

Tephigram Method for Bounding Intensity of Tropical Cyclones

ambient: $P_{amb}(z)$, $T_{amb}(z)$, $RH(z)$ from $T(p)$, $RH(p)$ data
 for a convectively unstable ambient
 moist adiabat;

- (1) lifting condensation level for sea-level-ambient air
 (expanding to saturation on a dry adiabat,
 γ held const)

$$\gamma = \frac{P_0}{P} = \frac{\sigma RH_{ref} P(T_{ref})}{P_{ref}} = \frac{\sigma P(T_{lcl})}{P_{lcl}}$$

ref state
 is sea level
 at the periphery
 ($r=r_0$, $z=0$)

$$P \sim P^\gamma \Rightarrow \frac{P_{lcl}}{P_{ref}} = \left(\frac{T_{lcl}}{T_{ref}} \right)^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma}$$

$$\therefore \frac{(RH)_{ref} P(T_{ref})}{(T_{ref})^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma}} = \frac{P(T_{lcl})}{(T_{lcl})^{(\gamma-1)/\gamma}} \Rightarrow \frac{P_{lcl}}{P_{ref}} = \left(\frac{T_{lcl}}{T_{ref}} \right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}}$$

$$\text{also, } P_{lcl} = \frac{P_{lcl}}{RT_{lcl}}$$

- (2) locus of
 moist
 adiabat

$$c_p dT + LG d[P(T)/P] - dP/P \approx 0$$

$$\left[c_p + \frac{LG dP(T)/dT}{P} \right] dT = + \left[\frac{RT}{P} + \frac{LG P(T)}{P^2} \right] dP$$

integrate $\frac{dT}{dP} = \frac{RT/P + LG P(T)/P^2}{c_p + \frac{LG}{P} \frac{dP(T)}{dT}}$ from LCL

call this $T_{moist}(p)$

find p_t : $T_{moist}(p_t) = T_{amb}(p_t)$; assign

P_t, T_t, z_t to this state, where $P_{amb}(z_t) = P_t$

assigns z_t ; $T_{moist}(P_t) = T_{amb}(P_t) = T_t$

subscript t
 refers to the
 led (or tropopause)

$$\frac{dP_{moist}}{dz} = - \rho_{moist} \frac{dT_{moist}/dz}{T_{moist}} = \frac{RT_{moist}/P_{moist} + \dots}{c_p + \frac{LG}{P_{moist}} \frac{dP(T_{moist})}{dT_{moist}}}$$

$$P_{moist}(z_t) = P_t, T_{moist}(z_t) = T_t$$

find $P_{moist}(0)$, $T_{moist}(0)$ -- be sure $P_{moist} \neq P_{lcl}$

iterate up/down $P_{moist}(0)$, $T_{moist}(0)$ is recovered

eye :

$$c_p T_z + \frac{L \delta(P(T_z))}{P_z} + g z_z \equiv H_z$$

at the tropopause, the moist content is negligible

$$c_p T_{eye} + L \delta(RH)_{eye} \frac{P(T_{eye})}{P_{eye}} + g z = H_z$$

can differentiate with respect to z to get ordinary differential equation

$(RH)_{eye}$ taken as const

assign $0 < (RH)_{eye} < 1$ {eye is unsaturated but not necessarily totally dry}

$$\frac{dP_{eye}}{dz} = -P_{eye} g, \quad P_{eye} = P_{eye} R T_{eye}$$

integrate from $z = z_z$ to $z = 0$

$P_{eye}(0)$ is to be compared to $P_{moist}(0)$,

$P_{moist}(0)$

- now suppose at some altitude $z_{undermin}$ (assigned), moist-adiabatic (saturated) air lies under unsaturated eye air:

$$(RH) = \begin{cases} (RH)_{eye}, & z < z_{undermin} \\ 1, & 0 \leq z < z_{undermin} \end{cases}$$

There is a contact surface at $z = z_{undermin}$, but no shock: P, T, γ may be discontinuous but p is continuous. From $z_{undermin} > z \geq 0$,

there is no change in energy

$$c_p T_{undermin} + L \delta \frac{P(T_{undermin})}{P_{undermin}} + g z_{undermin} = H_z$$

$$\frac{dP_{undermin}}{dz} = -P_{undermin} g, \quad P_{undermin} = P_{undermin} R T_{undermin}$$

now $P_{undermin}(0)$ is to be compared to $P_{moist}(0)$,

$P_{moist}(0)$

conversion to an intensity (peak swirl):

$$\rho \frac{v^2}{r} \approx \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \Rightarrow v_{\max}^2 = \frac{\int_0^{r_{\text{ref}}} \rho p \, dr}{\int_0^{r_{\text{ref}}} \rho \frac{v^2(r)}{r_{\max}} dr}$$

$$v_{\max} = \frac{1}{\rho^{1/2}} \frac{[P_{\text{ref}} - p(0)]^{1/2}}{\left[\int_0^{\infty} \frac{v^2(r)}{r_{\max}} dx \right]^{1/2}} \quad r \equiv \frac{R}{r_{\max}}$$

$$\frac{v(r)}{v_{\max}} = \begin{cases} r, & 0 \leq r \leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{r}, & 1 \leq r \leq \infty \end{cases}$$

Rankine vortex
rigidly rotating core
patched to potential vortex

$$\therefore v_{\max} = \frac{[P_{\text{ref}} - p(0)]^{1/2}}{\rho^{1/2}} \quad \text{since} \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{v^2(r)}{r_{\max}} \frac{1}{x} dx = 1$$

for a Rankine vortex

• Conclusion from calculation: $[P_{\text{ref}} - p(0)]^{1/2}$ is much larger because $p(0)$ is much smaller if there is an eye, for then $p(0) \equiv p_{\text{eye}}(0)$. However: \rightarrow too moist an eye, and for too much undercurrent even at the vertical central axis, it's problematic.

Then, $p(0) \approx p_{\text{moist}}(0)$
and $[P_{\text{ref}} - p(0)]^{1/2}$ is much smaller.