

A Performance and Energy Study of the Hyperbolic PDE Solver Engine ExaHyPE

Master's Thesis in Computational Science and Engineering

Fabian Güra

Department of Informatics
Technische Universität München
September 2016

Supervisor: Univ.-Prof. Dr. Michael Bader Dr. Tobias Weinzierl

Advisor: Dr. Vasco Varduhn



A Performance and Energy Study of the Hyperbolic PDE Solver Engine ExaHyPE

Master's Thesis in Computational Science and Engineering

Fabian Güra

Department of Informatics
Technische Universität München
September 2016

Supervisor: Univ.-Prof. Dr. Michael Bader Dr. Tobias Weinzierl

Advisor: Dr. Vasco Varduhn

Abstract

... ...

Contents

Co	onten	ts		iii
1	Intr	oductio	n	1
2	The			3
	2.1		limensional ADER-DG scheme with MUSCL-Hancock	
			eriori subcell limiting for non-linear hyperbolic conser-	0
			laws	3
		2.1.1		3
		2.1.2	PDE	3
		2.1.3	Mesh	4
		2.1.4	Weak formulation	4
		2.1.5	Restriction to finite-dimensional function spaces	5
		2.1.6	Space-time predictor	7
		2.1.7	Mappings	8
		2.1.8	Orthogonal bases for the finite-dimensional spatial and	
			space-time function spaces	9
		2.1.9	Basis functions in global coordinates	13
		2.1.10	A fully-discrete iterative method for the space-time	
			predictor	13
	2.2	Profili	ng and Energy-aware Computing	17
3	A p	rofiling	infrastructure for ExaHyPE	19
4	Prel	iminary	y profiling results, case studies	21
5	Con	clusion	and Outlook	23
6	Ack	nowled	lgment	25

Introduction

- Challenges of exascale
- The ExaHyPE project (numerics, resilience, profiling) as an answer
- On the importance of profiling and performance measuring

Theory

2.1 A *D*-dimensional ADER-DG scheme with MUSCL-Hancock a-posteriori subcell limiting for non-linear hyperbolic conservation laws

Arbitrary High Order Derivatives Discontinuous Galerkin (ADER-DG)

2.1.1 Notation

We use vector notation whenever possible. Advantage: Complete derivation, direct conversion to code.

2.1.2 PDE

Task: Solve the PDE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\mathbf{u}]_v + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} [\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u})]_{vd} = [\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{u})]_v \text{ on } \mathbf{\Omega} \times (0, T)$$
 (2.1)

with initial conditions

$$[u(x,0)]_v = [u_0(x)]_v \,\forall x \in \Omega, \tag{2.2}$$

and boundary conditions

$$[u(x,t)]_v = [u_B(x,t)]_v \,\forall x \in \partial \Omega, t \in (0,T), \tag{2.3}$$

for all $v \in \{1, 2, ..., V\}$, where V is the number of quantities involved in the system, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ is the spatial domain, D the number of space dimensions, and (0, T) a time interval. The function $F : \mathbb{R}^V \to \mathbb{R}^{V \times D}$, $u \mapsto F(u) = [f_1(u), f_2(u), ..., f_D(u)]$ is called the flux function.

For the problem to be hyperbolic we require that all Jacobian matrices $A_d(x, t)$, $d \in \{1, 2, ..., D\}$, defined as

$$[A_d]_{ij} = \frac{\partial [f_d]_i}{\partial x_i},\tag{2.4}$$

have *D* real eigenvalues in each admissible state $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T)$.

2.1.3 Mesh

Let \mathcal{K}_h be a quadrilateral partition of Ω , i.e.

$$K \cap J = \emptyset \, \forall K, J \in \mathcal{K}_h, K \neq J$$
 (2.5)

$$\bigcup_{K \in \mathcal{K}_b} K = \mathbf{\Omega}. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $\{t_i\}_{i=0,1,...I}$ be a partition of the time interval (0,T) such that

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_I = T, \tag{2.7}$$

where *I* is the number of sub intervals. We furthermore define

$$\Delta t_i = t_{i+1} - t_i, i \text{ in } \{0, 1, \dots, I - 1\},$$
 (2.8)

so that the interval (t_i, t_{i+1}) can be written as $(t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$.

