^k - u_n^k|^2 \quad (2.11)$$

*Proof.* Since  $u_k$  is constant on each  $J_{k,n}$ , if  $h \in J_{k,p}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |u_k(t+h) - u_k(t)|^2 dt &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{J_{k,n}} |u_k(t+h) - u_k(t)|^2 dt = \\ &= k(1 - \ell_k(h)) \sum_{n \geq 1} |u_{n+p}^k - u_n^k|^2 + k\ell_k(h) \sum_{n \geq 1} |u_{n+p+1}^k - u_n^k|^2 = \end{aligned}$$

where  $\ell_k(t)$  is the  $k$ -periodic function which on  $J_{k,0}$  is  $t/k$ .

To show (2.11), let us suppose to know an uniform estimate of the type:

$$k \sum_{n=1}^\infty |u_{n+p}^k - u_n^k|^2 \leq Cpk, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}, k > 0 \quad (2.12)$$

with  $C$  independent of  $p$  and  $k$ . For a generic  $h \in J_{k,p}$  we get the bound:

$$\int_0^\infty |u_k(t+h) - u_k(t)|^2 dt \leq C(1 - \ell_k(h))pk + C\ell_k(h)(p+1)k = Cpk + Ck\ell_k(h) = Ch$$

since  $k\ell_k(h) = h - p$ . ■

The proof of (2.12) is based on the following simple Lemma:

2.3 LEMMA. Let  $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $H$ ; we have:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (v_{m+j+1} - v_{m+j}, v_{m+j+1} - v_m) = \frac{1}{2}|v_{m+p} - v_m|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} |v_{m+j+1} - v_{m+j}|^2 \quad (2.13)$$

*Proof.* We use the elementary identity:

$$2(y, y - x) = |x|^2 - |y|^2 + |x - y|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in H \quad (2.14)$$

obtaining:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (v_{m+j+1} - v_{m+j}, v_{m+j+1} - v_m) &= \\ 2 \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (v_{m+j+1} - v_{m+j}, v_{m+j+1}) - 2 \left( \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} v_{m+j+1} - v_{m+j}, v_m \right) &= \\ \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} [|v_{m+j+1}|^2 - |v_{m+j}|^2 + |v_{m+j+1} - v_{m+j}|^2] + 2(v_m - v_{m+p}, v_m) &= \\ \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} [|v_{m+j+1} - v_{m+j}|^2] + |v_m - v_{m+p}|^2 & \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

2.4 THEOREM. *The solution  $u$  of 1.1 satisfies:*

$$\int_0^\infty |u(t+h) - u(t)|^2 dt \leq C h [\|f\|_{S(0,\infty)} + |u_0|] E_h \quad (2.15)$$

We choose  $v = u_m^k$  in (0.8) written at  $n = m + j$  and we sum for  $j = 0$  to  $p - 1$ , obtaining:

$$|u_{m+p}^k - u_m^k|^2 \leq 2k \left( \sum_{j=1}^p f_{m+j}^k - Au_{m+j}^k, u_{m+j}^k - u_m^k \right)$$

Finally, summing up with respect to  $m$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^\infty |u_{m+p}^k - u_m^k|^2 &\leq 2k \sum_{j=1}^p \int_0^\infty (f_k(t+jk) - Au_k(t+jk), u_k(t+jk) - u_k(t)) dt \leq \\ &2k \|f_k - Au_k\|_{S(0,\infty)} \sum_{j=1}^p \|u_k(t+jk) - u_k(t)\|_{I(0,\infty)} \leq \\ &2k \|f_k - Au_k\|_{S(0,\infty)} \sum_{j=1}^p \|u_k(t+jk) - u_k(t)\|_{I(0,\infty)} \leq \\ &2pk \|f_k - Au_k\|_{S(0,\infty)} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq pk} \|u_k(t+\tau) - u_k(t)\|_{I(0,\infty)} \end{aligned}$$

and by the previous lemma:

$$\int_0^\infty |u_k(t+h) - u_k(t)|^2 dt \leq C h \|f_k - Au_k\|_{S(0,\infty)} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq h+k} \|u_k(t+\tau) - u_k(t)\|_{I(0,\infty)}$$

we get the first of the (1.19). Passing to the limit for  $k \rightarrow 0^+$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |u(t+h) - u(t)|^2 dt &\leq C h \|f - Au\|_{S(0,\infty)} \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq h} \|u(t+\tau) - u(t)\|_{I(0,\infty)} \leq \\ &\leq C h [\|f\|_{S(0,\infty)} + |u_0|] E_h \end{aligned}$$

and the last of the (1.19). ■

### 3 Proof of Theorem 2.

The sketch of the proof is the following: first we exploit an important relation satisfied by  $u'$  (see the next Proposition) in order to obtain the strong convergence of  $\hat{u}'_k$  in  $L^2(0, T; H)$ ; then we construct from the sequence  $\{u_n^k\}$  a more regular approximating function  $\tilde{u}_k \in H^2(0, T; H)$  and we evaluate the growth of its norm when  $k$  goes to 0. Finally, we refine the first estimates, to give (1.20) and the related regularity for  $u$ .

3.1 DEFINITION. For every  $w \in \mathbb{K}$ ,  $\mathbb{K}_w$  will denote the closure in  $V$  of the cone:

$$\{v \in V : \exists \lambda > 0, w + \lambda v \in \mathbb{K}\} = \bigcup_{\mu > 0} \mu(\mathbb{K} - w) \quad (3.1)$$

The importance of this concept is showed by the following:

3.2 PROPOSITION ([3]). Assume that (1.16) holds true; then for a.e.  $t \in [0, \infty[$  we have:

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) \in \mathbb{K}_{u(t)} \\ |u'(t)|^2 = (f(t) - Au(t), u'(t)) \\ (u'(t) + Au(t) - f(t), v) \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{K}_{u(t)} \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

