

## Largest idempotent

Given the prime power factors of  $N$ , is there a non-quadratic algorithm for finding the **largest** idempotent of ring  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ ? (That is, the largest number  $A < N$  such that  $A^2 \equiv A \pmod{N}$ .)

I know that there are at most 8 prime power factors ( $= K$ ) for  $N$  in the range of interest, thus at most  $256 (= 2^K)$  idempotents, with 0 & 1 being trivial.

edited: "range of interest" clause somehow got misplaced.

(number-theory) (algorithms)

edited Jan 9 '13 at 6:10

asked Jan 7 '13 at 21:41



hardmath

20.4k 5 32 70



Jerry B

21 2

1 By "ring  $N$ ," do you mean  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ ? And in this case, by "largest," do you mean largest in the range  $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ ? – Paul VanKoughnett Jan 7 '13 at 22:06

1 From the context, it looks like you are just interested in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/(N)$ ? – rschwieb Jan 7 '13 at 22:06

@Paul Yes,  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . I didn't know how to make the notation on here. And yes, the largest integer value. – Jerry B Jan 8 '13 at 3:25

So you want sub-quadratic in terms of  $N$ ? Maybe order the prime power factors in terms of descending magnitude and then use CRT to find a number congruent to 1 to all but the smallest and congruent to 0 to that one. – JSchlather Jan 8 '13 at 3:38

@JacobSchlather Thank you, that was a very productive suggestion. Unfortunately, it turns out using 0 for the smallest factors doesn't guarantee the solution will be the largest idempotent. So, it looks like I'll have to calculate all  $2^n-2$  non-trivial idempotents and select the largest. – Jerry B Jan 8 '13 at 9:37

There is a symmetry that might(?) be useful in some sort of approach. Since  $1 - e$  is an idempotent whenever  $e$  is, finding very small idempotents yields very large idempotents. – rschwieb Jan 8 '13 at 13:50

@rschwieb I was aware of that, which may cut the  $2^K$  numbers to test down to  $2^{K-1}$ . Using the general solution of the CRT

$$\sum_i a_i \frac{N}{n_i} \left[ \frac{N}{n_i} \right]_{n_i}^{-1}$$

calculated for each set of  $a_i$  taking the values 0 and 1 will find all  $2^K$  idempotents. Is it the case that solving for  $a=\{0,1,1,1\}$  and  $a=\{1,0,0,0\}$  (inverting the 1's and 0's) will produce the 2 solutions that add to  $N+1$ ? If so, that will cut my calculation in half. – Jerry B Jan 8 '13 at 23:58

Note that the CRT problems we're asked to solve are of a special form,  $x \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$  and  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{N/M}$  where factors  $M$  and  $N/M$  are coprime. The solution will be a multiple of  $M$ , so somewhat unintuitively a heuristic exists for making  $M$  as large as possible. – hardmath Jan 9 '13 at 3:29

I have reached an odd point. After checking my code repeatedly, and 2 variations that produced the same result, I broke down and googled it. Somehow, my solution is off by exactly 1. The basic algorithm had to be correct, or it wouldn't have gotten that close. I was totally at a loss as to where this extra 1 came from. And then I realized,  $N=1$  was being treated as a prime, for  $M(1)=1$ . It should be  $M(1)=0$ . Always watch your edge cases! – Jerry B Jan 9 '13 at 7:55

### 1 Answer

Let  $N = m_1 \dots m_k$  be a prime power decomposition (distinct  $m_i$  coprime).

Then  $A$  is an idempotent modulo  $N$  iff  $A^2 \equiv A \pmod{N}$ . Equivalently,  $A$  is an idempotent modulo each prime power factor  $m_i$ , which amounts to:

$$\forall m_i \ A \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{m_i}$$

because  $A(A-1)$  is divisible by prime power  $m_i$  only if  $m_i$  divides  $A$  or  $A-1$ . Henceforth we will refer to 0, 1 as trivial idempotents. For nontrivial idempotents  $A$  we multiply those factors  $m_i$  which divide  $A$  to get the product  $M$ . Then  $M$  and  $N/M$  are coprime and:

$$A \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$$

$$A \equiv 1 \pmod{N/M}$$

The first of these relations implies that  $A = aM$ . Require a reduced residue  $1 < A < N$ , and it follows  $0 < a < N/M$ . The coprimality of  $M$  and  $N/M$  gives  $a \equiv M^{-1} \pmod{N/M}$ , thereby satisfying the

Moreover as @rschwieb pointed out, the nontrivial idempotents occur in pairs  $A, A'$  s.t.  $A + A' = N + 1$  by swapping the roles of  $M$  and  $N/M$ . Searching for large idempotents (but less than  $N$ ) thus amounts to searching for small ones (but greater than 1).

