1 Discussion

This is a first in silico study directly comparing clinical stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) with scanned carbon-ions (PT) treatment plans for non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Our results show, that carbon-ions could be considered an alternative to SBRT, with the same tumor coverage and less dose to OAR.

Since PT offers a precise dose shaping, it can be more prone to uncertainties and a special consideration must be paid to them. Calculation of time-resolved (4D) doses can be significantly affected by errors in deformable image registration (DIR) **Citat**. Special tools were developed in the scope of this thesis to ensure DIR quality assurance (DIRQA). Additionally, DIRQA was tested on a large dataset for a tool verification. Treatment of NSCLC with PT is also influenced by interplay between tumor motion and beam scanning. It was shown that rescanning offers an adequate motion mitigation. Additionally, a prospect for treating patients with multiple lung metastases was displayed.

1.1 Deformable image registration

With features such as adaptive treatment planning [Yan et al., 1997], 4D optimization [Trofimov et al., 2005], 4D dose calculation [Flampouri et al., 2006], contour propagation [Lu et al., 2006] and combining different imaging modalities [Leibfarth et al., 2013] DIR is slowly entering everyday clinical work-flow. There are various different registration algorithms available [Varadhan et al., 2013] along with different optimization metrics, such as mean square error, cross-correlation, or normalized mutual information [Glocker et al., 2011].

The advantage of using open-source software for DIR, as explained in Section ??, is that different DIR algorithms, optimization metrics and image types can be used. Either with using existing libraries, such as ITK [Yoo et al., 2002], or by writing designated software [Fedorov et al., 2015]. A B-Spline algorithm was used for DIR in this thesis. There are large deformations present in lung and cardiac 4D-CT, which B-Spline should handle well [Tang et al., 2013]. The mean square error metric also gives better results for images of the same modality and same contrast [Varadhan et al., 2013]. The comparison between different algorithms and optimization metrics is beyond the scope of this thesis.

1.1.1 Deformable image registration validation

Any DIR algorithm must undergo thorough evaluation before it can be used clinically. The most common practice for DIRQA is visual validation [Stanley et al., 2013]. Our DIRQA module offers false color, checkerboard and landmarks distance as visual validation methods. Contour validation is currently lacking in our DIRQA module. In literature many different attempts have been done to asses DIRQA with landmarks or contours. A study by Hardcastle et al [Hardcastle et al., 2012] compared demons and Salient-Feature-Based registration with dice coefficient between propagated and physician drawn contours. A multi-institutional study by Brock et al [Brock, 2010] compared differences in propagated and oncologist drawn landmarks. A method has been developed by Castillo et al [Castillo et al., 2009] to automatically identify landmark points in lung patients images. However, visual based evaluations are limited in regions of uniform image intensity and by the number of the objects being tracked [Kashani et al., 2008, Liu et al., 2012]. An example of visual validation disadvantage could be seen in pig cardiac 4D-CT, where visual evaluation did not show any errors in DIR, but errors were observed in vector fields resulting from DIR.

An alternative to visual validation is to evaluate mathematical properties of vector fields. The two most common DIR vector fields evaluation metrics are Jacobian determinant (Jacobian) and inverse consistency error (ICE) [Leow et al., 2007, Christensen and Johnson, 2001], which we also implemented. We have shown that bigger deformations yield more deviations in Jacobian and ICE, which was also found by Stanley et al [Stanley et al., 2013]. Furthermore, we have confirmed that Jacobian should always be positive for a successful DIR [Rey et al., 2002]. Additionally, our results show that ICE should be smaller than maximum vector field magnitudes. Any deviation from this checks should be thoroughly examined.

There are additional vector fields validation methods beside Jacobian and ICE, such as vector field curl [Schreibmann et al., 2012], unbalanced energy [Zhong et al., 2007], permutation and analysis of variance (ANOVA) tests [Klein et al., 2009]. It was demonstrated in a study by Salguero et al that DIR errors greater than 1 mm can lead to large dose errors in high-dose gradient regions. Therefore the DIR accuracy has to be quantified at each image voxel in the high-dose gradient regions. A solution to evaluate at each specific voxel and for patient-specific registration was given by Stanley at al [Stanley et al., 2013]. They proposed a computational phantoms and their deformations with a finite element module framework.