3.3 THEOREM. Assuming (1.16),  $\hat{u}'_k$  strongly converges to  $u'$  in  $L^2(0, \infty; H)$ .

We know from Theorem 1.3 that

$$\hat{u}'_k \rightharpoonup^* u' \quad \text{in } I(0, \infty) \subset L^2(0, \infty; H) \quad (3.3)$$

so it remains to prove that <sup>(10)</sup>

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \|\hat{u}'_k\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}^2 \leq \|u'\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}^2 \quad (3.4)$$

The fundamental tool is to write (0.8) in the following continuous form thanks to (2.6):

$$(\hat{u}'_k(t) + Au_k(t) - f_k(t), u_k(t) - v) \leq 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{K}, \quad \text{a.e. in } ]0, +\infty[ \quad (3.5)$$

Choosing  $v = u_k(t - k)$  in this inequality and integrating over  $[0, +\infty[$ , we get:

$$\|\hat{u}'_k\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}^2 \leq \int_0^\infty (f_k(t) - Au_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t)) dt \quad (3.6)$$

By (2.8) and (2.7),  $f_k - Au_k$  tends to  $f - Au$  in  $S(0, \infty)$  when  $k$  goes to 0, and by (3.3), <sup>(2)</sup> and (3.2) we conclude that:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \|\hat{u}'_k\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)} = \int_0^\infty (f(t) - Au(t), u'(t)) dt = \|u'\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)} \quad \blacksquare$$

In order to obtain the stronger (1.20) we must find finer estimates on  $u'$ , by means of the following variant of (3.2):

---

<sup>(10)</sup> For a well known result on the uniformly convex spaces; on the other hand, in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  we can use (2.14).

3.4 NOTATION. Given  $v \in L^2(0, \infty; \mathcal{H})$  we denote by  $[v]_h$  the Steklov averaging of  $v$ :

$$[v]_h(t) = \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} v(\tau) d\tau, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad h > 0 \quad (3.7)$$

3.5 PROPOSITION. Let  $v$  be a function in  $H^1(0, \infty; V)$ , with  $v(t) \in \mathbb{K} \forall t \in [0, +\infty[$  and  $u$  be the solution of Problem 0.1 with (1.16); then for a.e.  $t > 0$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & (u'(t) + Au(t) - f(t), u'(t) - [v']_h(t)) \leq \\ & \leq \frac{1}{h} \left\{ (v'(t) + Av(t) - f(t), v(t) - u(t)) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |u(t) - v(t)|^2 - \alpha \|u - v\|^2 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

*Proof.* Observe that:

$$[v']_h(t) = \frac{v(t+h) - v(t)}{h} = \frac{v(t+h) - u(t)}{h} + \frac{u(t) - v(t)}{h}$$

so that:

$$[v']_h(t) - \frac{u(t) - v(t)}{h} = \frac{v(t+h) - u(t)}{h} \in \mathbb{K}_{u(t)} \quad (3.9)$$

By proposition 3.2, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & (u'(t) + Au(t) - f(t), u'(t) - [v']_h(t)) = \\ & = \left( u'(t) + Au(t) - f(t), u'(t) - \frac{v(t+h) - u(t)}{h} \right) - \\ & \quad \left( u'(t) + Au(t) - f(t), \frac{u(t) - v(t)}{h} \right) \leq \\ & \leq \frac{1}{h} (u'(t) - v'(t) + Au(t) - Av(t), v(t) - u(t)) + \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{h} (v'(t) + Av(t) - f(t), v(t) - u(t)) \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

At this point we are tempted to choose in (3.8)  $h = k$ ,  $v = \hat{u}_k$ ; before doing this substitution, we must study the behaviour of the term  $[\hat{u}_k]_k$ , so we introduce a new approximating function  $\tilde{u}_k(t)$  which satisfies:

$$\tilde{u}'_k(t) = [\hat{u}'_k]_k(t) \quad (3.10)$$

$\tilde{u}_k$  may be constructed by the piecewise quadratic real function  $\psi_2(t)$  with support in  $[-2, 1]$ :

$$\psi_2(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{(t+2)^2}{2} & \text{in } [-2, -1[ \\ 1/2 - t(t+1) & \text{in } [-1, 0[ \\ \frac{(t-1)^2}{2} & \text{in } [0, 1] \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

We use  $\psi_2(t)$  to interpolate the values  $u_n^k$  in  $H^2(0, \infty; H)$  <sup>(11)</sup>

$$\tilde{u}_k(t) = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} u_n^k \psi_2(t/k - n) \quad (3.12)$$

---

<sup>(11)</sup> By the same formula we may represent  $u_k$  and  $\hat{u}_k$  choosing:

$$\psi_0(t) = \chi_{[-1, 0[}(t); \quad \psi_1(t) = (1 - |t|)^+$$

respectively.  $\psi_2$  is constructed in such a way that:

$$\psi'_2(t) = \psi_1(t+1) - \psi_1(t); \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi_2(t) dt = 1$$

The consequences of this formula are simple relations between  $\hat{u}_k$  and  $\tilde{u}_k$ , analogous to the ones of (2.5).

3.6 LEMMA. We have the identities:

$$\tilde{u}_k(t) = \frac{1}{2}u_k(t) + \frac{1}{2}[\ell_k(t)\hat{u}_k(t+k) + (1-\ell_k(t))\hat{u}_k(t)] \quad (3.13)$$

$$\tilde{u}'_k(t) = \frac{\hat{u}_k(t+k) - \hat{u}_k(t)}{k} = \ell_k(t)\hat{u}'_k(t+k) + (1-\ell_k(t))\hat{u}'_k(t) \quad (3.14)$$

$$\tilde{u}_k(t) - \hat{u}_k(t) = \frac{k}{2}[\ell_k(t)\tilde{u}'_k(t) + (1-\ell_k(t))\hat{u}'_k(t)] \quad (3.15)$$

$$\tilde{u}'_k(t) - \hat{u}'_k(t) = k\ell_k(t)\tilde{u}''_k(t) \quad (3.16)$$

*Proof.* Fix  $t$  in  $J_{k,m}$  and consider  $\tilde{u}_k(t)$  given by the sum (3.12): only the three terms relative to  $n = m, m+1, m+2$  are different from 0, so that:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_k(t) &= \\ &= u_m^k \frac{(t/k - m - 1)^2}{2} + u_{m+1}^k [1/2 - (t/k - m - 1)(t/k - m)] + u_{m+2}^k \frac{(t/k - m)^2}{2} = \\ &= \frac{(1-\ell_k)^2}{2}u_k(t-k) + [1/2 + \ell_k(1-\ell_k)]u_k(t) + \frac{\ell_k^2}{2}u_k(t+k) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}u_k(t-k) + \frac{1}{2}[\ell_k(t)\hat{u}_k(t+k) + (1-\ell_k(t))\hat{u}_k(t)] \end{aligned}$$

