



university of  
 groningen

faculty of mathematics  
and natural sciences

A THEORETICAL BASIS FOR WIFI BASED SOCIAL DENSITY  
ESTIMATION: CORRELATION OF UNIQUE DEVICE AND  
NUMBER OF ACCESS POINTS

GUNTUR DHARMA PUTRA

a thesis on the topic of Computing Science  
Department of Computing Science  
Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences  
University of Groningen

January 2016

Guntur Dharma Putra: *A theoretical basis for WiFi based Social Density Estimation: Correlation of unique device and number of Access Points*, a thesis on the topic of Computing Science, © January 2016

**SUPERVISORS:**

Prof. Dr. Marco Aiello

Prof. Dr. Martien Kas

Niels Jongs MSc

**LOCATION:**

Groningen

**TIME FRAME:**

January 2016

To my beloved family.



## ABSTRACT

---

Estimating social density may come useful for several occasions. Thus, several methods have been proposed to tackle this issue. However, the methods are still not able to deliver really accurate result compared to manual counting of people.

This research presents the correlation between number of unique devices and available Access Points in a particular location. WiFi probe-request is used to determine the unique devices. Furthermore, a cross-validation by using voice activity detection is used to determine whether a person is present.



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

---

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to God, the Almighty, for having made everything possible and giving me the strength and courage to finish this work.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to both of my supervisors Prof. Marco Aiello and Prof. Martien Kas for the continuous support with my thesis, for his patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. I would also like to thank daily supervisor, Niels Jongs, who has been guiding me thoroughly until I can finish this thesis.

Last but not the least, I would like to say thank you for my family: my parents and my sisters for supporting me spiritually throughout working on this thesis and my my life in general. Also, my friends and colleagues in Groningen who helped me to work on this thesis.





## CONTENTS

---

<b>I</b>	<b>MASTER'S THESIS</b>	<b>1</b>
1	INTRODUCTION	3
2	RELATED WORK	5
2.1	Video Based Crowd Counting . . . . .	5
2.2	MAC Address based crowd counting . . . . .	5
2.2.1	WiFi . . . . .	5
2.2.2	Bluetooth . . . . .	5
2.3	Other Crowd Counting Approach . . . . .	5
2.4	Speaker Counting Method . . . . .	5
3	METHODOLOGY	13
3.1	How to Process the Data . . . . .	13
3.2	How to Store the Data . . . . .	13
4	ARCHITECTURE AND DESIGN	15
5	EXPERIMENTS AND EVALUATION	17
6	FUTURE WORK	19
7	CONCLUSION	21
<b>II</b>	<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>23</b>
A	APPENDIX TEST	25
A.1	Appendix Section Test . . . . .	25
A.2	Another Appendix Section Test . . . . .	25
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	27

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

## LIST OF TABLES

---

Table 1	Autem usu id . . . . .	25
---------	------------------------	----

## LISTINGS

---

Listing 1	A floating example (listings manual) . . . . .	25
-----------	--	----

## ACRONYMS

---

## Part I

### MASTER'S THESIS



## INTRODUCTION

---

Social density estimation, or sometimes referred as crowd counting, is the mechanism of estimating the number of people in certain area by means of a proxy, which could replace the manual counting method. Social density estimation has broad range of implementation, for instance crowd surveillance [15], evacuation and rescue [3], retail store customer analysis, infrastructure development and evaluation, queue management [25], and even in behavioral monitoring. Currently, psychologist treat dementia patients by using questionnaires, which sometimes may be biased. For instance, when the psychologist asks the patients about their social activities, the patients may have forgotten their activities or they may answer the question as they like, which may lead to incorrect or biased result [cite].

Several method of social density estimation exists. Surveillance camera utilization is one of the method used, although it is limited by high deployment or computational cost. Other than image based estimation, some researches have proposed several method to estimate social density by means of RF signals, e.g., WiFi or Bluetooth, mainly to get more low deployment or computational cost.

However, doing it in smartphone directly is impossible, as it requires root permission nad rooting is illegal according to most countries' law. As extension of research BeHapp.

