

Definition 1. A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a map $\text{ob}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{ob}\mathcal{D}$ and a map of morphisms $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(x), F(y))$. Such that $F(\text{id}_x) = \text{id}_{F(x)}$ and $F(g \circ f) = F(g) \circ F(f)$.

Definition 2. $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is *faithful* if for all $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(x), F(y))$ is injective. It is *full* if every such map is surjective. It is *essentially surjective* if for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$ there is $c \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $F(c) \cong d$.

Definition 3. For two functors $F, G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, a *natural transformation* $\eta: F \Rightarrow G$ is a collection of morphisms $\eta_x \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(x), G(x))$ such that for every $x \xrightarrow{f} y$, $\eta_y \circ F(f) = G(f) \circ \eta_x$. They're natural isos if η_x isos.

Definition 4. Equivalence of categories: $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with natural isomorphisms $e: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow GF$, $\epsilon: FG \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$. An adjoint equivalence is an equivalence where $F \dashv G$.

Proposition 5. The following are equivalent: \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are equivalent, \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are adjoint equivalent and there is $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ that is fully faithful and essentially surjective.

Definition 6. Two functors $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ are adjoint if there exist natural transformations $\eta: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow GF$ and $\epsilon: FG \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$ with $F \xrightarrow{\text{id}_F \circ \eta} FGF \xrightarrow{\epsilon \circ \text{id}_F} F$ and $G \xrightarrow{\eta \circ \text{id}_G} GFG \xrightarrow{\text{id}_G \circ \epsilon} G$.

The forgetful functor $\text{Forget}: * \rightarrow \text{Set}$ has a left adjoint $\text{Free} \dashv \text{Forget}$ for $*$ being Grp , Ab , Vect . $\text{Forget}: \text{Ab} \rightarrow \text{Grp}$ has a left adjoint, the abelianisation of G . For topologies $\text{Forget}: \text{Top} \rightarrow \text{Set}$, we have $D \dashv \text{Forget} \dashv I$, where D is the discrete topology and I the indiscrete topology. The forgetful functor from fields has no left adjoint. Such a left adjoint should map \emptyset to an initial object in Field , but fields have no initial object.

Definition 7 (Comma categories). For $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, and $x \in \mathcal{C}$ the category $(x \Rightarrow G)$ has objects $(y, x \xrightarrow{f} G(y))$ and morphisms $(y_1, f_1) \rightarrow (y_2, f_2)$ such that $x \xrightarrow{f_1} G(y_1) \rightarrow G(y_2) \xrightarrow{f_2} x$ commutes with f_2 . Similarly $(F \Rightarrow y)$.

Proposition 8. $F \dashv G$ iff $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(x), y) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, G(y))$ naturally in x, y iff for all x , $(F(x), e_x)$ is initial in $(x \Rightarrow G)$.

Definition 9. For a locally small category \mathcal{C} , the Yoneda Embedding is given by a functor $Y: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$, where $Y(x) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, x)$ and $Y(x \xrightarrow{f} y) = (g \mapsto f \circ g)$.

Lemma 10. The Yoneda lemma states that for any presheaf $F: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$, the map $\text{Fun}(Y(x), F) \rightarrow F(x)$ given by $\eta \mapsto \eta_x(\text{id}_x)$, is an isomorphism.

Proof. To construct the inverse, let $f \in F(x)$, then define natural transformation $\epsilon: Y(x) \Rightarrow F$ given by $\epsilon_y: Y(x)(y) \rightarrow F(y)$ and $g \mapsto F(g)(f)$. Show this is natural by F preserving composition. One inverse is easy, for the other take η arbitrary, make diagram with $x \xrightarrow{g} y$ and claim $\eta_y(g) = F(g)(\eta_x(\text{id}_x))$. \square

Corollary 11. The Yoneda functor is full and faithful.

Proof. For x_1, x_2 , we have that $\text{Hom}(Y(x_1), Y(x_2)) \rightarrow Y(x_1)(x_2)$ is an isomorphism by Yoneda. \square

Definition 12. A presheaf is *representable* if it is in the essential image of the Yoneda functor.

Proposition 13. A formal right adjoint to $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a functor $G^{\text{formal}}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$ with $y \mapsto (x \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(x), y))$. A right adjoint G to F exists if and only if $G^{\text{formal}}(y)$ is representable for all y .

Definition 14. Let $D: I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. A limit of D is an object $\lim_I D \in \mathcal{C}$ along with maps $f_i: \lim_I D \rightarrow D(i)$, such that for every $g: i \rightarrow j$, $D(g) \circ f_i = f_j$. It is universal as for any other object W with compatible maps $W \rightarrow D(i)$, there is a unique morphism $W \rightarrow \lim_I D$.

Proposition 15. The diagonal functor $\Delta: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(I, \mathcal{C})$ is $\Delta(x)(i) = x$. Then $\text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}(I, \mathcal{C})}(\Delta(W), F) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(W, \lim_I F)$, so $\text{colim}_I \dashv \Delta \dashv \lim_I$.

Proposition 16. Suppose \mathcal{C} has limits for diagrams of shape I and J . Then it has limits of diagrams of shape $I \times J$ and

$$\lim_{I \times J} F \cong \lim_I \lim_J F \cong \lim_J \lim_I F$$

For the proof use Δ as an adjoint, $(x, \lim_I \lim_J F) \cong (\Delta_I(x), \lim_J F) \cong (\Delta_{(I),J}(\Delta_I(x), F) \cong (\Delta_{I \times J}, F)$

Theorem 17. \mathcal{C} has limits iff it has products and equalisers. \mathcal{C} has finite limits if it has binary products, final object and equalisers.

