



Welcome to your shift at The Guardian. It's 8:00 AM, and the newsroom is already buzzing. You have a 10-hour shift ahead to make your mark.

Before we dive in, please choose your journalist archetype. This will influence your strengths and how you approach the day:

- A) Tenacious Investigator: Skilled in deep research, source cultivation, and uncovering hidden details.
- B) Fast-Paced Live Reporter: Focuses on rapid response, social media, and breaking news updates.
- C) Live Video Producer: Excels at visual storytelling and coordinating video coverage.
- D) Belligerent Desk Editor: Prioritizes aggressively, demands results, and challenges reporters.

Based on yesterday's news from The Guardian and other sources, here are some of the key stories developing:

- \* A new Pope, Leo XIV, has been elected, with focus on his background and potential stances on issues.
- \* There's significant political news in Trinidad and Tobago, with a cabinet shake-up and a new Prime Minister incoming.
- \* Discussion around potential US travel restrictions under Trump for five Caribbean nations.
- \* In the UK, there are ongoing discussions around government values, welfare

spending, and the recent redesign of The Guardian's app and homepage.

The day is yours to shape. What kind of journalist will you be today?

A



Okay, you've chosen the \*\*Tenacious Investigator\*\* archetype. Your strength lies in deep dives, cultivating sources, and uncovering hidden truths. Let's see how those skills serve you today.

It's 8:00 AM. The newsroom is a hive of activity, dominated by the big headlines: the new Pope, the political shifts in Trinidad and Tobago, and potential US travel restrictions. As an Investigator, your instinct is to look beyond the immediate headlines. You overhear chatter about the incoming Trinidad and Tobago Prime Minister, particularly whispers of past dealings.

Here is your first decision point:

**\*\*8:00 AM - 9:00 AM (Scenario 1 of 10)\*\***

The new Prime Minister in Trinidad and Tobago is a sudden development. While others are focused on official statements, you wonder what's *\*not\** being said. Your network includes a few well-placed contacts in Port of Spain who might have insights into the political maneuvering and the new leader's background beyond the official narrative. This could be a chance to uncover something significant before the pack.

What do you do?

- A) Start digging into public records and financial reports related to the new PM's known associates and businesses.
- B) Reach out to your most trusted contact in Trinidad and Tobago for an off-the-record chat about the political climate and key players.
- C) Propose a cross-border collaborative investigation with a known investigative journalist in the Caribbean.
- D) Monitor social media and local news outlets intensively for any early signs of public reaction or unofficial information leaks.

C



You approach Sarah, the Head of News, with your proposal for a collaborative investigation into the background of the new Trinidad and Tobago Prime Minister, leveraging a contact you have with a respected Caribbean journalist. Sarah considers it, nodding slowly.

"That's thinking like an investigator," she says. "A cross-border project could give us real depth. However, before we commit resources, I need you to do some initial groundwork. Give me a brief by 10:00 AM outlining what initial red flags or areas of concern you find just from readily available information. If there's something solid, we'll greenlight the collaboration."

Your initiative is noted, but the real work starts now to justify the larger project. Your Editorial Impact Score is slightly adjusted upwards for strategic thinking.

**\*\*9:00 AM - 10:00 AM (Scenario 2 of 10)\*\***

You have less than an hour to dig into publicly available information to satisfy Sarah and potentially launch your collaborative investigation. The clock is ticking, and you need to find potential 'red flags' or compelling angles quickly. You have access to databases and open web search tools. The key is to focus your search effectively on the new PM and their known associates or past activities mentioned in the whispers you heard.

What do you do?

- A) Focus exclusively on searching for past criminal records or major scandals linked directly to the new Prime Minister.
- B) Broaden your search to include business dealings, property acquisitions, and political donations connected to the new PM and their close family members.
- C) Try to quickly verify the whispers about specific past dealings by looking for mentions in archived local news reports from years ago.
- D) Draft the brief for Sarah immediately, highlighting general concerns about sudden power shifts and the need for transparency, without specific findings yet.

*B*



That's a classic investigative approach. You spend the next hour poring over corporate registries, land ownership records, and publicly available databases of political donations linked to the new Prime Minister and their closest family members. It's tedious work, but your focus pays off.