1.2 Radiation treatment for non-small cell lung cancer

1.2.1 Non-small cell lung cancer early stage

Surgery is the gold standard in treating NSCLC in the early stages [Roesch et al., 2014]. In recent years, however, SBRT showed similar results as surgery and SBRT is recommended for all high-risk surgical patients. In a recent comparison study by Yu et al [Yu et al., 2015], SBRT had lower intermediate mortality and toxicity, compared to surgery. However, patients with long life expectancies were found to benefit more from surgery.

There are several clinical scenarios where use of SBRT might be limited. This include treatment of centrally located tumors, tumors close to chest wall, large tumors (radius > 5 cm) and multiple primary tumors [Timmerman et al., 2006, Georg et al., 2008, Westover et al., 2012]. The limitation of SBRT could open possibilities to other treatment modalities, such as particle therapy. Interestingly, two of the mentioned scenarios, large tumors and multiple tumors, would benefit the most from particle therapy, according to the results shown in this thesis and to the results published by Kadoya et al [Kadoya et al., 2010]. In a study done at Francis H. Burr Proton Therapy Center patients who could not be treated with SBRT, due to the scenarios mentioned, were treated with passive proton beam in 3 - 5 fractions, delivering 42 - 50 Gy [Westover et al., 2012]. They observed similar tumor local control rates as in SBRT (100% in a two year follow-up) with limited toxicities. It should be noted, that the patients treated were rejected from SBRT treatment.

1.2.2 Non-small cell lung cancer advanced stage

While treatment for early stage NSCLC is well established, more than 75% NSCLC cases present themselves in an advance stage [Jemal et al., 2009], usually due to the lack of detection in the early stages. The standard of care for advanced NSCLC is concurrent chemotherapy [Oshiro et al., 2014]. Dose escalation studies showed favorable prognosis for doses higher than 70 Gy [Hayman et al., 2001, Rosenman et al., 2002, Socinski et al., 2008]. The results of recent phase 3 randomized trial by Bradley et al [Bradley et al., 2010], however, showed better survival rates for patients delivered 60 Gy, instead of 74 Gy. It was speculated that higher doses to heart and esophagus might have contributed to higher mortality rates for patients who were administered higher doses [Cox, 2012]. Results presented in this thesis show that mean dose to heart and esophagus would be on average 1 Gy smaller with PT than with SBRT. Similar results were observed when comparing protons to SBRT [Georg et al., 2008].

In recent phase II study by Iyengar et al [Iyengar et al., 2014] they treated stage IV NSCLC with SBRT and chemotherapy. They have irradiated 52 targets in 24 patients, 16 of them had

more than one target. The results were promising, with 20 months median overall survival, compared to 9 months when treating with chemotherapy only [Tsao, 2016]. Results in this thesis show, that patients with multiple sites would especially benefit from PT. Based on the poor prognosis that stage IV NSCLC patients have and on the results published by Iyengar et at, stage IV NSCLC patients could be eligible candidates for PT treatment. Additionally, such patients usually exhibit chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and less dose to lung is warranted [Westover et al., 2012]. This further supports our claim, since our study showed substantial differences in doses to ipsilateral lung ($V_{20\%}$ was on average 5% smaller for PT) and contralateral lung as well - 70% of patients did not receive any dose to contralateral lung, whereas SBRT deposited dose in contralateral lung in all patients. The PT treatment planning for patients with complex geometry has to include 4D optimization and dose calculation as shown within this thesis. The treatment plan after optimization can respect the OAR dose limits, while 4D dose can exceed them. Beam tracking [Bert and Rietzel, 2007] or jet-ventilation [Santiago et al., 2013] would be possible solutions, however, they significantly complicate treatment.

