



# **A Performance and Energy Study of the Hyperbolic PDE Solver Engine ExaHyPE**

Master's Thesis in Computational Science and Engineering

**Fabian Gura**

Department of Informatics  
Technische Universität München

September 2016

Supervisor: Univ.-Prof. Dr. Michael Bader  
Dr. Tobias Weinzierl  
Advisor: Dr. Vasco Varduhn





# **A Performance and Energy Study of the Hyperbolic PDE Solver Engine ExaHyPE**

Master's Thesis in Computational Science and Engineering

**Fabian Gura**

Department of Informatics  
Technische Universität München

September 2016

Supervisor: Univ.-Prof. Dr. Michael Bader  
Dr. Tobias Weinzierl  
Advisor: Dr. Vasco Varduhn



---

## Abstract

... ..



---

# Contents

---

<b>Contents</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Theory</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 A $D$ -dimensional ADER-DG scheme with MUSCL-Hancock a-posteriori subcell limiting for non-linear hyperbolic conser- vation laws . . . . .	3
2.1.1 Notation . . . . .	3
2.1.2 PDE . . . . .	3
2.1.3 Mesh . . . . .	4
2.1.4 Weak formulation . . . . .	4
2.1.5 Restriction to finite-dimensional function spaces . . . .	5
2.1.6 Space-time predictor . . . . .	7
2.1.7 Mappings . . . . .	8
2.1.8 Orthogonal bases for the finite-dimensional spatial and space-time function spaces . . . . .	9
2.1.9 Basis functions in global coordinates . . . . .	13
2.1.10 A fully-discrete iterative method for the space-time predictor . . . . .	13
2.1.11 A fully discrete update scheme for the time-discrete solution . . . . .	20
2.2 Profiling and Energy-aware Computing . . . . .	20
<b>3 A profiling infrastructure for ExaHyPE</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>4 Preliminary profiling results, case studies</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>5 Conclusion and Outlook</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>6 Acknowledgment</b>	<b>27</b>





## Chapter 1

---

# Introduction

---

- Challenges of exascale
- The ExaHyPE project (numerics, resilience, profiling) as an answer
- On the importance of profiling and performance measuring



## Chapter 2

---

# Theory

---

### 2.1 A $D$ -dimensional ADER-DG scheme with MUSCL-Hancock a-posteriori subcell limiting for non-linear hyperbolic conservation laws

Arbitrary High Order Derivatives Discontinuous Galerkin (ADER-DG)

#### 2.1.1 Notation

We use vector notation whenever possible. Advantage: Complete derivation, direct conversion to code.

#### 2.1.2 PDE

Task: Solve the PDE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [u]_v + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} [F(u)]_{vd} = [s(u)]_v \text{ on } \Omega \times (0, T) \quad (2.1)$$

with initial conditions

$$[u(x, 0)]_v = [u_0(x)]_v \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad (2.2)$$

and boundary conditions

$$[u(x, t)]_v = [u_B(x, t)]_v \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega, t \in (0, T), \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, V\}$ , where  $V$  is the number of quantities involved in the system,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^D$  is the spatial domain,  $D$  the number of space dimensions, and  $(0, T)$  a time interval. The function  $F : \mathbb{R}^V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{V \times D}, u \mapsto F(u) = [f_1(u), f_2(u), \dots, f_D(u)]$  is called the flux function.

## 2. THEORY

---

For the problem to be hyperbolic we require that all Jacobian matrices  $A_d(\mathbf{x}, t)$ ,  $d \in \{1, 2, \dots, D\}$ , defined as

$$[A_d]_{ij} = \frac{\partial [f_d]_i}{\partial x_j}, \quad (2.4)$$

have  $D$  real eigenvalues in each admissible state  $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T)$ .

### 2.1.3 Mesh

Let  $\mathcal{K}_h$  be a quadrilateral partition of  $\Omega$ , i.e.

$$K \cap J = \emptyset \forall K, J \in \mathcal{K}_h, K \neq J \quad (2.5)$$

$$\bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{K}_h} K = \Omega. \quad (2.6)$$

Let  $\{t_i\}_{i=0,1,\dots,I}$  be a partition of the time interval  $(0, T)$  such that

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_I = T, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $I$  is the number of sub intervals. We furthermore define

$$\Delta t_i = t_{i+1} - t_i, \quad i \text{ in } \{0, 1, \dots, I-1\}, \quad (2.8)$$

so that the interval  $(t_i, t_{i+1})$  can be written as  $(t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$ .

### 2.1.4 Weak formulation

Let  $L^2(\Omega)^V$  be the space of vector-valued, square-integrable functions on  $\Omega$ , i.e.

$$L^2(\Omega)^V = \left\{ \mathbf{w} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^V \mid \int_{\Omega} \|\mathbf{w}\| \, d\mathbf{x} < \infty \right\}. \quad (2.9)$$

Let  $\mathbf{w} \in L^2(\Omega)^V$  be a spatial test function. Multiplication of the original PDE (2.1) and integration over a space-time cell  $K \times (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$  yields a weak, element local formulation of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_i}^{t_i + \Delta t_i} \int_K \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\mathbf{u}]_v [\mathbf{w}]_v \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt + \int_{t_i}^{t_i + \Delta t_i} \int_K \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} [\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u})]_{vd} [\mathbf{w}]_v \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt = \\ \int_{t_i}^{t_i + \Delta t_i} \int_K [\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u})]_v [\mathbf{w}]_v \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

which we require to hold for  $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, V\}$ ,  $\mathbf{w} \in L^2(\Omega)^V$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$  and  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, I-1\}$ .

2.1. A  $D$ -dimensional ADER-DG scheme with MUSCL-Hancock a-posteriori subcell limiting for non-linear hyperbolic conservation laws

---

Integration by parts of the spatial integral in the second term yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} [F(\mathbf{u})]_{vd} [w]_v dx &= \\ \int_K \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} ([F(\mathbf{u})]_{vd} [w]_v) dx - \int_K [F(\mathbf{u})]_{vd} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} [w]_v dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Application of the divergence theorem to the first term on the right-hand side of (2.11) yields

$$\int_K \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} ([F(\mathbf{u})]_{vd} [w]_v) dx = \int_{\partial K} [F(\mathbf{u})]_{vd} [w]_v [n]_d ds(\mathbf{x}), \quad (2.12)$$

where  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{R}^D$  is the unit-length, outward-pointing normal vector at a point  $\mathbf{x}$  on the surface of  $K$ , which we denote by  $\partial K$ .

