



Office hours next week will be moved to :

Thursday (2/20) 12pm - 2pm

Agenda

- Review of IV
 - Binary IV
- Useful Things to Know
 - Dimensions
 - Identification
- Deriving IV from GMM
- Deriving IV from TSLS
- IV Asymptotics

Review of IV

Consider a linear model

$$Y = X'\beta + \epsilon \quad (\text{structural equation})$$

(structural param)

if β is the parameter of interest and $E[X\epsilon] \neq 0$

\Rightarrow there is endogeneity in the model

We can still perform a linear projection

$$Y = X'\beta^* + \underbrace{\epsilon^*}_{\text{projection error}}$$

where our projection coefficient is $\beta^* = E[XX']^{-1}E[XY]$
and $E[X\epsilon^*] = 0$ by construction.

However, the projection coefficient \neq structural parameter
 β^*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^* &= (E[XX'])^{-1} E[XY] \\ &= (E[XX'])^{-1} E[X(X'\beta + \epsilon)] \\ &= \beta + (E[XX'])^{-1} \underbrace{E[X\epsilon]}_{\neq 0} \neq \beta \quad (\text{structural parameter}) \\ &\quad \text{under structural model} \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow Hence, OLS estimator is inconsistent for the structural parameter

$$\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{P} (E[XX'])^{-1} E[XY] = \beta^* \neq \beta$$

For simplicity, consider the simple linear regression

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon$$

where we cannot assert $E[X\epsilon] = 0$

Now suppose we observe RV Z w/ the following properties:

$$\text{cov}(Z, X) \neq 0 \quad (\text{relevance})$$

$$\text{cov}(Z, \epsilon) = 0 \quad (\text{validity})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \beta_{2IV} &= \frac{\text{cov}(Z, Y)}{\text{cov}(Z, X)} = \frac{\text{cov}(Z, \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon)}{\text{cov}(Z, X)} \\ &= \frac{\beta_1 \text{cov}(Z, X) + \text{cov}(Z, \epsilon)}{\text{cov}(Z, X)} \stackrel{0}{=} \beta_1 \end{aligned}$$

Binary IVs

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon$$

where X is now a binary variable

If Z is a binary instrument for X , then

$$\beta_{1IV} = \frac{\text{Cov}(Z, Y)}{\text{Cov}(Z, X)} = \frac{E[Y|Z=1] - E[Y|Z=0]}{E[X|Z=1] - E[X|Z=0]}$$

Proof $\text{Cov}(Z, Y) = E[ZY] - E[Z]E[Y]$

Ⓐ Ⓑ Ⓒ

Ⓐ $E[ZY] = E[E[ZY|Z]]$

$$= P(Z=1) E[ZY|Z=1] + P(Z=0) E[ZY|Z=0]$$

$$= P(Z=1) E[Y|Z=1]$$

Ⓑ $E[Z] = 1 \cdot P(Z=1) + 0 \cdot P(Z=0)$
 $= P(Z=1)$

$$\textcircled{c} \quad E[Y] = E[E[Y|Z]] \\ = P(Z=1)E[Y|Z=1] + P(Z=0)E[Y|Z=0]$$

$$\text{Then } \text{Cov}(Z, Y) = P(Z=1)E[Y|Z=1]$$

$$- P(Z=1)[P(Z=1)E[Y|Z=1] + P(Z=0)E[Y|Z=0]]$$

$$= P(Z=1) \left[E[Y|Z=1] \underbrace{\left(1 - P(Z=1) \right)}_{P(Z=0)} - P(Z=0)E[Y|Z=0] \right]$$

$$= P(Z=1)P(Z=0) \left[E[Y|Z=1] - E[Y|Z=0] \right]$$

If we repeat the above steps for $\text{Cov}(Z, X)$ and replace "Y" with "Z":

$$\text{Cov}(Z, X) = P(Z=1)P(Z=0) \left(E[X|Z=1] - E[X|Z=0] \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_{IIV} = \frac{\text{Cov}(Z, Y)}{\text{Cov}(Z, X)} = \frac{P(Z=1)P(Z=0) \left(E[Y|Z=1] - E[Y|Z=0] \right)}{P(Z=1)P(Z=0) \left(E[X|Z=1] - E[X|Z=0] \right)}$$

$$= \frac{\left(E[Y|Z=1] - E[Y|Z=0] \right)}{\left(E[X|Z=1] - E[X|Z=0] \right)}$$

How can we think of binary β_{IIV} ?