While you don't find any immediate smoking guns like criminal convictions, you uncover a few interesting threads: a series of related property acquisitions by a family member shortly after a key infrastructure bill passed, and some significant political donations from a company with previously unknown ties to the new PM's business ventures. These aren't definitive proof of wrongdoing, but they are exactly the kind of 'red flags' that warrant deeper investigation, especially with on-the-ground reporting.

You now have concrete details to include in your brief to Sarah, making a strong case for the collaborative investigation. Your focused digging has provided valuable initial leads. Your Editorial Impact Score is adjusted upwards.

**\*\*10:00 AM - 11:00 AM (Scenario 3 of 10)\*\***

The 10:00 AM deadline for Sarah's brief is here. You've gathered some promising initial findings regarding the new Trinidad and Tobago PM's potential business/political connections. Now you need to present this effectively to convince her to allocate resources and approve the cross-border collaboration you proposed earlier.

What do you do?

- A) Submit a concise brief detailing the specific property acquisitions and political donations you found, explaining why they raise concerns and require deeper investigation.
- B) Write a more general brief about the importance of scrutinizing new leaders, without going into too much detail about your specific findings, to maintain secrecy.
- C) Briefly mention your findings to Sarah verbally, emphasizing the need for speed and pushing her to approve the collaboration on the spot.
- D) Hold onto the findings for now and focus on another developing story, deciding the Trinidad and Tobago angle isn't strong enough yet.

A



You submit the brief to Sarah, clearly outlining the details of the property acquisitions and political donations you uncovered. You explain why these connections, while not definitive proof of wrongdoing, raise significant questions about potential conflicts of interest and require a deeper dive. Sarah reads through your brief carefully.

"These are solid leads," she says, tapping the document. "Good work finding these so quickly. It gives us a strong basis for the collaboration. Get in touch with your contact in Port of Spain immediately and start coordinating. We'll allocate the necessary resources."

Your focused investigation and clear presentation have paid off, leading to the approval of a promising project. Your Editorial Impact Score increases.

**\*\*11:00 AM - 12:00 PM (Scenario 4 of 10)\*\***

Sarah has approved the collaborative investigation into the new Trinidad and Tobago PM's background. Your next step is to connect with your contact, a seasoned investigative journalist in Port of Spain, and plan the initial steps. Coordinating a cross-border investigation requires careful communication, clear objectives, and trust. You need to decide how to initiate this collaboration and set the stage for effective teamwork.

What do you do?

- A) Send your contact a brief email with your findings and ask them to start digging on their end immediately, trusting their expertise.

- B) Schedule a secure video call with your contact to discuss your findings in detail, brainstorm angles, and agree on the division of labor and communication protocols.
- C) Ask Sarah to formally contact the journalist's editor to establish an official collaboration agreement before you reach out personally.
- D) Focus on drafting the first paragraph of the potential story based on your current findings, waiting for your contact to come back with more information before planning further.

*B*



You schedule a secure video call with your contact in Port of Spain. The connection is stable, and you spend the hour discussing your initial findings in detail. Your contact, a sharp local journalist named Anya, is intrigued by your leads on the property acquisitions and donations.

You brainstorm potential angles, discuss reliable local sources, and agree on how to divide the research – you'll focus on international financial trails and UK connections, while Anya will work her local network and access records in Trinidad and Tobago. You establish a secure communication channel and agree on regular check-ins. This foundational meeting sets a clear path for the collaborative investigation. Your Editorial Impact Score is positively adjusted for effective collaboration setup.

**\*\*12:00 PM - 1:00 PM (Scenario 5 of 10)\*\***

With the collaborative investigation underway, you and Anya are simultaneously

digging from different angles. You're tracing potential financial flows and looking for any UK-based connections related to the individuals and companies you identified. Anya is working her sources and local records in Trinidad and Tobago. You receive a message from Anya – she's hit a bureaucratic wall trying to access a specific company registry document that could confirm a crucial link. This is a common hurdle in investigative work, especially internationally.

What do you do?

- A) Advise Anya to push harder with the local authorities, emphasizing the Guardian's interest and the importance of transparency.
- B) See if you can leverage any of The Guardian's international legal or research resources to help Anya access the document from the UK side.
- C) Suggest Anya try an alternative approach, perhaps cultivating a source within the registry office or looking for leaked versions of the document.
- D) Tell Anya to drop that specific line of inquiry for now and focus on other aspects of the investigation.

*B*



Leveraging The Guardian's global reach, you contact the paper's international research desk. You explain Anya's difficulty in accessing the specific company registry document and inquire if there are any avenues from the UK side – perhaps official channels, international agreements, or even alternative databases – that could help.

The research desk responds quickly. While they can't magically unlock the

document, they can make a formal inquiry through diplomatic channels, which sometimes prompts authorities to be more cooperative, or at least signals that international attention is on the matter. They also find a related, though less comprehensive, corporate filing in a different jurisdiction that \*partially\* corroborates the link you're investigating.

It's not the full document, but it's progress and provides additional leverage. Your use of internal resources to aid the investigation is effective. Your Editorial Impact Score is adjusted upwards.

**\*\*1:00 PM - 2:00 PM (Scenario 6 of 10)\*\***

While the process for the Trinidad and Tobago document is in motion, Anya messages you again from Port of Spain. Her local source network has yielded something potentially significant, though it's unverified and politically sensitive. She's heard whispers of a major infrastructure contract, awarded shortly after the new PM took office, going to a company with surprisingly thin credentials but rumoured ties to the PM's inner circle. This could be the 'why' behind some of the financial trails you've been seeing, but it's currently based on rumour.

What do you do?

- A) Tell Anya to hold off on this rumour and focus on verifying the connections you've already found with documents.
- B) Encourage Anya to cultivate her source further to get more concrete details, perhaps even documentation, while cautioning her about source safety.
- C) Immediately try to find public records or news reports about the infrastructure contract itself from your end in the UK.
- D) Alert Sarah, the Head of News, about this new rumour, suggesting it might be a stronger angle than the property deals.

*B*



Encouraging Anya to cultivate her source is a sound investigative instinct. You reply to Anya, acknowledging the sensitivity of the rumour about the infrastructure contract. You emphasize the potential significance if true, as it could link directly to the financial movements you're tracing.

You instruct her to work carefully and securely with her source to get more concrete details, such as the name of the company, the contract value, and ideally, some form of documentation, even if it's not official. You also reiterate the importance of protecting her source's identity and safety above all else.

Your trust in Anya's local expertise and your guidance on source handling strengthen your collaborative effort. Your Editorial Impact Score is adjusted upwards for prioritizing source cultivation and careful handling of sensitive information.

**\*\*2:00 PM - 3:00 PM (Scenario 7 of 10)\*\***

While Anya works on cultivating her source regarding the infrastructure contract rumour, you continue your investigation from the UK side. You decide to shift focus slightly based on the new information, looking for any public records or news related to major infrastructure projects approved in Trinidad and Tobago since the new PM took office. You also cross-reference this with your existing list of individuals and companies of interest. This requires sifting through government announcements, business registries, and possibly international development databases.

What do you do?

- A) Search specifically for news releases or official government tender documents related to recent infrastructure projects in Trinidad and Tobago.
- B) Look for reports from international bodies or NGOs that monitor governance and public spending in the Caribbean.
- C) Focus your search on the specific individuals and companies you've already identified, looking for any online mentions of them being awarded contracts.
- D) Reach out to another contact, perhaps someone in the international business community with knowledge of the Caribbean, to see if they've heard anything about unusual contract awards.

*D*



You decide to tap into your broader network and reach out to a contact you have in the international business community who has experience in the Caribbean. This contact, a consultant who advises foreign companies looking to invest in the region, might have heard whispers or have insights into the major players and recent significant contracts.

Your contact is initially cautious but agrees to an off-the-record conversation. They confirm that there has been buzz in investor circles about a surprisingly large infrastructure contract awarded in Trinidad and Tobago recently, and that the winning bid raised some eyebrows due to the company's lack of a major track record in that specific sector. They don't have names or concrete proof but the rumour aligns with what Anya heard. This conversation provides a valuable, independent confirmation of the potential story lead and highlights that it's not just isolated newsroom gossip.

This outreach provides valuable corroboration and reinforces the importance of pursuing this angle. Your Editorial Impact Score is adjusted upwards.

**\*\*3:00 PM - 4:00 PM (Scenario 8 of 10)\*\***

Both your research into publicly available information and your conversation with your business contact corroborate the rumour Anya heard about a potentially questionable infrastructure contract. Anya messages you again; her source is getting nervous but might be willing to share something more concrete soon, possibly even a partial document related to the contract, but the source needs reassurance and clear instructions on how to share information securely. This is a critical juncture – securing documentation from a nervous, potentially exposed source requires careful handling and prioritizing their safety and anonymity.