This study serves as

To uncover the relation between the number of unique devices and available access points, I formulated the first research question:

*Is there any correlation between number of unique devices and number of available Access Points in a certain area?*

When the data is captured, several parameters are also used, such as location, time, duration, and so on. Here comes the second:

*How do the parameters affect the correlation result?*

As mentioned previously, MAC address randomization is one of the challenges of estimating nearby unique device using probe-request. The third research question is somewhat related to that:

*Is there any method to overcome the MAC address randomization issue? Which affecting number of unique devices.*

Furthermore, the validation using sound is also used and the last research question is asking about the effect of it.

*How does validation using Voice Activity Detection help to achieve better result?*

The rest of this thesis is structured as follows. Chapter [2](#) describes the related works, which are closely related to the social density estimation.

## RELATED WORK

---

In this chapter, several works that have been done with regard to estimating social density are presented. The approaches are also compared one another, focusing on the benefits, drawback, and challenges. The methods range from video monitoring, audio tone tracking, and RF signal sensing. Furthermore, more implementations of WiFi signal beyond crowd counting are also presented. In the end, a proposed work is portrayed, which is going to be the topic of this research. Some researches have been done with regard to estimating social density, especially more are focused on crowd density.

Make sure to give conclusion in each section.

*This is the intro of the chapter.*

### 2.1 VIDEO BASED CROWD COUNTING

### 2.2 MAC ADDRESS BASED CROWD COUNTING

#### 2.2.1 *WiFi*

#### 2.2.2 *Bluetooth*

### 2.3 OTHER CROWD COUNTING APPROACH

### 2.4 SPEAKER COUNTING METHOD

The method of estimating social density is often referred as speaker counting. Speaker count estimates the number of people who participate in a conversation [cite].

The authors also provide the source code for crowd detection<sup>1</sup>

Also explains about MFCC.

The methods only work with speaker not more than 4, while in the real situation, the speaker would be more than just 4 people. Furthermore, the conversation is not a similar kind of telephony.

This topic refers to speaker clustering topic.

However, to the best of my knowledge, there is no optimal algorithm for counting number of people or speaker count. Thus, I implement different method of working.

Mention about speaker count.

Furthermore, no *a priori* information of speakers available, making it impossible to apply this method.

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://github.com/lendlice/crowdpp>

Video processing has limitations such as weather conditions, illumination changes, limited viewing angle, and density and brightness problem.

GSM location has an issue with privacy[2].

MAC is only a proxy since it does not infer directly to personal information, such as name or contact.

Furthermore, [5] alleges that the existence of social relationships is possible to be uncovered by using WiFi probe signals. Laptops are used to sense the data. Could uncover social links between people. Two or more users sharing one or more SSIDs in the Preferred Network List (PNL) intuitively provide some information about the existence of social relationship between them, which might be family or house mate. Affiliation network is used to construct the graph. Adamic-adar similarity: if two users share same pub and office->social link. Same Home-> social link. Demographic factor is also investigated, especially in SSID languages. This method is possibly not applicable in mobile phone, as it uses tshark application in laptops. This method requires preinstalled method to be existed. This method works everywhere, as long as WiFi signals are possible to be captured. This method is already tested on large scale events, such as national events, international events, city-wide probes, train station, and university, within three months of experiment. The experiment results a large dataset of log files (11M probes from 160K different smartphones). Data is stored locally for further analysis. The authors combine the networks referred from the probes to location based data, such as wigle.net. However, this method is not really possible to be implemented in smartphone. It uses IEEE Public OUI. Wifi probes are used as a new lens to look at crowd and uncover important information.

Human queue is also possible to be monitored using WiFi, as demonstrated in [25]. It is based on RSSI that is measured by a single WiFi monitor. This approach makes use of single WiFi monitor located at the queue head (service desk). The WiFi monitors collect signal traces indicated by RSSI. As the RSSI increases, the user is getting closer to the service desk. The phases are divided to 3: waiting, service period, and leaving. This method requires minimal infrastructure, which are WiFi monitors at the queue head. Existing queue monitoring approach relies on cameras or floor mats. This method works anywhere as long as a WiFi monitor exists. A laboratory experiment with 90 traces of persons has been conducted. A localized data log, i.e., data is stored locally, is used. This method has 10 secs of latency. Two fold cross validation with manually logged ground truth are used to evaluate this method. 10 secs time resolution is expected in this approach. Average error increases when the service time is 180s or more. Each queuing user is equipped with custom Android app that sends WiFi packets at 10pkt/sec.