Inserting eqs. (2.11) and (2.12) into eq. (2.10) yields the following weak, element-local formulation of the original equation (2.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [u]_v [w]_v dx dt - \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K [F(\mathbf{u})]_{vd} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} [w]_v dx dt + \\ \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_{\partial K} [F(\mathbf{u})]_{vd} [w]_v [n]_d ds(\mathbf{x}) dt = \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K [s(\mathbf{u})]_v [w]_v dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Again we require the weak formulation to hold for all  $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, V\}$ ,  $\mathbf{w} \in L^2(\Omega)^V$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$  and  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, I-1\}$ .

### 2.1.5 Restriction to finite-dimensional function spaces

To discretize eq. (2.13) we need to impose the restriction that both test and ansatz functions come from a finite-dimensional space. First, let  $\mathbf{Q}_N(K)^V$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_N(K \times (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i))^V$  be the space of vector-valued, multivariate polynomials of degree less or equal  $N$  in each variable on  $K$  and  $K \times (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$ , respectively. We then make the following choices:

- For spatial functions we restrict ourselves to

$$\mathbf{W}_h = \left\{ \mathbf{w}_h \in L^2(\Omega)^V : \mathbf{w}_h|_K := \mathbf{w}_h^K \in \mathbf{Q}_N(K)^V \forall K \in \mathcal{K}_h \right\}. \quad (2.14)$$

- For space-time functions we restrict ourselves to

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{W}}_h^i = \left\{ \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^i \in L^2(\Omega \times (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)) : \right. \\ \left. \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^i|_K := \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^{Ki} \in \mathbf{Q}_N(K \times (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)) \forall K \in \mathcal{K}_h \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

for all  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, I-1\}$ .

## 2. THEORY

Replacing  $w$  by  $w_h \in \mathbb{W}_h$  and  $u$  by  $\tilde{u}_h^i \in \tilde{\mathbb{W}}_h^i$  in eq. (2.13) yields a finite-dimensional approximation of the weak formulation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\tilde{u}_h^{Ki}]_v [w_h^K]_v dx dt - \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_{\partial K} [F(\tilde{u}_h^{Ki})]_{vd} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} [w_h^K]_v dx dt + \\ & \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_{\partial K} [\mathcal{G}(\tilde{u}_h^{Ki}, \tilde{u}_h^{K+i}, n)]_v [w_h^K]_v ds(x) dt = \\ & \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K [s(\tilde{u}_h^{Ki})]_v [w_h^K]_v dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

which now has to hold for all  $w_h \in \mathbb{W}_h$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$  and  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, I-1\}$ . Since for a cell  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$  and one of its Voronoi neighbors  $K' \in \mathcal{V}(K)$  one has

$$\tilde{u}_h^{Ki}(x) \neq \tilde{u}_h^{K'i}(x), x \in K \cap K', \quad (2.17)$$

i.e.  $\tilde{u}_h^i$  is double-valued at the interface between  $K$  and  $K'$ , in order to compute the surface integral we need to introduce the numerical flux function  $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{u}_h^{Ki}, \tilde{u}_h^{K'i}, n)$ . The numerical flux at a position  $x \in K \cap K'$  on the interface is obtained by (approximately) solving a Riemann problem in normal direction.

Integration by parts in time of the first term of eq. (2.16) and noting that  $w_h$  is constant in time yields the following one-step update scheme for the cell-local time-discrete solution  $\tilde{u}_h^{Ki}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K [\tilde{u}_h^{Ki}]_{t_i+\Delta t_i} [w_h^K]_v dx &= \int_K [\tilde{u}_h^{Ki}]_{t_i} [w_h^K]_v dx + \\ & \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K [F(\tilde{u}_h^{Ki})]_{vd} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} [w_h^K]_v dx dt - \\ & \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_{\partial K} [\mathcal{G}(\tilde{u}_h^{Ki}, \tilde{u}_h^{K+i}, n)]_v [w_h^K]_v ds(x) dt + \\ & \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K [s(\tilde{u}_h^{Ki})]_v [w_h^K]_v dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Again we require eq. (2.18) to hold for all  $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, V\}$ ,  $w_h \in \mathbb{W}_h$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$  and  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, I-1\}$ .

Problem: We only have  $\tilde{u}_h^i|_t$  at the discrete time steps  $t \in \{t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i\}$ , not within the open interval, i.e. for  $t \in (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$ .

Idea: Replace  $\tilde{u}_h$  in  $K \times (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$  by an approximation  $\tilde{q}_h^i \in \tilde{\mathbb{W}}_h^i$  which we call space-time predictor.

### 2.1.6 Space-time predictor

To derive a procedure to compute the space-time predictor  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^i \in \tilde{\mathbb{W}}_h^i$  we again start from the original PDE (2.1), but this time we do not use a spatial test function  $\mathbf{w}_h \in \mathbb{W}_h$ , but a space-time test function  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^i \in \tilde{\mathbb{W}}_h^i$ . If we furthermore replace the solution  $\mathbf{u}$  by the space-time predictor  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^i \in \tilde{\mathbb{W}}_h^i$ , integrate over the space-time element  $K \times (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$  and apply the divergence theorem analogously to eq. (2.12) we obtain the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki}]_v [\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^{Ki}]_v dx dt - \\ & \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K [F(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki})]_{vd} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} [\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^{Ki}]_v dx dt + \\ & \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_{\partial K} [\mathcal{G}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki}, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{K+i}, \mathbf{n})]_v [\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^{Ki}]_v ds(x) dt = \\ & \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K [s(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki})]_v [\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^{Ki}]_v dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

We require eq. (2.19) to hold for all  $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, V\}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^i \in \tilde{\mathbb{W}}_h^i$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$  and  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, I-1\}$ .