What do you do?

- A) Instruct Anya to tell the source that The Guardian guarantees their anonymity and safety, and they should share whatever they have immediately through any available channel.
- B) Work with Anya to establish a secure, encrypted communication method for the source and guide them on how to share information without leaving a digital trail, emphasizing the importance of their safety.
- C) Tell Anya that while the information is valuable, the source's safety is paramount, and if they feel uncomfortable, she should not push them.
- D) Focus on writing up a preliminary article based on the rumours and corroborated information you have so far, without waiting for potential documentation from the source.

*B*



This is a crucial moment for both the investigation and the safety of Anya's source. You immediately work with Anya to establish a secure, encrypted communication channel that the source can use. You guide Anya on how to instruct the source to minimize their digital footprint and share any information or documents without exposing themselves. You emphasize to Anya the absolute necessity of prioritizing the source's safety and anonymity above all else.

By providing the tools and guidance for secure communication, you increase the chances of receiving the information while upholding the fundamental journalistic principle of protecting sources. This careful and strategic approach is exactly what's expected of a Tenacious Investigator. Your Editorial Impact Score is adjusted significantly upwards for handling a sensitive source situation professionally and ethically.

**\*\*4:00 PM - 5:00 PM (Scenario 9 of 10)\*\***

Anya messages you again. The source has successfully shared a partial document related to the infrastructure contract through the secure channel. It's not the full contract, but it's enough to confirm the name of the company awarded the bid and some details that look highly unusual given their stated expertise. The company name matches one that appeared in your earlier research into the PM's associates. This document provides the concrete evidence you needed to move the story forward significantly. Meanwhile, newsroom chatter indicates competitors are starting to sniff around the Trinidad and Tobago situation, though they seem focused on the more obvious political angles.

What do you do?

- A) Immediately start drafting a detailed article based on the document and your combined findings, planning to publish as soon as it's written.
- B) Work with Anya to quickly verify the details in the partial document using other available resources before proceeding with writing the story.
- C) Inform Sarah, the Head of News, about the acquired document and its significance, and discuss the plan for publication and potential risks.
- D) Instruct Anya to go back to the source and push them to provide the full contract document, stating that a partial document isn't enough for a major story.

C



You immediately head to Sarah's desk, the partial document in hand. You explain what Anya's source provided and why it's significant – confirming the company name and raising serious red flags about the contract award. Sarah examines the document and your notes with a sharp eye.

"This is it," she says, a rare hint of excitement in her voice. "This is the concrete evidence we needed. Good work, both of you. Now, we need to move fast but carefully. We need to verify every detail in this document, get our legal team to look at it for potential libel issues, and figure out the best way to structure the story. The fact that competitors are circling means we have to be both quick and absolutely right."

Bringing Sarah into the loop with the crucial evidence is the correct move, ensuring editorial backing and resources for the final push. Your Editorial Impact Score receives a significant positive adjustment for securing key evidence and

effective communication with your editor.

**\*\*5:00 PM - 6:00 PM (Scenario 10 of 10)\*\***

It's the final hour of your shift. Sarah has given the green light to prioritize the Trinidad and Tobago contract story based on the partial document you obtained. The newsroom is buzzing as you and Anya work remotely to verify the details in the document, while the legal team reviews it. You're coordinating with Anya on final checks and preparing to start writing, knowing publication could happen soon after your shift ends. The pressure is on to ensure everything is watertight and impactful. Competitors are reportedly close to publishing stories on the political angle, but they don't have the contract details you do.

What do you do in this final hour to maximize the story's impact and ensure accuracy before you hand it over (or finish it, if possible)?

- A) Focus solely on drafting the most compelling lead paragraph and headline based on the evidence, aiming for maximum reader attention.
- B) Work with Anya to methodically cross-reference the document details with every other piece of information you've gathered – public records, your contact's insights, other news reports.
- C) Reach out to the company named in the document and the PM's office for comment, adhering to journalistic fairness, even with the time pressure.
- D) Prepare a comprehensive handover document for the night shift team, detailing all sources, findings, verification status, and remaining steps needed before publication.

*D*



As the clock ticks down, you recognize that this complex investigation isn't likely to be fully published before your shift ends. Your most valuable contribution in this final hour is to ensure that the significant progress you and Anya have made is not lost and that the story can be completed accurately and effectively by the next team.

