

Yeo Peng Hock Henry v Pai Lily
[2001] SGCA 73

Case Number : CA 600048/2001, Suit 600030/2000
Decision Date : 07 November 2001
Tribunal/Court : Court of Appeal
Coram : Chao Hick Tin JA; L P Thean JA; Yong Pung How CJ
Counsel Name(s) : Quek Mong Hua and Adeline Foo (Lee & Lee) for the appellant; Harpreet Singh Nehal, Edmund Kronenburg and Shirin Tang (Drew & Napier LLC) for the respondent
Parties : Yeo Peng Hock Henry — Pai Lily

Judgment

(Costs)

1. In our judgment delivered on 26 October 2001, we invited parties to submit written arguments on the question of costs. We have since been informed by the solicitors for Dr Yeo that parties have come to an amicable settlement on the question of costs. We accordingly make no order as to costs. The only order that is now required is one for the refund of the deposit in court, with interest, if any, to Dr Yeo or his solicitors. That order we now make.

Sgd:
YONG PUNG HOW
Chief Justice

Sgd:
L P THEAN
Judge of Appeal
Copyright © Government of Singapore.

Sgd:
CHAO HICK TIN
Judge of Appeal