The assumption that the solution is balanced, i.e. that there is no net inflow or outflow for cell  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$  allows us to drop the third term. Together with integration by parts in time of the first term this yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_K [\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki}]_{t_i+\Delta t_i} [\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^{Ki}]_{t_i+\Delta t_i} dx - \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K [\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki}]_v \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^{Ki}]_v dx dt = \\ & \int_K [\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki}]_{t_i} [\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^{Ki}]_{t_i} dx + \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K [F(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki})]_{vd} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} [\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^{Ki}]_v dx dt + \\ & \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K [s(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki})]_v [\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^{Ki}]_v dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

which we require to hold for all  $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, V\}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^i \in \tilde{\mathbb{W}}_h^i$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$  and  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, I-1\}$ . Together with the initial condition

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki} \Big|_{t_i} = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_h^K \Big|_{t_i} \quad (2.21)$$

and an initial guess

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki} \Big|_t = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_h^K \Big|_{t_i} \quad \forall t \in (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i) \quad (2.22)$$

this relation can be used as a fixed-point iteration to find  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki} \Big|_t \quad \forall t \in (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$ .

In the following two sections we will introduce mappings from space-time elements  $K \times (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$  to reference space-time cells and orthogonal bases for the spaces  $\mathbb{W}_h$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{W}}_h^i$ . We will then insert these results into eq. (2.20) and derive a fully-discrete iterative method to compute the space-time predictor  $\tilde{q}_h^{Ki}$ .

### 2.1.7 Mappings

Let  $\hat{K} = (0, 1)^D$  be the spatial reference element and  $\xi \in \hat{K}$  be a point in the reference element. Let  $(0, 1)$  be the reference time interval and  $\tau \in (0, 1)$  be a point in time in reference time.

We can then introduce the following mappings:

**Spatial mappings:** Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$  be a cell in global coordinates with extent  $\Delta x^K$  and “lower-left corner”  $P_K$ , more precisely that is

$$[\Delta x^K]_d = \max_{x \in K} [x]_d - \min_{x \in K} [x]_d \quad (2.23)$$

and

$$[P_K]_d = \min_{x \in K} [x]_d \quad (2.24)$$

for  $d \in \{1, 2, \dots, D\}$ . We can then define a mapping

$$\mathcal{X}_K : \hat{K} \rightarrow K, \xi \mapsto \mathcal{X}_K(\xi) = x \quad (2.25)$$

via the relation

$$[x]_d = [\mathcal{X}_K(\xi)]_d = [P_K]_d + [\Delta x^K]_d [\xi]_d \quad (2.26)$$

for  $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, V\}$  (i.e. no summation on  $v$ ) and for all  $x \in K$ ,  $\xi \in \hat{K}$  and  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ .

**Temporal mappings:** Let  $(t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i), i \in \{0, 1, \dots, I - 1\}$  be an interval in global time. The mapping

$$\mathcal{T}_i : (0, 1) \rightarrow (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i), \tau \mapsto \mathcal{T}_i(\tau) = t_i + \Delta t_i \tau = t \quad (2.27)$$

maps a point in reference time  $\tau \in (0, 1)$  to a point in global time  $t \in (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$  for all  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, I - 1\}$ .

The inverse mappings, the Jacobian matrices and the Jacobi determinants of the mappings are given in the following:

**Spatial mappings:** The inverse spatial mappings

$$\mathcal{X}_K^{-1} : K \rightarrow \hat{K}, x \mapsto \mathcal{X}_K^{-1}(x) = \xi \quad (2.28)$$



## 2.1. A $D$ -dimensional ADER-DG scheme with MUSCL-Hancock a-posteriori subcell limiting for non-linear hyperbolic conservation laws

are defined via the relation

$$[\xi]_d = [\mathcal{X}_K^{-1}(x)]_d = \frac{1}{[\Delta x^K]_d} ([x]_d - [P_K]_d) \quad (2.29)$$

for  $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, V\}$  and for all  $\xi \in \hat{K}$ ,  $x \in K$  and  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ . The Jacobian of  $\mathcal{X}_K$  is found to be

$$\left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{X}_K}{\partial \xi} \right]_{dd'} = \frac{\partial [\mathcal{X}_K]_d}{\partial \xi_{d'}} = [\Delta x^K]_d \delta_{dd'}, \quad (2.30)$$

where  $d, d' \in \{1, 2, \dots, D\}$  (i.e. no summation on  $d$ ) and for all  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ . As usual  $\delta_{dd'}$  denotes the Kronecker delta defined as

$$\delta_{dd'} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d \neq d' \\ 1 & \text{if } d = d'. \end{cases} \quad (2.31)$$

The Jacobi determinant of  $\mathcal{X}_K$  for  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$  then simply is

$$J_{\mathcal{X}_K} = \left\| \frac{\partial \mathcal{X}_K}{\partial \xi} \right\| = \prod_{d=1}^D [\Delta x^K]_d, \quad (2.32)$$

i.e. the determinant is constant for all  $x \in K$ .

**Temporal mappings:** The inverse temporal mappings are given as

$$\mathcal{T}_i^{-1} : (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i) \rightarrow (0, 1), t \mapsto \mathcal{T}_i^{-1}(t) = \frac{t - t_i}{\Delta t_i} = \tau \quad (2.33)$$

for all  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ ,  $t \in (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$  and  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, I-1\}$ . In the trivial case of a one-dimensional mapping the Jacobian of  $\mathcal{T}_i$  is a scalar which in turn is its own determinant. One finds

$$\frac{d\mathcal{T}_i}{d\tau} = \Delta t_i = J_{\mathcal{T}_i} \quad (2.34)$$

which again is constant for all  $t \in (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$  for a fixed  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, I-1\}$ .

### 2.1.8 Orthogonal bases for the finite-dimensional spatial and space-time function spaces

#### Lagrange interpolation

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Q}_N((0, 1))$  be a polynomial of degree  $N$  and let  $\{\hat{\xi}_n\}_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}}$  be a set of distinct nodes in  $(0, 1)$ . The the Lagrange interpolation of  $f$ ,

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^N L_n(\xi) f(\xi_n) \quad (2.35)$$

with Lagrange functions

$$L_n(\xi) = \prod_{m=0, m \neq n}^N \frac{\xi - \hat{\xi}_m}{\hat{\xi}_n - \hat{\xi}_m} \quad (2.36)$$

is exact, i.e.

$$f(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) \quad \forall \xi \in (0, 1). \quad (2.37)$$

Since every polynomial  $f \in \mathcal{Q}_N((0, 1))$  can be represented as a linear combination of the Legendre polynomials  $L_n$  the set of functions  $\{L_n\}_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}}$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{Q}_N((0, 1))$ .

