

**ECON 6100**  
**Problem Set 0**

Gabe Sekeres

January 22, 2025

1. **Proof.**<sup>1</sup> Define  $\mathcal{H} = \{H : C \subseteq H, H \text{ is a closed half-space}\}$ . We want to show that  $C = \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$ . We will do so with double set containment.

First,  $C \subseteq \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$  simply – for any  $c \in C$ , since  $C \subseteq H \forall H \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $c \in H \forall H \in \mathcal{H}$ , so  $c \in \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$ . Thus,  $C \subseteq \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$ .

Next,  $\bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H \subseteq C$ . We will show by contrapositive. Take some  $x \notin C$ . Then since singleton sets are closed and convex, since  $C$  is closed and convex by assumption, and since  $\{x\} \cap C = \emptyset$ , we have that the Strong Separating Hyperplane Theorem applies, meaning that there exists a hyperplane  $P \neq 0$  which strongly separates  $\{x\}$  and  $C$ . Since this is strong separation,  $x \notin P$ , meaning that the (weak) halfspace generated by  $P$  that contains  $C$  does not contain  $x$ . Since  $P \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have that  $x \notin \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$ .

Since we have that  $C \subseteq \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$  and  $\bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H \subseteq C$ , we have that  $C = \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$ .  $\square$

2. We have the concave support function of  $C$ ,  $e_C(p) = \inf\{p \cdot x : x \in C\}$ .

- (a) **Proof.** Fix some  $p, p' \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and some  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , and define  $p'' = \alpha p + (1 - \alpha)p'$ . If either  $e_C(p)$  or  $e_C(p')$  are equal to  $-\infty$ , then trivially  $e_C(p'') \geq -\infty = \alpha e_C(p) + (1 - \alpha)e_C(p')$ . If either  $e_C(p)$  or  $e_C(p')$  are equal to  $\infty$ , then  $C = \emptyset$  and so  $e_C(p'') = \infty \geq \alpha e_C(p) + (1 - \alpha)e_C(p')$ . From here, assume that  $e_C(p), e_C(p')$  are finite. Define  $x, x', x'' \in C$  where  $p \cdot x = e_C(p)$ ,  $p' \cdot x' = e_C(p')$ , and  $p'' \cdot x'' = e_C(p'')$ . Existence follows from closed and a finite infimum, meaning that extrema are attained. (Uniqueness isn't necessarily true, but not necessary here). We have that

$$e_C(p'') = p'' \cdot x'' = \alpha p \cdot x'' + (1 - \alpha)p' \cdot x'' \geq \alpha p \cdot x + (1 - \alpha)p' \cdot x' = \alpha e_C(p) + (1 - \alpha)e_C(p')$$

where the inequality follows from the attained infimum. Thus,  $e_C(\cdot)$  is concave.  $\square$

- (b) **Proof.** Fix some  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ . If  $e_C(p) = \infty$ , then  $C = \emptyset$  so  $e_C(\lambda p) = \infty \equiv \lambda \infty$ . If  $e_C(p) = -\infty$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\} \in C$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p \cdot x_n = -\infty$ , so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda p \cdot x_n = \lambda \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p \cdot x_n = \lambda \cdot (-\infty)$ . From here, assume that  $e_C(p)$  is finite. Then since  $C$  is closed, there exists  $x \in C$  such that  $p \cdot x = e_C(p)$ . It follows directly that  $e_C(\lambda p) \leq \lambda p \cdot x = \lambda e_C(p)$ . It remains to show that  $\lambda e_C(p) \leq e_C(\lambda p)$ . FSOC, assume that there exists  $x' \in C$  such that  $\lambda p \cdot x' < \lambda e_C(p)$ . Then we would have that  $p \cdot x' < p \cdot x$ , contradicting the definition of  $e_C(p)$  as the minimum. Thus,  $e_C(\lambda p) = \lambda e_C(p)$ .  $\square$

- (c) If  $e_C(p) = -\infty$ , then  $C$  is unbounded in at least one dimension  $i$ , specifically in the opposite direction of  $p_i$ , where  $p_i$  is nonzero. In this dimension, there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\} \in C$  such that the  $i$ th coordinate of  $x$  diverges, so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p \cdot x_n = -\infty$ .

- (d) **Proof.** First, assume that the halfspace  $[p \geq \alpha] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  contains  $C$ . Then for all  $x \in C$ ,  $p \cdot x \geq \alpha$ , meaning that  $\alpha \leq \inf\{p \cdot x : x \in C\} = e_C(p)$ . Next, assume that  $\alpha \leq e_C(p)$ . Then either  $e_C(p) = \infty$ , meaning that  $C$  is empty and contained in any nonempty set, including the halfspace, or  $e_C(p)$  is finite, so there exists  $x \in C$  such that  $p \cdot x = e_C(p)$ . Since  $\alpha \leq p \cdot x$ , from the definition of extrema  $\alpha \leq p \cdot y \forall y \in C$ , so  $C \subseteq [p \geq \alpha]$ .  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>Partially from Patrick, who had a really nice proof in the solutions to the 6170 final. His second part is way cleaner than mine was, I ended up proving strong and strict separation, for no real reason.

3. **Proof.** Assume that  $f$  is concave. Take some  $(x, y)$  and  $(x', y')$  such that  $y \leq f(x)$  and  $y' \leq f(x')$ , and fix  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Then we have that since  $f$  is concave,

$$\alpha y + (1 - \alpha)y' \leq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(x') \leq f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)x')$$

so  $\alpha(x, y) + (1 - \alpha)(x', y')$  is in the subgraph of  $f$ , and it is convex. Next, assume that the subgraph of  $f$  is convex. Take some  $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and fix  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Set  $y = f(x)$  and  $y' = f(x')$ . Since  $y \leq f(x)$  and  $y' \leq f(x')$ ,  $(x, y)$  and  $(x', y')$  are both in the subgraph. Then we have that since the subgraph is convex,  $\alpha y + (1 - \alpha)y' \leq f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)x')$ , meaning that  $f(\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)x') \geq \alpha f(x) + (1 - \alpha)f(x')$ , so  $f$  is concave.  $\square$

4. Two simple examples:  $X = [0, 1] = Y$ , which are both closed and convex and cannot be separated as they are the same set. Or,  $X$  is nonempty closed and convex and  $Y = \emptyset$ , which is vacuously closed and convex. These cannot be separated since each hyperplane (again, vacuously) contains  $Y$ .
5. **Proof.** If  $yA \ll 0$ , then  $yAx < 0$  for any  $x > 0$ , meaning that  $Ax \neq 0$  for any  $x > 0$ . On the other side, if  $Ax = 0$  for some  $x > 0$ , taking some element  $i$  of  $x$  where  $x_i > 0$ , we have that the  $i$ th column of  $A$  must necessarily be 0, for complimentary slackness. Thus, the  $i$ th element of  $yA$  must be 0 for any  $y$ , so  $yA \ll 0$  has no solutions. Thus, the two results are mutually exclusive.  $\square$