



# Detecting Peering Infrastructure Outages in the Wild

---

Vasileios Giotas <sup>†\*</sup>, Christoph Dietzel <sup>†§</sup>, Georgios Smaragdakis <sup>‡†</sup>,  
Anja Feldmann <sup>†</sup>, Arthur Berger <sup>¶‡</sup>, Emile Aben <sup>#</sup>

<sup>†</sup>TU Berlin <sup>\*</sup>CAIDA <sup>§</sup>DE-CIX <sup>‡</sup>MIT <sup>¶</sup>Akamai <sup>#</sup>RIPE NCC

# Peering Infrastructures are critical part of the interconnection ecosystem

**Internet Exchange Points (IXPs)** provide a shared switching fabric for layer-2 bilateral and multilateral peering.

- Largest IXPs support > 100 K of peerings, > 5 Tbps peak traffic
- Typical SLA 99.99% (~52 min. downtime/year)<sup>1</sup>

Carrier-neutral **co-location facilities (CFs)** provide infrastructure for physical co-location and cross-connect interconnections.

- Largest facilities support > 170 K of interconnections
- Typical SLA 99.999% (~5 min. downtime/year)<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> <https://ams-ix.net/services-pricing/service-level-agreement>   <sup>2</sup><http://www.telehouse.net/london-colocation/>

# Outages in peering infrastructures can severely disrupt critical services and applications

DOWNTIME WOES —

**BT, other ISPs hit by second major Internet outage—power failure blamed**

After Telecity power outage, it seems Telehouse has had problems of its own.

KELLY FIVEASH · 21/7/2016, 03:05



TECHNOLOGY | TOP STORIES

**OUTAGE AT AMSTERDAM INTERNET HUB AFFECTS MUCH OF NETHERLANDS**

By Janene Pieters on May 13, 2015 - 13:11

With additional reporting by Zack Newmark.

A technical fault at the internet hub AMS-IX in Amsterdam caused online problems in several places in the Netherlands for about an hour Wednesday afternoon. The internet hub, one of the most used internet exchanges in the world, announced they resolved the problem shortly after 1:30 p.m.

**BT broadband users hit by second UK-wide outage in two days**

Caroline Donnelly  
Datacentre Editor  
21 Jul 2016 9:50

Power supply issues at Docklands data center behind loss of internet access for more than 100,000 broadband users

DOWNTIME

**Equinix Outage Means Downtime for Zoho**

BY RICH MILLER ON JANUARY 20, 2012

ADD YOUR COMMENTS

A power outage Friday morning in an **Equinix** data center in California caused problems for a number of customers, most notably Zoho, which experienced hours of downtime for several of its web-based office applications. Equinix nowledged the incident, but did not provide details on the cause of the outage at its SV4 facility in Silicon Valley.

**Equinix cooling outage leads to flight delays in Australia**

13 November 2012 | By Penny Jones

**A** “short interruption to utility power supply” at an Equinix data center in Sydney caused up to three hour delays for thousands of passengers flying with three major airlines from Australian airports over the weekend.

**IT PRO**

Biting the hand that feeds IT

CURITY TRANSFORMATION DEVOPS BUSINESS PERSONAL TECH

**Telecity London data centre outage borks VoIP, websites, AWS...**

LINX reports sudden sharp traffic drop, Amazon Direct Connect goes TITSUP

# Outages in peering infrastructures can severely disrupt critical services and applications

DOWNTIME WOES —

**BT, other ISPs hit by second major Internet outage—power failure blamed**

After Telecity power outage, it seems Telehouse has had problems of its own.

KELLY FIVEASH · 21/7/2016, 03:05

**BT broadband users hit by second UK-wide outage in two days**

Equinix cooling outage leads to flight delays in

Outage detection crucial to improve **situational awareness, risk assessment and transparency**.

TECHNOLOGY | TOP STORIES

**OUTAGE AT AMSTERDAM INTERNET HUB AFFECTS MUCH OF NETHERLANDS**

By Janene Pieters on May 13, 2015 - 13:11

With additional reporting by Zack Newmark.

A technical fault at the internet hub AMS-IX in Amsterdam caused online problems in several places in the Netherlands for about an hour Wednesday afternoon. The internet hub, one of the most used internet exchanges in the world, announced they resolved the problem shortly after 1:30 p.m.

California caused problems for a number of customers, notably Zoho, which experienced hours of downtime for several of its web-based office applications. Equinix nowledged the incident, but did not provide details on cause of the outage at its SV4 facility in Silicon Valley.

