

# Econometrics - Problem Set 5

Gualtiero Azzalini

## Exercise 2

I first report the whole code. At the beginning I set the following values:  $n = 10$  the sample size,  $k = 1000$  the number of times to repeat part (b),  $reps = 3000$  the number of bootstrap repetitions,  $\alpha = 0.05$  for the CI,  $\theta_0 = \exp(\mathbb{E}[x]) = 1$ .

---

```
%% Exercise 2

% Set parameters
n = 10; k = 1000; reps = 3000; alpha=0.05; theta0 = 1; tic

for j=1:k
    x = randn(n,1).*sqrt(6);
    theta = exp(mean(x));
    bs=randi([1 10],n,reps);
    x_star(:,:)=x(bs(:,:));
        for i=1:reps
            Tn(i,1) = (sqrt(n)*(exp(mean(x_star(:,i))))-theta)*...
                    (std(x_star(:,i))*theta)^(-1));
        end
    Tn_abs = abs(Tn);
    z(j,1) = quantile(Tn_abs,1-alpha);
    CI_bs(j,1) = theta-z(j,1)*(std(x)*theta)*sqrt(n)^(-1);
    CI_asy(j,1) = theta-1.96*(std(x)*theta)*sqrt(n)^(-1);
    CI_bs(j,2) = theta+z(j,1)*(std(x)*theta)*sqrt(n)^(-1);
    CI_asy(j,2) = theta+1.96*(std(x)*theta)*sqrt(n)^(-1);
    if rem(j/200,1) == 0
        j
    end
    if theta0<CI_bs(j,1) | theta0>CI_bs(j,2)
        dummy_bs(j,1)=0;
    else
        dummy_bs(j,1)=1;
    end
    if theta0<CI_asy(j,1) | theta0>CI_asy(j,2)
        dummy_asy(j,1)=0;
    else
```

```

                dummy_asy(j,1)=1;
            end
        end
    toc

    %Percentage theta0 is in the interval
    perc_bs = 100*sum(dummy_bs)/k;
    perc_asy = 100*sum(dummy_asy)/k;

```

---

## Part (a)

The following code does what required in the question:

```

x = randn(n,1).*sqrt(6);
theta = exp(mean(x));

```

---

The first line generates a random sample of  $n = 10$  from a  $\mathcal{N}(0, 6)$  and computes  $\hat{\theta} = \exp(\bar{x}_n)$  where  $\bar{x}_n$  is the sample mean.

## Part (b)

The following code performs what required in the question:

```

bs=randi([1 10],n, reps);
x_star(:, :) = x(bs(:, :));
    for i=1:reps
        Tn(i,1) = (sqrt(n)*(exp(mean(x_star(:, i))) - theta) * ...
                    (std(x_star(:, i))*theta)^(-1));
    end
Tn_abs = abs(Tn);
z(j,1) = quantile(Tn_abs, 1-alpha);
CI_bs(j,1) = theta - z(j,1)*(std(x)*theta)*sqrt(n)^(-1);
CI_asy(j,1) = theta - 1.96*(std(x)*theta)*sqrt(n)^(-1);
CI_bs(j,2) = theta + z(j,1)*(std(x)*theta)*sqrt(n)^(-1);
CI_asy(j,2) = theta + 1.96*(std(x)*theta)*sqrt(n)^(-1);

```

---

In the first two lines I draw with replacement  $reps = 3000$  new bootstrap samples, that i collect in the matrix  $x_{star}$ . Basically in the first line I create a 10x3000 matrix containing numbers from 1 to 10. The integers in each column represent which values in the original sample should be put in each bootstrap sample. Then I compute the t-statistic:

$$T_n(F_n) = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}^* - \hat{\theta})}{\hat{\sigma}^*}$$

Where  $\hat{\theta}^* = \exp(\bar{x}_n^*)$  and  $\hat{\theta} = \exp(\bar{x}_n)$  and  $\hat{\sigma}^* = \sigma(x^*) \exp(\bar{x}_n) = \sigma(x^*) \hat{\theta}$ .  $\hat{\sigma}^*$  is computed through the delta-method and  $\sigma(x^*)$  is the standard deviation of the sample. In fact, according to the delta-method, if  $g(\theta)$  is a continuously differentiable function, then  $\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\theta}) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 g'(\theta)^2)$  in the univariate case. In this case  $g(\theta) = \exp(\theta)$  hence we can use the formula above as consistent estimator of the standard error.

Then, I take the absolute value of  $T_n$  and I compute the  $1 - \alpha$  quantile of this distribution to get  $z_{n,\alpha}^*$  (in my case  $\alpha = 0.05$ ). Finally I compute the CI in the bootstrap case as  $\hat{\theta} \pm z_{n,\alpha}^* \frac{\sigma(x)}{\sqrt{n}}$  and in the asymptotic case as  $\hat{\theta} \pm 1.96 \frac{\sigma(x)}{\sqrt{n}}$ . Note that I use  $\sigma(x)$ , the standard error of the original sample  $x$  as consistent estimator (in this case I could have used also the asymptotic variance as I know the true distribution but (i) usually the original distribution is not known (ii) the standard error of the sample is a consistent estimator and hence results are basically the same).

