ECON6190 Section 11

Nov. 8, 2024

Yimei Sun

• If T follows a binomial distribution with parameter n (the total number of iid Bernoulli trials) and $\mu \in (0,1)$ (probability of "successes" in each Bernoulli trial), then:

$$\begin{split} Var(T) &= n\mu(1-\mu), \\ E(T) &= n\mu, \\ E\left[\left(\frac{T-E(T)}{\sqrt{Var(T)}}\right)^4\right] &= \frac{1-6\mu(1-\mu)}{n\mu(1-\mu)}. \end{split}$$

- 1. **[60 pts]** Let X be a Bernoulli random variable (that is, $X \in \{0,1\}$, with $Pr\{X=1\} = \mu \in (0,1)$). We draw a random sample $\{X_1, X_2, \dots X_n\}$ from X and construct a sample mean statistic $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$.
 - (a) [20 pts] State and prove Markov inequality.

For each
$$r > 0$$
, assume $E(|x|^r) < \infty$, $P(|x| > \delta) \le \frac{E[|x|^r]}{\delta r}$, $\forall \delta > 0$.

Proof. $P(|x| > \delta) = E[\mathbb{1}\{|x| > \delta\}]$
 $\subseteq E[\mathbb{1}\{|x| > \delta\}] = E[\mathbb{1}\{|x| > \delta\}]$

(b) [10 pts] Fix $\delta > 0$. Find an upper bound of $Pr\{|\bar{X} - \mu| > \delta\}$ by using Markov inequality with r = 2.

$$P(|\bar{X}-M|>\delta) \leq \frac{E[(\bar{X}-M)^{2}]}{\delta^{2}} = \frac{M(1-M)}{N\delta^{2}}, \text{ for } \delta \neq 0.$$

$$E[(\bar{X}-M)^{2}] = (\text{bias } (\bar{X}))^{2} + \text{var } (\bar{X})$$

$$= O + \text{var } (\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}X_{i})$$

$$= \frac{1}{N^{2}} \text{var } (\sum_{i=1}^{N}X_{i}) = \frac{M(1-M)}{N}$$

$$= \frac{M(1-M)}{N}$$

(c) [10 pts] Repeat the exercise (b) but with r = 4.

$$P(|\bar{x}-M| > S) \leq \frac{E[(\bar{x}-M)^4]}{S^4} = \frac{M(1-M)(1-M)(1-M)}{n^3 S^4}, S_{70}.$$

$$Notice: T = n\bar{x}, E[T] = nM, Var(T) = nM(1-M)$$

$$E[(\bar{x}-M)^4] = E[(\frac{T}{n} - \frac{E[T]}{n})^4]$$

$$= E[(\frac{T-E[T]}{N} - \frac{Var(T)}{n})^4]$$

$$= \frac{(Var(T))^2}{N^4} \cdot \frac{1-6n(1-M)}{nM(1-M)}$$

$$= \frac{m^2M^2(1-M)^2}{N^{43}} \cdot \frac{1-6n(1-M)}{MM(1-M)}$$

$$= \frac{M(1-M)(1-6M(1-M))}{n^3}$$

(d) [20 pts] Markov inequality applies to any distribution with finite moments. Since X in this case is bounded, we can also apply the so-called Hoeffding's inequality to get a different bound:

$$Pr\left\{\left|\bar{X} - \mu\right| > \delta\right\} \le 2\exp\left(-2\delta^2 n\right).$$
 (1)

Given (1), What is the prediction of the tail probability $Pr\{|\bar{X} - \mu| > \delta\}$ when $\delta = 0.1$ and sample size n = 100? What is the prediction of the same tail probability if you use Markov inequality with r = 2? Which one gives you a better (i.e., tighter) bound? (You may take that $\exp(-2) = 0.14$)

Hoeffding inequality:
$$P(1\bar{x}-u)>\delta$$
) $\leq 2 \exp(-2 \cdot (0.1)^2 \cdot 100)$

$$= 2 \exp(-2)$$

$$= 0.28$$

$$= 0.28$$
Markov $r=2$: $P(1\bar{x}-u)>\delta$) $\leq \frac{u(1-u)}{100(0.1)^2}$
Since $u \in (0.1)$, $u(1-u) \in (0,0.26]$.

So Markov always give a tighter bound.

- 2. **[40 pts]** Let $\{X_1 \dots X_n\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d random variables with mean μ and and variance σ^2 . Let $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$.
- (a) [10 pts] If $\mu \neq 0$, how you would approximate the distribution of $(\bar{X}_n)^3$ (after suitable normalization) in large samples as $n \to \infty$?
- (b) [10 pts] If $\mu \neq 0$, derive the sharpest possible stochastic order of magnitude for $(\bar{X}_n)^3$.

(a) see section 9 for detailed notes

By CUT,
$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{x}-\mu) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$$

By delta method $\sqrt{n} \left((\bar{X})^3 - (\mu)^3 \right) \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N} \left(0, (3\mu^2)^2 \sigma^2 \right)$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{n}\left((\bar{x})^3 - \mu^2\right) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} \sqrt{(0, 9\mu^4\sigma^2)}$$

(b)
$$\sqrt{n}((\bar{x})^3 - \mu^3) = O_P(1)$$

 $(\bar{x})^3 - \mu^3 = O_P(\bar{x}_0)$

$$(\bar{\chi})^3 = O_P(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}) + O_P(1) = O_P(\max\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}},1\}) = O_P(1)$$
.

Or alternatively,
$$\bar{X} - \mu = O_P(\bar{x}_n)$$
 by $CLT / \hat{\theta} - \theta = O_P(\bar{x}_n)$
 $\bar{X} = O_P(\bar{x}_n) + O_P(1) = O_P(1)$
 $(\bar{X})^3 = O_P(1) O_P(1) O_P(1) = O_P(1)$.

Is
$$(\bar{x})^3$$
 unbiased? $E[(\bar{x})^3] \stackrel{?}{=} u^3$, No! blc $f(x) = x^3$ is not linear $= E[(\frac{1}{h_{E}^2}x_i)^3] + E[\frac{1}{h_{E}^2}(x_i^3)]$ \rightarrow need to apply Jensen's inequality.

- (c) [10 pts] If $\mu = 0$, how would you approximate the distribution of $(\bar{X}_n)^3$ (after suitable normalization) in large samples as $n \to \infty$?
- (d) [10 pts] If $\mu = 0$, derive the sharpest possible stochastic order of magnitude for $(\bar{X}_n)^3$.

(c) By cut,
$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{x}-0) \stackrel{d}{\to} w(0,0^2) \Rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \bar{x} \stackrel{d}{\to} w(0,1)$$

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \bar{x}\right)^3 \stackrel{d}{\to} \left(w(0,1)\right)^3$$
or $\left(\sqrt{n}(\bar{x})\right)^3 \stackrel{d}{\to} \left(w(0,\sigma^2)\right)^3$.

(d)
$$n^{\frac{3}{2}}(\bar{\chi})^3 = O_P(1)$$

 $\Rightarrow (\bar{\chi})^3 = O_P(n^{-\frac{3}{2}})$
or alternatively, $\bar{\chi} - \mu = O_P(\bar{\chi}_n)$
 $\bar{\chi} = O_P(\bar{\chi}_n)$
 $(\bar{\chi})^3 = O_P(\bar{\chi}_n) \cdot O_P(\bar{\chi}_n) \cdot O_P(\bar{\chi}_n) = O_P(\bar{\chi}_n^3)$