The results of multi-institutional randomized trial, comparing photons and particle therapy in treating NSCLC, will have an important impact on treating NSCLC [RTOG, 2014]. The trial started in 2014 and we can not expect results before 2020.

1.2.3 Motion mitigation

While tumor motion influences photon treatment, the effects are not strong [Zou et al., 2014]. On the other hand, effects can be substantial when treating moving targets with scanned particle therapy [Bert et al., 2008]. It was shown in this thesis that rescanning is an adequate motion mitigation technique. **Citat protoni iz predavanja**. However, rescanning has a level of uncertanity, especially regarding maximum allowed point dose to OAR. In hypofractionated treatment this limits are strict and exact dose to OAR must be known. A possible solution would be to simulate rescanning and 4D delivery in optimization process itself. However such solution is not yet feasible due to the complexity of the problem.

Comonnly used motion mitigation technique in photon and particle treatment is gating. While it provides less motion-induced changes, it prolonges treatment time. A recent study by Zhang et al [Zhang et al., 2015] included different breathing patterns, obtained from a MRI, to on a 4DCT and calculated 4D doses for a liver cancer patients. They have shown that a gating window of 3 mm can result in a 10% efficiency of a duty-cycle, substantially prolonging treatment. Additionally, they have shown that neither volumetric or slice-by-slice rescanning could achieve good target coverage. However, good target coverage was obtained with combination of gating and rescanning. Their results suggest that a combination of gating and rescanning would currently be the best solution for treating NSCLC patients with PT.

Between rescanning, gating and beam tracking is beam tracking the most precise technique, since it requires no internal margins for target [Bert and Durante, 2011]. Current clinical implementations of tracking in photon radiotherapy [Kilby et al., 2010, Keall et al., 2014] can not be directly used in particle therapy, since they only provide position of single internal points. Fassi et al [Fassi et al., 2015] were able to account for inter- and intra-fractional variability of patient's anatomical configuration with a designated modeling technique [Fassi et al., 2014]. The measured median of water-equivalent path length in target was within 2 mm of a simulated one. For actual clinical impementation it will be necessarry to test the model on a wider number of patients.

1.2.4 Outlook

Recent advances in photon radiotherapy allow the usage of noncoplanar beams, a so-called 4π optimization [Dong et al., 2013b]. In a recent study, Dong et al [Dong et al., 2013a] showed that 4π yielded better target coverage and OAR sparring than SBRT. They have reported reduction of D_{Max} in heart, esophagus and spinal cord by 32%, 72% and 53%, respectively, showing the potential of a 4π optimization. According to this thesis, PT is able to reduce the D_{Max} even further, with a reduction of 57%, 87% and 83% for heart, esophagus and spinal cord, respectively. The numbers, however, should be compared with caution, since they were obtained from a different set of patients. A future study, directly comparing SBRT, 4π and PT for NSCLC is thus warranted.

Robust optimization seems to be gaining on popularity for PT. Standard margin defenition to account for uncertainties fails short in PT, while the inclusion of uncertainties in optimization process can substantially improve treatment plans [Chen et al., 2012]. The robstuness optimization is now possible even for a 4D optimization [Liu et al., 2016], opening a wide field of new possibilities.



2 Conslusion

In this work, designated tools were developed to handle deformable image registrations on different image sets. Furthermore, several test were integrated to ensure quality assurance of the deformable image registration. The tools developed underwent an extensive testing on a large patient dataset and were able to produce deformable image registrations as well as find errors in it.

The deformable image registration was than used for 4D dose calculations of scanned carbonions treatment plans in lung cancer patients. The results were compared to state of the art photon treatment plans. With rescanning as a motion mitigation technique, carbon-ions were able to achieve the same target coverage as photon plans, while reducing the dose to critical structures including heart, spinal cord, esophagus, trachea and aorta.

For patients with multiple disease sites, a treatment planning system was modified to be able to create plans for such patients. Treatment plans for patients with multiple lung disease sites were generated with two recent 4D optimization algorithms. Both provided comparable target coverage to photon plans and lower doses to critical structures.