2.1.4 Weak formulation

Let $L^2(\mathbf{\Omega})^V$ be the space of vector-valued, square-integrable functions on $\mathbf{\Omega}$, i.e.

$$L^{2}(\mathbf{\Omega})^{V} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{w} : \mathbf{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}^{V} \mid \int_{\mathbf{\Omega}} \|\boldsymbol{w}\| \, d\boldsymbol{x} < \infty \right\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Let $w \in L^2(\Omega)^V$ be a spatial test function. Multiplication of the original PDE (2.1) and integration over a space-time cell $K \times (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$ yields a weak, element local formulation of the problem

$$\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\boldsymbol{u} \right]_{v} \left[\boldsymbol{w} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt + \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{d}} \left[\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{u}) \right]_{vd} \left[\boldsymbol{w} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt = \\
\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{u}) \right]_{v} \left[\boldsymbol{w} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt, \tag{2.10}$$

which we require to hold for $v \in \{1, 2, ..., V\}$, $\mathbf{w} \in L^2(\Omega)^V$, $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ and $i \in \{0, 1, ..., I-1\}$.

Integration by parts of the spatial integral in the second term yields

$$\int_{K} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{d}} \left[\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}) \right]_{vd} \left[\mathbf{w} \right]_{v} d\mathbf{x} =$$

$$\int_{K} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{d}} \left(\left[\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}) \right]_{vd} \left[\mathbf{w} \right]_{v} \right) d\mathbf{x} - \int_{K} \left[\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}) \right]_{vd} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{d}} \left[\mathbf{w} \right]_{v} d\mathbf{x}.$$
(2.11)

Application of the divergence theorem to the first term on the right-hand side of (2.11) yields

$$\int_{K} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{d}} \left(\left[\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}) \right]_{vd} \left[\mathbf{w} \right]_{v} \right) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\partial K} \left[\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}) \right]_{vd} \left[\mathbf{w} \right]_{v} \left[\mathbf{n} \right]_{d} ds(\mathbf{x}), \tag{2.12}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is the unit-length, outward-pointing normal vector at a point x on the surface of K, which we denote by ∂K .

Inserting eqs. (2.11) and (2.12) into eq. (2.10) yields the following weak, element-local formulation of the original equation (2.1):

$$\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\boldsymbol{u}\right]_{v} \left[\boldsymbol{w}\right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt - \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{u})\right]_{vd} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{d}} \left[\boldsymbol{w}\right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt + \\
\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{\partial K} \left[\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{u})\right]_{vd} \left[\boldsymbol{w}\right]_{v} \left[\boldsymbol{n}\right]_{d} ds(\boldsymbol{x}) dt = \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\boldsymbol{s}(\boldsymbol{u})\right]_{v} \left[\boldsymbol{w}\right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt. \tag{2.13}$$

Again we require the weak formulation to hold for all $v \in \{1, 2, ... V\}$, $w \in L^2(\Omega)^V$, $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ and $i \in \{0, 1, ..., I-1\}$.

2.1.5 Restriction to finite-dimensional function spaces

To discretize eq. (2.13) we need to impose the restriction that both test and ansatz functions come from a finite-dimensional space. First, let $\mathbb{Q}_N(K)^V$ and $\mathbb{Q}_N(K \times (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i))^V$ be the space of vector-valued, multivariate polynomials of degree less or equal N in each variable on K and $K \times (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$, respectively. We then make the following choices:

For spatial functions we restrict ourselves to

$$\mathbb{W}_h = \left\{ \boldsymbol{w}_h \in L^2(\mathbf{\Omega})^V : \boldsymbol{w}_h|_K := \boldsymbol{w}_h^K \in \mathbb{Q}_N(K)^V \, \forall K \in \mathcal{K}_h \right\}. \quad (2.14)$$

• For space-time functions we restrict ourselves to

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_{h}^{i} = \left\{ \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{h}^{i} \in L^{2} \left(\mathbf{\Omega} \times (t_{i}, t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}) \right) : \\
\left. \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{h}^{i} \right|_{K} := \widetilde{\mathbf{w}}_{h}^{Ki} \in \mathbb{Q}_{N} \left(K \times (t_{i}, t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}) \right) \forall K \in \mathcal{K}_{h} \right\}$$
(2.15)

for all $i \in \{0, 1, ..., I - 1\}$.

