

Comparison of Energy Consumption in Wi-Fi and Bluetooth Communication: A Case Study on Context Aware Building

Guntur Dharma Putra

Abstract—Context awareness has been an interesting topic recently. Its ability to infer whether a person exists on a particular room or building is really important for smart building. The result shows that Bluetooth is 29.97% more energy efficient than WiFi.

Index Terms—Context-aware, smart building, wi-fi, bluetooth low energy

1 INTRODUCTION

Smart building has been an interesting topic of research recently. One portion of research in smart home is occupancy detection, which aims to detect whether a person is present in a particular location. Its importance to detect user presence is crucial in the building energy management, since the building can manage energy allocation efficiently regarding how many persons are present.

Several methods have been proposed to overcome the occupancy detection. One of them makes use of Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE) beacon, as the beacon is useful because it always transmitting a unique data packet that indicates certain location information. Assuming that the users always bring mobile phone with them, an application can be installed on the mobile phone to scan a particular beacon, so that the application knows where currently the user is, then the application sends the data to the central server. The data can be analyzed later on to detect user presence.

Normally, the application sends the data to the server through HTTP communication done via Wi-Fi connectivity, as the BLE is already used to sense the beacon. No BLE utilization for data transmission to the server has been found. In fact, BLE is obviously more energy efficient compared to Wi-Fi, as BLE is designed to be implemented in devices coupled with limited source of energy, e.g., battery.

This study tries to investigate BLE utilization for transmitting the occupancy data to the server. This study measures and compares the energy consumption of the mobile phone when performing data transmission via Wi-Fi and BLE. A tailored application is developed and several possible scenario is also taken into consideration, such as number of detected sensor and user location relative to the server or access point. The result of this study may be useful for the future decision whether BLE will be implemented instead of Wi-Fi to transmit occupancy data to the server.

The rest of this report is structured as follows. Section 2 presents other related work to this study. Methodology is described in section 3, while the results and discussion is discussed in section 4. Lastly, a conclusion is drawn in section 5

2 RELATED WORK

cite all the related work properly that you base your own work on

discuss why it is relevant and what is similar or different to your own work use images (from other papers) to illustrate the related work, credit the authors with a reference in the image caption

give details for each publication (authors, title, year, page numbers, publisher, publisher address (town); for articles volume and number and month; for things other than books, articles, or papers also the type of publication)

3 METHODOLOGY

As a term project, this study was performed in three consecutive months. As the main part of this study, the energy measurement was carried out in iPhone 6, which runs iOS operating system. Additionally, Asus vivo mini PC, which runs Xubuntu as its operating system, was also utilized as a thin client that runs the server application.

3.1 System Architecture

This study is based on a study from Azkario that tries to get occupancy data in smart building. The system architecture is depicted in Figure [give image about general structure of the system, with sensors and thin client.]

[give the picture of the AP and thin client]

3.2 Measuring the Energy Consumption

Apple's Instrument application in Mac OS X was used to perform untethered energy monitoring on iOS. It reads energy log in iPhone and shows it for further analysis in its own format. Export feature is limited in Apple's Instrument and it does not support energy consumption log exporting to other commonly used format, e.g., csv or xls file. However, manual copying and pasting on each row is still supported. An Apple script was used to automate the copying and pasting the energy log to Excel for further processing.

Apple script was also not stable. It encounters several errors during its runtime, which were caused by OS instability, and restarting the process was only way to overcome that.

Wireless logging is not used as it requires Bonjour enabled router, which was unavailable.

Flight mode was turned on when measuring energy consumption, to hinder Mobile data connection.

Baseline energy consumption is measured with both Wi-Fi and Bluetooth turned off. Or when it is turned on? But still in Flight mode.

Explain the parameters and why those parameters were selected. Number of beacon (5, 10, 20, and even 100 and 200 for high throughput), distance from server (Line of Sight and non-Line of Sight).

Background application may affect energy measuring result.

When measuring on Wi-Fi communication, Internet is disabled to prevent any running background application from fetching data from Internet through Wi-Fi connection. Background app refresh is disabled to prevent significant impact of background processes, although this may seem unproven.

Energy consumption is measured in each 3 minutes time interval.

How to understand Apple's Instruments energy log. <http://www.maytro.com/2014/06/06/profiling-power-and-network-usage.html> Because it does not use standard energy measurement units, e.g., mAh etc. Power measurements are shown on a 20 point scale Each increment costs an hour of battery life Phone running at level 1/20 will have 20 hours of battery life Phone running at level 20/20 will have 1 hour of battery life

Each packet is sent in every minute.

