

# Introduction to Dependent Types

## Eagan Technology Unconference

Joseph Ching

September 22, 2015

# Table of Contents

## 1 Preface

# Table of Contents

1 Preface

2 Review of Basics

# Table of Contents

- 1 Preface
- 2 Review of Basics
- 3 What is Dependent Type

# Table of Contents

- 1 Preface
- 2 Review of Basics
- 3 What is Dependent Type
- 4 Steps toward Dependent Types

# Table of Contents

- 1 Preface
- 2 Review of Basics
- 3 What is Dependent Type
- 4 Steps toward Dependent Types
- 5 Questions

# Section Outline

## 1 Preface

## Quick Question

How many are familiar with this topic?



# A Joke

This is not a  $\mathsf{m-}$  tutorial.

# A Joke

This is not a `m-` tutorial.  
Nor is it a `lens` tutorial

# A Joke

This is not a `m-` tutorial.

Nor is it a `lens` tutorial (aka the new new `m-` tutorial...)

# A Joke

This is not a `m-` tutorial.

Nor is it a `lens` tutorial (aka the new new `m-` tutorial...

...because arrows *were* the new `m-` tutorials).

# About This Talk

Agda, Idris, Coq and  $\text{co}^*$  have full support for dependent types.

# About This Talk

Agda, Idris, Coq and  $\text{co}^*$  have full support for dependent types.

Because of that, it's harder to see the build up, so we won't be directly using them in this talk.

# About This Talk

Agda, Idris, Coq and  $\text{co}^*$  have full support for dependent types.

Because of that, it's harder to see the build up, so we won't be directly using them in this talk.

*Honestly though, it's because they're way over my head :(*

*(\*) There was another mini joke here. . .*

# About This Talk

But we will be using Haskell though :)



# About This Talk

But we will be using Haskell though :)

It's not truly dependent, but we can do more and more with each language extension that comes along.

# About This Talk

But we will be using Haskell though :)

It's not truly dependent, but we can do more and more with each language extension that comes along.

For the examples, there will also be *very* loose translation to imperative/OOP. Though please keep in mind that these are merely syntax translations, the actual concepts can differ vastly.

# Section Outline

- 2 Review of Basics
  - Values and Types
  - Defining Data Types
  - Functions

# Values and Types

Values has Types, or Values are classified by Types.

```
..., -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, ... :: Int
```

# Values and Types

Values has Types, or Values are classified by Types.

```
..., -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, ... :: Int
```

```
True, False :: Bool
```

# Values and Types

Values has Types, or Values are classified by Types.

```
..., -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, ... :: Int
```

```
True, False :: Bool
```

```
'a', 'b', 'c' :: Char
```

# Values and Types

Values has Types, or Values are classified by Types.

```
..., -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, ... :: Int
```

```
True, False :: Bool
```

```
'a', 'b', 'c' :: Char
```

```
"abc" :: String ~ [Char]
```

Values are also called Terms

# About Types

How are data types defined?



# About Types

How are data types defined?

- Some are built in magic: `Int`, `Char`, functions

# About Types

How are data types defined?

- Some are built in magic: `Int`, `Char`, functions
- Some are built in sugar: list, tuples
  - We can define equivalent non-sugar-ed version ourselves

# About Types

How are data types defined?

- Some are built in magic: `Int`, `Char`, functions
- Some are built in sugar: `list`, `tuples`
  - We can define equivalent non-sugar-ed version ourselves
- Rest can be user defined: `Bool`, `String`, `Maybe`

# About Types

What are the data types like?

# About Types

What are the data types like?

- Multiple **Value** constructors

# About Types

What are the data types like?

- Multiple **Value** constructors
- Parametrize over another type

# About Types

What are the data types like?

- Multiple **Value** constructors
- Parametrize over another type
- Recursive definition

# About Types

What are the data types like?

- Multiple **Value** constructors
- Parametrize over another type
- Recursive definition
- Synonyms of other types



# About Types

What are the data types like?

- Multiple **Value** constructors
- Parametrize over another type
- Recursive definition
- Synonyms of other types
- A combination of the above

# Defining Data Types

Define new data type with `data`.