You dedicate the remaining time to compiling a comprehensive handover document. You meticulously detail all the sources you've cultivated (both open-source and human), explain the significance of the partial document obtained by Anya, outline the verification steps taken so far and those still needed, list the key individuals and companies involved, and summarize the potential angles and risks. You also include all relevant contact information and secure communication details for Anya and her source. This document is a roadmap for the night shift, ensuring they can pick up the investigation without missing a beat.

This diligent handover ensures that The Guardian's investigation into the Trinidad and Tobago contract remains on track and is handled with the necessary care and rigour, even after you clock out. Your commitment to the story's success beyond your individual shift reflects strong journalistic practice.

Your shift ends. You've spent the day digging deep, cultivating sources, collaborating effectively, and securing key evidence.

## ## Shift Summary:

You embraced the role of the Tenacious Investigator, focusing your efforts on uncovering hidden details behind the headlines of the new political situation in

Trinidad and Tobago.

You successfully proposed and initiated a cross-border collaboration with a journalist in Port of Spain.

You conducted effective initial research, identifying potential 'red flags' in public records.

You leveraged The Guardian's resources to overcome bureaucratic hurdles internationally.

You skillfully guided your collaborator on cultivating a sensitive source and handling information securely.

Crucially, your collaborative efforts led to obtaining a partial but significant document that provides concrete evidence for a potentially major story about an infrastructure contract.

In the final hour, you prioritized ensuring the continuity and accuracy of the investigation by preparing a detailed handover.

Your actions have significantly advanced a complex, important investigation that likely would have been missed by focusing only on the immediate political news. You've contributed to potentially uncovering a story of public interest that requires diligent, ethical reporting.

Calculating your Editorial Impact Score...

### Your Final Editorial Impact Score: [Score based on successful actions and ethical choices]

Your dedication to investigation and collaboration has positioned The Guardian to break a significant story. While the ultimate impact depends on the successful completion and publication of the story by the team taking over, your work has laid a strong foundation. Good job, Investigator.

*Walk to Kings Cross to take the tube home*



Alright, your shift is over. The handover is complete, and the investigation is in good hands for the night team.

You pack up your things, nod goodbye to the few remaining colleagues, and head out of the newsroom. The London evening air feels cool after the intensity of the day. Kings Cross is just a walk away, and the tube will take you home.

Well done on your shift as a Tenacious Investigator at The Guardian.

*Take the Victoria Line southbound*



You leave The Guardian's building and join the flow of pedestrians heading towards Kings Cross. The streets are still busy with people heading home or out for the evening.

You navigate the crowds and the various entrances of the station, following the signs for the Underground. Descending into the station, the familiar rumble of trains and rush of air hits you. You find the platform for the Victoria Line Southbound.

The platform is already quite full, but a train arrives within a few minutes. You find a spot and step aboard, the doors sliding shut behind you. The train pulls away from the station, and you settle in for the journey home, the events of the intense news day and the threads of the Trinidad and Tobago investigation still fresh in your mind. The lights of the tunnels flash by as the train speeds through the dark.

*Get off at Stockwell*



The Victoria Line speeds through the tunnels. You pass Warren Street, Oxford Circus, Green Park, Victoria, and then Vauxhall. The train slows as it approaches Stockwell.

The doors open with a familiar 'ding', and you join the stream of people exiting the train onto the platform. The air on the platform feels a little warmer and stiller than on the moving train. You make your way towards the escalators or stairs, following the signs for the exit, ready to emerge back onto the street after a long day in the newsroom.

*Walk home*

You exit Stockwell station, blinking a little as you adjust to the evening light outside. The familiar streets of your neighbourhood welcome you back. The sounds of traffic, distant sirens, and local life replace the hum of the newsroom and the rumble of the tube.

You begin the final stretch of your journey, walking the well-trodden path home. Your mind might still be replaying the events of the day – the initial news, the decision to pursue the Trinidad and Tobago angle, the collaboration with Anya, the securing of that crucial document, and the detailed handover you prepared.

As you walk, you can almost picture the night team picking up where you left off, working with your handover document to push the story closer to publication. The potential impact of the investigation you spearheaded feels tangible.

You reach your front door. The day at The Guardian is officially over. You've navigated the complexities of a real news day, made strategic choices, and contributed to a significant investigation.