WiFi and Bluetooth were also used to estimate crowd densities and pedestrian flows in [19]. WiFi and Bluetooth in laptops are used to sense the signals. This method could provide reliable source of ground truth for pedestrian flows with low cost of installation. The approach is divided into several methods, namely naïve (only counting MAC addresses), time (include time information), RSSI (include signal strength), and Hybrid (RSSI+Time). No ground truth for density estimation (GT only for movements). Although Bluetooth is mentioned, it is not significant in terms of results, as this method has high number of false positive. This approach utilizes two identical and synchronized laptops. The method could run anywhere as long as a WiFi monitor exists. Single realistic scenario carried out in airport during 16 days period, resulting in 11M probe request, 6600 SSIDs (public), 8.5M probe request and 4k SSIDs (security area). The data is localized in laptops. Ground truth is provided by security check in german airports. Pearson correlation: 0.75 for average in hybrid approach. 0.93 in best case. Incorporating external data sources, eg, opening time of security gate. Testing it in different scenario (places) or Different positioning. It alleges that bluetooth estimation is less accurate: 0.53 r-test in best case. Bluetooth/WiFi ratio: 4%.

A research [2] utilizes MAC address data to determine spatio-temporal movement of human in terms of space utilization. Specifically, this method leverages MAC address in Bluetooth and WiFi. This method alleges that it could track group gathering and behavioral pattern. CrossCompass by Acyclica Inc is used to capture MAC address from both BT and WiFi. Passing visitors are filtered (<4mins in 1 hr). Groups are determined when several MAC addresses enter and exit the lounge area in almost similar time. However, the assumption of groups is weak. A MAC address scanner (single) is required to perform the measurement. This method could run anywhere. Tested within three weeks, the resulting data consists of timestamp, MAC address, and RSSI, stored in 35K log lines and 418 unique devices. Centralized approach is a kind of proposed method. The central monitors MAC address spatio-temporal movements. The data is dump for 3 weeks. This approach does not a realtime result, as the analysis was carried out after 3 weeks data dump is created. The accuracy depends on how many devices are turned on. Future research is aimed to combine with camera or psychological future work: human socializing behavior assessment, human response to changes on environmental structure.

A crowd density estimation is proposed in [27], which leverages Bluetooth in smartphone. The crowd density is quantized into 7 groups, ranging from nearly empty to extremely high (crowded), which will be the feature in the training phase. The experiments were set up for 3 times, with 4 hours of duration each. 10 students were recruited to carry out the experiments. Six features of scanning were devel-

oped to increase the accuracy of estimating the crowd. Volunteers are equipped with scanning mobile phone that scans nearby Bluetooth signals. This method is not suitable for single mobile phone implementation, as it requires  $n > 10$ . No prior infrastructure is needed. Cameras are used for ground truth checking. This method works everywhere. An experiment is carried out to test the method on a final soccer match in a football stadium. The data stored locally for further processing. No real-time result. This method achieves 75% accuracy.

Bluetooth data is also used to analyze spatio-temporal movements of visitors event in Belgium [23]. Large datasets were extracted during the experiments. This approach works with 22 Bluetooth scanners were placed around the festival area. Combination of class 1 (larger area) and class 2 (smaller area) were used. Data preprocessing used to compress the logs and to infer direction of visitors (in/out/pass). GisMo (geographical movements) is also used. major and minor address are used to distinguish BT device type (phone or car hands-free, etc). This method lacks in the biased results between sensed BT and real people count. Only BT scanner installations are required to conduct this approach. Outdoor is the preferred location to run this method. Tested in Ghent festives, 10 days event with 1.5M visitors. Custom bluetooth scanner is used. Two bluetooth class (1 and 2) were used to capture the packets with different area. As this approach does not come with real time result, the analysis were carried out after the huge data dump (260M lines) is created. The ground truth is provided only by comparison with official visitors count. 11% is the ratio of sensed MAC addresses and real populations. More behavioral analysis of the visitors. No technical future research direction. The detected MAC is a ratio with real values.