Taking the derivative and observing that  $\ell_k'(t) = 1/k$  on each  $J_{k,m}$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}'_k(t) &= \frac{\hat{u}_k(t+k) - \hat{u}_k(t)}{2k} + \frac{\ell_k}{2k}[u_k(t+k) - u_k(t)] + \frac{1-\ell_k}{2k}[u_k(t) - u_k(t-k)] = \\ &= \frac{\hat{u}_k(t+k) - \hat{u}_k(t)}{k} \end{aligned}$$

that is formula (3.14).

From (3.13) and (2.5), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_k(t) - \hat{u}_k(t) &= \frac{1}{2}[u_k(t) - \hat{u}_k(t)] + \frac{\ell_k(t)}{2}[\hat{u}_k(t+k) - \hat{u}_k(t)] = \\ &= \frac{k}{2}[(1-\ell_k)\hat{u}'_k(t) + \ell_k\tilde{u}'_k(t)] \end{aligned}$$

and finally:

$$\tilde{u}'_k(t) - \hat{u}'_k(t) = \ell_k(t)\hat{u}'_k(t+k) - \ell_k(t)\hat{u}'_k(t) = k\ell_k(t)\hat{u}''_k(t) \quad \blacksquare$$

3.7 COROLLARY.  $\tilde{u}_k$  weakly\* converges to  $u$  in  $H^1(0, \infty; V) \cap W^{1,\infty}(0, \infty; H)$  and:

$$\|u - \tilde{u}_k\|_{I(0,\infty)} \leq C E_k, \quad k\|\tilde{u}'_k\|_{I(0,\infty)} \leq E_k \quad \blacksquare$$

Thanks to these identities, we give a first bound for the difference:  $\|\tilde{u}'_k\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)}^2 - \|u'\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)}^2$ :

3.8 THEOREM. If (1.16) holds true, we have:

$$\|\tilde{u}'_k\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)}^2 - \|u'\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)}^2 \leq C k [\|f\|_{H^1(0,\infty;V') + BV(0,\infty;H)} + |A\mathcal{P}u_0 - f(0)|] \quad (3.17)$$

*Proof.* Starting from (3.14) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |\tilde{u}'_k(t)|^2 dt &= \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \int_0^\infty |\hat{u}'_k(t+k)|^2 dt + \frac{1}{3} \int_0^\infty |\hat{u}'_k(t)|^2 dt + \frac{1}{3} \int_0^\infty (\hat{u}'_k(t+k), \hat{u}'_k(t)) dt \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty |\hat{u}'_k(t)|^2 dt \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

and combining this formula with (3.6) and (3.2) we have <sup>(12)</sup>:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |\tilde{u}'_k(t)|^2 - |u'(t)|^2 dt &\leq \int_0^\infty |\hat{u}'_k(t)|^2 - |u'(t)|^2 dt \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty (r_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t)) - (r(t), u'(t)) dt = \\ &= \int_0^\infty (r_k(t) - r(t), \hat{u}'_k(t)) dt + \int_0^\infty (r(t), \hat{u}'_k(t) - u'(t)) dt = \\ &= \int_0^\infty (r_k(t) - r(t), \hat{u}'_k(t)) dt - \int_0^\infty (r'(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - u(t)) dt + (r(0), u_0^k - \mathcal{P}u_0) \leq \\ &\leq C k [\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}(1,V') + BV(0,\infty;H)} + |A\mathcal{P}u_0 - f(0)|] \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

In (3.5), we want to substitute the terms  $u_k(t)$  with  $\hat{u}_k(t)$ ; the following lemma gives a bound to the error we make:

3.9 LEMMA. Let  $v \in I(0, \infty)$  with  $v(t) \in \mathbb{K}$  for a.e.  $t \in [0, +\infty[$ . We have:

$$\int_0^\infty (\hat{u}'_k(t) + A\hat{u}_k(t) - f_k(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - v(t)) \leq C E_k^2 + \|\hat{u}_k - v\|_{L^2(0,\infty;V)}^2$$

*Proof.* We split the integrand in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{u}'_k(t) + A\hat{u}_k(t) - f_k(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - v(t)) &\leq \\ &\leq (\hat{u}'_k(t) + Au_k(t) - f_k(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - v(t)) + (A\hat{u}_k(t) - Au_k(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - v(t)) \leq \\ &\leq (\hat{u}'_k(t) + Au_k(t) - f_k(t), u_k(t) - v(t)) + (\hat{u}'_k(t) + Au_k(t) - f_k(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - u_k(t)) + \\ &\quad + (A\hat{u}_k(t) - Au_k(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - v(t)) \end{aligned}$$

The first addendum in the last term is  $\leq 0$  by (3.5); in order to control the remaining ones, we recall that  $\hat{u}_k(t) - u_k(t) = -k(1 - \ell_k)\hat{u}'_k(t)$ , obtaining:

$$(A\hat{u}_k(t) - Au_k(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - v(t)) \leq M k (1 - \ell_k) \|\hat{u}'_k(t)\| \|\hat{u}_k(t) - v(t)\| \leq C E_k^2 + \|\hat{u}_k(t) - v(t)\|^2$$

<sup>(12)</sup> In order to have shorter notations we will denote

$$r_k(t) = f_k(t) - Au_k(t); \quad r(t) = f(t) - Au(t).$$

Remember that  $\|r_k - r\|_{S(0,\infty)} \leq C E_k$ .

