# STAT547C: Topics in Probability

Fall 2019-20

# Assignment 1

 $Giorgio\ Viancha\ Sgarbi$ 

giorgio.sgarbi@stat.ubc.ca

# 1 PARTITION GENERATED SIGMA ALGEBRA

(1a)

Let K be the set of all possible countable unions of A, B and C, i.e:

$$\mathcal{K} = \{A, B, C, A \cup B, A \cup C, B \cup C, A \cup B \cup C, \emptyset\}$$

I will show  $\mathcal{K} \subset \sigma \mathcal{D}, \sigma \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{K}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{K} = \sigma \mathcal{D}$ .

 $\mathcal{K}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on E:

 $E \in \mathcal{K}$ :

 $E = A \cup B \cup C \in \mathcal{K}$  since D is a partition of E.

 $\mathcal{K}$  is closed under union:

By construction, K closed under union (finite/countable union)

 $\mathcal{K}$  is closed under complement:

Since  $E = A \cup B \cup C$  and  $\emptyset = A \cap B = A \cap C = B \cap C$ :

 $E \setminus A = B \cup C$ 

 $E \setminus B = A \cup C$ 

 $E \setminus C = A \cup B$ 

 $E \setminus A \cup B \cup C = \emptyset$ 

 $D \subset \mathcal{K}$ :

 $A, B, C \in \mathcal{K}$ 

 $\sigma D \subset \mathcal{K}$ :

 $\mathcal{K}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on E that contains D, so  $\sigma D \subset K$ 

 $\mathcal{K} \subset \sigma \mathcal{D}$ :

 $A, B, C \in \sigma D$  by definition of  $\sigma D$ .

 $A \cup B, A \cup C, B \cup C, A \cup B \cup C \in sD$  because  $\sigma D$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on E and, thus, needs to be closed under countable unions. Therefore, all elements of  $\mathcal{K}$  are elements of  $\sigma D$ .

#### Hence, the list of elements in $\sigma \mathcal{D}$ are:

 $\{A, B, C, A \cup B, A \cup C, B \cup C, A \cup B \cup C, \emptyset\}$ , where  $E = A \cup B \cup C$ 

(1b)

Notation:  $C = \mathcal{C}$ 

C is a countable partition of E. Let S be the collection of all sets that are countable unions of elements in C  $(C_i)$ 's,  $\varnothing$  included).

Will show  $S = \sigma \mathcal{C}$ 

#### Proof template:

- S is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on E .
- $\sigma C \subset S$
- $S \subset \sigma C$

Lemma: The union of a countable collection of countable sets is countable.

 $E \in S$ :

 $C = \{C_1, C_2, ...\}$  is a countable partition of  $E \Rightarrow E = \bigcup C_i \in S$ 

S is closed under countable unions:

The union of a countable collection of countable sets is countable and S is the collection of all sets that are countable unions of elements from C. So any countable union of elements in S will again be a countable union of elements from C, i.e, an element of S.

S is closed under complement:

Any A from S can be written as a countable union of a collection of elements in  $C^* \subset C_i$ 's.  $A^c$  is then the union of the  $C_i$ 's not in  $C^*$  because C is a partition of E. Therefore, by our lemma,  $A^c$  is also a countable union of elements from C and hence is also in S.

 $\sigma C \subset S$ 

 $\sigma \mathcal{C}$  is the intersection of all  $\sigma$ -algebra on E containing C. S is one of those  $\sigma$ -algebra on E, so  $\sigma \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}$ 

 $S \subset \sigma \mathcal{C}$ :

By definition,  $\sigma \mathcal{C}$  needs to be closed under countable union, so it has to contain C and own  $(\exists)$  all possible countable unions of elements taken from C. So, by the definition of S,  $S \subset \sigma \mathcal{C}$ .

$$\sigma\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S} \land \mathcal{S} \subset \sigma\mathcal{C} \iff \mathcal{S} = \sigma\mathcal{C}$$

(1c)

 $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is generated by, for example, the collection of sets of the form  $(q, \infty)$ , where  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Since that collection has the same cardinality of Q and Q is countable,  $\mathcal{B}(R)$  is countably generated.