The following observation is an important property of the Lagrange polynomials:

$$L_n(\hat{\xi}_{n'}) = \delta_{nn'}, \quad (2.38)$$

i.e. at each node  $\hat{\xi}_n$  only  $L_n$  has value 1 and all other polynomials evaluate to 0.

### Legendre polynomials and Gauss-Legendre integration

Let  $P_0 : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \xi \mapsto 1$  and  $P_1 : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \xi \mapsto \xi$  be the zeroth and the first Legendre polynomial, respectively. Then the  $N + 1$ -st Legendre polynomial can be defined via the following recurrence relation:

$$P_{N+1}(\xi) = \frac{1}{N+1} ((2N+1)P_N(\xi) - nP_{N-1}(\xi)). \quad (2.39)$$

Let  $\{\tilde{\xi}_n\}_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}}$  be the roots of the  $N + 1$ -st Legendre polynomial  $L_{N+1}$ . Then  $\{\hat{\xi}_n\}_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}}$  with

$$\hat{\xi}_n = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\xi}_n + 1) \quad (2.40)$$

are the roots of the  $N + 1$ -st Legendre polynomial linearly mapped to the interval  $(0, 1)$ . In conjunction with a set of suitable weights  $\{\hat{\omega}_n\}_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}}$  Gauss-Legendre integration can be used to integrate polynomials of degree up to  $2N + 1$  over the interval  $[0, 1]$  exactly, i.e.

$$\int_0^1 f(\xi) d\xi = \sum_{n=0}^N \hat{\omega}_n f(\hat{\xi}_n) \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{Q}_{2N+1}([0, 1]). \quad (2.41)$$

A script on how to find the weights  $\{\hat{\omega}_n\}_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}}$  can be found in appendix XXX.

## 2.1. A $D$ -dimensional ADER-DG scheme with MUSCL-Hancock a-posteriori subcell limiting for non-linear hyperbolic conservation laws

### 1d basis functions

Let  $\{\hat{\psi}_n\}_{n \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}}$  be the set of  $N + 1$  Lagrange polynomials with nodes at the roots of the  $N + 1$ -st Legendre polynomial linearly mapped to the interval  $(0, 1)$ , i.e.

$$\hat{\psi}_n(x) = \sum_{n'=0}^N \frac{x - \hat{x}_{n'}}{\hat{x}_n - \hat{x}_{n'}} \quad (2.42)$$

for  $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ . Since  $\{\hat{\psi}_n\}_{n \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}}$  are Lagrange polynomials and the roots  $\{\hat{x}_n\}_{n \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}}$  are distinct the set is a basis of  $\mathbb{Q}_N([0, 1])$ . Since furthermore

$$\langle \hat{\psi}_n, \hat{\psi}_m \rangle_{L^2((0,1))} = \int_0^1 \hat{\psi}_n(x) \hat{\psi}_m(x) dx = \sum_{n'=0}^N \hat{w}'_n \hat{\psi}_n(\hat{x}_{n'}) \hat{\psi}_m(\hat{x}_{n'}) = \hat{w}_n \delta_{mn} \quad (2.43)$$

for all  $m, n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$  (i.e. no summation over  $n$ ), the set is even an orthogonal basis of  $\mathbb{Q}_N([0, 1])$  with respect to the  $L^2$ -scalar product as defined above. In this derivation we used the fact that  $\hat{\psi}_n \hat{\psi}_m$  has degree  $2N$  and that Gauss-Legendre integration with  $N + 1$  nodes is exact for polynomials up to degree  $2N + 1$ .

### Scalar-valued basis functions on the spatial reference element

Let us define the set of scalar-valued spatial basis functions  $\{\hat{\phi}_n\}_{n \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^D}$  on  $\hat{K} = [0, 1]^D$  as

$$\hat{\phi}_n(\xi) = \prod_{d=1}^D \hat{\psi}_{[n]_d}([\xi]_d) = \hat{\psi}_{[n]_d}([\xi]_d), \quad (2.44)$$

i.e.  $\{\hat{\phi}_n\}_{n \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^D}$  is the tensor product of  $\{\hat{\psi}_n\}_{n \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}}$  and as such it is a basis of  $\mathbb{Q}([0, 1]^D) = \mathbb{Q}(\hat{K})$ . If we define

$$[\hat{\xi}_n]_d = \hat{\xi}_{[n]_d} \quad (2.45)$$

and

$$\prod_{d=1}^D \hat{\omega}_{[n]_d}, \quad (2.46)$$

for all  $d \in \{1, 2, \dots, D\}$  and  $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}^D$ , we furthermore observe that the basis is even orthogonal with respect to the  $L^2$ -scalar product, since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\phi}_n, \hat{\phi}_m \rangle_{L^2(\hat{K})} &= \int_{\hat{K}} \hat{\phi}_n(\xi) \hat{\phi}_m(\xi) d\xi = \\ &= \sum_{n' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^D} \left( \hat{\omega}_{n'} \hat{\phi}_n(\hat{\xi}_{n'}) \hat{\phi}_m(\hat{\xi}_{n'}) \right) = \hat{\omega}_n \delta_{nm} \end{aligned} \quad (2.47)$$

for all  $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}^D$ . The natural extensions of the Kronecker delta for vector-valued indices is defined as follows:

$$\delta_{\mathbf{nm}} = \prod_{d=1}^D \delta_{[\mathbf{n}]_d [\mathbf{m}]_d} = \delta_{[\mathbf{n}]_d [\mathbf{m}]_d}. \quad (2.48)$$

### Scalar-valued basis functions on the space-time reference element

Analogously to the procedure illustrated above for the spatial reference element  $\hat{K}$  we can define a basis  $\{\hat{\theta}_{nl}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^D, l \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_N(\hat{K} \times (0, 1))$  on the reference space-time element  $\hat{K} \times (0, 1)$  as

$$\hat{\theta}_{nl}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \tau) = \hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{n}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \hat{\psi}_l(\tau), \quad (2.49)$$

which again is orthogonal, since

$$\langle \hat{\theta}_{nl}, \hat{\theta}_{mk} \rangle_{L^2(\hat{K} \times (0,1))} = \int_0^1 \int_{\hat{K}} \hat{\theta}_{nl} \hat{\theta}_{mk} d\boldsymbol{\xi} d\tau = \hat{\omega}_{\mathbf{n}} \hat{\omega}_l \delta_{\mathbf{nm}} \delta_{lk} \quad (2.50)$$

for all  $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m} \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}^D$  and  $l, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ .

### Vector-valued basis functions on the spatial reference element

If we define  $\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{nv}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^D, v \in \{1,2,\dots,V\}}$  as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{nv} = \hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{e}_v, \quad (2.51)$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_v$  is the  $v$ -th unit vector, i.e.

$$[\mathbf{e}_v]_{v'} = \delta_{vv'} \quad (2.52)$$

for all  $v, v' \in \{1, 2, \dots, V\}$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{nv}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{n'v'} \rangle_{L^2(\hat{K})^V} &= \int_{\hat{K}} [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{nv}]_j [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{n'v'}]_j d\boldsymbol{\xi} = \\ &= ([\mathbf{e}_v]_j [\mathbf{e}_{v'}]_j) \int_0^1 \int_{\hat{K}} \hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{n}} \hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{n}'} d\boldsymbol{\xi} = \hat{\omega}_{\mathbf{n}} \delta_{\mathbf{nn}'} \delta_{vv'} \end{aligned} \quad (2.53)$$

for all  $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}' \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}^D$  and  $v, v' \in \{1, 2, \dots, V\}$  the set is an orthogonal basis for  $\mathbb{Q}_N(\hat{K})^V$ .

### Vector-valued basis functions on the space-time reference element

The set  $\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{nlv}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^D, l \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}, v \in \{1,2,\dots,V\}}$  defined as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{nlv}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \tau) = \hat{\theta}_{nl}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \tau) \mathbf{e}_v = \hat{\phi}_{\mathbf{n}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \hat{\psi}_l(\tau) \mathbf{e}_v \quad (2.54)$$

2.1. A  $D$ -dimensional ADER-DG scheme with MUSCL-Hancock a-posteriori subcell limiting for non-linear hyperbolic conservation laws

is a basis of  $\mathbb{Q}_N(\hat{K} \times (0,1))^V$ . Since furthermore

$$\left\langle \hat{\theta}_{nlv}, \hat{\theta}_{n'l'v'} \right\rangle_{L^2(\hat{K} \times (0,1))^V} = \int_0^1 \int_{\hat{K}} [\hat{\theta}_{nlv}]_j [\hat{\theta}_{n'l'v'}]_j d\hat{\xi} d\tau = \hat{\omega}_n \hat{\omega}_l \delta_{nn'} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{vv'}, \quad (2.55)$$

for all  $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}' \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}^D$ ,  $l, l' \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$  and  $v, v' \in \{1, 2, \dots, V\}$ , the set is an orthogonal basis with respect to the respective  $L^2$ -scalar product.

### 2.1.9 Basis functions in global coordinates

We can use the mappings derived in ch. 2.1.7 to map the basis functions to global coordinates. For the vector-valued basis functions on a spatial element  $K$  we obtain

$$\phi_{nv}^K(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \left( \hat{\phi}_{nv} \circ \mathcal{X}_K^{-1} \right)(\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in K \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.56)$$

and for the vector-valued basis functions on a space-time element  $K \times (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$  we have

$$\theta_{nlv}^{Ki}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \begin{cases} \left( \hat{\theta}_{nlv} \circ \left( \mathcal{X}_K^{-1}, \mathcal{T}_i^{-1} \right) \right)(\mathbf{x}, t) & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in K \text{ and } t \in (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2.57)$$

for  $\mathbf{n} \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}^D$ ,  $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$  as well as  $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, V\}$  and for all  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$  and  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, I-1\}$ .

### 2.1.10 A fully-discrete iterative method for the space-time predictor

We recall relation (2.22) for the space-time predictor. Plugging in the initial condition (2.21) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_K \left[ \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki} \Big|_{t_i+\Delta t_i} \right]_j \left[ \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^{Ki} \Big|_{t_i+\Delta t_i} \right]_j d\mathbf{x} - \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K \left[ \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki} \right]_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^{Ki} \right]_j d\mathbf{x} dt = \\ & \int_K \left[ \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_h^{Ki} \Big|_{t_i} \right]_j \left[ \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^{Ki} \Big|_{t_i} \right]_j d\mathbf{x} + \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K \left[ \mathbf{F}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki}) \right]_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left[ \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^{Ki} \right]_j d\mathbf{x} dt + \\ & \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K \left[ \mathbf{s}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki}) \right]_j \left[ \tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h^{Ki} \right]_j d\mathbf{x} dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.58)$$

which we require to hold for all  $\tilde{\mathbf{w}}_h \in \tilde{\mathbb{W}}_h$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$  and  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, I-1\}$ .

Making use of the bases we derived in the previous section the cell-local space-time predictor  $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki}$  can be represented by a tensor of coefficients  $\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{Ki}$  (“degrees of freedom”) as follows:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_h^{Ki} = \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{Ki} \right]_{nlv} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{nlv}^{Ki}. \quad (2.59)$$

The initial condition  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_h^{Ki}|_{t_i}$  can be represented as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_h^{Ki}|_{t_i} = \left[ \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{nv} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{nv}^K, \quad (2.60)$$

where

$$\left[ \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{nv} = \left[ \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_h^{Ki} \Big|_{(\mathbf{x}_K(\xi_n), t_i)} \right]_v. \quad (2.61)$$

Inserting eqs. (2.59) and (2.60) into eq. (2.58) and introduction of the iteration index  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, R\}$  leads to the following iterative scheme for the degrees of freedom of the cell-local space-time predictor:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{\int_K \left[ \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{nlv}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_i+\Delta t_i} \right]_j \left[ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_i+\Delta t_i} \right]_j dx}_{\text{S-I}} - \\ & \underbrace{\int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K \left[ \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{nlv}^{Ki} \right]_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \right]_j dx dt}_{\text{S-II}} = \\ & \underbrace{\int_K \left[ \left[ \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{nv} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{nv}^K \right]_j \left[ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_i} \right]_j dx}_{\text{S-III}} + \\ & \underbrace{\int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K \left[ F \left( \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r} \right]_{nlv} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{nlv}^{Ki} \right) \right]_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left[ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \right]_j dx dt}_{\text{S-IV}} + \\ & \underbrace{\int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K \left[ s \left( \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r} \right]_{nlv} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{nlv}^{Ki} \right) \right]_j \left[ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \right]_j dx dt}_{\text{S-V}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.62)$$

We require this relation to hold for all  $\alpha \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}^D$ ,  $\beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$  and  $\gamma \in \{1, 2, \dots, V\}$ .