Bluetooth, again is proven to be a potential source of tracking socially contextual behavior, as seen in [6]. Using Bluetooth trace, Chen, et. al. have shown the result with 85.8% accuracy. A BT feature based classification model is constructed, 6 representation are: working indoors, walking outdoors, taking subway, go shopping in the mall, dining in the restaurant, watching movie in cinema. A user ran the experiment during the day, and a questionnaire for ground truth are asked at the end of the day. C4.5 Decision Tree and 10-folds cross validation are utilized as evaluation. However, this approach have a drawback, because if the user set the Bluetooth off, this approach would be useless. This method works on outdoor. This work involved 3 volunteers, with 1-2 weeks of BT traces. The data is logged in user's smartphone. This is a moving approach, as it is implemented in users phone. At the end of the day, the user recalls and labels their contextual behavior using questionnaire. The future work is directed to increasing the accuracy, especially when Bluetooth signals are detected sparsely. Furthermore, fusion of RF signals: GPS, GSM, WiFi as well.

Movements pattern and landmark preferences are possible to be extracted from publicly available photo repositories, such as Flickr and Panoramio, as presented in [10]. Analyzing publicly available photos repository, such as Flickr and Panoramio, and extract geo-tagged photo information which provides coordinates of location and time of taking the photos.

A work [1] alleges that WiFi prevails Bluetooth in several criteria. Firstly, Bluetooth requires longer time to discover. More than 90% of detected MAC address were WiFi MAC address. MAC is unique address for most IEEE 802 technologies.

A combination of WiFi fingerprinting and Pedestrian Dead Reckoning (PDR) are used to monitor Indoor environment by means of crowdsourcing [18]. This method requires predeployed WiFi access-points in the area. This method, which works in indoor location, Eka-hau mobile survey is used as the ground truth source. In the future, the works are aimed at evaluation with longer period and fusion with other RF signals. The result is similar result with state of the art WiFi survey tools.

An interesting insight is found in [4], as this research goal and method are really similar with our research in passive behavioral monitoring. This method monitors social interaction using Wifi, Bluetooth, audio, and interactions on the phone for ambulatory monitoring. Audio, radio, and phone interaction data are processed differently. Unsupervised clustering is used to count the person in a conversation. Subjects location is determined using GPS and WiFi Mac address. Nearby Bluetooth devices and devices within the same WiFi network are used as indicators of social interaction. Subjects activity in messaging apps are monitored, notifications are logged. The data are aggregated in certain time interval, eg., a week, for later analysis. Unsupervised clustering on microphone data to count the person that participate in a conversation. Audio based monitoring is not yet completed, however. This method requires no prior installation on infrastructure and works both outdoor and indoor. The method is localized within the smartphone. No realtime analysis is performed, as the data is dumped, and latter analysis is performed. No reliable ground truth is mentioned in the paper. 76% accuracy for phone interaction is achieved.

A research [14] is also a little bit similar with the Paul's research, which tries to determine social Well being. This method uses contacts, phone calls, text messages, GPS and WiFi (location), BT proximity, and social app usages from the monitored patients. Multimodal interaction is used, such as phone calls, SMS, location (GPS+WiFi), Bluetooth proximity, social app usages. The contacts are labeled on groups (friend, colleague, family, etc). In the other way, contact classification, place detection, proximity analysis, and application usage. Bluetooth is used only detecting the type of nearby device, salesman

actively meets clients while developer tends to stay in the office with the team members nearby. Frequency and time are used for social application monitoring. Personal communication score is also devised. DBSCAN algorithm is used in this approach. This method does not require any pre installed infrastructure. This method works well in outdoor, as at indoor location GPS does not work. 106 mobile users, 107 days of data on average, 68, 529 phone calls, 20k SMS, nearly 10k labeled contacts No realtime result in this research, as the app saves log files, and periodically sent to server. The ground truth information is gained from labeling in user's contacts. The future works are directed to comparison of several social well being status of people in the same demography. Adding ambient noise detection (audio data).

A work [29] tried to count the crowd using CSI, which is proven to have a monotonic relation with the number of moving people. The result seems promising, although some errors are observed.

A more energy efficient method to exploit sensor in smartphone is presented in [13]. It makes use of, what they called, *Smartphone App Opportunities*. The approach is named Piggyback Crowd Sensing (PCS).

Bluetooth has again proven to be one reliable method to estimate crowd density [26]. The work alleges that it could even reach 82% accuracy in the best case.

[17] describes the possibility to use ZigBee to estimate crowd density by measuring the RSSI and LQI. This approach requires prior infrastructure.