and:

$$\int_0^\infty (\hat{u}'_k(t) - r_k(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - v(t)) dt \leq \frac{k}{2} \int_0^\infty (r_k(t) - \hat{u}'_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t)) dt$$

since  $r_k$  and  $\hat{u}'_k$  are piecewise constant. Finally, observe that (3.5) is verified also for  $t \in [-k, 0[$  and in particular we have (see previous note):

$$(r_k(t - k) - \hat{u}'_k(t - k), \hat{u}'_k(t)) \leq 0, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \infty[$$

With easy calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (r_k(t) - \hat{u}'_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t)) dt &\leq \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty (r_k(t) - r_k(t - k) - [\hat{u}'_k(t) - \hat{u}'_k(t - k)], \hat{u}'_k(t)) dt \leq C \frac{E_k^2}{k} \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Now we can conclude our proof:

3.10 THEOREM. Assuming (1.16), the following estimate holds:

$$\|u' - \tilde{u}'_k\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}^2 \leq C k [\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}(1, V') + BV(0, \infty; H)} + |A\mathcal{P}u_0 - f(0)|] \quad (3.19)$$

*Proof.* We use the identity (2.14): thanks to Theorem 3.8 it remains to consider

$$\int_0^\infty (u'(t), u'(t) - \tilde{u}'_k(t)) dt$$

We use the proposition 3.5 via (3.10):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (u'(t) + Au(t) - f(t), u'(t) - \tilde{u}'_k(t)) dt &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} \int_0^\infty (\hat{u}'_k(t) + A\hat{u}_k(t) - f_k(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - u(t)) + (f_k(t) - f(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - u(t)) dt + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2k} |u(0) - \hat{u}_k(0)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

By the preceding lemma we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (u'(t), u'(t) - \tilde{u}'_k(t)) dt &\leq \\ &\leq (r(0), \mathcal{P}u_0 - u_0^k/2 - u_1^k/2) - \int_0^\infty (r'(t), u(t) - \tilde{u}_k(t)) dt + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{k} \int_0^\infty (r_k(t) - r(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - u(t)) dt + C \frac{E_k^2}{k} + \|\hat{u}_k - u\|_{L^2(0, \infty; V)}^2 \leq \\ &\leq C k [\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}(1, V') + BV(0, \infty; H)} + |A\mathcal{P}u_0 - f(0)|] \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

3.11 LEMMA. There exist constants  $C > 0$  such that:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &\sqrt{3} \|\hat{u}'_k - \tilde{u}'_k\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)} \\ &= k \|\tilde{u}''_k\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)} \\ &= \|\hat{u}'_k(t + k) - \hat{u}'_k(t)\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)} \end{aligned} \right\} \leq C \frac{E_k}{\sqrt{k}} \quad (3.20)$$

*Proof.* We write (3.5) at the times  $t$  and  $t+k$ , choosing respectively  $v = u_k(t+k)$  and  $v = u_k(t)$ ; summing up, we get:

$$(\hat{u}'_k(t+k) - \hat{u}'_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t+k)) \leq (f_k(t+k) - f_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t+k)), \quad t \geq 0$$

integrating from 0 to  $+\infty$  we obtain:

$$\int_0^\infty (\hat{u}'_k(t+k) - \hat{u}'_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t+k)) dt \leq \int_0^\infty (f_k(t+k) - f_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t+k)) dt \leq C \frac{E_k^2}{k}$$

Recalling (2.14), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{u}'_k(t+k) - \hat{u}'_k(t)\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)}^2 &= \\ &= \int_0^\infty |\hat{u}'_k(t+k)|^2 - |\hat{u}'_k(t)|^2 dt + 2 \int_0^\infty (\hat{u}'_k(t+k) - \hat{u}'_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t+k)) dt \leq C \frac{E_k^2}{k} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{u}''_k$  is piecewise constant, by (3.16), we have:

$$\int_0^\infty |\tilde{u}'_k(t) - \hat{u}'_k(t)|^2 dt = k^2 \int_0^\infty \ell_k^2(t) |\tilde{u}''_k(t)|^2 dt = \frac{k^2}{3} \int_0^\infty |\tilde{u}''_k(t)|^2 dt$$

that is (3.20). ■

**3.12 COROLLARY.** *The solution  $u$  belongs to  $B_{2\infty}^{3/2}(0, \infty; H)$  and (1.20) holds true.*

*Proof.* (3.19) with (3.20) give immediately this regularity, if we prove the measurability of the family  $\{\tilde{u}_k\}_{k>0}$ . On the other hand, one can directly check that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u'(t+k) - u'(t)\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)} &\leq \\ &\leq 2\|u' - \hat{u}'_k\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)} + \|\hat{u}'_k(t+k) - \hat{u}'_k(t)\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)} \leq \\ &\leq C k [\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}(1,V') + BV(0,\infty;H)} + |APu_0 - f(0)|] \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

**3.13 REMARK.** The whole family  $\{\hat{u}_k\}$  is uniformly bounded in  $B_{2\infty}^2(0, \infty; H)$ . In fact, for  $h > k$  we have:

$$\|\hat{u}'_k(t+h) - \hat{u}'_k(t)\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)} \leq 2\|\hat{u}'_k(t) - u'(t)\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)} + \|u'(t+h) - u'(t)\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)} \leq C h$$

while for  $h \leq k$  the difference  $\hat{u}'_k(t+h) - \hat{u}'_k(t)$  is equal to  $[\hat{u}'_k(t+k) - \hat{u}'_k(t)]\chi_h(t)$  where  $\chi_h$  is the characteristic function of  $\cup_{n \geq 1} [nk - h, nk[$ . So:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |\hat{u}'_k(t+h) - \hat{u}'_k(t)|^2 dt &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{nk-h}^{nk} |\hat{u}'_k(t+k) - \hat{u}'_k(t)|^2 dt = \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{h}{k} \int_{nk-k}^{nk} |\hat{u}'_k(t+k) - \hat{u}'_k(t)|^2 dt = \\ &= \frac{h}{k} \int_0^\infty |\hat{u}'_k(t+k) - \hat{u}'_k(t)|^2 dt \leq C h \end{aligned}$$

since  $\hat{u}'_k(t)$  is constant on each  $J_{k,n-1} \supset [nk - h, nk[$ . ■