## Part (c)

I repeat part (b) 1000 times as required and I compute the percentages as follows:

---

```

if theta0 < CI_bs(j,1) | theta0 > CI_bs(j,2)
    dummy_bs(j,1) = 0;
else
    dummy_bs(j,1) = 1;
end
if theta0 < CI_asy(j,1) | theta0 > CI_asy(j,2)
    dummy_asy(j,1) = 0;
else
    dummy_asy(j,1) = 1;
end
end
toc

%Percentage theta0 is in the interval
perc_bs = 100*sum(dummy_bs)/k;
perc_asy = 100*sum(dummy_asy)/k;

```

---

In the first part I define two dummies (one for the asymptotic and one for the bootstrap that is one if the true parameter  $\theta_0 = 1$  is contained in the  $j^{th}$  iteration and it's zero otherwise. Then I compute the percentage of times that  $\theta_0$  is contained in the CI for the two cases. Results are in the table below:

% - Bootstrap CI	% - Asymptotic CI
94.9	87.2

Clearly the percentage is higher in the bootstrap case because, given that the t-stat is pivotal, the coverage of the bootstrap is  $O(n^{-2})$  in the symmetric case, while it's  $O(n^{-1/2})$  in the asymptotic case.

## Exercise 3

### Part (a)<sup>1</sup>

To verify clean maximum we can check that the conditions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied (as the latter holds in general).

- $\Theta$  is compact by hypothesis
- $Q(\theta_0) > Q(\theta)$  for each  $\theta \in \Theta$  with  $\theta \neq \theta_0$ :  $Q(\theta)$  is a quadratic form with  $W$  positive definite and symmetric which implies that is minimized at zero, i.e. when  $\gamma(\theta) = g_0$ . Since by assumption we know that there is a unique  $\theta_0$  such that  $\gamma(\theta_0) = g_0$  then this condition holds.
- $Q : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous: we know by assumption that  $\gamma : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is continuous hence, to prove that  $Q$  is continuous we have to show that  $(g_0 - \gamma(\theta))$  is continuous in  $\theta$ . Consider any  $\theta^* \in \Theta$  and let  $(\theta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Theta$  be a sequence such that  $\|\theta_n - \theta^*\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then since  $\gamma : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is continuous:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g_0 - \gamma(\theta_n)) = g_0 - \gamma(\theta^*)$  and since algebraic operations are continuous, then this proves that the whole function  $Q$  is continuous.

As all the conditions required by the lemma are satisfied clean maximum holds.

### Part (b)

We want to show  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |Q_n(\theta) - Q(\theta)| = o_p(1)$ .

Now, consider the following:

$$2(Q(\theta) - Q_n(\theta)) = (g_n - \gamma(\theta))' \hat{W}(g_n - \gamma(\theta)) - (g_0 - \gamma(\theta))' W(g_0 - \gamma(\theta)).$$

Add and subtract  $(g_n - \gamma(\theta))' W(g_n - \gamma(\theta))$ :

$$\Rightarrow (g_n - \gamma(\theta))' (\hat{W} - W)(g_n - \gamma(\theta)) + (g_n - \gamma(\theta))' W(g_n - \gamma(\theta)) - (g_0 - \gamma(\theta))' W(g_0 - \gamma(\theta)).$$

Note that the last two terms can be written as:

$$(g_n - g_0)' W(g_n - g_0) - \gamma(\theta)' W(g_n - g_0) - (g_n - g_0)' W \gamma(\theta).$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} 2|Q_n(\theta) - Q(\theta)| &\leq \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left| (g_n - \gamma(\theta))' (\hat{W} - W)(g_n - \gamma(\theta)) \right| \\ &\quad + \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |(g_n - g_0)' W(g_n - g_0)| \\ &\quad + \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |\gamma(\theta)' W(g_n - g_0)| \\ &\quad + \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |(g_n - g_0)' W \gamma(\theta)|. \end{aligned}$$

Now note the following:

---

<sup>1</sup>Note: I assume first and second moments of  $g(w_t)$  are finite

$$\begin{aligned}
g_n - g_0 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n g(w_t) - \mathbb{E}[g(w_t)] = o_{a.s.}(1) && \text{by Ergodic Theorem} \\
\|\hat{W} - W\| &= o_p(1) && \text{by assumption} \\
\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} (\|\gamma(\theta)\|) &< \infty && \text{by Weierstrass as } \gamma(\theta) \text{ continuous and } \Theta \text{ compact} \\
\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \|g_n - \gamma(\theta)\| &< \infty && \text{by the above and finite moments assumption}
\end{aligned}$$

and that  $g_n$  and  $g_0$  don't depend on  $\theta$ , so that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} 2|Q_n(\theta) - Q(\theta)| &\leq \left( \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \|g_n - \gamma(\theta)\| \right)^2 \|\hat{W} - W\| \\
&+ (\|g_n - g_0\|)^2 \|W\| \\
&+ \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} (\|\gamma(\theta)\|) \|W\| \|g_n - g_0\| \\
&+ \|g_n - g_0\| \|W\| \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} (\|\gamma(\theta)\|) \\
&= O_p(1) o_p(1) + o_p(1) * \text{constant} + O_p(1) * \text{constant} * o_p(1) + o_p(1) * \text{constant} * O_p(1) \\
&= o_p(1).
\end{aligned}$$

This verifies uniform convergence.

### Part (c)

By part (a) and part (b) and by the fact that we are dealing with an extremum estimator, using Theorem 2.1 we conclude that  $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{p} \theta_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .