# Mantel Test Script

Grace Saville

01/02/2022

### Preamble

```
library(ade4)
library(geosphere)
getwd()
setwd("C:/Users/airhe/OneDrive/Documents/Masters/Project 3/kiore-project")
```

### Loading the data

```
data <- read.csv("./data/ratsSNPs_clean.csv")
gen.dist <- read.delim("./data/geneticdist_SplitsTree_output.txt", sep = "\t", header = FALSE)
# Make sure the text file is the distances only, remove any extras e.g. column vector at bottom of file</pre>
```

## Creating geographical distance matrix

Using the mapview funtion in the last code chunk I noticed that Tahanea is plotted in Australia, and found out the longitude number is missing a negative sign, and that Reiono and Honuea have the same coordinates even though they're different islands. Fixing that here:

```
x <- grep("Tahanea", data$island.1, value = FALSE) # finding rows of Tahanea
names(data) # finding column number for "geo_long"
data[x, 12]
data[x, 12] <- -144.97 # replacing the number

# the coordinates given for Reiono and Honuea point to an island in French Polynesia called Moorea-Maia
# Reino should be approx. -17.046, -149.546
# Honuea should be approx -17.009, -149.585
# Checking other islands close by:
# Rimatu'u is -17, -149.57 (over the sea) but should be approx -17.03, -149.558

x <- grep("Reiono", data$island.1, value = FALSE)
data[x, c(11, 12)]
data[x, 11] <- -17.046 # replacing geo_lat
data[x, 12] <- -149.546 # replacing geo_long</pre>
```

```
x <- grep("Honuea", data$island.1, value = FALSE)
data[x, c(11, 12)]
data[x, 11] <- -17.009 # replcing geo_lat
data[x, 12] <- -149.585 # replacing geo_long

x <- grep("Rimatuu", data$island.1, value = FALSE)
data[x, c(11, 12)]
data[x, 11] <- -17.03 # replcing geo_lat
data[x, 12] <- -149.558 # replacing geo_long

rm(x)

write.csv(data, "./data/ratsSNPs_clean.csv", row.names = FALSE)</pre>
```

(only need to do the above code once since it saves the edited df to file)

Different distance functions:

- distHaversine() assumes earth is a sphere
- distm() makes distance matrix
- distGeo() assumes earth is elliptical (ish), can choose specific model

```
names(data) # need "geo_lat" "geo_long"
longlat <- data[,c(1,11,12)]
head(longlat) # checking correct columns are used

longlat <- as.matrix(longlat[,c(3,2)]) # distGeo function needs a matrix with 2 columns, col 1 longitud

geo.dist <- distm(longlat, fun = distGeo) # converting to pairwise distance matrix
dim(geo.dist) # 370 370

geo.dist <- as.dist(geo.dist, diag = TRUE, upper = TRUE) # converting to dist object
# diag = TRUE #includes diagonal zeros
# upper = TRUE #includes upper triangle</pre>
```

### Creating genetic distance matrix

```
gen.dist <- as.matrix(gen.dist)
dim(gen.dist) # 370 370
gen.dist <- as.dist(gen.dist, diag = TRUE, upper = TRUE) # converting to dist object</pre>
```

### Mantel test

```
mantel.rtest(gen.dist, geo.dist, nrepet = 999)

Results: Monte-Carlo test
Call: mantelnoneuclid(m1 = m1, m2 = m2, nrepet = nrepet)
```

Observation: 0.4987612

Based on 999 replicates
Simulated p-value: 0.001
Alternative hypothesis: greater

Std.Obs: 23.7703702908 Expectation: -0.0004626768 Variance: 0.0004410815

- -1 suggests strong negative correlation, e.g. closer islands mean further genetically or further islands means closer genetically
- 0 suggests no correlation, e.g. genetic difference is not correlated to island distance
- 1 suggests strong positive correlation e.g. closer islands mean closer genetically

Therefore the observed correlation of 0.4987612 suggests that there is a positive correlation between genetic distance and geographic distance (and the null hypothesis of no correlation is rejected).

#### Results before I fixed the missing negative sign in Tahanea and other location issues:

Monte-Carlo test

Call: mantelnoneuclid(m1 = m1, m2 = m2, nrepet = nrepet)

Observation: 0.4345602
Based on 999 replicates

Simulated p-value: 0.001 Alternative hypothesis: greater

Std.Obs: 2.329675e+01 Expectation: 7.916798e-04 Variance: 3.466772e-04

#### Results from uncleaned dataset:

Monte-Carlo test

Call: mantelnoneuclid(m1 = m1, m2 = m2, nrepet = nrepet)

Observation: 0.2807331 Based on 99 replicates Simulated p-value: 0.01

Alternative hypothesis: greater

Std.Obs: 26.5367570885 Expectation: -0.0002961658 Variance: 0.0001121521

### **Plots**

```
x <- data.frame(as.vector(gen.dist), as.vector(geo.dist))
colnames(x) <- c("Genetic_Distance", "Geographic_Distance")

library(ggplot2)
ggplot(data = x, aes(x = Geographic_Distance, y = Genetic_Distance)) + geom_point(shape = 1, colour = ", tinear smooth regression line and 5/95 quantile lines added</pre>
```

### Experimenting with plotting the coordinates on a map

```
names(data)
longlat <- data[,c(1,8,11,12)]
longlat <- longlat[!duplicated(longlat$island.1),] # keeping only 1 coordinate for each island
longlat <- longlat[,-1]
longlat
library(sf)
x <- st_as_sf(longlat, coords = c("geo_long", "geo_lat"), crs = 4326) # 4326 is WGS84 Coordinate Refer
library(mapview)
mapview(x, grid = FALSE, map.types = "Esri.WorldGrayCanvas", col.regions = c("red", "grey40", "indianred")</pre>
```

For the code above I would need to find an alternative to mapview because there appears to be a bug where the legend colours don't match up to the map point colours