More approach on WSN is described in [32]. With similar solution in [17], [32] employs more WSN. It has normal and large-scale experiment.

[24] explains the possibility of tracking people movement and contact by using bluetooth and wifi.

Another point of view to track pedestrian flocks is presented in [12]. It uses WiFi signals with 3 different features to infer the flocks.

A paper [3] presented a method that combine geo-fencing with coarse WiFi localization for building evacuation.

An example of crowd monitoring is presented in [15], where it is implemented for Hajj in Mecca, Saudi Arabia. It utilizes RFID tags along with a specialized app for monitoring the pilgrims.

A good experiment that tried to find the correlation with WiFi probe-request counts and real people counts is presented in [30]. It employs wifi monitor mode and manual people counting by using tally counter.

[20] evaluates crowd counting using WiFi probe-request signal. The result showed that this is possible, although achieved not in really high accuracy.

A combination with Drones for people counting is presented in [22]. [21] presents a brief explanation about the method in indoor measurement. However, no ground truth explanation is present.

Audio tones are also proven to be a good potential method to infer crowd count [11]. However, in this method every tracked phone must be pre-installed with the audio tone generating app. Thus, this method is unable to track phones which are not pre-installed with the app.

[8] presents crowd counting method that leverages single WiFi transmitter and receiver. This method does not require prior data training, which makes this method novel.

RSSI is used to infer people count in a controlled environment, as presented in [31].

Smartphone trajectories are tracked using captured WiFi signals in [16]. The error is up to 70 meters compared to the GPS ground truth.

[28] has showed successfully how to implement WiFi RSSI as a way to track smartphone trajectories. The result showed that it is promising, having only 70 meters error from GPS ground truth.

[7] presents the method to detect occupancy and count the people using WiFi power only.



## METHODOLOGY

---

### 3.1 HOW TO PROCESS THE DATA

The processing uses matplotlib[\[9\]](#)

### 3.2 HOW TO STORE THE DATA













## FUTURE WORK

---

In the future work, we are interested in working more closely with estimating social density. Regression model based on the data to get the count of people. Machine learning method is also interesting to be implemented.



## CONCLUSION

---

To the best of our knowledge, there is no approach that tries to combine or fusion several sensor measurements. Furthermore, no prior investigation of crowd counting using smartphone has been proposed, as mainly approaches are leveraging dedicated sensing devices.

This research is trying to bla bla.





## Part II

### APPENDIX



## APPENDIX TEST

Lorem ipsum at nusquam appellantur his, ut eos erant homero concludaturque. Albucius appellantur deterruisset id eam, vivendum partiendo dissentiet ei ius. Vis melius facilisis ea, sea id convenire referrentur, takimata adolescens ex duo. Ei harum argumentum per. Eam vidit exerci appetere ad, ut vel zzril intellegam interpretaris.

*More dummy text.*

## A.1 APPENDIX SECTION TEST

Test: [Table 1](#) (This reference should have a lowercase, small caps A if the option `floatperchapter` is activated, just as in the table itself → however, this does not work at the moment.)

LABITUR BONORUM PRI NO	QUE VISTA	HUMAN
fastidii ea ius	germano	demonstratea
suscipit instructor	titulo	personas
quaestio philosophia	facto	demonstrated

Table 1: Autem usu id.

## A.2 ANOTHER APPENDIX SECTION TEST

Equidem detraxit cu nam, vix eu delenit periculis. Eos ut vero constituto, no vidit propriae complectitur sea. Diceret nonummy in has, no qui eligendi recteque consetetur. Mel eu dictas suscipiantur, et sed placerat oporteat. At ipsum electram mei, ad aequae atomorum mea. There is also a useless Pascal listing below: [Listing 1](#).