Bibliography

- [Bert and Durante, 2011] Bert, C. and Durante, M. (2011). Motion in radiotherapy: particle therapy. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, 56(16):R113–R144.
- [Bert et al., 2008] Bert, C., Groezinger, S. O., and Rietzel, E. (2008). Quantification of interplay effects of scanned particle beams and moving targets. *Phys. Med. Biol.*, 53(9):2253–2265.
- [Bert and Rietzel, 2007] Bert, C. and Rietzel, E. (2007). 4D treatment planning for scanned ion beams. *Radiat. Oncol.*, 2(24).
- [Bradley et al., 2010] Bradley, J. D., Bae, K., Graham, M. V., Byhardt, R., Govindan, R., Fowler, J., Purdy, J. A., Michalski, J. M., Gore, E., and Choy, H. (2010). Primary analysis of the phase ii component of a phase i/ii dose intensification study using three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy and concurrent chemotherapy for patients with inoperable non–small-cell lung cancer: Rtog 0117. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 28(14):2475–2480.
- [Brock, 2010] Brock, K. K. (2010). Results of a multi-institution deformable registration accuracy study (midras). *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.*, 76(2):583–596.
- [Castillo et al., 2009] Castillo, R., Castillo, E., Guerra, R., Johnson, V. E., McPhail, T., Garg, A. K., and Guerrero, T. (2009). A framework for evaluation of deformable image registration spatial accuracy using large landmark point sets. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, 54(7):1849.
- [Chen et al., 2012] Chen, W., Unkelbach, J., Trofimov, A., Madden, T., Kooy, H., Bortfeld, T., and Craft, D. (2012). Including robustness in multi-criteria optimization for intensity-modulated proton therapy. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, 57(3):591–608.
- [Christensen and Johnson, 2001] Christensen, G. E. and Johnson, H. J. (2001). Consistent image registration. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 20(7):568–582.
- [Cox, 2012] Cox, J. D. (2012). Are the results of rtog 0617 mysterious? *International Journal of Radiation Oncology*, 82(3):1042–1044.
- [Dong et al., 2013a] Dong, P., Lee, P., Ruan, D., Long, T., Romeijn, E., Low, D. A., Kupelian, P., Abraham, J., Yang, Y., and Sheng, K. (2013a). 4? noncoplanar stereotactic body radiation therapy for centrally located or larger lung tumors. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology*Biology*Physics*, 86(3):407 413.

- [Dong et al., 2013b] Dong, P., Lee, P., Ruan, D., Long, T., Romeijn, E., Yang, Y., Low, D., Kupelian, P., and Sheng, K. (2013b). 4? non-coplanar liver sbrt: A novel delivery technique. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology*Biology*Physics*, 85(5):1360 1366.
- [Fassi et al., 2014] Fassi, A., Schaerer, J., Fernandes, M., Riboldi, M., Sarrut, D., and Baroni, G. (2014). Tumor tracking method based on a deformable 4d {CT} breathing motion model driven by an external surface surrogate. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology*Biology*Physics*, 88(1):182 188.
- [Fassi et al., 2015] Fassi, A., Seregni, M., Riboldi, M., Cerveri, P., Sarrut, D., Ivaldi, G. B., de Fatis, P. T., Liotta, M., and Baroni, G. (2015). Surrogate-driven deformable motion model for organ motion tracking in particle radiation therapy. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, 60(4):1565.
- [Fedorov et al., 2015] Fedorov, A., Khallaghi, S., Sánchez, C., Lasso, A., Fels, S., Tuncali, K., Sugar, E. N., Kapur, T., Zhang, C., Wells, W., Nguyen, P., Abolmaesumi, P., and Tempany, C. (2015). Open-source image registration for mri-trus fusion-guided prostate interventions. *Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg*, 10(6):925–34.
- [Flampouri et al., 2006] Flampouri, S., Jiang, S., Sharp, G. C., Wolfgang, J., Patel, A. A., and Choi, N. C. (2006). Estimation of the delivered patient dose in lung imrt treatment based on deformable registration of 4d-ct data and monte carlo simulations. *Phys Med Biol*, 51(11):2763–2779.
- [Georg et al., 2008] Georg, D., Hillbrand, M., Stock, M., Dieckmann, K., and Pötter, R. (2008). Can protons improve sbrt for lung lesions?: Dosimetric considerations. *Radiotherapy and Oncology*, 88(3):368–375.
- [Glocker et al., 2011] Glocker, B., Sotiras, A., Komodakis, N., and Paragios, N. (2011). *Annu Rev Biomed Eng.*
- [Hardcastle et al., 2012] Hardcastle, N., Tomé, W. A., Cannon, D. M., Brouwer, C. L., Wittendorp, P. W., Dogan, N., Guckenberger, M., Allaire, S., Mallya, Y., Kumar, P., Oechsner, M., Richter, A., Song, S., Myers, M., Polat, B., and Bzdusek, K. (2012). A multi-institution evaluation of deformable image registration algorithms for automatic organ delineation in adaptive head and neck radiotherapy. *Radiat Oncol*, 7:90–90. 1748-717X-7-90[PII].
- [Hayman et al., 2001] Hayman, J. A., Martel, M. K., Ten Haken, R. K., Normolle, D. P., Todd, R. F., Littles, J. F., Sullivan, M. A., Possert, P. W., Turrisi, A. T., and Lichter, A. S. (2001). Dose escalation in non–small-cell lung cancer using three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy: Update of a phase i trial. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 19(1):127–136.