#### 4 Proof of Theorem 3.

We denote by  $\mathcal{V}$  the subset of  $H \times S(0, \infty)$  defined by (1.16), and we write:

$$\|\{u_0, f\}\|_{\mathcal{V}} = \|f\|_{H^1(0, \infty; V') + BV(0, \infty; H)} + |A\mathcal{P}u_0 - f(0)| \quad (4.1)$$

A interesting consequence of proposition 3.5 is the following:

4.1 LEMMA. *Suppose that  $u, v \in H^1(0, \infty; V) \cap W^{1, \infty}(0, \infty; H)$  are the solutions of problem 0.1 with respect to the data  $\{u_0, f\}, \{v_0, g\} \in \mathcal{V}$  respectively. Then we have,  $\forall h > 0$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \left( u'(t) - v'(t) + Au(t) - Av(t) - [f(t) - g(t)], u'(t) - v'(t) \right) dt \leq \\ & \leq \frac{|u_0 - v_0|^2}{h} + \frac{1}{h} \int_0^\infty 2 \left( f(t) - g(t), u(t) - v(t) \right) dt + \\ & + \int_0^\infty \left( u'(t) + Au(t) - f(t), [v']_h(t) - v'(t) \right) dt + \\ & + \int_0^\infty \left( v'(t) + Av(t) - g(t), [u']_h(t) - u'(t) \right) dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

*Proof.* Starting from (3.8), we observe that the right hand member can be majorized by:

$$\frac{1}{h} \left\{ \left( g(t) - f(t), v(t) - u(t) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |u(t) - v(t)|^2 \right\}$$

In order to obtain (4.2), we change the role of  $u$  and  $v$ , we integrate from 0 to  $\infty$  and we sum the two inequalities. ■

Recalling that:

$$\frac{d}{dt} [v]_h(t) = [v']_h(t), \quad \forall v \in H^1(0, \infty; \mathcal{H}), h > 0 \quad (4.3)$$

by simple calculations we deduce:

4.2 COROLLARY. *In the same hypothese of previous Lemma,  $u, v$  satisfy,  $\forall h > 0$ :*

$$\|u' - v'\|_{H^2(0, \infty; H)}^2 \leq \begin{cases} \left[ M \|u - v\|_{I(0, \infty)} + \|f - g\|_{S(0, \infty)} \right] \|u' - v'\|_{I(0, \infty)} + \\ \frac{1}{h} \left[ \|u - v\|_{I(0, \infty)} \|f - g\|_{S(0, \infty)} + |u_0 - v_0|^2 \right] + \\ \|f' - Au'\|_{S(0, \infty)} \|v - [v]_h\|_{I(0, \infty)} + \\ \|g' - Av'\|_{S(0, \infty)} \|u - [u]_h\|_{I(0, \infty)} + \\ |A\mathcal{P}u_0 - f(0)| |v(0) - [v]_h(0)| + |A\mathcal{P}v_0 - g(0)| |u(0) - [u]_h(0)| + \\ \int_0^\infty \left( u'(t), [v']_h(t) - v'(t) \right) + \left( v'(t), [u']_h(t) - u'(t) \right) dt \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

We can conclude:

4.3 THEOREM. The operator  $T : \{u_0, f\} \mapsto u$ ,  $\mathcal{V} \mapsto H^1(0, \infty; H)$  is  $1/2$ -Hölder continuous with respect to the  $H \times S(0, \infty)$ -metric on the bounded subset of  $\mathcal{V}$ ; more precisely, with the previous notations, it satisfies:

$$\|u' - v'\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}^2 \leq C \{1 + \|\{u_0, f\}\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 + \|\{v_0, g\}\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2\} \cdot [|u_0 - v_0| + \|f - g\|_{S(0, \infty)}] \quad (4.5)$$

*Proof.* Let us consider (4.4); we observe that:

$$\|u' - v'\|_{I(0, \infty)}, \|f' - Au'\|_{S(0, \infty)}, \|g' - Av'\|_{S(0, \infty)}, |A\mathcal{P}u_0 - f(0)|, |A\mathcal{P}v_0 - g(0)|$$

are bounded by  $\|\{u_0, f\}\|_{\mathcal{V}} + \|\{v_0, g\}\|_{\mathcal{V}}$ ; furthermore, by the theorem 1.3, we have:

$$\|u - v\|_{I(0, \infty)} \leq C [|u_0 - v_0| + \|f - g\|_{S(0, \infty)}]$$

It remains to bound the terms  $\|u - [u]_h\|_{I(0, \infty)}$ ,  $|u(0) - [u]_h(0)|$ ,  $\int_0^\infty (u'(t), [v']_h(t) - v'(t)) dt$  and those which are obtained changing  $u$  with  $v$ .

By well known result on approximation, we have:

$$\|u - [u]_h\|_{I(0, \infty)} \leq h \|u'\|_{I(0, \infty)} \leq C h \|\{u_0, f\}\|_{\mathcal{V}} \quad (4.6)$$

and:

$$|u(0) - [u]_h(0)| \leq \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h |u(t) - u(0)| dt \leq \frac{h}{2} \|u'\|_{L^\infty(0, h; H)} \leq C h \|\{u_0, f\}\|_{\mathcal{V}} \quad (4.7)$$

Finally, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty (u'(t), [v']_h(t) - v'(t)) dt = \\ &= \int_0^\infty (u'(t) - v'(t), [v']_h(t) - v'(t)) + (v'(t), [v']_h(t) - v'(t)) dt \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|u' - v'\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}^2 + \|v' - [v']_h\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}^2 + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \|[v']_h\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}^2 - \|v'\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}^2 - \|v' - [v']_h\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}^2 \right] \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|u' - v'\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|v' - [v']_h\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

since:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |[v']_h(t)|^2 dt &= \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h v'(t + \tau) d\tau \right|^2 dt \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h |v'(t + \tau)|^2 d\tau dt \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h d\tau \int_\tau^\infty |v'(t)|^2 dt \leq \int_0^\infty |v'(t)|^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