Suppose

$$\text{Earnings} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot (\text{Private School}) + \epsilon$$

↳ use $Z = \text{lottery to attend}$

$$Z = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{won lottery} \\ 0 & \text{lost lottery} \end{cases}$$

↳ can assume lottery is random

$$\beta_{IIV} = \frac{(E[Y|Z=1] - E[Y|Z=0])}{(E[X|Z=1] - E[X|Z=0])} \quad (\text{Wald Estimator})$$

Difference in earnings for those
who won vs lost lottery

=

Difference in probability
of attending private school
between winners and losers

⇒ can be interpreted as LATE
(ie: only for compliers)

Useful Things to Know

- Dimensions

For the model

$$Y = X'\beta + e$$

with assumptions $E[Ze] = 0$ & $\text{rank}(EZX) = k$

vectors $\begin{cases} - Z : (l \times 1) \\ - X : (k \times 1) \end{cases}$ where $l = \# \text{ instruments}$
 $k = \# \text{ regressors}$

- Identification

- Just-identified ($l=k$)

↳ our assumption when dealing w/ IV

- Overidentified

↳ often dealt with in TSLS & GMM

Deriving IV as GMM Estimator

- Setup moment conditions

$$E[z\epsilon] = E[z(y - x'\beta)] = 0 \quad (\text{by validity assumption})$$

- Solve for $\hat{\beta}_{IV}$

$$E[zy] - E[zx'\beta] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_{IV} = (E_n[zx'])^{-1} (E_n[zy])$$

Note: This is only possible because $l = k$, so
 zx' is square

Deriving IV from TSLS

(Hansen p355)

- What is TSLS?

Exploiting variations in X due to Z !
1) Regress X on Z to obtain the fitted \hat{X}

$$X = Z\beta + u \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{\beta} = (Z'Z)^{-1}(Z'X)$$

2) Regress Y on \hat{X}

$$Y = \tilde{\beta}\hat{X}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Note: } \hat{X} &= Z\hat{\beta} \\ &= Z(Z'Z)^{-1}(Z'X) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta} &= (\hat{X}'\hat{X})^{-1}\hat{X}'Y \\ &= ((Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'X)'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'X)^{-1}(Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'X)'Y \\ &= (X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'X)^{-1}X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'Y \\ &= (X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'X)^{-1}X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'Y \\ &= (Z'X)^{-1}Z'Z(X'Z)^{-1}X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'Y \\ &= (Z'X)^{-1}Z'Y = \hat{\beta}_{IV}. \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow Hence, we've shown that IV is a special case of TSLS when $l=k$!

Note: In TSLS, it is possible to have $l \geq k$!

IV Asymptotics

1) IV estimator is consistent

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\beta}_{IV} &= (\mathbb{E}_n[zx'])^{-1} \mathbb{E}_n[zy] \\
 &= (\mathbb{E}_n[z'x'])^{-1} \mathbb{E}_n[z(x'\beta + \epsilon)] \\
 &= (\mathbb{E}_n[z'x'])^{-1} \mathbb{E}_n[zx'\beta] + (\mathbb{E}_n[z'x'])^{-1} \mathbb{E}_n[ze] \\
 &= \beta + \underbrace{(\mathbb{E}_n[z'x'])^{-1} \mathbb{E}_n[ze]}_{\xrightarrow{P} 0}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_{IV} \xrightarrow{P} \beta$$

2) Cannot claim IV is unbiased, even if we assume $E[\epsilon|z] = 0$. Why?

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[\hat{\beta}_{IV}|z] &= E[\beta + (\mathbb{E}_n[zx'])^{-1} \mathbb{E}_n[ze]|z] \\
 &= \beta + E[\underbrace{(\mathbb{E}_n[zx'])^{-1} \mathbb{E}_n[ze]}_{\neq 0 \text{ bc } X, \epsilon \text{ correlated}}|z] \\
 &\Rightarrow \text{can't pass through } E
 \end{aligned}$$

3) Asymptotics of Simple IV

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\beta}_{1IV} - \beta_1) = \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{\sum (z_i - \bar{z})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (z_i - \bar{z})(x_i - \bar{x})} - \beta_1 \right)$$