- [Iyengar et al., 2014] Iyengar, P., Kavanagh, B. D., Wardak, Z., Smith, I., Ahn, C., Gerber, D. E., Dowell, J., Hughes, R., Camidge, D. R., Gaspar, L. E., Doebele, R. C., Bunn, P. A., Choy, H., and Timmerman, R. (2014). Phase ii trial of stereotactic body radiation therapy combined with erlotinib for patients with limited but progressive metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. *J.Clin.Oncol.*, 32(34):3824–3854.
- [Jemal et al., 2009] Jemal, A., Siegel, R., Ward, E., Hao, Y., Xu, J., and Thun, M. J. (2009). Cancer statistics, 2009. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 59(4):225–249.
- [Kadoya et al., 2010] Kadoya, N., Obata, Y., Kato, T., Kagiya, M., Nakamura, T., Tomoda, T., Takada, A., Takayama, K., and Fuwa, N. (2010). Dose-volume comparison of proton radiotherapy and stereotactic body radiotherapy for non-small-cell lung cancer. *Int.J.Radiat.Oncol.Biol.Phys.*, 79(4):1225–1231.
- [Kashani et al., 2008] Kashani, R., Hub, M., Balter, J. M., Kessler, M. L., Dong, L., Zhang, L., Xing, L., Xie, Y., Hawkes, D., Schnabel, J. A., McClelland, J., Joshi, S., Chen, Q., and Lu, W. (2008). Objective assessment of deformable image registration in radiotherapy: A multi-institution study. *Medical Physics*, 35(12):5944–5953.
- [Keall et al., 2014] Keall, P. J., Colvill, E., O?Brien, R., Ng, J. A., Poulsen, P. R., Eade, T., Kneebone, A., and Booth, J. T. (2014). The first clinical implementation of electromagnetic transponder-guided mlc tracking. *Medical Physics*, 41(2).
- [Kilby et al., 2010] Kilby, W., Dooley, J. R., Kuduvalli, G., Sayeh, S., and C. R. Maurer, J. (2010). The cyberknife robotic radiosurgery system in 2010. *Technol. Cancer Res. Treat.*, 9(5):433–452.
- [Klein et al., 2009] Klein, A., Andersson, J., Ardekani, B. A., Ashburner, J., Avants, B., Chiang, M.-C., Christensen, G. E., Collins, D. L., Gee, J., Hellier, P., Song, J. H., Jenkinson, M., Lepage, C., Rueckert, D., Thompson, P., Vercauteren, T., Woods, R. P., Mann, J. J., and Parsey, R. V. (2009). Evaluation of 14 nonlinear deformation algorithms applied to human brain mri registration. *Neuroimage*, 46(3):786–802.
- [Leibfarth et al., 2013] Leibfarth, S., Mönnich, D., Welz, S., Siegel, C., Schwenzer, N., Schmidt, H., Zips, D., and Thorwarth, D. (2013). A strategy for multimodal deformable image registration to integrate pet/mr into radiotherapy treatment planning. *Acta Oncologica*, 52(7):1353–1359. PMID: 23879651.
- [Leow et al., 2007] Leow, A. D., Yanovsky, I., Chiang, M. C., Lee, A. D., Klunder, A. D., Lu, A., Becker, J. T., Davis, S. W., Toga, A. W., and Thompson, P. M. (2007). Statistical properties of jacobian maps and the ralization of unbiased large-deformation nonlinear image registration. *IEEE Trans Med*, 26:822–832.

- [Liu et al., 2012] Liu, F., Hu, Y., Zhang, Q., Kincaid, R., Goodman, K. A., and Mageras, G. S. (2012). Evaluation of deformable image registration and motion model in ct images with limited features. *Phys Med Biol*, 57(9):2539–2554. 22491010[pmid].
- [Liu et al., 2016] Liu, S., Cai, W., Pujol, S., Kikinis, R., and Feng, D. (2016). Cross-view neuroimage pattern analysis in alzheimer's disease staging. *Front Aging Neurosci*, 8:23–.
- [Lu et al., 2006] Lu, W., Olivera, G. H., Chen, Q., Chen, M. L., and Ruchala, K. J. (2006). Automatic re-contouring in 4d radiotherapy. *Phys Med Biol*, 51(5):1077–1099.
- [Oshiro et al., 2014] Oshiro, Y., Okumura, T., Kurishima, K., Homma, S., Mizumoto, M., Ishikawa, H., Onizuka, M., Sakai, M., Goto, Y., Hizawa, N., Sato, Y., and Sakurai, H. (2014). High-dose concurrent chemo–proton therapy for stage iii nsclc: preliminary results of a phase ii study. *Journal of Radiation Research*, 55(5):959–965.
- [Rey et al., 2002] Rey, D., Subsol, G., Delingette, H., and Ayache, N. (2002). Automatic detection and segmentation of evolving processes in 3d medical images: application to multiple sclerosis. *Med Image Anal*, 6(2):163–179.
- [Roesch et al., 2014] Roesch, J., Andratschke, N., and Guckenberger, M. (2014). Sbrt in operable early stage lung cancer patients. *Transl Lung Cancer Res*, 3(4):212–224. tlcr-03-04-212[PII].
- [Rosenman et al., 2002] Rosenman, J. G., Halle, J. S., Socinski, M. A., Deschesne, K., Moore, D. T., Johnson, H., Fraser, R., and Morris, D. E. (2002). High-dose conformal radiotherapy for treatment of stage iiia/iiib non-small-cell lung cancer: technical issues and results of a phase i/ii trial. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology*, 54(2):348–356.
- [RTOG, 2014] RTOG (2014). Rtog 1308 protocol information: Phase iii randomized trial comparing overall survival after photon versus proton chemoradiotherapy for inoperable stage ii-iiib nsclc.
- [Santiago et al., 2013] Santiago, A., Jelen, U., Ammazzalorso, F., Engenhart-Cabillic, R., Fritz, P., Mühlnickel, W., Enghardt, W., Baumann, M., and Wittig, A. (2013). Reproducibility of target coverage in stereotactic spot scanning proton lung irradiation under high frequency jet ventilation. *Radiotherapy and Oncology*, 109(1):45–50.
- [Schreibmann et al., 2012] Schreibmann, E., Pantalone, P., Waller, A., and Fox, T. (2012). A measure to evaluate deformable registration fields in clinical settings. *Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics*, 13(5).
- [Socinski et al., 2008] Socinski, M. A., Blackstock, A. W., Bogart, J. A., Wang, X., Munley, M., Rosenman, J., Gu, L., Masters, G. A., Ungaro, P., Sleeper, A., Green, M., Miller, A. A., and