The experiment was carried out in a Planetenlaan flat. [insert the map of the place]

• Guntur Dharma Putra is an MSc Student in Computing Science at the University of Groningen. E-mail: g.d.putra@student.rug.nl.

3.3 Tailored Application Development

Explain a bit about why an tailored application was used, compared with other research that used standard email, browsing, etc. Because we would like to test specifically for smart home application, with Azkario's architecture.

Changed raw username (utf8) to userid (UInt32) Changed proximity type from Double to Float Added random label to indicate a particular timestamp for a ceratain data packet

The application is written in Swift, which is the new general-purpose programming language developed by Apple Inc. Xcode, with Storyboard, is used to develop the application, with Git as the source code repository.

A dummy occupancy data is sent to the server, as this study imitates the real implementation of user occupancy but not necessarily involves the sensing part.

History is also saved using NSCodering in iOS.

Alamofire library¹ is used to cope the HTTP communication.

Bluetooth communication. Explain a bit about BLE communication scheme. And alleges that this study use subscription/notification method.

Initially Ian Harvey's bluepy library², which is a Python interface to Bluetooth LE on Linux, was used. However, it turned out that it does not work to handle the notification in BLE.

Later on, Sandeep Mistry's noble³, a node.js BLE central module, was used. It turned out that it is capable to handle notification scheme in BLE communication.

iOS only supports BLE communication using central-peripheral communication scheme. It does not support classic Bluetooth communication.

In Swift, the OS is not responsible to handle unsuccessful packet data transmission, the application itself must be aware to handle packet data transmission failure.

Explain BLE 20 bytes of packet size policy, and explain how those packets are implemented. Cite specification of bluetooth and john abraham.

Changed raw username (utf8) to userid (UInt32) Changed proximity type from Double to Float Added random label to indicate a particular timestamp for a ceratain data packet

The application is written in Swift, which is the new general-purpose programming language developed by Apple Inc. Xcode, with Storyboard, is used to develop the application, with Git as the source code repository.

A dummy occupancy data is sent to the server, as this study imitates the real implementation of user occupancy but not necessarily involves the sensing part.

Bluetooth in noble is turned out to be unstable, it always loses connection when it reached 29th data packet. As a solution, the central application always reconnect to the peripheral when disconnected.

Bluetooth connection requires manual trigger to start scanning nearby BLE devices. In order to do the experiment efficiently, beside SSH server that is used to access the thin client remotely.

History is also saved using NSCodering in iOS.

[give an image depicting central and peripheral communication]

The code is publicly stored in Github.com and is accessible at <https://github.com/gtrdp/cs-rug-internship>.

Play Scala web server and Bluetooth server.

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Explain in detail first, separately for bluetooth and wifi. Then explain the comparison of bluetooth and wifi and the baseline.

Time to send each BLE packet in this case is around 4ms. If the number of sensor is more that the threshold, some data will be lost.

In the experiment, brightness was proven to be one of significant source of energy loss as it consumes much energy to light up the screen.

BLE device's battery can lasts up to 3 years. Thus, if the BLE device which has small mAh of power can last up to 3 years, a mobile phone which has way more power than that must be able to last longer. The phone is transmitting the same amount of signal power regardless how far it is from the central -> there is no significant power consumption difference. If the central goes beyond the limit of BLE signal border, the communication simply un-do-able, i.e., the peripheral is not required to boost up the transmitting power to maintain the communication. There is no API to change the transmitting power of BLE in swift (AFAIK).

The scanning that still needs human interaction Energy reporting which is un-exportable. Solution: Creating applescript to copy and paste from instruments to excel automatically. The bluetooth is not stable: It always stops at 29 packets -> solution: using resubscribing method. It has to be triggered by GNOME (GUI) Bluetooth management tool. Why it is unstable? Is it because of noble? This research is only energy consumption for sending data. Without sensing etc. Explain, this may differ in the real implementation, as both bluetooth and wifi may be turned on. The result might be slightly different. Limitation: only tested for iPhone 6 running iOS 9.3.2.

5 CONCLUSION

We have presented that Bluetooth is more energy efficient than Wi-Fi.

Wifi may still be a consideration because usually mobilephone are also using wifi extensively.

Some drawbacks may persist if bluetooth is implemented, such as instability. Other implementation than what is impelemented here must be looked for.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank A, B, C. This work was supported in part by a grant from XYZ.

¹<https://github.com/Alamofire/Alamofire>

²<https://github.com/IanHarvey/bluepy>

³<https://github.com/sandeepmistry/noble>