The last term in (4.8) can be controlled by the  $B_{2\infty}^{1/2}(0, \infty; H)$ -norm of  $v'$  in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \|v' - [v']_h\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}^2 &\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h |v'(t + \tau) - v'(t)|^2 d\tau dt \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h d\tau \int_0^\infty |v'(t + \tau) - v'(t)|^2 dt \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \|v'\|_{B_{2\infty}^{1/2}(0, \infty; H)}^2 \tau d\tau \leq \frac{h}{2} \|v'\|_{B_{2\infty}^{1/2}(0, \infty; H)}^2 \leq \\ &\leq C h \|\{v_0, g\}\|_{\mathcal{V}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Combining this last result with (4.6), (4.7) and the preceding remarks, we get from (4.4): <sup>(13)</sup>

$$\|u' - v'\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)}^2 \leq C \Delta \left[ a + b + \frac{\Delta}{h} \right] + C ab h + \frac{1}{2} \|u' - v'\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)}^2 + \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2} h$$

Now choosing  $h = \Delta = |u_0 - v_0| + \|f - g\|_{S(0,\infty)}$  we get (4.5). ■

---

<sup>(13)</sup> We set for the sake of semplicity:

$$\Delta = |u_0 - v_0| + \|f - g\|_{S(0,\infty)}, \quad a = \|\{u_0, f\}\|_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad b = \|\{v_0, g\}\|_{\mathcal{V}}$$

## 5 Proof of Theorem 4.

As in the preceding section we suppose  $T = +\infty$ ; we begin with a lemma on the Hilbert transform:

5.1 DEFINITION. Let  $v$  be a function in  $L^2(0, \infty; \mathcal{H})$ ; the Hilbert transform  $Hv$  is the  $L^2(-\infty, \infty; \mathcal{H})$ -limit:

$$Hv(t) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|\tau| \geq \epsilon} \frac{v(t - \tau)}{\tau} d\tau \quad (5.1)$$

This formula defines a linear isometry on  $L^2(0, \infty; \mathcal{H})$  (see [10]).

5.2 LEMMA. Let  $v$  be in  $H^1(-\infty, \infty; H)$ ; then:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{u(t+h) - 2u(t) + u(t-h)}{h^2} dh = -\pi Hv'(t), \quad \text{in } L^2(-\infty, \infty; H)$$

*Proof.* We write the double difference in the integral as:

$$v(t+h) - 2v(t) + v(t-h) = \int_0^h v'(t+\tau) - v'(t-\tau) d\tau$$

Before one passes to the limit in  $\epsilon$ , it is possible to change the order of integration, obtaining:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{dh}{h^2} \int_0^h v'(t+\tau) - v'(t-\tau) d\tau &= \int_0^{\infty} d\tau [v'(t+\tau) - v'(t-\tau)] \int_{\epsilon \vee \tau}^{\infty} \frac{dh}{h^2} = \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} [v'(t+\tau) - v'(t-\tau)] \frac{d\tau}{\tau \vee \epsilon} = \\ &= - \int_{|\tau| \geq \epsilon} \frac{v'(t-\tau)}{\tau} d\tau + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^{\epsilon} v'(t+\tau) - v'(t-\tau) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

When  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the first term tends to  $-\pi Hv'$  by (5.1), while the second tends to 0 in the  $L^2(-\infty, \infty; H)$  norm. ■

Now we can prove the regularity result:

5.3 THEOREM. If (1.26) and (1.25) hold true, the solution  $u$  of problem 1.1 belongs to  $H^{1/2}(0, \infty; V)$  and satisfies:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{dh}{h^2} \int_0^{\infty} \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|^2 dt \leq C [\|f\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}^2 + \|\mathcal{P}u_0\|^2] \quad (5.2)$$

*Proof.* Choosing in (0.3)  $v = u(t+h)$  and integrating in  $t$  on  $[0, +\infty[$  we get:

$$\int_0^{\infty} a(u(t), u(t) - u(t+h)) dt \leq \int_0^{\infty} (f(t) - u'(t), u(t) - u(t+h)) dt, \quad \forall h > 0 \quad (5.3)$$

Being  $a$  a symmetric form, we can apply (2.14):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^h \|u(t)\|^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|^2 dt \leq \\ \int_0^{\infty} (f(t) - u'(t), u(t) - u(t+h)) dt, \quad \forall h > 0 \end{aligned}$$

From the other hand, choosing  $v = u(t - h)$  <sup>(14)</sup> in (0.3) and repeating the same procedures we obtain:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^h \|u(t)\|^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|^2 dt \leq \int_0^\infty (f(t) - u'(t), u(t) - u(t-h)) dt$$

Summing with previous inequality we get:

$$\int_0^\infty \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|^2 dt \leq \int_0^\infty (f(t) - u'(t), 2u(t) - u(t+h) - u(t-h)) dt$$

Dividing by  $h^2$  and integrating with respect to  $h$  from  $\epsilon > 0$  to  $+\infty$  we get:

$$\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{dh}{h^2} \int_0^\infty \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|^2 dt \leq \int_0^\infty \left( f(t) - u'(t), \int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{2u(t) - u(t+h) - u(t-h)}{h^2} dh \right) dt$$

When  $\epsilon$  goes to 0, by previous Lemma the righthand member tends to

$$\pi \int_0^\infty (f(t) - u'(t), Hu'(t)) dt \leq C[\|f\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)}^2 + \|\mathcal{P}u_0\|^2]$$

and we obtain (5.2). ■

5.4 REMARK. By the same calculations, we have:

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^\infty \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|^2 dt \leq C h [\|f\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)}^2 + \|\mathcal{P}u_0\|^2], \\ \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^\infty \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|^2 dt = 0 \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, these facts are consequences of the Semi-Groups and Interpolation Theory (see for instance [6]).

