Scaling Heights: Affordability Implications of Zoning Deregulation in India

Geetika Nagpal*

Sahil Gandhi[‡]

November 6, 2023

Click here for the most recent version

Abstract

Does relaxation of zoning regulations substantially increase affordable housing or simply trigger a trickle of new luxury units? This paper exploits a rule-based relaxation of the regulatory cap on building height and floorspace provision, the Floor Area Ratio (FAR), to answer this question in Mumbai, India. Leveraging granular panel data and exploiting variation in time and space, we find that the reform increased housing supply in treated areas by 28%, implying an elasticity of housing supply to the FAR of 1.59. The FAR relaxation increases the scale of development, resulting in higher investment in shared amenity space within the building. This increased public good provision facilitates an 18% decline in unit sizes, leading to a 29% decline in apartment prices that allows lower-income households to access housing. We develop a structural model of housing supply and demand that incorporates the provision of amenity floorspace and shows that average home buyer incomes are 3.18% lower post-relaxation. Finally, we use the estimated model to show that a further 5% rule-based relaxation would amplify the scale economies and increase the affordability gains from deregulation by 1.7%. Taken together, our results show that concentrating FAR relaxation can improve affordability.

^{*}Brown University; Corresponding author: geetika_nagpal@brown.edu

[†]University of Manchester; sahil.gandhi@manchester.ac.uk

[‡]Nagpal is extremely grateful to Andrew Foster, Matthew Turner, Nick Tsivanidis, Bryce Steinberg and Dan Björkegren for their continuous support and guidance. We thank Edward Glaeser, Abhay Pethe, Vidhadyar Phatak, Vernon Henderson, seminar participants at Brown University and conference participants at the UEA meetings in Toronto for their helpful comments. We also thank our dedicated research assistants for their contributions to this project. We acknowledge support from Structural Transformation and Economic Growth (STEG), the Centre for Contemporary South Asia, the Orlando Bravo Centre for Economic Research and the S4 Program at Brown University of Manchester and the International Growth Centre. We are grateful to the Population Studies and Training Center at Brown University, which receives funding from the NIH, for training support (T32 HD007338) and for general support (P2C HD041020). Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the funders.