

Jet evolution in a dense QCD medium

Linnéa Gräns Samuelsson

Internship at CEA Saclay

Supervisors: Edmond Iancu and Gregory Soyez


June 29, 2017

- *the medium: a quark gluon plasma created in a heavy ion collision*
- *the jet: a collimated spray of particles generated via successive branchings of a parton with high energy produced in the collision*

Structure of presentation:


- Context
- Physics
- Stochastics
- Numerics

Heavy ion collisions, quark gluon plasma and jets, what we observe:





Di-jet asymmetry. Missing energy found among soft hadrons propagating at large angles \Rightarrow different from in vacuum jet evolution.

Heavy ion collisions, quark gluon plasma and jets,
the stereotypical picture:



Implicit assumption: small energy fluctuations.



We need a model that answers:


- How can the lost energy end up at such large angles?
- How large are the energy fluctuations?

Physical picture


In medium scattering triggers emissions:

- Destroys quantum coherence
- Gives broadening of transverse momentum

Formation time: $\lambda_{\perp} < \Delta x_{\perp} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{k_{\perp}} < \frac{k_{\perp}}{\omega} \Delta t_f$.



$\Delta t_f \gg \text{mean free path} \gg \text{Debye length} \Rightarrow \text{multiple scatterings}$
 $\text{lead to one emission and scattering centers are independent} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{random walk} \Rightarrow \langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle \sim \hat{q} \Delta t.$



All together: $\Delta t_f \sim \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\hat{q}}}$ and $\theta_f = \left(\frac{\hat{q}}{\omega^3}\right)^{1/4}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{we favour soft gluons at large angles!}$


If instead ω energy of parent: $\Delta P(z, \omega, \Delta t) \sim \alpha_s \Delta t / [\Delta t_f(z\omega)]$

- For $z\omega \sim \omega_{br}$, $\Delta P(z, \omega) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ at $\Delta t = L$
- For ω_{br} , $P(z, \omega_{br}) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ for $\Delta t < L$, even for $z \sim 1 - z$

$$\Delta P \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$$

\Rightarrow multiple branching!

A typical event:



- A number of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ of primary gluons with $\omega \sim \omega_{br}$ emitted from leading particle.
- They then branch democratically, transferring away all energy.
- Hence both energy loss and its fluctuations on the scale ω_{br} .

Markov process


In medium evolution as a Markovian process. Branching rate from BDMPSTZ spectrum:

$$\frac{d^2 P(z, \tau)}{dz d\tau} = \frac{K(z)}{2\sqrt{x}} \equiv K(x, z).$$

Splitting kernel: $K(z) = \frac{[1-z(1-z)]^{5/2}}{[z(1-z)]^{3/2}}.$


Simplified: $K(z) = \frac{1}{[z(1-z)]^{3/2}}.$

Get equation for the one point function $D(x, \tau) \equiv xn(x, \tau)$



and similarly (but longer) for the two-point function $D^{(2)}(x, x', \tau) \equiv xx' n^{(2)}(x, x', \tau).$

Simple kernel:




- Energy fraction left in gluon cascade:
 $\int_0^1 dx D(x, \tau) = e^{-\pi\tau^2} \Rightarrow$ decreasing in time.
- Formally: condensate at $x = 0$. Physically: thermalization.
- At small τ , loss $\simeq \pi\omega_{br}$.
- $D^{(2)}(x, x', \tau)$ used to find fluctuations. To order τ^4 :

$$\sigma_\epsilon(\tau) \simeq \langle \epsilon(\tau) \rangle / \sqrt{3}$$

Monte Carlo simulation

Result: full kernel \Rightarrow less efficient branching

$$\sqrt{x}D(x)$$



Simple splitting kernel, numerical versus analytic:

- Good agreement overall
- Bias at small x (pileup)

Corrections from the full splitting kernel:

- Leading peak still present at $\tau = 0.5$
- Less energy lost at $\tau = 1$

Recall:



Simple $K(z) = \frac{1}{[z(1-z)]^{3/2}}$
Full $K(z) = \frac{[1-z(1-z)]^{5/2}}{[z(1-z)]^{3/2}}$

Monte Carlo simulation


Result: full kernel \Rightarrow less efficient branching

$$\sqrt{x}D(x)$$

$$xD^2(x, x)$$



Energy loss: $1 - \int_0^1 dx D(x, \tau) = 1 - e^{-\pi\tau^2}$



Summary:

- Democratic branchings \Rightarrow energy found at large angles
- Prediction: large fluctuations in energy loss
- Possible to make Monte Carlo simulation
- Full kernel \Rightarrow less efficient branching
- Full and simple kernel have same behaviour *qualitatively*

THE END

Questions?