# Defining Data Types

Define new data type with `data`.

- Left hand side (LHS) - `Type` constructor
- Right hand side (RHS) - `Value` constructor

# Defining Data Types

Define new data type with `data`.

- Left hand side (`LHS`) - `Type` constructor
- Right hand side (`RHS`) - `Value` constructor

`Type` and `Value` constructors are capticalized.

# Our First Example!

Define a person:

```
-- | params for firstname , lastname , age respectively  
data Person = Person String String Int
```

# Our First Example!

Define a person:

```
-- | params for firstname , lastname , age respectively  
data Person = Person String String Int
```

A loose translation:

```
enum Person {  
  Person(String firstname, String lastname, Int age)  
}
```

# Our First Example!

Define a person:

```
-- | params for firstname , lastname , age respectively  
data Person = Person String String Int
```

A loose translation:

```
enum Person {  
  Person(String firstname, String lastname, Int age)  
}
```

In this example, the **Type** and **Value** constructor have the same name. The **Type** of the **Person** constructor:

```
Person :: String -> String -> Int -> Person
```

```
bobby :: Person
```

```
bobby = Person "Bobby" "Smith" 23
```

# Our First Example!

Define a person:

```
-- | params for firstname, lastname, age respectively
data Person = Person String String Int
```

A loose translation:

```
enum Person {
  Person(String firstname, String lastname, Int age)
}
```

In this example, the **Type** and **Value** constructor have the same name. The **Type** of the **Person** constructor:

```
Person :: String -> String -> Int -> Person
```

```
bobby :: Person
bobby = Person "Bobby" "Smith" 23
```

```
-- a loose translation:
Person bobby = new Person("Bobby", "Smith", 23)
```



# Multiple Value Constructors

Data can have multiple **Value** constructors:

```
data Bool = False | True
```

```
data Weekdays = Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday  
               | Thursday | Friday | Saturday
```

*Does this remind you of anything?*

# Multiple Value Constructors

Data can have multiple **Value** constructors:

```
data Bool = False | True
```

```
data Weekdays = Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday  
               | Thursday | Friday | Saturday
```

*Does this remind you of anything?*

A loose translation:

```
enum Bool { False, True }
```

```
enum Weekdays {  
    Sunday, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday,  
    Saturday  
}
```

# Multiple Value Constructor

You can do type aliasing with `type`:

```
type Side = Double  
type Radius = Double
```

# Multiple Value Constructor

You can do type aliasing with `type`:

```
type Side = Double  
type Radius = Double
```

For example:

```
data Shape = Triangle Side Side Side  
          | Rectangle Side Side  
          | Circle Radius
```

# Multiple Value Constructor

You can do type aliasing with `type`:

```
type Side = Double
type Radius = Double
```

For example:

```
data Shape = Triangle Side Side Side
           | Rectangle Side Side
           | Circle Radius
```

A loose translation:

```
enum Shape {
  Triangle(Double side1, Double side2, Double side3),
  Rectangle(Double length, Double width),
  Circle(Double radius)
}
```

# Multiple Value Constructor

Recall  $\text{Side} \sim \text{Radius} \sim \text{Double}$ :

```
data Shape = Triangle Side Side Side
           | Rectangle Side Side
           | Circle Radius
```

# Multiple Value Constructor

Recall  $\text{Side} \sim \text{Radius} \sim \text{Double}$ :

```
data Shape = Triangle Side Side Side
           | Rectangle Side Side
           | Circle Radius
```

Types of the **Value** constructors:

```
Triangle  :: Side -> Side -> Side -> Shape
Rectangle :: Side -> Side -> Shape
Circle    :: Radius -> Shape
```

# Multiple Value Constructor

Recall  $\text{Side} \sim \text{Radius} \sim \text{Double}$ :

```
data Shape = Triangle Side Side Side
           | Rectangle Side Side
           | Circle Radius
```

Types of the **Value** constructors:

```
Triangle  :: Side -> Side -> Side -> Shape
Rectangle :: Side -> Side -> Shape
Circle    :: Radius -> Shape
```