Proof. For $F: I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, for every morphism $f: i \rightarrow j$, let $\prod_{k \in I} F(k) \rightarrow F(j)$ the projection map and the composite map $\prod_{k \in I} F(k) \rightarrow F(i) \xrightarrow{F(f)} F(j)$. Then, by the universal property of the product we get unique maps:

$$\prod_{k \in I} F(k) \rightrightarrows \prod_{(i \rightarrow j) \in \text{Fun}([1], J)} F(j)$$

By the equalisers, we get E the limit of F . \square

Definition 18. A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a *monomorphism* if for every $g, h: Y \rightarrow Z$, $f \circ g = f \circ h$ implies $g = h$. For an epimorphism f , $g \circ f = h \circ f$ implies $g = h$.

Definition 19. Equalisers are regular monomorphisms, coequalisers are regular epimorphisms.

Limits		Colimits	
Final	Set : $\{1\}$, Grp : $\{e\}$	Initial	Set : \emptyset , Grp : $\{e\}$ Fields: None
Products	\times in Grp, Set, Vect	Co-products	Set: \sqcup , Grp: free product, Ab: \times
Equal	Set: x with $f(x) = g(x)$,	Coeq	Set: $Y/f(x) \sim g(x)$, Grp: $Y/S \ f(x)g(x)^{-1}$
Pullback	$\{(x, y) \mid f(x) = g(y)\}$	Pushout	

Theorem 20. Let $F \dashv G$ then F preserves colimits and G preserves limits.

$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, G \lim_I J) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Fx, \lim_I J) \cong \lim_I (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(x), J)) \cong$. So $G \lim_I J$ is $\lim_I (G \circ J)$.

Theorem 21. $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ for \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} locally small, and \mathcal{C} has small colimits. $F \dashv G$ iff F preserves colimits and for all x , $(F \Rightarrow x)$ the solution set holds. A category \mathcal{C} satisfies it if: there is I small, $\{c_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that for each $x \in \mathcal{C}$ there is c_i with $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, c_i)$ non-empty.

Definition 22 (Monads). A monad $T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a functor with unit $\eta: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow T$ and multiplication $\mu: T^2 \Rightarrow T$, satisfying: $T^3 \xrightarrow{\text{id}_T \circ \mu} T^2 \xrightarrow{\mu} T$ is equal to $T^3 \xrightarrow{\mu \circ \text{id}_T} T^2 \xrightarrow{\mu} T$ and $T \xrightarrow{\eta \circ \text{id}_T} T^2 \xrightarrow{\mu} T$ and $T \xrightarrow{\eta \circ \text{id}_T} T^2 \xrightarrow{\mu} T$ are both equal to the identity.

Proposition 23. Let $F \dashv G$ with $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, then GF is a monad in \mathcal{C} and FG is a comonad in \mathcal{D} . For the proof, let $\eta: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow GF$ and $\mu: GF GF \xrightarrow{\text{id}_G \circ \text{id}_F} GF$. Diagrams for T^2 and then append G and F .

Definition 24. For a monad T an algebra $\text{Alg}_T(\mathcal{C})$ is the category with objects $(x, T(x) \xrightarrow{\alpha_x} x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{C}$ with α_x such that $T^2(x) \xrightarrow{\mu_x} T(x) \xrightarrow{\alpha_x} x$ is equal to $T^2(x) \xrightarrow{T(\alpha_x)} T(x) \xrightarrow{\alpha_x} x$ and $x \xrightarrow{\eta_x} T(x) \xrightarrow{\alpha_x} x$ is the identity. The morphisms $(x, \alpha_x) \rightarrow (y, \alpha_y)$ are given by $f: x \rightarrow y$ such that $T(x) \xrightarrow{\alpha_x} x \xrightarrow{f} y$ and $T(x) \xrightarrow{T(f)} T(y) \xrightarrow{\alpha_y} y$ commutes.

Proposition 25. The forgetful functor $F: \text{Alg}_T(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ has a left adjoint L and $FL = T$. We have $L(x) = (T(x), \mu_x)$. Write down the commutative square for a morphism $T(x) \xrightarrow{f} A$ and then $T(x) \xrightarrow{T(\eta_x)} T^2(x) \xrightarrow{\mu_x} T(x)$ is the identity. So f is uniquely determined by $x \xrightarrow{\eta_x} T(x) \xrightarrow{f} A$ giving an isomorphism of Homs.

Definition 26. For $F \dashv G$ let $G_{\text{enh}}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_T(\mathcal{C})$ with $G_{\text{enh}}(x) = (G(x), GFG(x) \xrightarrow{G(\epsilon_x)} G(x))$. G is *monadic* if there is $F \dashv G$ and for $T = GF$, $G_{\text{enh}}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_T(\mathcal{C})$ is an equivalence.

Definition 27. A functor $G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is *conservative* if when $G(f)$ is an isomorphism so is f . The forgetful functors from Ab, Grp, Vect are conservative, the one from Top is not.

Definition 28. A fork is a cocone $x \rightrightarrows y \xrightarrow{e} z$ so that $e \circ g = e \circ f$. It is split if there are $s: z \rightarrow y$, $t: y \rightarrow x$ such that $es = \text{id}_z$, $ft = \text{id}_y$ and $gt = se$. Split forks are coequalisers.

Definition 29. Morphisms $f, g: x \rightarrow y$ are a split pair if their coequaliser exists and is split. They are G split if $G(f), G(g)$ is split.

Theorem 30. A functor $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is *monadic* if and only if: it has a left adjoint, it is conservative and every G -split pair admits a coequaliser in \mathcal{D} and is preserved by G .