



# Signatures and Observables of the Nuclear Fuel Cycle

Gregory T. Westphal, Kathryn D. Huff

University of Illinios at Urbana-Champaign, Department of Nuclear, Plasma, and Radiological Engineering, Urbana, IL 61801

#### Objectives

- Create high-fidelity reprocessing facility agent models (both aqueous and electrochemical/pyroprocessing).
- Apply these models in Cyclus to simulate material
- diversion in closed fuel cycles.
- Identify and characterize non-traditional signatures and observables in these facilities.
- Extend successful algorithms for modeling diversion and diversion detection.
- Characterize required detection sensitivities and corresponding false positive rates.

## Background




Figure: Typical Nuclear fuel cycle without diversion [3].

# Cyclus




Figure: Cyclus API allows for modular build of simulations [3]

## Signatures and Observables

Detection modes vary between each facility type, requiring a specific analysis of each processing plant to determine effective signatures and observables. For example, **pyroprocessing** has four major systems with observable waste: electroreduction, electrorefining, electrowinning, and metal fuel fabrication[1]. These systems have the corresponding signatures:

#### Direct

- Metal Waste: Solid, insoluble metal fission products.
- Ceramic Waste Electrowinning: Waste salt LiCl-KCl contains trace amounts of <sup>135</sup>Cs and <sup>137</sup>Cs from electrowinning the fuel.
- Vitrified Waste: LiCl-KCl salt that contains TRU and Sr alongside rare-earth elements precipitated into gases and vitrified with borosilicate glass.
- Ceramic Waste Electroreduction: Through electroreduction, Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is used to separate <sup>135</sup>Cs, <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>129</sup>I and <sup>14</sup>C which are solidified into ceramic waste.

#### Indirect

- Power Draw: Sign of overusing centrifuges [5, 2].
- Smoke Production: Reactor producing high power than rated or reported for possible nefarious reasons [5].
- **Decay Heat:** Lower decay heat in casks signifies over-reporting of waste [4].
- Trace Quantities: <sup>135</sup>Xe and <sup>85</sup>Kr are commonly emitted through processing along with tritium from reactors. Need sensitive equipment but difficult to hide [1, 4].

## Archetype Design




Figure: Pyroprocessing material flowchart designed for a Cyclus archetype.

#### Future Work

The goal of this poster is to outline the ground work done and review previous material on diversion, particularly related to pyroprocessing. What needs to be accomplished proceeding this work is as follows:

- Simulate pyroprocessing plant and network.
- Create Cyclus output and compare to prior algorithms.
- Assess capability of using Cyclus as online detection.

#### Timeline

Jan. 2018 · · · · •	Project start:	Literature Review.
Feb. 2018 · · · · · •	Model development:	Pyro Separations
Mar. 2018 · · · · •	Data collection:	Pyro Separations
May. 2018 · · · · •	Model development:	Cyclus Pyroprocess
Jun. 2018 · · · · •	Model development:	Build Archetype
Jul. 2018 · · · · •	Model development:	Signatures Class
Aug. 2018 · · · · •	Data collection:	Cyclus Simulation
Sep. 2018 · · · · · •	Scenario simulation:	Prior Observables
Oct. 2018 · · · · •	Scenario simulation:	Proposed Observables
Dec. 2018 · · · · •	Scenario simulation:	Vary algorithm
2019	Sensitivity analysis:	Vary key parameters.

### Acknowledgements

This research was performed using funding received from the Consortium for Nonproliferation Enabling Capabilities under award number 1-483313-973000-191100.



**Reactors &** 





## Contact Information

- Web: arfc.github.io
- Email: gtw2@illinois.edu
- Phone: +1 (636) 284-9691

## References

[1] R. A. Borrelli, J. Ahn, and Y. Hwang. Approaches to a practical systems assessment for safeguardability of advanced nuclear fuel cycles.

Nuclear Technology, 197:248–264, Mar. 2017.

[2] E. Hou, Y. Yilmaz, and A. O. Hero. Diversion detection in partially observed nuclear fuel cycle networks. 2016.

[3] K. D. Huff.

Closed Nuclear Fuel Cycle Flowsheet, Jan. 2018.

DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.5765898.v1

[4] R. S. Kemp.

Environmental detection of clandestine nuclear weapon programs. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 44:17–35, 2016.

[5] Y. Yilmaz, E. Hou, and A. O. Hero. Online diversion detection in nuclear fuel cycles via multimodal observations.