Example **Shapes**:

```
myTri, myRect, myCir :: Shape
myTri  = Triangle 2.1 3.2 5
myRect = Rectangle 4 4
myCir  = Circle 7.2
```



# Parametrization

Types can parametrize over another type:

```
data Identity a = Identity a
```

# Parametrization

Types can parametrize over another type:

```
data Identity a = Identity a
```

A loose translation:

```
enum Identity<A> {  
  Identity(A a)  
}
```

# Parametrization

Types can parametrize over another type:

```
data Identity a = Identity a
```

A loose translation:

```
enum Identity<A> {  
  Identity(A a)  
}
```

The `Type` of the `Identity` constructor:

```
Identity :: a -> Identity a
```

```
intIdwrtSum :: Identity Int  
intIdwrtSum = Identity 0
```

# Tuple

Parametrize over 2 types - 2-tuple!

```
data Tuple a b = Tuple a b
```

# Tuple

Parametrize over 2 types - 2-tuple!

```
data Tuple a b = Tuple a b
```

A loose translation:

```
enum Tuple<A, B> {  
  Tuple(A a, B b)  
}
```

# Tuple

Parametrize over 2 types - 2-tuple!

```
data Tuple a b = Tuple a b
```

A loose translation:

```
enum Tuple<A, B> {  
  Tuple(A a, B b)  
}
```

With:

```
Tuple :: a -> b -> Tuple a b
```

# Tuple

Actual built-in sugar:

```
data Tuple a b = Tuple a b
=> data (,) a b = (,) a b
=> data (a, b) = (a, b)
```

# Tuple

Actual built-in sugar:

```
data Tuple a b = Tuple a b
=> data (,) a b = (,) a b
=> data (a, b) = (a, b)
```

An example:

```
type Employed = Bool

barbara, chet, luffy :: (Person, Employed)
barbara = (Person "Barbara" "Sakura" 30, True)
chet    = (Person "Chet" "Awesome-Laser" 2, False)
luffy   = (Person "Luff D." "Monkey" 19, False)
```



# Maybe

Like `Bool`, but parametrize an `a` over the `True` part:

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
```

# Maybe

Like `Bool`, but parametrize an `a` over the `True` part:

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
```

A loose translation:

```
enum Maybe<A> {  
  Nothing,  
  Just(A a)  
}
```

# Maybe

Like `Bool`, but parametrize an `a` over the `True` part:

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
```

A loose translation:

```
enum Maybe<A> {  
  Nothing,  
  Just(A a)  
}
```

The `Types` of the two `Value` constructors:

```
Nothing :: Maybe a  
Just    :: a -> Maybe a
```

# Maybe

From previous slide:

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
```

# Maybe

From previous slide:

```
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
```

Say more with the occupation:

```
type Occupation = Maybe String
```

```
barbara, chet, luffy :: (Person, Occupation)  
barbara = (Person "Barbara" "Sakura" 30, Just "dancer")  
chet    = (Person "Chet" "Awesome-Laser" 2, Nothing)  
luffy   = (Person "Luff D." "Monkey" 19, Just "pirate")
```

# Either

Like `Bool`, but parametrize over both `True` and `False`:

```
data Either a b = Left a | Right b
```

# Either

Like `Bool`, but parametrize over both `True` and `False`:

```
data Either a b = Left a | Right b
```

A loose translation:

```
enum Either<A, B> {  
  Left(A a),  
  Right(B b)  
}
```

# Either

Like `Bool`, but parametrize over both `True` and `False`:

```
data Either a b = Left a | Right b
```

A loose translation:

```
enum Either<A, B> {  
  Left(A a),  
  Right(B b)  
}
```

The two `Value` constructors have `Types`:

```
Left  :: a -> Either a b  
Right :: b -> Either a b
```



# Either

From previous slide:

```
data Either a b = Left a | Right b
```

# Either

From previous slide:

```
data Either a b = Left a | Right b
```

Refine with more details:

```
type Earning = Either String Int

barbara, chet, luffy :: (Person, Earning)
barbara = (Person "Barbara" "Sakura" 30,
           Right 100000)
chet    = (Person "Chet" "Awesome-Laser" 2,
           Left "Is a baby")
luffy   = (Person "Luff D." "Monkey" 19,
           Right 2000000)
```