Replacing w by $w_h \in W_h$ and u by $\tilde{u}_h^i \in \tilde{W}_h^i$ in eq. (2.13) yields a finite-dimensional approximation of the weak formulation

$$\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{h}^{Ki} \right]_{v} \left[\boldsymbol{w}_{h}^{K} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt - \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{\partial K} \left[\boldsymbol{F}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{h}^{Ki}) \right]_{vd} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{d}} \left[\boldsymbol{w}_{h}^{K} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt + \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{\partial K} \left[\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{h}^{Ki}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{h}^{K+i}, \boldsymbol{n}) \right]_{v} \left[\boldsymbol{w}_{h}^{K} \right]_{v} ds(\boldsymbol{x}) dt = \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\boldsymbol{s}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{h}^{Ki}) \right]_{v} \left[\boldsymbol{w}_{h}^{K} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt, \tag{2.16}$$

which now has to hold for all $w_h \in W_h$, $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ and $i \in \{0, 1, ..., I - 1\}$. Since for a cell $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ and one of its Voronoi neighbors $K' \in \mathcal{V}(K)$ one has

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{h}^{Ki}(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{h}^{K'i}(\boldsymbol{x}), \, \boldsymbol{x} \in K \cap K', \tag{2.17}$$

i.e. \tilde{u}_h^i is double-valued at the interface between K and K', in order to compute the surface integral we need to introduce the numerical flux function $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{u}_h^{Ki}, \tilde{u}_h^{K'i}, n)$. The numerical flux at a position $x \in K \cap K'$ on the interface is obtained by (approximately) solving a Riemann problem in normal direction.

Integration by parts in time of the first term of eq. (2.16) and noting that w_h is constant in time yields the following one-step update scheme for the cell-local time-discrete solution \tilde{u}_h^{Ki} :

$$\int_{K} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{h}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}} \right]_{v} \left[\boldsymbol{w}_{h}^{K} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} = \int_{K} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{h}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_{i}} \right]_{v} \left[\boldsymbol{w}_{h}^{K} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} + \\
\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\boldsymbol{F}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{h}^{Ki}) \right]_{vd} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{d}} \left[\boldsymbol{w}_{h}^{K} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt - \\
\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}} \int_{\partial K} \left[\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{h}^{Ki}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{h}^{K+i}, \boldsymbol{n}) \right]_{v} \left[\boldsymbol{w}_{h}^{K} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt + \\
\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\boldsymbol{s}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{h}^{Ki}) \right]_{v} \left[\boldsymbol{w}_{h}^{K} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt. \tag{2.18}$$

Again we require eq. (2.18) to hold for all $v \in \{1, 2, ..., V\}$, $\mathbf{w}_h \in \mathbb{W}_h$, $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ and $i \in \{0, 1, ..., I - 1\}$.

Problem: We only have $\tilde{u}_h^i\Big|_t$ at the discrete time steps $t \in \{t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i\}$, not within the open interval, i.e. for $t \in (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$.

Idea: Replace \tilde{u}_h in $K \times (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$ by an approximation $\tilde{q}_h^i \in \tilde{W}_h^i$ which we call space-time predictor.

2.1.6 Space-time predictor

To derive a procedure to compute the space-time predictor $\tilde{q}_h^i \in \tilde{W}_h^i$ we again start from the original PDE (2.1), but this time we do not use a spatial test function $w_h \in W_h$, but a space-time test function $\tilde{w}_h^i \in \tilde{W}_h^i$. If we furthermore replace the solution u by the space-time predictor $\tilde{q}_h^i \in \tilde{W}_h^i$, integrate over the space-time element $K \times (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$ and apply the divergence theorem analogously to eq. (2.12) we obtain the following relation:

$$\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{h}^{Ki} \right]_{v} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{h}^{Ki} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt - \\
\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\boldsymbol{F}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{h}^{Ki}) \right]_{vd} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{d}} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{h}^{Ki} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt + \\
\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{\partial K} \left[\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{h}^{Ki}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{h}^{K+i}, \boldsymbol{n} \right) \right]_{v} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{h}^{Ki} \right]_{v} ds(\boldsymbol{x}) dt = \\
\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\boldsymbol{s} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{h}^{Ki} \right) \right]_{v} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{h}^{Ki} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt. \tag{2.19}$$

We require eq. (2.19) to hold for all $v \in \{1, 2, ..., V\}$, $\tilde{w}_h^i \in \tilde{W}_h^i$, $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ and $i \in \{0, 1, ..., I-1\}$.

The assumption that the solution is balanced, i.e. that there is no net inflow or outflow for cell $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ allows us to drop the third term. Together with integration by parts in time of the first term this yields

$$\int_{K} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{h}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}} \right]_{v} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{h}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} - \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{h}^{Ki} \right]_{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{h}^{Ki} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt =$$

$$\int_{K} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{h}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_{i}} \right]_{v} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{h}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_{i}} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} + \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\boldsymbol{F}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{h}^{Ki}) \right]_{vd} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{d}} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{h}^{Ki} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt +$$

$$\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\boldsymbol{s} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{h}^{Ki} \right) \right]_{v} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{h}^{Ki} \right]_{v} d\boldsymbol{x} dt, \tag{2.20}$$