Listing 1: A floating example (listings manual)

```
for i:=maxint downto 0 do
begin
{ do nothing }
end;
```



## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

- [1] Naeim Abedi, Ashish Bhaskar, and Edward Chung. "Bluetooth and Wi-Fi MAC Address Based Crowd Data Collection and Monitoring : Benefits , Challenges and Enhancement." In: *Australasian Transport Research Forum 2013 Proceedings* 2 October (2013), pp. 1–17. URL: <http://www.patrec.org/atrf.aspx>.
- [2] Naeim Abedi, Ashish Bhaskar, and Edward Chung. "Tracking spatio-temporal movement of human in terms of space utilization using Media-Access-Control address data." In: *Applied Geography* 51 (2014), pp. 72–81. ISSN: 01436228. DOI: [10.1016/j.apgeog.2014.04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2014.04.001). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0143622814000629>.
- [3] Nasimuddim Ahmed, Avik Ghose, Amit K. Agrawal, Chirabrata Bhaumik, Vivek Chandel, and Abhinav Kumar. "SmartEvac-Trak: A people counting and coarse-level localization solution for efficient evacuation of large buildings." In: *2015 IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communication Workshops, PerCom Workshops 2015*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 372–377. ISBN: 9781479984251. DOI: [10.1109/PERCOMW.2015.7134066](https://doi.org/10.1109/PERCOMW.2015.7134066). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/lpdocs/epic03/wrapper.htm?arnumber=7134066>.
- [4] Anja Bachmann. "Towards smartphone-based sensing of social interaction for ambulatory assessment." In: *Proceedings of the 2015 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing and Proceedings of the 2015 ACM International Symposium on Wearable Computers - UbiComp '15* (2015), pp. 423–428. DOI: [10.1145/2800835.2801642](https://doi.org/10.1145/2800835.2801642). URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=2800835.2801642>.
- [5] Marco V. Barbera, Alessandro Epasto, Alessandro Mei, Vasile C. Perta, and Julinda Stefa. "Signals from the crowd: Uncovering social relationships through smartphone probes." In: *Proceedings of the 2013 Conference on Internet Measurement Conference* (2013), pp. 265–276. DOI: [10.1145/2504730.2504742](https://doi.org/10.1145/2504730.2504742). URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=2504730.2504742>  
<http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2504730.2504742>.
- [6] Z Chen, Yiqiang Chen, Shuangquan Wang, and Junfa Liu. "Inferring social contextual behavior from bluetooth traces." In: *Proceedings of the 2013 ACM conference on Pervasive and ubiquitous computing adjunct publication*. New York, New York, USA: ACM Press, 2013, pp. 267–270. ISBN: 9781450322157. DOI: [10.1145/2494091.2494176](https://doi.org/10.1145/2494091.2494176). URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation>.

- [cfm?doid=2494091.2494176http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2494176](http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2494176).
- [7] Saandeep Depatla, Arjun Muralidharan, and Yasamin Mostofi. "Occupancy Estimation Using Only WiFi Power Measurements." In: *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* 33.7 (2015), pp. 1381–1393. ISSN: 0733-8716. DOI: [10.1109/JSAC.2015.2430272](https://doi.org/10.1109/JSAC.2015.2430272). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/lpdocs/epic03/wrapper.htm?arnumber=7102673>.
  - [8] Simone Di Domenico, Giuseppe Bianchi, and Roma Tor. "A Trained-once Crowd Counting Method Using Differential WiFi Channel State Information." In: *Proceedings of the 3rd International on Workshop on Physical Analytics - WPA '16* (2016), pp. 37–42. DOI: [10.1145/2935651.2935657](https://doi.org/10.1145/2935651.2935657). URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=2935651.2935657>.
  - [9] J. D. Hunter. "Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment." In: *Computing In Science & Engineering* 9.3 (2007), pp. 90–95. DOI: [10.1109/MCSE.2007.55](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.55).
  - [10] Piotr Jankowski, Natalia Andrienko, Gennady Andrienko, and Slava Kisilevich. "Discovering Landmark Preferences and Movement Patterns from Photo Postings." In: *Transactions in GIS* 14.6 (2010), pp. 833–852. ISSN: 13611682. DOI: [10.1111/j.1467-9671.2010.01235.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9671.2010.01235.x). URL: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1467-9671.2010.01235.x>.
  - [11] Pravein Govindan Kannan, Seshadri Padmanabha Venkatagiri, Mun Choon Chan, Akhihebbal L. Ananda, and Li-Shiuan Peh. "Low cost crowd counting using audio tones." In: *Proceedings of the 10th ACM Conference on Embedded Network Sensor Systems - SenSys '12* (2012), p. 155. DOI: [10.1145/2426656.2426673](https://doi.org/10.1145/2426656.2426673). URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=2426656.2426673>.
  - [12] M B Kjærsgaard, M Wirz, D Roggen, and G Tröster. *Mobile sensing of pedestrian flocks in indoor environments using WiFi signals*. 2012. DOI: [10.1109/PerCom.2012.6199854](https://doi.org/10.1109/PerCom.2012.6199854).
  - [13] Nicholas D. Lane, Yohan Chon, Lin Zhou, Yongzhe Zhang, Fan Li, Dongwon Kim, Guanzhong Ding, Feng Zhao, and Hojung Cha. "Piggyback CrowdSensing (PCS): energy efficient crowd-sourcing of mobile sensor data by exploiting smartphone app opportunities." In: *Proceedings of the 11th ACM Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems* (2013), p. 7. DOI: [10.1145/2517351.2517372](https://doi.org/10.1145/2517351.2517372).
  - [14] Lu Luo, Jun Yang, Xuan Bao, Zhixian Yan, and Yifei Jiang. "SWAN: A Novel Mobile System to Track and Analyze Social Well-being." In: *Adjunct Proceedings of the 2015 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing and Proceedings of the 2015 ACM International Symposium on Wearable Computers*.