- Vokes, E. E. (2008). Randomized phase ii trial of induction chemotherapy followed by concurrent chemotherapy and dose-escalated thoracic conformal radiotherapy (74 gy) in stage iii non–small-cell lung cancer: Calgb 30105. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 26(15):2457–2463.
- [Stanley et al., 2013] Stanley, N., Glide-Hurst, C., Kim, J., Adams, J., Li, S., Wen, N., Chetty, I. J., and Zhong, H. (2013). Using patient-specific phantoms to evaluate deformable image registration algorithms for adaptive radiation therapy. *J Appl Clin Med Phys*, 14(6):4363–4363.
- [Tang et al., 2013] Tang, C., Xie, X., and Du, R. (2013). Improved image registration technique using demons and b-spline. *Biomedical and Biotechnology Engineering*, 3(A).
- [Timmerman et al., 2006] Timmerman, R., McGarry, R., Yiannoutsos, C., Papiez, L., Tudor, K., DeLuca, J., Ewing, M., Abdulrahman, R., DesRosiers, C., Williams, M., and Fletcher, J. (2006). Excessive toxicity when treating central tumors in a phase ii study of stereotactic body radiation therapy for medically inoperable early-stage lung cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 24(30):4833–4839.
- [Trofimov et al., 2005] Trofimov, A., Rietzel, E., Lu, H. M., Martin, B., Jiang, S., Chen, G. T., and Bortfeld, T. (2005). Temporo-spatial imrt optimization: concepts, implementation and initial results. *Phys Med Biol*, 50(12):2779–2798.
- [Tsao, 2016] Tsao, A. S. (2016). Lung carcinoma. Merck Manual Professional Edition.
- [Varadhan et al., 2013] Varadhan, R., Karangelis, G., K., K., and Hui, S. (2013). A framework for deformable image registration validation in radiotherapy clinical applications. *J Appl Clin Med Phys*, 14(1).
- [Westover et al., 2012] Westover, K. D., Seco, J., Adams, J. A., Lanuti, M., Choi, N. C., Engelsman, M., and Willers, H. (2012). Proton sbrt for medically inoperable stage in sclc. *J Thorac Oncol*, 7(6):1021–1025. 22551902[pmid].
- [Yan et al., 1997] Yan, D., Vicini, F., Wong, J., and Martinez, A. (1997). Adaptive radiation therapy. 42(1):123–132.
- [Yoo et al., 2002] Yoo, T. S., Ackerman, M. J., Lorensen, W. E., Schroeder, W., Chalana, V., Aylward, S., Metaxas, D., and Whitaker, R. (2002). Engineering and algorithm design for an image processing api: a technical report on itk–the insight toolkit. *Stud Health Technol Inform*, 85:586–592.
- [Yu et al., 2015] Yu, J. B., Soulos, P. R., Cramer, L. D., Decker, R. H., Kim, A. W., and Gross, C. P. (2015). Comparative effectiveness of surgery and radiosurgery for stage i non–small cell lung cancer. *Cancer*, 121(14):2341–2349.

- [Zhang et al., 2015] Zhang, Y., Knopf, A.-C., Weber, D. C., and Lomax, A. J. (2015). Improving 4d plan quality for pbs-based liver tumour treatments by combining online image guided beam gating with rescanning. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, 60(20):8141.
- [Zhong et al., 2007] Zhong, H., Peters, T., and Siebers, J. V. (2007). Fem-based evaluation of deformable image registration for radiation therapy. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, 52(16):4721.
- [Zou et al., 2014] Zou, W., Yin, L., Shen, J., Corradeti, M. N., Kirk, M., Munbodh, R., Fang, P., Jabbour, S. K., Simone, C. B., Yue, N. J., Rengan, R., and Teo, B. K. (2014). Dynamic simulation of motion effects in imat lung sbrt. *Radiation Oncology*, 9:225.