CURITY TRANSFORMATION DEVOPS BUSINESS PERSONAL TECH

Biting the hand that feeds IT

**Telecity London data centre outage borks VoIP, websites, AWS...**

LINX reports sudden sharp traffic drop, Amazon Direct Connect goes TITSUP

# Current practice: “Is anyone else having issues?”

## [outages] Power problems at the Westin in SEA?

Sean Crandall [sean@megapath.com](mailto:sean@megapath.com)

Wed Feb 23 17:58:06 EST 2011

- Previous message: [outages] Phonebooth.com Service
- Next message: [outages] Power problems at the Westin in SEA?
- [Messages sorted by: \[date\] \[thread\] \[subject\] \[author\]](#)

Hi everyone...

We appear to be having power problems in the Westin Seattle and have heard reports of other colo providers power issues which implies it is a greater building problem.

[Is anyone else having power issues in the Westin?](#)

## [outages] So what is broken

Michael Peterman [Michael@seeus4it.com](mailto:Michael@seeus4it.com)

Tue Aug 12 14:21:09 EDT 2014

- Previous message: [outages] Major outages today, not much info at this time
- Next message: [outages] So what is broken
- [Messages sorted by: \[date\] \[thread\] \[subject\] \[author\]](#)

So is this issue all related to a fiber cut or a DC/Peering point having issues?  
<http://www.thewhir.com/web-hosting-news/liquidweb-among-companies-affected-major-outage-across-us-network-providers>

Michael Peterman

## [outages] Telehouse North - Major Problems

Phil Lavin [phil.lavin@cloudcall.com](mailto:phil.lavin@cloudcall.com)

Thu Jul 21 03:48:18 EDT 2016

- Previous message (by thread): [outages] AT&T outage in Texas?
- Next message (by thread): [outages] Telehouse North - Major Problems
- [Messages sorted by: \[date\] \[thread\] \[subject\] \[author\]](#)



We've just had 3 links drop simultaneously to (different) equipment in Telehouse North.

Fibre link to Vodafone - port is down  
BGP peering to GTT is dropped  
Copper link to BT - port is down

[Anyone else seeing anything?](#) We spoke to BT and they have confirmed a "major national problem".


- ASes try to crowd-source the detection and localization of outages.
- Inadequate transparency/responsiveness from infrastructure operators.

# Symbiotic and interdependent infrastructures



<https://www.franceix.net/en/technical/infrastructure/>

# Remote peering extends the reach of IXPs and CFs beyond their local market




Global footprint of AMS-IX

<https://ams-ix.net/connect-to-ams-ix/peering-around-the-globe>


# Our Research Goals

1. Outage detection:
  - *Timely, at the finest granularity possible*
2. Outage localization:
  - Distinguish *cascading effects* from outage source
3. Outage tracking:
  - Determine duration, shifts in routing paths,  
geographic spread


# Challenges in detecting infrastructure outages




# Challenges in detecting infrastructure outages



# Challenges in detecting infrastructure outages




# Challenges in detecting infrastructure outages




# Challenges in detecting infrastructure outages

## 1. Capturing the infrastructure-level hops between ASes




# Challenges in detecting infrastructure outages

## 1. Capturing the infrastructure-level hops between ASes





# Challenges in detecting infrastructure outages

1. Capturing the infrastructure-level hops between ASes
2. Correlating the paths from multiple vantage points




# Challenges in detecting infrastructure outages

1. Capturing the infrastructure-level hops between ASes
2. Correlating the paths from multiple vantage points
3. Continuous monitoring of the routing system



# Challenges in detecting infrastructure outages

1. Capturing the infrastructure-level hops between ASes
2. Correlating the paths from multiple vantage points
3. Continuous monitoring of the routing system




# Challenges in detecting infrastructure outages

1. Capturing the infrastructure-level hops between ASes X BGP
2. Correlating the paths from multiple vantage points ✓ BGP
3. Continuous monitoring of the routing system ✓ BGP



# Challenges in detecting infrastructure outages


1. Capturing the infrastructure-level hops between ASes X BGP
2. Correlating the paths from multiple vantage points ✓ BGP
3. Continuous monitoring of the routing system ✓ BGP



# Challenges in detecting infrastructure outages

1. Capturing the infrastructure-level hops between ASes
2. Correlating the paths from multiple vantage points
3. Continuous monitoring of the routing system

	BGP		Traceroute
	BGP		Traceroute
	BGP		Traceroute



IP-to-Facility<sup>3,4</sup> and IP-to-IXP<sup>5</sup> mapping **possible** but **expensive!**

<sup>3</sup> Giotsas, Vasileios, et al. "Mapping peering interconnections to a facility", CoNEXT 2015

<sup>4</sup> Motamedi, Reza, et al. "On the Geography of X-Connects", Technical Report CIS-TR-2014-02. University of Oregon, 2014

<sup>5</sup> Nomikos, George, et al. "tralXroute: Detecting IXPs in traceroute paths.". PAM 2016

# Challenges in detecting infrastructure outages

1. Capturing the infrastructure-level hops between ASes
2. Correlating the paths from multiple vantage points
3. Continuous monitoring of the routing system

BGP	Traceroute
BGP	Traceroute
BGP	Traceroute

Can we combine **continuous passive** measurements with **fine-grained** topology discover?


