Sixth Plenary Meeting of UN-GGIM-AP

Special Session on Geospatial Information for Disaster Response

-Case Study on 2016 Kumamoto Earthquake-

Part 4 Activities for Recovery and Reconstruction

10:45am-11:45am, 18th October 2017





Recovery of major infrastructure

Highways: Recovery on 9 May 2016



JN-GGIM-AP

Bullet Train (Kyushu Shinkansen): Recovery on 27 April 2016



Electricity:

Recovery on 20 April 2016

Water:

90% Recovery on 21 April 2016 (recovery in process in mountain regions)










Continued living in shelters

38,000 people still lived in shelters on 28 April 2016

Taking a rest on a makeshift bed made by corrugated boards





Numerous aftershocks

- Just for April (14-30 April): 120 earthquakes with SI larger than 4 occurred in Kumamoto.
- The record is the highest in Japanese Earthquake history
- People are still concerned with further damage

Period		Seismic Intensity									Total	Cumula-
		1	2	3	4	5 -	5 +	6 -	6 +	7	iolal	tive
2016	4	1,722	859	323	98	10	5	3	2	2	3,024	3,024
	5.	344	134	43	8						529	3,553
	6	147	51	14	4	1					217	3,770
	7	85	19	8	1						113	3,883
	8	77	28	3	2	1					111	3,994
	9	49	16	7	2			1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			74	4,068
	10	41	10	4							55	4,123
	11	24	16	1	1						42	4,165
	12	31	10	3							44	4,209



Ref. Japan Meteorological Agency

Phase change

Emergency Response

- Grasping situation
- Rescue & Search
- Shelter set-up
- Supply goods and foods
- Infrastructure
 Temporal Recovery
- (mainly in April)

Recover and Reconstruction

- Temporary house construction
- Infrastructure
 Permanent Recovery
- City planning for reconstruction
- Debris removal
- (mainly from May)

Different Policy Agenda -> Different Geospatial Needs




Topic for discussion #4

 What kind of contribution can NGIAs make during the recovery and reconstruction phase for the disaster stricken areas?

Control points resurvey: coordinates suspension

Coordinates suspended area




- Kumamoto Earthquake brought a large crustal movement
- Coordinates of control points for public survey was suspended on 16 April
 - Suspended points were
 - 38 CORSs,
 - 4,169 Triangulation points,
 - 296 Benchmarks



Control points resurvey: after-slip


- Early coordinates revision was required for reconstruction work
- But post-seismic surface movement (after-slip) was observed in Kumamoto area
- A stalemate condition
 - If we resurvey too early, survey-error will be inevitable due to further after-slip
 - If we resurvey later, reconstruction work will be delayed




Example of after-slip, CORS "Yamada", in case of Great East Japan Earthquake in 2011

Control points resurvey: CORS

- By analyzing CORS data, GSI estimated the future trend of after-slip.
- If the trend becomes stable, coordinate revision is feasible.
- Further, earthquake fault modeling and SAR interferometric data were useful to specify the extent of coordinate revision








Control points resurvey: CORS

- Based on monitoring, GSI decided to revise 38 suspended CORSs' data on 16 June 2016
- Two months after the Mainshock
- GSI also identified monument control points to be resurveyed by using CORS data

CORSs coordinates revised




Control points resurvey: triangulation points

Subsequently, GSI outsourced resurvey of triangulation points.

- Green: Field Resurvey of the core area (285)
- Blue: Field Resurvey of the surroundings (170)
- Red: calculation using correction parameters from field resurvey (3,598)
- Finally released on 12 Sept.
 2016


Triangulation points coordinates revised



Control points resurvey: benchmarks

- GSI also outsourced resurvey of benchmarks.
- 155 benchmarks are found to be resurveyed for height revision.
- Finally coordinates results released on 12 Sept. 2016


Benchmarks coordinates revised



Post-earthquake aerial laser survey (1)

- Concern with potential flooding during monsoon season in subsiding areas
- Local governments asked GSI to get precise post-earthquake elevation data (DEM)
- GSI decided to conduct aerial laser survey, implemented by a private company on 8 May 2016


Post-earthquake DEM




Post-earthquake aerial laser survey (2)


- Subsequently, DEM and height difference data were processed
- The results were presented to mayors of two municipalities at the end of May
- The results are also available on "GSI Maps"


Post-quake DEM




DEM 2005/2012










Height difference sheet map



Basic maps for recovery and reconstruction (1)

- Post-disaster basic maps: prerequisite for reconstruction planning and implementation
- GSI prepared 1:2,500 reconstruction maps for damaged areas

Basic Maps Coverage





Basic maps for recovery and reconstruction (2)


- Characteristics
 - 1:2,500 line maps and photo maps



- Include special post-disaster features
 - Temporary houses, damaged houses, landslides etc.
- Preliminary provision and final provision
 - Be in time for local reconstruction planning

Basic maps for recovery and reconstruction (3)

- 30 & 31 May 2016: Air-photo taken.
- July 2016: Simplified preliminary version for municipalities and public organizations
- Sept. 2016: Ortho-photo maps final
- Dec. 2016: Line maps final
- Published maps are also available for the general public







Revision of active fault maps (1)

- GSI has published "Active Fault Maps" to inform precise location of active faults since 1997, based on best available knowledge
- Kumamoto area was actually covered in 2001
- Earthquake faults that appeared in 2016 was nearly compatible with those described in the map

National coverage



Active fault map "Kumamoto" (Part)



Revision of active fault maps (2)

- Some of the faults were unknown
- GSI decided to revise the active fault maps with an expanded coverage
- Working with researchers,
 GSI is revising the contents.

Earthquake fault appeared on the ground






3-D model for Kumamoto castle

- In May 2016, Kumamoto city asked GSI to develop a 3-D model of collapsed stone walls and damaged parts of Kumamoto castle.
- GSI deployed a UAV team to capture the requested features.
- Also, terrestrial laser survey was conducted.








Response to secondary landslides

- Due to heavy rain (>500mm) in late June in Kumamoto, many landslides took place as secondary disasters
- GSI took aerial photographs in early July and revised landslide distribution map, released on 27 July 2016

Revised Landslide Distribution Map



*Large Yellow Circle: Large landslide caused in late June

*Small Yellow Circle: Small landslide caused in late June

*Blue and Red Circles: Landslide caused by the Mainshock

Almost all disaster response activities are completed.