- New York, New York, USA: ACM Press, 2015, pp. 703–712. ISBN: 978-1-4503-3575-1. DOI: [10.1145/2800835.2804395](https://doi.org/10.1145/2800835.2804395). URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=2800835.2804395><http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2800835.2804395>.
- [15] Ricardo O. Mitchell, Hammad Rashid, Fakir Dawood, and Ali Alkhalidi. “Hajj crowd management and navigation system: People tracking and location based services via integrated mobile and RFID systems.” In: *International Conference on Computer Applications Technology, ICCAT 2013*. 2013. ISBN: 9781467352857. DOI: [10.1109/ICCAT.2013.6522008](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCAT.2013.6522008).
  - [16] A. B. M. Musa and Jakob Eriksson. “Tracking unmodified smartphones using wi-fi monitors.” In: *Proceedings of the 10th ACM Conference on Embedded Network Sensor Systems - SenSys '12* (2012), p. 281. DOI: [10.1145/2426656.2426685](https://doi.org/10.1145/2426656.2426685). URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=2426656.2426685>.
  - [17] Masayuki Nakatsuka. “A Study on Passive Crowd Density Estimation using Wireless Sensors.” In: *The Fourth International Conference on Mobile Computing and Ubiquitous Networking*. 2008. URL: <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.160.9244>.
  - [18] Valentin Radu, Lito Kriara, and Mahesh K. Marina. “Pazl: A mobile crowdsensing based indoor WiFi monitoring system.” In: *2013 9th International Conference on Network and Service Management, CNSM 2013 and its three collocated Workshops - ICQT 2013, SVM 2013 and SETM 2013*. IEEE, 2013, pp. 75–83. ISBN: 9783901882531. DOI: [10.1109/CNSM.2013.6727812](https://doi.org/10.1109/CNSM.2013.6727812). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/lpdocs/epic03/wrapper.htm?arnumber=6727812>.
  - [19] Lorenz Schauer, Martin Werner, and Philipp Marcus. “Estimating Crowd Densities and Pedestrian Flows Using Wi-Fi and Bluetooth.” In: *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Mobile and Ubiquitous Systems: Computing, Networking and Services* (2014), pp. 171–177. DOI: [10.4108/icst.mobiquitous.2014.257870](https://doi.org/10.4108/icst.mobiquitous.2014.257870). URL: <http://eudl.eu/doi/10.4108/icst.mobiquitous.2014.257870>.
  - [20] André Schmidt. “Low-cost Crowd Counting in Public Spaces.” In: (2014). URL: [http://andsch.com/sites/default/files/crowd{\\\_}counting{\\\_}andre{\\\_}schmidt.pdf](http://andsch.com/sites/default/files/crowd{\_}counting{\_}andre{\_}schmidt.pdf).
  - [21] E Vattapparamban, B S Çiftler, I Güvenç, K Akkaya, and A Kadri. “Indoor occupancy tracking in smart buildings using passive sniffing of probe requests.” In: *2016 IEEE International Conference on Communications Workshops (ICC)*. 2016, pp. 38–44. ISBN: VO -. DOI: [10.1109/ICCW.2016.7503761](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCW.2016.7503761).