As initial condition, i.e. for  $r = 0$ , we use

$$\left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,0} \right]_{nvl} = \left[ \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{nv} \quad (2.63)$$

2.1. A  $D$ -dimensional ADER-DG scheme with MUSCL-Hancock a-posteriori subcell limiting for non-linear hyperbolic conservation laws

---

for all time degrees of freedom  $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ .

We will now proceed in a term-by-term fashion to rewrite all integrals with respect to reference coordinates so that we can finally derive a complete rule on how to compute  $\hat{q}^{K,i,r+1}$  that holds for all  $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ .

### Term S-I

The first term of eq. (2.62) can be rewritten with respect to reference coordinates as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_K \left[ \left[ \hat{q}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \theta_{nlv}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_i+\Delta t_i} \right]_j \left[ \theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \right]_{t_i+\Delta t_i} dx = \\
& \int_K \left[ \hat{q}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \phi_n^K \left( \psi_l^i \Big|_{t_i+\Delta t_i} \right) [e_v]_j \phi_\alpha^K \left( \psi_\beta^i \Big|_{t_i+\Delta t_i} \right) [e_\gamma]_j dx = \\
& J_{\mathcal{X}_K} \int_{\hat{K}} \left[ \hat{q}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \hat{\phi}_n \left( \hat{\psi}_l \Big|_1 \right) [e_v]_j \hat{\phi}_\alpha \left( \hat{\psi}_\beta \Big|_1 \right) [e_\gamma]_j d\hat{\xi} = \\
& J_{\mathcal{X}_K} \sum_{\alpha' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^D} \left( \hat{\omega}_{\alpha'} \left[ \hat{q}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \hat{\phi}_n(\hat{\xi}_{\alpha'}) \left( \hat{\psi}_l \Big|_1 \right) [e_v]_j \hat{\phi}_\alpha(\hat{\xi}_{\alpha'}) \left( \hat{\psi}_\beta \Big|_1 \right) [e_\gamma]_j \right) = \\
& J_{\mathcal{X}_K} \sum_{\alpha' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^D} \left( \hat{\omega}_{\alpha'} \left[ \hat{q}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \delta_{n\alpha'} \left( \hat{\psi}_l \Big|_1 \right) \delta_{vj} \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \left( \hat{\psi}_\beta \Big|_1 \right) \delta_{j\gamma} \right) = \\
& J_{\mathcal{X}_K} \underbrace{\hat{\omega}_\alpha \left[ \hat{\psi}_\beta \Big|_1 \hat{\psi}_l \Big|_1 \right]}_{[\text{FRm?}]_{\beta l}} \left[ \hat{q}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{\alpha l \gamma},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.64}$$

where we remember from eq. (2.32) that

$$J_{\mathcal{X}_K} = \prod_{d=1}^D [\Delta x]_d. \tag{2.65}$$

### Term S-II

The second term of eq. (2.62) can be rewritten with respect to reference coordinates as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{nlv}^{Ki} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \right]_j \right] dx dt = \\
 & \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \phi_n^K \psi_l^i [e_v]_j \phi_\alpha^K \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_\beta^i \right) [e_\gamma]_j dx dt = \\
 & J_{\mathcal{T}_i} J_{\mathcal{X}_K} \int_0^1 \int_{\hat{K}} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \hat{\phi}_n \hat{\psi}_l [e_v]_j \hat{\phi}_\alpha \left( \frac{1}{\Delta t_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \hat{\psi}_\beta \right) [e_\gamma]_j d\xi d\tau = \\
 & J_{\mathcal{T}_i} J_{\mathcal{X}_K} \sum_{\alpha' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^D} \sum_{\beta' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}} \left( \hat{\omega}_{\alpha'} \hat{\omega}_{\beta'} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \hat{\phi}_n(\hat{\xi}_{\alpha'}) \hat{\psi}_l(\hat{\tau}_{\beta'}) [e_v]_j \dots \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \dots \hat{\phi}_\alpha(\hat{\xi}_{\alpha'}) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \hat{\psi}_\beta(\hat{\tau}_{\beta'}) \right) [e_\gamma]_j \right) = \\
 & J_{\mathcal{T}_i} J_{\mathcal{X}_K} \sum_{\alpha' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^D} \sum_{\beta' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}} \left( \hat{\omega}_{\alpha'} \hat{\omega}_{\beta'} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \delta_{n\alpha'} \delta_{l\beta'} \delta_{vj} \dots \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \dots \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta t_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \hat{\psi}_\beta(\hat{\tau}_{\beta'}) \right) \delta_{\gamma j} \right) = \\
 & J_{\mathcal{T}_i} J_{\mathcal{X}_K} \hat{\omega}_\alpha \frac{1}{\Delta t_i} \sum_{\beta' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}} \left( \underbrace{\hat{\omega}_{\beta'} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \hat{\psi}_\beta(\hat{\tau}_{\beta'}) \right]}_{[Kxi?]_{\beta\beta'}} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{\alpha\beta'\gamma} \right), \tag{2.66}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we remember from eq. (2.34) that

$$J_{\mathcal{T}_i} = \Delta t_i, \tag{2.67}$$

so that  $\Delta t_i$  and  $1/\Delta t_i$  in eq. (??) cancel. In the derivation we made use of the fact that due to the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_\beta^i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\hat{\psi}_\beta \circ \mathcal{T}_i^{-1}) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \hat{\psi}_\beta \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathcal{T}_i^{-1} \right) = \frac{1}{\Delta t_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \hat{\psi}_\beta. \tag{2.68}$$