#### **SOLUTION:**

(1d)

This proof will use the following:

Prop. 2.3 from book with  $B_0$  as the collection of sets of the form  $(q, \infty)$ . We know, from the previous question, that  $B_0$  generates  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .

 $(E,\mathcal{E}),(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ 

 $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$ 

 $E = \bigcup_n C_n$ 

 $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset \ \forall i, j \ge 1 \land i \ne j$ 

f is constant on each member of the partition  $\implies f$  is  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable:

Assumption:

 $\forall i \in 1, 2, \dots$ :

 $f(x) = k_i \in \mathbb{R} \ \forall x \in C_i$ 

Now, for each set B of the form  $(q, \infty)$ :  $f^{-1}B = \{x \in E : f(x) \in B\} = \{x \in E : f(x) > q\} = \bigcup C_j : k_j > q \ \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$ 

I can write  $f^{-1}B$  in the way above because C is a partition of E.

Furthermore, since E is the collection of countable unions of elements taken from C (with  $\varnothing$  of course), we have that:  $f^{-1}B \in \mathcal{E} \ \forall B \in B_0$ 

#### f is $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable $\implies f$ is constant on each member of the partition:

Will prove the counter positive:

If f is **NOT** constant on at least one member of the partition, then f is **NOT**  $\mathcal{E}$  – measurable.

Assume f is not constant on at least one member  $C_d$  in C. This means:  $\exists x_1, x_2 \in C_k : f(x_2) < f(x_1)$ .

Then take  $B = (q, \infty)$  with  $f(x_2) < q < f(x_1)$ .

Using the fact that C is a partition of E, we can write  $f^{-1}B = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup ... \cup S_2...$ , where:  $S_2 = \{x \in C_d : f(x) \in B\}$ .

However,  $S_2$  is a proper subset of  $C_d$  as its elements are taken from  $C_d$  and it can't be the whole  $C_d$  since  $x_2 \in C_d$ , but  $x_2 \notin S_2$ .

Since C is a partition of E and  $S_2$  is a **proper** subset of  $C_d$ ,  $f^{-1}B$  will only be a member of  $\mathcal{E}$ , i.e a countable union of elements taken from C, if  $S_2 = \emptyset$ , but  $x_1 \in S_2$ , so  $f^{-1}B \notin \mathcal{E}$ . Therefore, f is **NOT**  $\mathcal{E}$  – measurable. Since we've proved the counter positive, we have:

f is  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable  $\implies$  f is constant on each member of the partition

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This rational q exists because  $f(x_1)$  and  $f(x_2)$  are real numbers and Q is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , but I won't say q can be written as the average between those two values as said average may not be rational.

2 Towards conditioning and sufficiency

(2a)

For each  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , in order for X to be a random variable, we need  $X^{-1}A \in \mathcal{H}$ .

What must be true of the relationship between  $\mathcal C$  and  $\mathcal H?$   $\mathcal C\subset\mathcal H$ 

What must be true of X on each member of  $\mathcal{C}$ ? X needs to be constant on each element of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

(2b)

$$\mu_n(A) = P(A), A \in \mathcal{C}_n$$

# Show that $\mu_n$ is a measure:

Since P is a probability measure,  $\mu_n : \mathcal{C}_n \to [0,1] \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ 

$$\mu_n(\varnothing) = P(\varnothing) = 0$$
 since P is a measure.

Let  $\{A_n\} \subset C_n$  be a disjoint collection. Using that P is a measure:  $\mu(\bigcup_n A_n) = \mathbb{P}(\bigcup_n A_n) = \sum_n P(A_n) = \sum_n \mu(A_n)$ 

$$\mu(\bigcup_n A_n) = \mathbb{P}(\bigcup_n A_n) = \sum_n P(A_n) = \sum_n \mu(A_n)$$

Hence,  $\mu_n$  is a measure.

#### Not necessarily a probability measure:

There's no guaranteed that  $\mu_n(C_n) = 1$  so far. For instance, let  $C = \{C_1, C_2\}, n = 1, \mu_1(C_1) = 0.6, \mu_1(C_2) = 0.6$ 0.4 Since  $\mu_1(C_1) \neq 1$ ,  $\mu_1$  is not a probability measure on  $(C_1, \mathcal{C}_1)$ .