Well done.

*Unlock the door and enter*



You reach your front door, pull out your keys, and insert the key into the lock. With a satisfying click, the bolt disengages. You turn the doorknob and step inside, closing the door behind you.

The familiar scent of your home greets you. The sounds of the city fade slightly as you enter your own space. The day's intensity begins to recede as you leave the newsroom behind and transition back to personal time.

You're home. What's next after a long and successful (potentially) shift?

*Greet my wife and son in the kitchen*



You hang your keys by the door and make your way towards the back of the house, where a warm light and the sound of voices indicate the kitchen.

Stepping into the kitchen, you see your wife preparing something at the counter and your son sitting at the table, perhaps drawing or doing homework.

"I'm home!" you announce, a sense of relief washing over you as you shed the intensity of the news day.

Your wife looks up, a smile brightening her face. "Welcome back! Long day?" she asks warmly.

Your son looks up too, grinning. "Dad!" he exclaims, maybe running over for a quick hug.

The transition from the high-stakes world of investigative journalism to the simple, comforting reality of home life is immediate and welcome. The aroma of dinner cooking fills the air, and the sight of your family is a pleasant contrast to the hard-edged stories you were working on just moments ago.

*Have a nice evening and then go to bed*



You spend the rest of the evening unwinding with your family. You share stories from your day, maybe leaving out the most sensitive details of the investigation for now, but conveying the energy and focus of your work. Dinner is warm and comforting, a stark contrast to the fast-paced newsroom. You help your son with whatever he was working on, chat with your wife about her day, and enjoy the simple pleasures of being home.

As the evening winds down, tiredness from the long and intense shift catches up with you. You say goodnight, complete any evening routines, and finally head to bed. Slipping under the covers, the events of the day – the digging, the collaboration, the document, the handover – drift through your mind one last time before sleep takes over.

You've successfully completed your shift as a journalist at The Guardian, navigated its challenges, and contributed to a significant story.

The game has concluded.

*Wake up in the morning and check if the story has been published*



You wake up the next morning, the feeling of a busy shift still lingering. Your first thought is about the Trinidad and Tobago investigation. Did The Guardian publish the story based on the evidence you and Anya uncovered?

You reach for your phone or head to your computer and navigate to The Guardian's website. You scan the headlines, looking specifically for anything related to Trinidad and Tobago politics, the new Prime Minister, or infrastructure contracts.

Based on the real news from yesterday and today, here's what you find:

- \* There are indeed prominent stories about the political situation in Trinidad and Tobago, focusing on the new Prime Minister, Kamla Persad-Bissessar, and her initial actions, such as scrapping the Trinidad and Tobago Revenue Authority [8, 9].
- \* News reports confirm that Kamla Persad-Bissessar was sworn in as Prime Minister on May 1st, following elections on April 28th where her party, the United National Congress, won a majority [3, 7].
- \* There is also discussion in the Trinidad and Tobago news about the importance of good governance and addressing issues like crime and the economy under the new administration [2].
- \* Interestingly, there's a report from late April where former Prime Minister Stuart Young defended his government's record on infrastructure projects, contrasting them with a controversial contract awarded by the previous Kamla Persad-Bissessar administration to Brazilian firm Construtora OAS for the Point Fortin Highway extension, which faced allegations of corruption [4]. This report specifically mentions a clause removed from that contract and subsequent legal

challenges [4].

While The Guardian is clearly covering the major political developments in Trinidad and Tobago, based on the available search results, a specific in-depth investigative piece focusing on a \*new\* infrastructure contract awarded since the recent change in leadership, based on leaked documents, doesn't immediately appear among the top headlines.

This doesn't necessarily mean the story you worked on wasn't published or won't be. It could be that:

- \* The verification and legal review process is still ongoing, especially given the partial nature of the document you obtained.
- \* The story is planned for a later time or a different section of the website (e.g., the investigations page).
- \* The night team is still working on developing the story further based on your handover.
- \* The focus of the published articles is currently on the immediate political aftermath and the new PM's initial policy decisions, as seen in the search results.

For now, it seems the fruits of your specific investigation into a \*new\* questionable contract haven't hit the main headlines yet. Your work has potentially provided The Guardian with a significant exclusive angle, but it requires further work to bring to publication.

Submit

Or pick a different game