which we require to hold for all $v \in \{1, 2, ..., V\}$, $\tilde{w}_h^i \in \tilde{W}_h^i$, $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ and $i \in \{0, 1, ..., I-1\}$. Together with the initial condition

$$\left. \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_{h}^{Ki} \right|_{t_{i}} = \left. \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{h}^{K} \right|_{t_{i}} \tag{2.21}$$

and an initial guess

$$\left. \tilde{q}_{h}^{Ki} \right|_{t} = \left. \tilde{u}_{h}^{K} \right|_{t_{i}} \forall t \in (t_{i}, t_{i} + \Delta t_{i})$$
 (2.22)

this relation can be used as a fixed-point iteration to find $\tilde{q}_h^{Ki}|_t \forall t \in (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$.

In the following two sections we will introduce mappings from space-time elements $K \times (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$ to reference space-time cells and orthogonal bases for the spaces \mathbb{W}_h and $\tilde{\mathbb{W}}_h^i$. We will then insert these results into eq. (2.20) and derive a fully-discrete iterative method to compute the space-time predictor \tilde{q}_h^{Ki} .

2.1.7 Mappings

Let $\hat{K} = (0,1)^D$ be the spatial reference element and $\xi \in \hat{K}$ be a point in the reference element. Let (0,1) be the reference time interval and $\tau \in (0,1)$ be a point in time in reference time.

We can then introduce the following mappings:

Spatial mappings: Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ be a cell in global coordinates with extent Δx^K and "lower-left corner" P_K , more precisely that is

$$\left[\Delta x^{K}\right]_{d} = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in K} \left[\mathbf{x}\right]_{d} - \min_{\mathbf{x} \in K} \left[\mathbf{x}\right]_{d} \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$[\mathbf{P}_K]_d = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in K} [\mathbf{x}]_d \tag{2.24}$$

for $d \in \{1, 2, ..., D\}$. We can then define a mapping

$$\mathcal{X}_K : \hat{K} \to K, \xi \mapsto \mathcal{X}_K(\xi) = x$$
 (2.25)

via the relation

$$[\mathbf{x}]_d = \left[\mathbf{\mathcal{X}}_K(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \right]_d = \left[\mathbf{P}_K \right]_d + \left[\Delta \mathbf{x} \right]_d \left[\boldsymbol{\xi} \right]_d \tag{2.26}$$

for $v \in \{1, 2, ..., V\}$ (i.e. no summation on v) and for all $x \in K$, $\xi \in \hat{K}$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$.

Temporal mappings: Let $(t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i), i \in \{0, 1, ..., I - 1\}$ be an interval in global time. The mapping

$$\mathcal{T}_i: (0,1) \to (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i), \tau \mapsto \mathcal{T}_i(\tau) = t_i + \Delta t_i \tau = t$$
 (2.27)

maps a point in reference time $\tau \in (0,1)$ to a point in global time $t \in (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, ..., I - 1\}$.

The inverse mappings, the Jacobian matrices and the Jacobi determinants of the mappings are given in the following:

Spatial mappings: The inverse spatial mappings

$$\mathcal{X}_K^{-1}: K \to \hat{K}, x \mapsto \mathcal{X}_K^{-1}(x) = \xi$$
 (2.28)

are defined via the relation

$$\left[\boldsymbol{\xi}\right]_{d} = \left[\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{K}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x})\right]_{d} = \frac{1}{\left[\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{K}\right]_{d}} \left(\left[\boldsymbol{x}\right]_{d} - \left[\boldsymbol{P}_{K}\right]_{d}\right) \tag{2.29}$$

for $v \in \{1, 2, ..., V\}$ and for all $\xi \in \hat{K}$, $x \in K$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$. The Jacobian of \mathcal{X}_K is found to be

$$\left[\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{K}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}}\right]_{dd'} = \frac{\partial \left[\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{K}\right]_{d}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}_{d'}} = \left[\Delta \boldsymbol{x}^{K}\right]_{d} \delta_{dd'}, \tag{2.30}$$

where $d, d' \in \{1, 2, ... D\}$ (i.e. no summation on d) and for all $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$. As usual $\delta_{dd'}$ denotes the Kronecker delta defined as

$$\delta_{dd'} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } d \neq d' \\ 1 & \text{if } d = d'. \end{cases}$$
 (2.31)

The Jacobi determinant of \mathcal{X}_K for $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ then simply is

$$J_{\mathcal{X}_K} = \|\frac{\partial \mathcal{X}_K}{\partial \xi}\| = \prod_{d=1}^D \left[\Delta x^K\right]_d, \tag{2.32}$$

i.e. the determinant is constant for all $x \in K$.

