



#02

Peer to Peer Networking

CLIENT/SERVER COMPUTING AND WEB TECHNOLOGIES

The architectures

- ▶ Server-based architecture
 - ▶ Client-Server / Server-Cluster
 - ▶ Problems :
 - ▶ Limited resources
 - ▶ All loads are centered on the server
 - ▶ Server-based architecture has low scalability.
 - ▶ The setup and maintenance cost is high.
- ▶ Peer-to-Peer (P2P) architecture
 - ▶ Advantages :
 - ▶ Distributing loads to all users
 - ▶ Users consume and provide resources
 - ▶ P2P architecture has high scalability.
 - ▶ The setup and maintenance cost is low.



Peer-to-peer (P2P)

3

“Peer-to-peer is a way of structuring distributed applications such that the **individual nodes have symmetric roles**. Rather than being divided into clients and servers each with quite distinct roles, in P2P applications **a node may act as both a client and a server.**”

-- Charter of Peer-to-peer Research Group, IETF/IRTF, June 24, 2004
(<http://www.irtf.org/charters/p2prg.html>)


Classification of P2P systems

4

- ▶ **Hybrid P2P** – Preserves some of the traditional C/S architecture. A central server links between clients, stores indices tables, etc
 - ▣ **Napster**
- ▶ **Unstructured P2P** – no control over topology and file placement
 - ▣ **Gnutella, Morpheus, Kazaa, etc**
- ▶ **Structured P2P** – topology is tightly controlled and placement of files are not random
 - ▣ **Chord, CAN, Pastry, Tornado, etc**


The lookup problem

5



Centralized lookup (Napster)


6



Simple, but $O(N)$ state and a single point of failure


Flooded queries (Gnutella)

7



Robust, but worst case $O(N)$ messages per lookup

Routed queries (Freenet, Chord, etc.)



Napster Sharing Style:


hybrid center + edge

9

1. Users launch Napster and connect to Napster server
2. Napster creates dynamic directory from users' personal .mp3 libraries
3. **beastieboy** enters search criteria



4. Napster displays matches to **beastieboy**
5. **beastieboy** makes direct connection to **kingrook** for file transfer




Gnutella Protocol

10

Scenario: Joining Gnutella Network


- ▶ The new node connects to a well known 'Anchor' node or 'Bootstrap' node.
- ▶ Then sends a PING message to discover other nodes.
- ▶ PONG messages are sent in reply from hosts offering new connections with the new node.
- ▶ Direct connections are then made to the newly discovered nodes.

Gnutella Network



Topology of a Gnutella Network


11



Gnutella:

Flood the Request

12



Fully distributed storage and directory!

So Far/We Want

13

So Far

- ▶ Centralized :
 - Directory size – $O(n)$
 - Number of hops – $O(1)$
- ▶ Flooded queries:
 - Directory size – $O(1)$
 - Number of hops – $O(n)$

We Want


- ▶ Efficiency : $O(\log(n))$ messages per lookup
- ▶ Scalability : $O(\log(n))$ state per node
- ▶ Robustness : surviving massive failures

n: number of participating nodes

How Can It Be Done?


14

- ▶ How do you search in $O(\log(n))$ time?
 - ▶ Binary Search
 - ▶ You need an ordered array
 - ▶ How can you order nodes in a network and data objects?
 - ▶ Hash Function




Viewed as a Distributed Hash Table

15




- ▶ Distributed Hash Table
- ▶ Input: `key` (file name)
Output: `value` (file location)
- ▶ Each node is responsible for a range of the hash table, according to the node's hash key. Objects' directories are placed in (managed by) the node with the closest key
- ▶ It must be adaptive to dynamic node joining and leaving

Basic lookup



“Finger table”
allows $\log(N)$ -time lookups




Chord Lookup

19

$O(\log n)$ hops (messages)
for each lookup!!

I'm node 2.
Please find key 14!

$O(\log n)$ states
per node



P2P Content Distribution

20

- ▶ BitTorrent builds a network for every file that is being distributed.
- ▶ Big advantage of BitTorrent:
 - ▶ Can send “link” to a friend
 - ▶ “Link” always refers to the same file
- ▶ Not really feasible on Napster, Gnutella, or KaZaA
 - ▶ These networks are based on searching, hard to identify a particular file
 - ▶ Downside of BitTorrent: No searching possible
 - ▶ Websites with “link collections” and search capabilities exist

BitTorrent


21

- ▶ Efficient content distribution system using **file swarming**. **Does not** perform all the functions of a typical p2p system, like searching.
 - ▶ A swarm is the set of peers that are participating in distributing the same files
- ▶ To share a file or group of files
 - ▶ the initiator first creates a **.torrent** file, a small file that contains
 - ▶ Metadata about the files to be shared, and
 - ▶ Information about the tracker, the computer that coordinates the file distribution.
 - ▶ Downloaders first obtain a **.torrent** file, and then connect to the specified tracker, which tells them from which other peers to download the pieces of the file.

BitTorrent Lingo

22

- ▶ **Seeder** = a peer that provides the complete file.
- ▶ **Initial seeder** = a peer that provides the initial copy.



References

23

- ▶ Robert Morris, Ion Stoica, David Karger, M. Frans Kaashoek, Hari Balakrishnan, "Chord: A Scalable Peer-to-peer Lookup Service for Internet Applications"
- ▶ J. R Jiang, "P2P Networking"
- ▶ Sukumar Ghosh, "The BitTorrent Protocol"