For  $\mu_n$  to be a probability measure on  $(C_n, \mathcal{C}_n)$ , we need  $\mu_n(C_n) = 1$ , i.e,  $P(C_n) = 1$ .

(2c)

Define a probability measure  $P_n$  on  $(C_n, \mathcal{C}_n)$ .

Let  $P_n(A) = \frac{\mu_n(A)}{\mu_n(C_n)}$ . Now we have all the requirements for a **probability measure** as long as this is well defined, i.e,  $\mu_n(C_n) \neq 0$ 

(Ie., we have the requirements for a measure, but now we also have:  $P_n(C_n) = \frac{\mu_n(C_n)}{\mu_n(C_n)} = 1$ )

(2d)

$$\hat{P}_n(A) = P_n(A \cap C)$$
 for  $A \in \mathcal{H} = \frac{\mu_n(A \cap C_n)}{\mu_n(C_n)} = \frac{P(A \cap C_n)}{P(C_n)}$ 

In an undergrad course, this would be known as a  ${f conditional\ probability}.$ 

(2e)

$$P(A) = P(\cup_{n \geq 1} (A \cap C_n)) = \text{ (countable additivity as the } A \cap C_n \text{ are pairwise disjoint) } \sum_{n \geq 1} P(A \cap C_n) = \sum_{n \geq 1} P(C_n) \hat{P}_n(A) = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n \hat{P}_n(A) \text{ , where } (c_n)_{n \geq 1} = P(C_n).$$

Show convexity:

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} c_n = \sum_{n\geq 1} P(C_n) = P(\cup_{n\geq 1} C_n) = P(E) = 1 \text{ (using that P is a probability measure)}$$
 Also, notice  $0 = P(\varnothing) \leq c_n \leq P(\Omega) = 1 \ \forall n \geq 1$ .

Therefore, P can be recovered as a mixture of the set  $\{\hat{P}_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ 

(2f)

v and  $\nu$  are synonyms here.

$$\nu(A) = \sum_{n>1} c_n \hat{P}_n(A), A \in \mathcal{H}$$

Since each  $c_n$  is between 0 and 1, and the sum of the  $c_n$ 's is 1, we can notice that the smallest value that  $\nu(A)$  can take is 0 (for instance, when  $A=\varnothing$ ) while the maximum value is 1, attained when  $\hat{P}_n(A)$  (for instance, when  $A = \Omega$ ). Therefore:

$$v: \mathcal{H} \to [0,1]$$

$$\nu(\varnothing) = \sum_{n \ge 1} c_n \hat{P}_n(\varnothing) = \sum_{n \ge 1} c_n 0 = 0$$

Take a disjoint collection  $(A_m)$  from  $\mathcal{H}$ :

$$\nu(\cup_{m>1} A_m) =$$

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} c_n \hat{P}_n(\cup_{m\geq 1} A_m) = \text{ (used disjoint and P is a measure here)}$$

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}^{-} c_n(\sum_{m\geq 1} \hat{P}_n(A_m)) =$$

$$\sum_{n>1} \left( \sum_{m>1} c_n P_n(A_m) \right) =$$

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} (\sum_{m\geq 1} c_n \hat{P}_n(A_m)) =$$

$$\sum_{m\geq 1} (\sum_{n\geq 1} c_n \hat{P}_n(A_m)) =$$

$$\sum_{m\geq 1} \nu(A_m)$$

$$\sum_{m>1} \nu(A_m)$$

$$\nu(\Omega) = \sum_{n \ge 1} c_n \hat{P}_n(\Omega) = \sum_{n \ge 1} c_n = 1$$

(2g)

We know: 
$$v_n(A) = \frac{v(A)}{v(C_n)} = \frac{P(A)}{P(C_n)} = P_n(A), A \in \mathcal{C}_n$$

We want:

1. 
$$P(C_n) = 0 \implies v(C_n) = 0$$

2. 
$$\nu(A) = \sum_{n>1} c_n \hat{P}_n(A)$$
, where  $\hat{P}_n = P_n(A \cap C_n)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{H}$ 

1. 
$$\frac{v(A)}{v(C_n)} = \frac{P(A)}{P(C_n)} \implies v(C_n) = P(C_n) \frac{v(A)}{P(A)}$$
 Therefore,  $P(C_n) = 0 \implies v(C_n) = 0$ 

