Slovenian inflectional stress without accented inflectional suffixes

Guy Tabachnick, Marko Simonović

University of Nova Gorica, University of Graz 31st Manchester Phonology Meeting

Summary

- ► Previous work: underlyingly unaccented Slovenian nouns have *stem-final* stress
- ► Common analysis cross-linguistically: underlyingly unaccented nouns have *mobile* stress (alternating between stem and suffix)
- ► Proposal: Slovenian mobile stress nouns have an underlying *floating accent* interacting with underlying *suffix length*

Stem-final = default

- ► The complex root causes any lexical accent to be erased
- ► Thus, default stress pattern is stem-final, cf. GEN.SG [nɔvóɪsti]

Mobile = default

- ► Inflectional stress patterns in e.g. Russian are typically analyzed as a three-way contrast in underlying stem accent (e.g. Halle 1973, Melvold 1989, Revithiadou 1999, see also Jurgec 2019):
- ▶ accent on syllable → stem stress
- ▶ floating accent → suffix stress
- no accent → mobile stress
 (accented suffixes are stressed, unaccented ones yield stem stress)
- ► But this would give unaccented [nɔvóɪst] 'newness' mobile stress like [dláɪn] 'palm'!
 - ► DAT.SG /nɔːv-oːst-iː/ \rightarrow [nɔvóːsti]
 - ► GEN.SG /nɔːv-oːst-íː/ \rightarrow *[nɔvɔstíː]

Phonological notes

- ► Unstressed vowels are always short, as is stressed [ə]
- ► Stressed vowels contrast for length in word-final syllables, otherwise long
- ► Mid vowels contrast for tenseness when long, otherwise lax
- ➤ Some [i] vowels in suffixes alternate with [eː] when long (cf. NOM.DU [gɔréː], [stəzí])

Mobile stress paradigms

	'palm' (feminine)								
	NOM	ACC	GEN	LOC	DAT	INS			
					dlá:ni		_	Ctuossod	
DU	dlaní:	dlaní:	dlan <mark>í:</mark>	dlan <mark>é:x</mark>	dlan <mark>é:m</mark>	a dlan <mark>é:m</mark>	a	Stressed	
PL	dlaní:	dlaní:	dlan <mark>í:</mark>	dlan <mark>é:x</mark>	dlan <mark>é:m</mark>	dlan <mark>mí:</mark>		suffixes	
			mount	ain' (fem	ninine)			have long	
	NOM	ACC	GEN	LOC	DAT	INS		vowels or a	
SG	gź:ra	gər <mark>ó:</mark>	gər <mark>é:</mark>	gźri	gź:ri	gər <mark>ó:</mark>		coda	
DU	gor <mark>é:</mark>	gər <mark>é:</mark>	gər <mark>á:</mark>	gər <mark>áx</mark>	gər <mark>á:ma</mark>	gər <mark>á:ma</mark>		Stressed	
PL	gər <mark>é:</mark>	gər <mark>é:</mark>	gər <mark>á:</mark>	gər <mark>áx</mark>	gər <mark>ám</mark>	gər <mark>á:mi</mark>		augment in	
	'material' (neuter)								
	NOM	ACC	GEN	LOC	DAT	INS		and plural	
SG	blagó:	blagó:	blag <mark>á:</mark>	blá:gu	bláːgu	bláːgɔm		for these	
DU	bláːgi	bláːgi	blá:g	blá:gix	bláːgɔma	a blá:gɔm	a	masculines	
PL	blá:ga	blá:ga	blá:g	blá:gix	blá:gəm	blá:gi			
			'world	l' (mascu	ıline)				
	NOM	ACC	GE	N LO	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{A}$	T I	NS		
SG	své:t	své:t	SUE	ct <mark>á:</mark> své	itu své	itu s	svéita	om	
DU	svet <mark>ó:v</mark>	a svet	iva sve	et <mark>św</mark> sue	t <mark>ó:v</mark> ix sve	t <mark>ó:v</mark> oma s	svet <mark>ó</mark>	<mark>:บ</mark> วma	
PL	svet <mark>ó:v</mark>	i svet	ive sue	et <mark>św</mark> sue	t <mark>óːʊ</mark> ix sʊɛ	et <mark>ó:v</mark> om s	svet <mark>ó</mark>	i	

One suffix stress paradigm

	'path' (feminine)							Monosyllabic		
	NOM	ACC	GEN	LOC	DAT	INS		stressed suffixes		
					stəzí	stəz <mark>ó</mark>		have short vowel		
						stəz <mark>á:ma</mark>				
PL	stəz <mark>έ</mark>	stəz <mark>έ</mark>	stáz	stəz <mark>áx</mark>	stəz <mark>ám</mark>	stəz <mark>á:mi</mark>		Stem has [ə]		

Sociolinguistic notes

- ► Standard Slovenian has two variants, tonal and non-tonal, with identical stress and vowel length (Toporišič 2004)
- ► The length distinction is largely lost on the surface in non-tonal varieties (Srebot-Rejec 1988, Šuštaršič, Komar & Petek 1995, Toporišič 2004), but has reflexes in vowel quality differences (see Mirtič & Snoj 2021)
- ► Descriptions of tonal varieties from the Carniola region (e.g. Škofic 2019), on which standard prosody is based, largely conform to the description and predictions of this account

Analysis

- ► Mobile-stress paradigms have an underlying *floating accent*
- Suffixes contrast underlyingly for length
 - Stressed suffixes are underlyingly long
 - Unstressed suffixes are underlyingly short
- ► Unaccented stems get *stem-final* stress
- ➤ Vowelless stems surface with [ə] and get *suffix* stress
 - ► These suffix vowels are always short because they give a mora to the stem

Constraint definitions

- DEP-, *FLOP-, MAX-μ: Don't add, reassociate, or delete moras
- ► S(TRESS-TO-)W(EIGHT) P(RINCIPLE): Stressed syllables are heavy
- ➤ *DOM(AIN) (Revithiadou 1999): Lexical accents are not linked to the morpheme that introduces them
- ► STEMSTR(ESS): Stress is on the stem

Tableaux

			DEP-μ	*FLOP-μ	Max-μ	SWP	*Dom	*á	STEMSTR
	/gɔːr´-eː/	gźιrε			*	 	*!	 	
mobile	GEN.SG	gəré:			*			 	*
stress	/gɔːr´-i/ DAT.SG	gź:ri			 	 	*	 	
		gərí			*!	*!		 	*
		gəré:		*!				 	*
	/stz-eː/ GEN.SG	st ó zε		*	 	*		*!	
		stəzé		*	† 	*		 	*
suffix stress		stəzé:	*!		 	 		 	*
	/stz-i/ DAT.SG	stázi	*		 	*		*!	
		stəzí	*		 	*		 	*
		stəzé:	**!					 	*

Discussion

- ► Slovenian is different from Russian: suffix stress is extremely limited
- ► Takes Simonović (2020) further: only *lexical roots* can bear underlying accent
- ► Short suffixes in neuter and masculine dual and plural lead to alternate strategies of *DOMAIN violation avoidance for mobile nouns with floating accent
- neuter: most such nouns are *mass* and thus sG only (e.g. [mɛsóː] 'meat', [zlatóː] 'gold')
- masculine: all such nouns have the stress-bearing augment in PL and (usually) DU (Mirtič 2016)

Remaining issues

- ► A few (rare/antiquated) suffix stress nouns have a stem vowel other than [ə]
- Nouns with no stem vowel have short suffix vowels (e.g. [pə́s] 'dog', GEN.SG [ps-á])
- ► We predict long vowels ([ps-áː]), unless the stem vowel is present phonologically and deleted late
- ► The coda in the LOC.DU/PL and DAT.PL of [gɔ́ːra] shouldn't be enough to satisfy SWP
- ► Representation of short stem vowels (e.g. [nít] 'thread', GEN.SG [níːt-i]) unclear

Acknowledgements

This work has been funded by Slovenian Research Agency grants P6-0382 and J6-4614.

References

• Morris Halle. 1973. The accentuation of Russian words. In Michael J. Kenstowicz & Charles W. Kisseberth (eds.), Issues in phonological theory: proceedings of the Urbana Conference on Phonology (Janua Linguarum. Series Maior 74), 75–117. The Hague: Mouton. • Peter Jurgec. 2019. Opacity in Šmartno Slovenian. Phonology 36(2). 265–301. • Tatjana Marvin. 2002. Topics in the stress and syntax of words. Massachusetts Institute of Technology dissertation. • Janis Leanne Melvold. 1989. Structure and stress in the phonology of Russian. Massachusetts Institute of Technology dissertation. • Tanja Mirtič. 2016. Podaljševanje osnove z morfemom -ov- pri samostalnikih prve moške sklanjatve v sodobni knjižni slovenščini. In Franc Marušič, Petra Mišmaš & Rok Žaucer (eds.), Škrabčevi dnevi 9: Zbornik prispevkov s simpozija 2015, 34–47. Nova Gorica: Založba Univerze v Novi Gorici. • Tanja Mirtič & Marko Snoj (eds.). 2021. 1. slovenski pravorečni posvet. (Razred za filološke in literarne vede: Razprave 25). Ljubljana: Slovenska akademija znanosti in umetnosti. • Anthoula Revithiadou. 1999. Headmost accent wins: head dominance and ideal prosodic form in lexical accent systems. Universiteit Leiden dissertation. • Marko Simonović. 2020. Categories, root complexes and default stress: Slovenian nominalizations revisited. Linguistica 60(1). 103–117. • Marko Simonović. 2022. Derivational affixes as roots across categories. Journal of Slavic Linguistics 30(2). 195–233. • Jožica Škofic. 2019. Krajevni govor Krope. (Linguistica et philologica 38). Ljubljana: Založba ZRC. • Tatjana Srebot-Rejec. 1988. Word accent and vowel duration in standard Slovene: an acoustic and linguistic investigation. (Slavistische Beiträge 226). München: Verlag Otto Sagner. • Rastislav Šuštaršič, Smiljana Komar & Bojan Petek. 1995. Slovene. Journal of the International Phonetic Association 25(2). 86–90. • Jože Toporišič. 2004. Slovenska slovnica. 4th edn. Maribor: Obzorja.