Now we can study the approximation of  $u$  by  $\hat{u}_k$ . Since the initial value  $\mathcal{P}u_0$  belongs to  $\mathbb{K}$ , we can shift the functions  $u_k, \tilde{u}_k, f_k$ , so that  $u_k(0) = u_0^k, \hat{u}_k(0) = \mathcal{P}u_0$  and  $f_k(0) = f_0^k$ ; (3.5) is always verified.

The basic stability estimate can be easily obtained:

5.5 PROPOSITION. Assume (1.26); then:

$$\max \left[ \alpha \|\hat{u}_k\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty;V)}^2, \alpha k \|\hat{u}'_k\|_{L^2(0,\infty;V)}^2 + \|\hat{u}'_k\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)}^2 \right] \leq \|f\|_{L^2(0,\infty;H)}^2 + \|\mathcal{P}u_0\|^2 \quad (5.4)$$

Moreover, the following integral relation holds true:

$$\int_0^T \left[ |\hat{u}'_k(t)|^2 + \frac{k}{2} \|\hat{u}'_k(t)\|^2 \right] dt + \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{u}_k(T)\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{P}u_0\|^2 + \int_0^T (f_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t)) dt \quad (5.5)$$

---

<sup>(14)</sup> We denote with  $u$  again the symmetric extension of  $u$  to the interval  $]-\infty, +\infty[$ .

*Proof.* Choosing  $v = u_k(t - k)$  in (3.5) and integrating from 0 to  $T$  we have:

$$\int_0^T |\hat{u}'_k(t)|^2 + a(\hat{u}_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t)) + a(u_k(t) - \hat{u}_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t)) dt \leq \int_0^T (f_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t)) dt$$

Recalling (2.5) we get:

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\hat{u}_k(T)\|^2 + \int_0^T |\hat{u}'_k(t)|^2 + k(1 - \ell_k(t)) \|\hat{u}'_k(t)\|^2 dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{P}u_0\|^2 + \int_0^T (f_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t)) dt$$

Finally, being  $\|\hat{u}'_k(t)\|^2$  piecewise constant and positive, we get <sup>(15)</sup>:

$$\int_0^T (1 - \ell_k(t)) \|\hat{u}'_k(t)\|^2 dt \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|\hat{u}'_k(t)\|^2 dt$$

and (5.5); (5.4) follows from a simple application of a Schwartz inequality. ■

Repeating the same arguments of Theorem 3.3 we have:

5.6 THEOREM.  $\hat{u}_k$  strongly converges to  $u$  in  $H^1(0, \infty; H)$ .

*Proof.* We cannot use directly (3.2), but we have the integral formula:

$$\int_0^\infty |u'(t)|^2 dt = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{P}u_0\|^2 + \int_0^\infty (f(t), u'(t)) dt \quad (5.6)$$

In the discrete case, we consider (5.5), with  $T = \infty$ : since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \|f_k - f\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)} = 0$ , we obtain:

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\infty |\hat{u}'_k(t)|^2 dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{P}u_0\|^2 + \int_0^\infty (f(t), u'(t)) dt = \int_0^\infty |u'(t)|^2 dt \quad \blacksquare$$

5.7 COROLLARY.

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0} k \|\hat{u}'_k\|_{L^2(0, \infty; V)}^2 = 0 \quad (5.7)$$

In order to prove (1.29), we give a discrete analogue of propositions 3.2 and 3.5, which hold without assuming the validity of (1.25):

5.8 THEOREM. The discrete functions  $u_k, \hat{u}_k$  satisfy:

$$(\hat{u}'_k(t) + Au_k(t) - f_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t) - w) \leq 0, \quad \forall w \in V : \hat{u}_k(t) + kw \in \mathbb{K} \quad (5.8)$$

Moreover, if  $v \in H^1(0, \infty; H) \cap L^2(0, \infty; V)$ , with  $v(t) \in \mathbb{K} \forall t \in [0, \infty[$ , we have,  $\forall h \geq k$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{2dt} \left[ \|\hat{u}_k(t) - [v]_h(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{h} |\hat{u}_k(t) - v(t)|^2 \right] &+ \frac{1}{h} \|\hat{u}_k(t) - v(t)\|^2 \leq \\ &\leq ([v']_h(t) + A[v]_h(t) - f_k(t), [v']_h(t) - \hat{u}'_k(t)) + \\ &+ k(1 - \ell_k(t))a(\hat{u}'_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t) - [v']_h(t)) + \frac{1}{h} (v'(t) + Av(t) - f_k(t), v(t) - \hat{u}_k(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

---

<sup>(15)</sup> In general, if  $g$  is constant on each  $J_{k,n}$  and  $T = Nk$ , we have:

$$\int_0^T (1 - \ell_k(t))g(t) dt = \int_0^T \ell_k(t)g(t) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T g(t) dt$$

*Proof.* For (5.8), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\hat{u}'_k(t) + Au_k(t) - f_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t) - w) = \\
&= \frac{1}{k} (\hat{u}'_k(t) + Au_k(t) - f_k(t), u_k(t) - u_k(t-k) - kw) = \\
&= \frac{1}{k} (\hat{u}'_k(t) + Au_k(t) - f_k(t), \hat{u}_k(t) + u_k(t) - u_k(t-k) - [\hat{u}_k(t) + kw]) = \\
&= \frac{1}{k} (\hat{u}'_k(t) + Au_k(t) - f_k(t), (1 + \ell_k(t))u_k(t) - \ell_k(t)u_k(t-k) - [\hat{u}_k(t) + kw]) = \\
&= \frac{1 + \ell_k}{k} (\hat{u}'_k(t) + Au_k(t) - f_k(t), u_k(t) - \frac{\ell_k}{1 + \ell_k}u_k(t-k) + \frac{1}{1 + \ell_k}[\hat{u}_k(t) + kw]) \leq 0
\end{aligned}$$

since  $\hat{u}_k(t) + kw$  belongs to  $\mathbb{K}$  and

$$\frac{\ell_k(t)}{1 + \ell_k(t)}u_k(t-k) + \frac{1}{1 + \ell_k(t)}[\hat{u}_k(t) + kw]$$

is a convex combination of elements of  $\mathbb{K}$ .