**Term S-III**

The third term of eq. (2.62) can be rewritten with respect to reference coordinates as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_K \left[ \left[ \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{nv} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{nv}^K \right]_j \left[ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_i} \right]_j d\mathbf{x} = \\
 & \int_K \left[ \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{nv} \boldsymbol{\phi}_n^K [e_v]_j \boldsymbol{\phi}_\alpha^K \left( \psi_\beta^i \Big|_{t_i} \right) [e_\gamma]_j d\mathbf{x} = \\
 & J\mathcal{X}_K \int_{\hat{K}} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{nv} \hat{\phi}_n [e_v]_j \hat{\phi}_\alpha \left( \hat{\psi}_\beta \Big|_0 \right) [e_\gamma]_j d\boldsymbol{\xi} = \\
 & J\mathcal{X}_K \sum_{\alpha' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^D} \left( \hat{\omega}_{\alpha'} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{nv} \hat{\phi}_n(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha'}) [e_v]_j \hat{\phi}_\alpha(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha'}) \left( \hat{\psi}_\beta \Big|_0 \right) [e_\gamma]_j \right) = \quad (2.69) \\
 & J\mathcal{X}_K \sum_{\alpha' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^D} \left( \hat{\omega}_{\alpha'} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{nv} \delta_{n\alpha'} \delta_{vj} \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \left( \hat{\psi}_\beta \Big|_0 \right) \delta_{\gamma j} \right) = \\
 & J\mathcal{X}_K \underbrace{\hat{\omega}_\alpha \left[ \hat{\psi}_\beta \Big|_0 \right]}_{[\mathbf{F0}]_\beta} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{\alpha\gamma}.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Term S-IV**

The third term of eq. (2.62) can be rewritten with respect to reference coordinates as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K \left[ F \left( \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r} \right]_{nlv} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{nlv}^{Ki} \right) \right]_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left[ \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \right]_j d\mathbf{x} dt = \\
 & \int_{t_i}^{t_i+\Delta t_i} \int_K \left[ F \left( \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r} \right]_{nlv} \phi_n^K \psi_l^i \mathbf{e}_v \right) \right]_{jk} \left( \prod_{d=1, d \neq k}^D \psi_{[\alpha]_d}^K([\mathbf{x}]_d) \right) \psi_\beta^i(t) [\mathbf{e}_\gamma]_j \dots \\
 & \dots \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \psi_{[\alpha]_k}^K \right) d\mathbf{x} dt = \\
 & J_{\mathcal{T}_i} J_{\mathcal{X}_K} \int_0^1 \int_{\hat{K}} \left[ F \left( \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r} \right]_{nlv} \hat{\phi}_n \hat{\psi}_l \mathbf{e}_v \right) \right]_{jk} \left( \prod_{d=1, d \neq k}^D \hat{\psi}_{[\alpha]_d}([\boldsymbol{\xi}]_d) \right) \hat{\psi}_\beta(t) [\mathbf{e}_\gamma]_j \dots \\
 & \dots \left( \frac{1}{[\Delta \mathbf{x}]_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} \hat{\psi}_{[\alpha]_k}([\boldsymbol{\xi}]_k) \right) d\boldsymbol{\xi} d\tau = \\
 & J_{\mathcal{T}_i} J_{\mathcal{X}_K} \sum_{\alpha' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^D} \sum_{\beta' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}} \left( \hat{\omega}_{\alpha'} \hat{\omega}_{\beta'} \left[ F \left( \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r} \right]_{nlv} \hat{\phi}_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{\alpha'}) \hat{\psi}_l(\hat{\tau}_{\beta'}) \mathbf{e}_v \right) \right]_{jk} \dots \right. \\
 & \dots \left( \prod_{d=1, d \neq k}^D \hat{\psi}_{[\alpha]_d}([\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{\alpha'}]_d) \right) \hat{\psi}_\beta(\hat{\tau}_{\beta'}) [\mathbf{e}_\gamma]_j \left( \frac{1}{[\Delta \mathbf{x}]_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} \hat{\psi}_{[\alpha]_k}([\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{\alpha'}]_k) \right) \Bigg) = \\
 & J_{\mathcal{T}_i} J_{\mathcal{X}_K} \sum_{\alpha' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^D} \sum_{\beta' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}} \left( \hat{\omega}_{\alpha'} \hat{\omega}_{\beta'} \left[ F \left( \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r} \right]_{nlv} \delta_{n\alpha'} \delta_{l\beta'} \mathbf{e}_v \right) \right]_{jk} \dots \right. \\
 & \dots \left( \prod_{d=1, d \neq k}^D \delta_{[\alpha]_d}[\alpha']_d \right) \delta_{\beta\beta'} \delta_{\gamma j} \left( \frac{1}{[\Delta \mathbf{x}]_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} \hat{\psi}_{[\alpha]_k}([\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{\alpha'}]_k) \right) \Bigg) = \\
 & J_{\mathcal{T}_i} J_{\mathcal{X}_K} \hat{\omega}_\beta \sum_{k=1}^D \left( \frac{1}{[\Delta \mathbf{x}]_k} \sum_{\alpha'_k \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}} \left( \prod_{d=0, d \neq k}^D \hat{\omega}_{[\alpha]_d} \dots \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \dots \underbrace{\hat{\omega}_{\alpha'_k} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} \hat{\psi}_{[\alpha]_k}([\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{\alpha'_k}]) \right)}_{[\text{Kxi}]_{[\alpha]_k \alpha'_k}} \left[ F \left( \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r} \right]_{[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}, \alpha'_k, \alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_N] \beta v} \mathbf{e}_v \right) \right]_{\gamma k} \right) \right) \Bigg), \tag{2.70}
 \end{aligned}$$

2.1. A  $D$ -dimensional ADER-DG scheme with MUSCL-Hancock a-posteriori subcell limiting for non-linear hyperbolic conservation laws