- [22] Edwin Vattapparamban. "People Counting and occupancy Monitoring using WiFi Probe Requests and Unmanned Aerial Vehicles." In: *FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations* (2016). URL: <http://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/etd/2479>.
- [23] Mathias Versichele, Tijs Neutens, Matthias Delafontaine, and Nico Van de Weghe. "The use of Bluetooth for analysing spatiotemporal dynamics of human movement at mass events: A case study of the Ghent Festivities." In: *Applied Geography* 32.2 (2012), pp. 208–220. ISSN: 01436228. DOI: [10.1016/j.apgeog.2011.05.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2011.05.011).
- [24] Long Vu, Klara Nahrstedt, Samuel Retika, and Indranil Gupta. "Joint bluetooth/wifi scanning framework for characterizing and leveraging people movement in university campus." In: *Proceedings of the 13th ACM international conference on Modeling analysis and simulation of wireless and mobile systems* (2010), pp. 257–265. DOI: [10.1145/1868521.1868563](https://doi.org/10.1145/1868521.1868563). URL: <http://portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=1868521.1868563><http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1868563>.
- [25] Yan Wang, Jie Yang, Hongbo Liu, and Yingying Chen. "Measuring human queues using WiFi signals." In: *Proceedings of the 19th annual international conference on Mobile computing & networking*. New York, New York, USA: ACM Press, 2013, pp. 235–237. ISBN: 9781450319997. DOI: [10.1145/2500423.2504584](https://doi.org/10.1145/2500423.2504584). URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=2500423.2504584><http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2504584>.
- [26] Jens Weppner and Paul Lukowicz. "Collaborative Crowd Density Estimation with Mobile Phones." In: *Proc. of ACM PhoneSense* (2011). URL: <http://131.107.65.14/en-us/um/redmond/events/phonesense2011/papers/CollaborativeCrowd.pdf>.
- [27] Jens Weppner and Paul Lukowicz. "Bluetooth based collaborative crowd density estimation with mobile phones." In: *2013 IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications (PerCom)* (2013), pp. 193–200. DOI: [10.1109/PerCom.2013.6526732](https://doi.org/10.1109/PerCom.2013.6526732). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/lpdocs/epic03/wrapper.htm?arnumber=6526732><http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/articleDetails.jsp?arnumber=6526732>.
- [28] Di Wu, Qiang Liu, Yuan Zhang, Julie McCann, Amelia Regan, and Nalini Venkatasubramanian. "CrowdWiFi: Efficient Crowd-sensing of Roadside WiFi Networks." In: *Proceedings of the 15th International Middleware Conference on - Middleware '14* (2014), pp. 229–240. DOI: [10.1145/2663165.2663329](https://doi.org/10.1145/2663165.2663329). URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=2663165.2663329><http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2663165.2663329>.



- [29] W Xi, J Zhao, X Y Li, K Zhao, S Tang, X Liu, and Z Jiang. "Electronic frog eye: Counting crowd using WiFi." In: *IEEE INFOCOM 2014 - IEEE Conference on Computer Communications*. 2014, pp. 361–369. ISBN: 0743-166X VO -. DOI: [10.1109/INFOCOM.2014.6847958](https://doi.org/10.1109/INFOCOM.2014.6847958).
- [30] Ooi Boon Yaik, Kong Zan Wai, Ian K.T.Tan, and Ooi Boon Sheng. "Measuring the Accuracy of Crowd Counting using Wi-Fi Probe-Request-Frame Counting Technique." In: *Journal of Telecommunication, Electronic and Computer Engineering (JTEC)* 8.2 (2016), pp. 79–81. ISSN: 2289-8131.
- [31] Takuya Yoshida. "Estimating the number of people using existing WiFi access point in indoor environment." In: *6th European Conference of Computer Science (ECCS '15)*. 2015, pp. 46–53. ISBN: 9781618043443.
- [32] Yaoxuan Yuan, Chen Qiu, Wei Xi, and Jizhong Zhao. "Crowd Density Estimation Using Wireless Sensor Networks." In: *2011 Seventh International Conference on Mobile Ad-hoc and Sensor Networks* (2011), pp. 138–145. DOI: [10.1109/MSN.2011.31](https://doi.org/10.1109/MSN.2011.31). URL: <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/lpdocs/epic03/wrapper.htm?arnumber=6117405>.