At this point, the proof of (5.9) follows by the same calculations of (3.8); it remains the term:

$$k(1 - \ell_k(t))(A\hat{u}'_k(t), \hat{u}'_k(t) - [v']_k(t)) = (Au_k(t) - A\hat{u}_k(t)\hat{u}'_k(t) - [v']_k(t))$$

since we substituted in the right hand member of (5.8)  $Au_k(t)$  by  $A\hat{u}_k(t)$ . ■

5.9 COROLLARY. Assume that (1.25) and (1.26) hold; we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{h} \left\{ \frac{|v(T) - \hat{u}_k(T)|^2}{2} + \int_0^T \|v(t) - \hat{u}_k(t)\|^2 dt \right\} + \\
& \int_0^T |[v]_h(t) - \hat{u}_k(t)|^2 dt + \|[v]_h(T) - \hat{u}_k(T)\|^2 \leq \\
& \leq \int_0^T \left( [v']_h(t) - f_k(t), [v']_h(t) - \hat{u}'_k(t) \right) + \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \|[v]_h(T)\|^2 - \|\hat{u}_k(T)\|^2 - \|[v]_h(0)\|^2 + \|\mathcal{P}u_0\|^2 \right] + \\
& + \int_0^T a(\hat{u}'_k(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - [v]_h(t)) dt + \frac{1}{2k} |[v]_h(0) - \mathcal{P}u_0|^2 + \\
& + \int_0^T \frac{1}{k} (v'(t) + Av(t) - f_k(t), v(t) - \hat{u}_k(t)) + k\|\hat{u}'_k(t)\|^2 - ka(\hat{u}'_k(t), [v]_h(t)) dt
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Starting from (5.9), we integrate from 0 to  $T$ ; the only term we modify is:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T a([v]_h(t), [v']_h(t) - \hat{u}'_k(t)) dt = \\
& = a([v]_h(T), [v]_h(T) - \hat{u}_k(T)) - a([v]_h(0), [v]_h(0) - \mathcal{P}u_0) + \\
& \quad + \int_0^T a([v']_h(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - [v]_h(t)) dt = \\
& = a([v]_h(T), [v]_h(T) - \hat{u}_k(T)) - a([v]_h(0), [v]_h(0) - \mathcal{P}u_0) + \\
& \quad + \int_0^T a([v']_h(t) - \hat{u}_k(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - [v]_h(t)) dt + \int_0^T a(\hat{u}'_k(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - [v]_h(t)) dt = \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \|\| [v]_h(T) \|^2 - \|\| \hat{u}_k(T) \|^2 - \|\| [v]_h(0) \|^2 + \|\| \hat{u}_k(0) \|^2 \right] + \\
& \quad + \int_0^T a(\hat{u}'_k(t), \hat{u}_k(t) - [v]_h(t)) dt
\end{aligned}$$

5.10 THEOREM. Assume that (1.25) and (1.26) hold; then we have:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \|u - \hat{u}_k\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; V)} = 0 \quad (5.10)$$

and:

$$\|u - \hat{u}_k\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; H) \cap L^2(0, \infty; V)}^2 \leq C k \left[ \|\mathcal{P}u_0\|^2 + \|f\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}^2 \right]; \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{k} \|u - \hat{u}_k\|_{L^\infty(0, \infty; H) \cap L^2(0, \infty; V)}^2 = 0$$

*Proof.* We choose in 5.9  $h = k$ ,  $v = u$  and recall that:

$$\|[u']_k\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)} \leq \|u'\|_{L^2(0, \infty; H)}; \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow 0^+} [u]_k = u \text{ in } L^\infty(0, \infty; V) \cap H^1(0, \infty; H)$$

and:

$$\|u - [u]_k\|_{L^2(0, \infty; V) \cap L^\infty(0, \infty; H)} = o(k^{1/2})$$

$$\|u - [u]_k\|_{L^2(0, \infty; V)} = o(k^{1/2}),$$

References



## 6 References

- [1] C. BAIocchi, *Discretizzazione di problemi parabolici*, to appear in *Ricerche di Matematica*.
- [2] C. BAIocchi, F. BREZZI, *Optimal error estimates for linear parabolic problems under minimal regularity assumptions*, *Calcolo* **20** (1983), 143-176.
- [3] J. BERGH, J. LÖFSTRÖM, *Interpolation Spaces* (1976), Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- [4] G. DAHLQUIST, *A special problem for linear multistep methods*, *BIT* **3** (1963), 27-43.
- [5] J. L. LIONS, E. MAGENES, *Non homogeneous boundary value problems and applications I* (1972), Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- [6] J. L. LIONS, J. PEETRE, *Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation*, *Inst. des Hautes Études Scientifiques Publ. Math.* **19** (1964), 5-68.
- [7] O. NEVANLINNA, F. ODEH, *Multiplier techniques for linear multistep methods*, *Numer. funct. anal. and optimiz.* **3** (1981), 377-423.
- [8] W. RUDIN, *Real and Complex Analysis* (1974), McGraw-Hill, New York.
- [9] G. SAVARÉ, *Discretizzazioni  $A(\Theta)$ -stabili di equazioni differenziali astratte*, to appear in *Calcolo*.
- [10] H. J. STETTER, *Analysis of discretization methods for ordinary differential equations* (1973), Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- [11] F. TOMARELLI, *Weak solutions for an abstract Cauchy problem of parabolic type*, *Annali di Matematica Pura e Applicata IV* **130** (1983), 93-123.
- [12] F. TOMARELLI, *Regularity theorems and optimal error estimates for linear parabolic Cauchy problems*, *Numerische Mathematik* **45** (1984), 23-50.
- [13] O. B. WIDLUND, *A note on unconditionally stable linear multistep methods*, *BIT* **7** (1967), 65-70.