All nontrivial idempotents may be formed as distinct sums of "basic" idempotents  $A_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , taking the product  $M_i$  of the  $k - 1$  prime powers *other* than  $m_i$ , so  $N/M = m_i$ . Then  $A_i = a_i M_i$  where  $a_i \equiv M_i^{-1} \pmod{m_i}$ . One way to solve for  $a_i$  uses the [extended Euclidean algorithm](#) to provide:

$$a_i M_i + b_i m_i = 1$$

Alternatively one can apply [Euler's generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem](#):

$$a_i \equiv M_i^{\phi(m_i)-1} \pmod{m_i}$$

However one computes those  $k$  basic solutions  $a_i M_i$ , all nontrivial idempotents  $\pmod{N}$  can be expressed as sums over proper (nonempty) subsets of them. By this accounting there are  $2^k - 2$  of them (excludes the two trivial idempotents).

**Example:** Let  $N = 10^n = 2^n 5^n$ . For each  $n$  there are two nontrivial idempotents, adding up to  $N + 1$ . Their respective decimal representations "stabilize" by truncation so we may summarize both parallel expansions:

$$a_n 5^n : \dots 19977392256259918212890625$$

$$b_n 2^n : \dots 80022607743740081787109376$$

allowing us to tell by inspection for given  $n$  which of the two is larger. I don't see any regular pattern in these results, although the frequency of double digits looks somewhat improbable.

Cases of this turn up in [online puzzles](#) and [older literature](#).

Readers interested in extending this example may find it useful that squaring an initial segment of the  $a_n 5^n$  adds at least one extra correct digit. The analogous fact about the  $b_n 2^n$  series requires taking a fifth power, so it's more easily derived by "complementing" the  $a_n 5^n$  series.

The irregularity with which the above two series of idempotents swap top position doesn't suggest a shortcut for more general  $N$  and higher number of factors  $k$ .

While an exhaustive search is satisfactory for small  $k$ , for large  $k$  we should perhaps look to the [subset sum problem](#) for inspiration.

The set of basic solutions  $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$  can without loss of generality be assumed to be ordered. So as a first approximation to finding the largest nontrivial idempotent, we can compare  $A_k$  and  $N + 1 - A_1$ . No single basic solution (or sum of  $k - 1$  of them) could improve on that, so let's label that  $E_1$ .

Using two or basic solutions for an improvement would then amount to a sequence of [approximate subset sum problems](#) targeting intervals  $((j - 1)N + E_j, jN - 1]$  for  $j = 1$  up to  $\frac{k}{2}$ , taking  $E_{j+1}$  to be the improvement if one is found, or  $E_j$  otherwise.

While this seems promising as far as polynomial-time in  $k$ , it's only a sketch of an idea at this point.

answered Jan 12 '13 at 19:50



[hardmath](#)

20.4k

5

32

70

Thanks. In the end, trying to program your suggested optimization would take me longer to program than the "check  $2^k - 2$  possibilities" already runs (about 1 hour). Fortunately,  $k < 9$  for my problem, so it's not so bad. – [Jerry B](#) Jan 13 '13 at 4:37

@JerryB: Thanks for taking the time to move the Question here from StackOverflow. With many hard problems a theoretical improvement in complexity only has a practical benefit at very large scales, even discounting the months of additional development. However I suspect running time of exhaustive search should only be taking less than a minute for  $k=8$ . Would you care to post a value of  $N$  that illustrates the Question? – [hardmath](#) Jan 13 '13 at 13:53

Well, the 1 hour runtime is actually for **all**  $N$  values 1 thru  $10^7$ . The maximum  $k=8$  is for the integer with the largest number of prime power factors in that range. – [Jerry B](#) Jan 14 '13 at 0:25