# Types with Recursion

Natural number:

```
data Nat = Z | S Nat
```

```
Z :: Nat
```

```
S :: Nat -> Nat
```

# Types with Recursion

Natural number:

```
data Nat = Z | S Nat
```

```
Z :: Nat
```

```
S :: Nat -> Nat
```

A loose translation:

```
enum Nat {  
  Z,  
  S(Nat n)  
}
```

# Types with Recursion

Natural number:

```
data Nat = Z | S Nat
```

```
Z :: Nat
```

```
S :: Nat -> Nat
```

```
0 ~ Z
```

```
1 ~ S Z
```

```
2 ~ S (S Z)
```

```
3 ~ S (S (S Z))
```

# Types with Recursion

List - recursive type while parametrize over another type:

```
data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)
```

```
Nil :: List a
```

```
Cons :: a -> List a -> List a
```

# Types with Recursion

List - recursive type while parametrize over another type:

```
data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)
```

```
Nil :: List a
```

```
Cons :: a -> List a -> List a
```

A loose translation:

```
enum List<A> {  
  Nil,  
  Cons(A a, List<A> as)  
}
```

# Types with Recursion

Actual built-in sugared version is something like:

```
data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)
=> data [] a = [] | (:) a ([] a)
=> data [a] = [] | a : [a]
```



# Types with Recursion

Actual built-in sugared version is something like:

```
data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)
=> data [] a = [] | (:) a ([] a)
=> data [a] = [] | a : [a]
```

De-sugar that list:

```
ints :: List Int
ints = Cons 1 (Cons 2 (Cons 3 (Cons 4 Nil)))

-- built-in sugar
ints :: [] Int
ints = 1 : 2 : 3 : 4 : []

-- 2x the sugar!
ints :: [Int]
ints = [1, 2, 3, 4]
```

# Functions

Maps **Values** of a **Type** to another **Type**:

```
even :: Int -> Bool
even 0 = True
even n = if rem n 2 == 0
         then True
         else False
```

# Functions

Maps **Values** of a **Type** to another **Type**:

```
even :: Int -> Bool
even 0 = True
even n = if rem n 2 == 0
         then True
         else False
```

Not as loose translation:

```
static Bool even (Int n) {
  switch n:
    case n == 0:
      return True;
  default:
    if rem(n, 2) == 0
      return True;
    else
      return False;
}
```

# Functions with Recursion

Use recursion for recursive types:

```
toInt :: Nat -> Int
toInt Z    = 0
toInt (S n) = 1 + toInt n
```

# Functions with Recursion

Use recursion for recursive types:

```
toInt :: Nat -> Int
toInt Z   = 0
toInt (S n) = 1 + toInt n
```

Not as loose translation:

```
static Int toInt (Nat n) {
  switch n:
  case Z:
    return 0;
  case (S m): -- n ~ (S m)
    return 1 + toInt(m);
}
```

# Type of Functions

Q: Actual type of functions?

# Type of Functions

Q: Actual type of functions?

A: Build in magic, it's called the *function arrow*, something like:

```
data (->) a b = implementation
```

```
even  :: Int -> Bool
```

```
toInt :: Nat -> Int
```

# Functions with Parametric Polymorphism

Functions can be parametric:

```
id :: a -> a
id a = a
```



# Functions with Parametric Polymorphism

Functions can be parametric:

```
id :: a -> a
id a = a
```

Not as loose translation:

```
static A id<A>(A a) {
  return a;
}
```

# Functions with Parametric Polymorphism

Functions can be parametric:

```
append :: [a] -> [a] -> [a]
append []      ys = ys
append (x:xs) ys = x : append xs ys
```

# Functions with Parametric Polymorphism

Functions can be parametric:

```
append :: [a] -> [a] -> [a]
append []      ys = ys
append (x:xs) ys = x : append xs ys
```

A translation:

```
static List<A> append(List<A> l1, List<A> l2) {
  switch l1:
    case Nil:
      return l2;
    case Cons(x, xs):
      List<A> rest = append(xs, l2);
      return Cons(x, rest);
}
```

# Higher-order Functions

Functions that take functions as params:

```
-- actual name is ($)
apply :: (a -> b) -> a -> b
apply f x = f x

-- actual name is (.)
compose :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> (a -> c)
compose f g = \x -> f (g x)
```

# Higher-order Functions

Functions that take functions as params:

```
-- actual name is ($)
apply :: (a -> b) -> a -> b
apply f x = f x

-- actual name is (.)
compose :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> (a -> c)
compose f g = \x -> f (g x)
```

Yay translations:

```
static B apply(Func<A, B> f, A a) {
    return f(a);
}

static Func<A,C> compose(Func<B,C> f, Func<A,B> g) {
    return x => f(g(x));
}
```

# More Functions Examples

*map:*

```
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
map f []          = []
map f (x:xs)      = f x : map f xs
```

# More Functions Examples

*map*:

```
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
map f []      = []
map f (x:xs) = f x : map f xs
```

A translation:

```
static List<B> map(Func<A,B> f, List<A> la) {
  switch la:
  case Nil:
    return Nil;
  case Cons(a, as):
    B b = f(a)
    List<B> rest = map(f, as);
    return Cons(b, rest);
}
```

# More Functions Examples

*zip:*

```
zip :: [a] -> [b] -> [(a,b)]
zip []      ys      = []
zip xs      []      = []
zip (x:xs) (y:ys) = (x,y) : zip xs ys
```



# More Functions Examples

*zip:*

```
zip :: [a] -> [b] -> [(a,b)]
zip []      ys      = []
zip xs      []      = []
zip (x:xs) (y:ys) = (x,y) : zip xs ys
```

A translation:

```
static List<Tuple<A,B>> zip(List<A> l1, List<A> l2) {
  switch l1:
    case Nil:
      return Nil;
    case Cons(a, as):
      switch l2:
        case Nil:
          return Nil;
        case Cons(b, bs):
          Tuple<A,B> front = Tuple(a, b);
          List<Tuple<A,B>> rest = zip(as, bs);
          return Cons(front, rest);
}
```

# Section Outline

- 3 What is Dependent Type
  - $\lambda$ -Calculus
  - Extensions on  $\lambda$ -calculus

# $\lambda$ -Calculus

So far, we have seen:

# $\lambda$ -Calculus

So far, we have seen:

- function application
- function abstraction (aka higher-order functions)

# $\lambda$ -Calculus

So far, we have seen:

- function application
- function abstraction (aka higher-order functions)
- variable binding

# $\lambda$ -Calculus

So far, we have seen:

- function application
- function abstraction (aka higher-order functions)
- variable binding
- substitution

# $\lambda$ -Calculus

So far, we have seen:

- function application
- function abstraction (aka higher-order functions)
- variable binding
- substitution

$\Rightarrow$  basis for simply typed  $\lambda$ -calculus.

# $\lambda$ -Calculus

Q: Sure, but can we have more?



# $\lambda$ -Calculus

Q: Sure, but can we have more?

A: Yes, extend  $\lambda$ -calculus so we can have more forms of abstractions.

# $\lambda$ -Calculus

Q: Sure, but can we have more?

A: Yes, extend  $\lambda$ -calculus so we can have more forms of abstractions.

Q: But how?

# $\lambda$ -Calculus

Q: Sure, but can we have more?

A: Yes, extend  $\lambda$ -calculus so we can have more forms of abstractions.

Q: But how?

A: What if I told you...

# $\lambda$ -Calculus

Q: Sure, but can we have more?

A: Yes, extend  $\lambda$ -calculus so we can have more forms of abstractions.

Q: But how?

A: What if I told you...

...you already know at least 2 axes of extension :)

# Subtype Polymorphism

Given data types  $T$  and  $P$ , if there is a relation between  $T$  and  $P$  by some notion of substitutability with  $T$  in place of  $P$ , then we say  $T$  is a *subtype* of the *supertype*  $P$ , denoted by  $T <: P$ .

# Subtype Polymorphism

Given data types  $T$  and  $P$ , if there is a relation between  $T$  and  $P$  by some notion of substitutability with  $T$  in place of  $P$ , then we say  $T$  is a *subtype* of the *supertype*  $P$ , denoted by  $T <: P$ .

The name for this extension of  $\lambda$ -calculus is called *subtype polymorphism* and is denoted by  $\lambda_{<:}$ .

# Subtype Polymorphism

Given data types  $T$  and  $P$ , if there is a relation between  $T$  and  $P$  by some notion of substitutability with  $T$  in place of  $P$ , then we say  $T$  is a *subtype* of the *supertype*  $P$ , denoted by  $T <: P$ .

The name for this extension of  $\lambda$ -calculus is called *subtype polymorphism* and is denoted by  $\lambda_{<:}$ .  
=> Object Oriented Programming.

# Subtype Polymorphism

Given data types  $T$  and  $P$ , if there is a relation between  $T$  and  $P$  by some notion of substitutability with  $T$  in place of  $P$ , then we say  $T$  is a *subtype* of the *supertype*  $P$ , denoted by  $T <: P$ .

The name for this extension of  $\lambda$ -calculus is called *subtype polymorphism* and is denoted by  $\lambda_{<:}$ .  
 $\Rightarrow$  Object Oriented Programming.

Though this is not an axis that we are interested in.



# Parametric Polymorphism

Introduce a mechanism of universal quantification over **Types**:

**Types** can abstract over **Types**, allows for *generic data types* and *generic functions*.

# Parametric Polymorphism

Introduce a mechanism of universal quantification over **Types**:

**Types** can abstract over **Types**, allows for *generic data types* and *generic functions*.

=> Generic Programming.

# Parametric Polymorphism

Introduce a mechanism of universal quantification over **Types**:

**Types** can abstract over **Types**, allows for *generic data types* and *generic functions*.

=> Generic Programming.

Recall:

```
data [a] = [] | (:) a [a]
```

```
id :: a -> a
```

```
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
```

# Parametric Polymorphism

Introduce a mechanism of universal quantification over **Types**:

**Types** can abstract over **Types**, allows for *generic data types* and *generic functions*.

=> Generic Programming.

Recall:

```
data [a] = [] | (:) a [a]
```

```
id :: a -> a
```

```
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
```

The name for this extension is formally *second order  $\lambda$ -calculus*, aka *System F*, denoted by  $\lambda 2$ ,

# Value and Type Dependency

Rethinking function  $f :: a \rightarrow b$ :

$f$  maps **Values** of **Type**  $a$  to **Values** of **Type**  $b$

$\Rightarrow$  **Values** of **Type**  $b$  that we get depends on the **Value** of **Type**  $a$   
the function takes in

$\Rightarrow$  **Values** depending on **Values**

# Value and Type Dependency

Rethinking function  $f :: a \rightarrow b$ :

$f$  maps **Values** of **Type**  $a$  to **Values** of **Type**  $b$

$\Rightarrow$  **Values** of **Type**  $b$  that we get depends on the **Value** of **Type**  $a$   
the function takes in

$\Rightarrow$  **Values** depending on **Values**

```
even    :: Int -> Bool  
length :: [a] -> Int
```

# Value and Type Dependency

Then what about the other cases of dependencies?

# Value and Type Dependency

Then what about the other cases of dependencies?

- **Values** depending on **Values**: function



# Value and Type Dependency

Then what about the other cases of dependencies?

- **Values** depending on **Values**: function
- **Values** depending on **Types**: parametric polymorphism

# Value and Type Dependency

Then what about the other cases of dependencies?

- **Values** depending on **Values**: function
- **Values** depending on **Types**: parametric polymorphism
- **Types** depending on **Types**: type operators

# Value and Type Dependency

Then what about the other cases of dependencies?

- **Values** depending on **Values**: function
- **Values** depending on **Types**: parametric polymorphism
- **Types** depending on **Types**: type operators
- **Types** depending on **Values**: dependent types

# Section Outline

- 4 Steps toward Dependent Types
  - Kinds
  - Language Extensions

# Kinds

# GADTs

# KindSignatures

# ConstraintKinds



# Type Operators

# DataKinds

# Type Families

# Section Outline

## 5 Questions

Questions?