# Challenges in detecting infrastructure outages

1. Capturing the infrastructure-level hops between ASes
2. Correlating the paths from multiple vantage points
3. Continuous monitoring of the routing system


	BGP		Traceroute
	BGP		Traceroute
	BGP		Traceroute



# Deciphering location metadata in BGP




# Deciphering location metadata in BGP




## BGP Communities:

- Optional attribute
- 32-bit numerical values
- Encodes **arbitrary** metadata

# Deciphering location metadata in BGP




# Deciphering location metadata in BGP




The BGP Community **2:200** is used to tag routes received at **Facility 2**

# Deciphering location metadata in BGP




# Deciphering location metadata in BGP



Multiple communities can tag different types of ingress points.


# Deciphering location metadata in BGP




When a route changes ingress point, the community values will be updated to reflect the change.

# Interpreting BGP Communities

- Community values not standardized.
- Documentation in public data sources:
  - WHOIS, NOCs websites
- 3,049 communities by 468 ASes





# Topological coverage





- **~50% of IPv4 and ~30% of IPv6 paths annotated with at least one Community in our dictionary.**
- **24% of the facilities in PeeringDB, 98% of the facilities with at least 20 members.**

# Passive outage detection: Initialization





For each vantage point (**VP**) collect all the **stable** BGP routes tagged with the communities of the target facility (Facility 2)

# Passive outage detection: Initialization




For each vantage point (**VP**) collect all the **stable** BGP routes tagged with the communities of the target facility (Facility 2)

# Passive outage detection: Monitoring




Track the BGP updates of the stable paths for changes in the communities values that indicate ingress point change.



# Passive outage detection: Monitoring



We don't care about AS-level path changes if the ingress-tagging communities remain the same.





# Passive outage detection: Outage signal





- Concurrent changes of communities values for the same facility.
- Indication of outage but not final inference yet!

# Passive outage detection: Outage signal





- Concurrent changes of communities values for the same facility.
- Indication of outage but not final inference yet!

# Passive outage detection: Outage signal



- Concurrent changes of communities values for the same facility.
- Indication of outage but not final inference yet!



# Passive outage detection: Outage signal



## Signal investigation:


- Targeted active measurements.
- How disjoint are the affected paths?
- How many ASes and links have been affected?

# Passive outage detection: Outage tracking




End of outage inferred when the majority of paths return to the original facility.

# De-noising of BGP routing activity




The aggregated activity of BGP messages (updates, withdrawals, states) provides no outage indication.

# De-noising of BGP routing activity



The aggregated activity of BGP messages ([updates](#), [withdrawals](#), [states](#)) provides no outage indication.





The BGP activity filtered using communities provides **strong outage signal**.



# Outage localization is more complicated!

- The location of community values that trigger outage signals may not be the outage source!
- Communities encode the ingress point closest to our VPs (near-end infrastructure)
  - ASes may be interconnected over multiple intermediate infrastructures
  - Failures in intermediate infrastructures may affect the near-end infrastructure paths



# Outage localization is more complicated!





# Outage localization is more complicated!





# Outage localization is more complicated!



# Outage localization is more complicated!





# Outage localization is more complicated!




# Outage source disambiguation and localization

- Create **high-resolution co-location maps**:
  - AS to Facilities, AS to IXPs, IXPs to Facilities
  - Sources: PeeringDB, DataCenterMap, operator websites
- Decorrelate the behaviour of affected ASes based on their infrastructure colocation.


# Outage localization is more complicated!



# Outage localization is more complicated!





Far-end ASes  
colocated in Facility 3





# Outage source disambiguation and localization

Paths not investigated in aggregated manner, but at the granularity of separate (AS, Facility) co-locations.




# Outage source disambiguation and localization

Paths not investigated in aggregated manner, but at the granularity of separate (AS, Facility) co-locations.




# Detecting peering infrastructure outages in the wild




- **159** outages in 5 years of BGP data
  - 76% of the outages not reported in popular mailing lists/websites
- Validation through status reports, direct feedback, social media
  - 90% accuracy, 93% precision (for trackable PoPs)

# Effect of outages on Service Level Agreements




- ~70% of failed facilities below 99.999% uptime
- ~50% of failed IXPs below 99.99% uptime
- 5% of failed infrastructures below 99.9% uptime!

# Measuring the impact of outages



> 56 % of the affected links in different country, > 20% in different continent!



Median RTT rises by > 100 ms for rerouted paths during AMS-IX outage.

# Conclusions

- **Timely and accurate** infrastructure-level outage detection through **passive** BGP monitoring
- Majority of outages not (widely) reported
- Remote peering and infrastructure interdependencies **amplify** the impact of local incidents
- **Hard evidence** on outages can improve accountability, transparency and resilience strategies



# Thank you!

---