Assume  $P(C_n) \neq 0$ . Otherwise, from (1), v(A) = 0, and we can take  $(c_n) = (1, 0, 0, ...)$  and we're done.

$$v(A) = v(C_n) \frac{P(A)}{P(C_n)}$$
 for any  $A \in \mathcal{C}_n$ 

 $A \in \mathcal{C}_n$  and  $C_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$ . Therefore, since sigma-algebras are closed under countable intersection,  $A \cap C_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and we can write:  $v(A \cap C_n) = v(C_n) \frac{P(A \cap C_n)}{P(C_n)} = v(A \cap C_n) = v(C_n) \hat{P}_n(A)$ 

$$\implies \sum_{n>1} v(A \cap C_n) = \sum_{n>1} v(C_n) \hat{P}_n(A)$$

$$\implies \sum_{n\geq 1} v(A \cap C_n) = \sum_{n\geq 1} v(C_n) \hat{P}_n(A)$$

$$\implies v(A) = \sum_{n\geq 1} c_n \hat{P}_n(A) \text{ where, } c_n = v(C_n).$$

v is a probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}) \implies 0 \le c_n \le 1, \sum_{n \ge 1} c_n = 1$ 

Fix n, write the mixture using index m, and assume 
$$P(C_n) \neq 0$$
: 
$$\frac{v(A)}{v(C_n)} = \frac{\sum_{m \geq 1} c_m \hat{P}_m(A)}{\sum_{m \geq 1} c_m \hat{P}_m(C_n)} = \frac{\sum_{m \geq 1} c_m \frac{P(A \cap C_m)}{P(C_m)}}{\sum_{m \geq 1} c_m \frac{P(C_n \cap C_m)}{P(C_m)}} = \frac{\sum_{m \geq 1} P(A \cap C_m)}{c_n \frac{P(C_n)}{P(C_n)}} = \frac{P(A)}{P(C_n)}$$

Now, if  $P(C_n) = 0$ , then  $v(C_n) = 0$ , and we have:

$$A \subset C_n \implies P(A) = 0, v(A) = 0$$
. Therefore:  $\frac{v(A)}{v(C_n)} = \frac{0}{0} = \frac{P(A)}{P(C_n)}$ 

$$\frac{v(A)}{v(C_n)} = \frac{0}{0} = \frac{P(A)}{P(C_n)}$$

3 Continuous functions

(3)

 $(E,\mathcal{E}),(\mathbb{R},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ 

 $f:E\to\mathbb{R}$  continuous

E is topological

Hint: f continuous  $\implies f^{-1}B$  is open for every open subset  $B \subset \mathbb{R}$  (1)

Show  $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) : f^{-1}B \in \mathcal{E}$ 

If  $(E,\mathcal{E})$  is topological,  $\mathcal{E}$  is a collection of open sets in E. Therefore, we have:

 $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}):$   $B \ open \implies {}^{(1)}f^{-1}B \ open \implies f^{-1}B \in \mathcal{E} \quad \square$ 

4 Functional representation

(4)

**⇐**:

We have:  $\exists h:G\to F:h$  is  $\mathcal{G}\text{-measurable}$  and  $f=h\circ g$ 

We want: f is  $g^{-1}\mathcal{G} - measurable$ 

h is  $\mathcal{G}\text{-measurable}\ : \forall B \in \mathcal{F}, h^{-1}B \in \mathcal{G}$ g is  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable :  $\forall B \in \mathcal{G}, g^{-1}B \in \mathcal{E}$  f is  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable :  $\forall B \in \mathcal{F}, f^{-1}B \in \mathcal{E}$ 

Take any  $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ :

Take any  $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ : h is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable :  $h^{-1}F_1 \in \mathcal{G}$  g is  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable :  $g^{-1}h^{-1}F_1 \in g^{-1}\mathcal{G}$   $\implies g^{-1} \circ h^{-1}F_1 \in g^{-1}\mathcal{G}$   $\implies (h \circ g)^{-1}F_1 \in g^{-1}\mathcal{G}$   $\implies f^{-1}F_1 \in g^{-1}\mathcal{G}$ 

 $\implies f \text{ is } \in g^{-1}\mathcal{G}\text{-measurable}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$ :