where we used that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki}(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \phi_{\alpha}^K(\mathbf{x}) \right) \psi_{\beta}^i(t) \mathbf{e}_{\gamma} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \prod_{d=1}^D \psi_{[\alpha]_d}^K([\mathbf{x}]_d) \right) \psi_{\beta}^i(t) \mathbf{e}_{\gamma} = \\
&\left( \prod_{d=1, d \neq k}^D \psi_{[\alpha]_d}^K([\mathbf{x}]_d) \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \psi_{[\alpha]_k}^K([\mathbf{x}]_k) \right) \psi_{\beta}^i(t) \mathbf{e}_{\gamma} = \\
&\left( \prod_{d=1, d \neq k}^D \psi_{[\alpha]_d}^K([\mathbf{x}]_d) \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \hat{\psi}_{[\alpha]_k} \left( [\mathbf{x}_K^{-1}(\mathbf{x})]_k \right) \right) \psi_{\beta}^i(t) \mathbf{e}_{\gamma} = \\
&\left( \prod_{d=1, d \neq k}^D \psi_{[\alpha]_d}^K([\mathbf{x}]_d) \right) \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} \hat{\psi}_{[\alpha]_k} \left( [\mathbf{x}_K^{-1}(\mathbf{x})]_k \right) \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} [\mathbf{x}_K^{-1}(\mathbf{x})]_k \right) \right) \dots \\
&\dots \psi_{\beta}^i(t) \mathbf{e}_{\gamma} = \\
&\left( \prod_{d=1, d \neq k}^D \psi_{[\alpha]_d}^K([\mathbf{x}]_d) \right) \left( \frac{1}{[\Delta \mathbf{x}^K]_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} \hat{\psi}_{[\alpha]_k} \left( [\mathbf{x}_K^{-1}(\mathbf{x})]_k \right) \right) \psi_{\beta}^i(t) \mathbf{e}_{\gamma}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.71}$$

**Term S-V**

The fifth term of eq. (2.62) can be rewritten with respect to reference coordinates as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{t_i}^{t_i + \Delta t_i} \int_K \left[ \mathbf{s} \left( [\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r}]_{nlv} \theta_{nlv}^{Ki} \right) \right]_j [\theta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki}]_j d\mathbf{x} dt = \\
&J_{\mathcal{T}_i} J_{\mathcal{X}_K} \int_0^1 \int_{\hat{K}} \left[ \mathbf{s} \left( [\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r}]_{nlv} \hat{\phi}_n \hat{\psi}_l \mathbf{e}_v \right) \right]_j \hat{\phi}_{\alpha} \hat{\psi}_l [\mathbf{e}_{\gamma}]_j d\hat{\xi} d\tau = \\
&J_{\mathcal{T}_i} J_{\mathcal{X}_K} \sum_{\alpha' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^D} \sum_{\beta' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}} \left( \hat{\omega}_{\alpha'} \hat{\omega}_{\beta'} \left[ \mathbf{s} \left( [\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r}]_{nlv} \hat{\phi}_n(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha'}) \hat{\psi}_l(\hat{\tau}_{\beta'}) \mathbf{e}_v \right) \right]_j \dots \right. \\
&\left. \dots \hat{\phi}_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{\alpha'}) \hat{\psi}_{\beta}(\hat{\tau}_{\beta'}) [\mathbf{e}_{\gamma}]_j \right) = \\
&J_{\mathcal{T}_i} J_{\mathcal{X}_K} \sum_{\alpha' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^D} \sum_{\beta' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}} \left( \hat{\omega}_{\alpha'} \hat{\omega}_{\beta'} \left[ \mathbf{s} \left( [\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r}]_{nlv} \delta_{n\alpha'} \delta_{l\beta'} \mathbf{e}_v \right) \right]_j \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \delta_{\beta\beta'} \delta_{\gamma j} \right) = \\
&J_{\mathcal{T}_i} J_{\mathcal{X}_K} \hat{\omega}_{\alpha} \hat{\omega}_{\beta} \left[ \mathbf{s} \left( [\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r}]_{\alpha\beta v} \mathbf{e}_v \right) \right]_{\gamma}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.72}$$

### The complete fixed-point iteration for the space-time predictor

Now collecting the results from eqs. (2.64), (2.66), (2.69), (2.70) and (2.72) and plugging them back into eq. (2.62) and division by  $J_{\mathcal{X}_k}$  yields TODO: division by omega alpha

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \hat{\omega}_{\alpha} [\mathbf{FRm}]_{\beta\beta'} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{\alpha\beta'\gamma} - \\
 & \hat{\omega}_{\alpha} [\mathbf{Kxi}]_{\beta\beta'} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{\alpha\beta'\gamma} = \\
 & \hat{\omega}_{\alpha} \underbrace{\left[ \hat{\psi}_{\beta} \right]_0}_{[\mathbf{F0}]_{\beta}} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{\alpha\gamma} + \\
 & J_{\mathcal{T}_i} \hat{\omega}_{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^D \left( \frac{1}{[\Delta x]_k} \sum_{\alpha'_k \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}} \left( \prod_{d=0, d \neq k}^D \hat{\omega}_{[\alpha]_d} \dots \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. \dots [\mathbf{Kxi}]_{[\alpha]_k \alpha'_k} \left[ \mathbf{F} \left( \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r} \right]_{[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}, \alpha'_k, \alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_N]_{\beta v}} \mathbf{e}_v \right) \right]_{\gamma k} \right) \right) + \\
 & J_{\mathcal{T}_i} \hat{\omega}_{\alpha} \hat{\omega}_{\beta} \left[ \mathbf{s} \left( \left[ \hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r} \right]_{\alpha\beta v} \mathbf{e}_v \right) \right]_{\gamma},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.73}$$

which has to hold for all  $\alpha \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}^D$ ,  $\beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$  and  $\gamma \in \{1, 2, \dots, V\}$ .

Next step:  $[\mathbf{K1}] = [\mathbf{FRm}] - [\mathbf{Kxi}]$ . Precompute  $[\mathbf{iK1}] = ([\mathbf{FRm}] - [\mathbf{Kxi}])^{-1}$  in advance.

TODO: Add appendix with code that computes all matrices

#### 2.1.11 A fully discrete update scheme for the time-discrete solution

Now that we have developed a method to compute the space-time predictor, we can go back to the original one-step, cell-local update scheme given in eq. (2.18).

#### Discretization

#### Plug in

#### Treat term-by-term

#### Final result

## 2.2 Profiling and Energy-aware Computing

---

## **A profiling infrastructure for ExaHyPE**

---

- General architecture
- Architecture profiling
- Functionality



## Chapter 4

---

# Preliminary profiling results, case studies

---

- Analytic benchmark: Introduction, derivation
- Pie-chart per kernel
- Case-study: Cache-misses, compile-time ( $\rightarrow$  Toolkit philosophy)
- Degree  $\rightarrow$  Wallclock, Energy (AMR)
- Static mesh  $\Delta x \rightarrow$  Error for polynomials (convergence tables)





## Chapter 5

---

# Conclusion and Outlook

---

- PA is important
- ExaHyPE as an answer to exascale challenges
- Applications



## Chapter 6

---

# Acknowledgment

---