Temporal mappings: The inverse temporal mappings are given as

$$\mathcal{T}_i^{-1}: (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i) \to (0, 1), t \mapsto \mathcal{T}_i^{-1}(t) = \frac{t - t_i}{\Delta t_i} = \tau$$
 (2.33)

for all $\tau \in (0,1)$, $t \in (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$ and $i \in \{1,2,\ldots, I-1\}$. In the trivial case of a one-dimensional mapping the Jacobian of \mathcal{T}_i is a scalar which in turn is its own determinant. One finds

$$\frac{d\mathcal{T}_i}{\partial \tau} = \Delta t_i = J_{\mathcal{T}_i} \tag{2.34}$$

which again is constant for all $t \in (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$ for a fixed $i \in \{0, 1, ..., I - 1\}$.

2.1.8 Orthogonal bases for the finite-dimensional spatial and spacetime function spaces

Lagrange interpolation

Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}_N((0,1))$ be a polynomial of degree N and let $\{\hat{\xi}_n\}_{n \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}}$ be a set of distinct nodes in (0,1). The the Lagrange interpolation of f,

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} L_n(\xi) f(\xi_n)$$
 (2.35)

with Lagrange functions

$$L_n(\xi) = \prod_{m=0, m \neq n}^{N} \frac{\xi - \hat{\xi}_m}{\hat{\xi}_n - \hat{\xi}_m}$$
 (2.36)

is exact, i.e.

$$f(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) \,\forall \xi \in (0,1). \tag{2.37}$$

Since every polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Q}_N((0,1))$ can be represented as a linear combination of the Legendre polynomials L_n the set of functions $\{L_n\}_{n\in\{0,1,\ldots,N\}}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}_N((0,1))$.

The following observation is an important property of the Lagrange polynomials:

$$L_n(\hat{\zeta}_{n'}) = \delta_{nn'},\tag{2.38}$$

i.e. at each node $\hat{\xi}_n$ only L_n has value 1 and all other polynomials evaluate to 0.

Legendre polynomials and Gauss-Legendre integration

Let $P_0: (-1,1) \to \mathbb{R}, \xi \mapsto 1$ and $P_1: (-1,1) \to \mathbb{R}, \xi \mapsto \xi$ be the zeroth and the first Legendre polynomial, respectively. Then the N+1-st Legendre polynomial can be defined via the following recurrence relation:

$$P_{N+1}(\xi) = \frac{1}{N+1} \left((2N+1)P_N(\xi) - nP_{N-1}(\xi) \right). \tag{2.39}$$

Let $\{\tilde{\xi}_n\}_{n\in\{0,1,\dots,N\}}$ be the roots of the N+1-st Legendre polynomial L_{N+1} . Then $\{\hat{\xi}_n\}_{n\in\{0,1,\dots,N\}}$ with

$$\hat{\xi}_n = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\xi}_n + 1) \tag{2.40}$$

are the roots of the N+1-st Legendre polynomial linearly mapped to the interval (0,1). In conjunction with a set of suitable weights $\{\hat{\omega}_n\}_{n\in\{0,1,...N\}}$ Gauss-Legendre integration can be used to integrate polynomials of degree up to 2N+1 over the integral [0,1] exactly, i.e.

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(\xi) d\xi = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \hat{\omega}_{n} f(\hat{\xi}_{n}) \, \forall f \in \mathbb{Q}_{2N+1} \left([0,1] \right). \tag{2.41}$$

A script on how to find the weights $\{\hat{\xi}_n\}_{n\in\{0,1,\dots,N\}}$ can be found in appendix XXX.

1d basis functions

Let $\{\hat{\psi}_n\}_{n\in\{0,1,\dots,N\}}$ be the set of N+1 Lagrange polynomials with nodes at the roots of the N+1-st Legendre polynomial linearly mapped to the interval (0,1), i.e.

$$\hat{\psi}_n(x) = \sum_{n'=0}^{N} \frac{x - \hat{x}_{n'}}{\hat{x}_n - \hat{x}_{n'}}$$
 (2.42)

for $n \in \{0,1,\ldots,N\}$. Since $\{\hat{\psi}_n\}_{n \in \{0,1,\ldots,N\}}$ are Lagrange polynomials and the roots $\{\hat{x}_n\}_{n \in \{0,1,\ldots,N\}}$ are distinct the set is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}_N([0,1])$. Since furthermore

$$\left\langle \hat{\psi}_{n}, \hat{\psi}_{m} \right\rangle_{L^{2}\left((0,1)\right)} = \int_{0}^{1} \hat{\psi}_{n}(x) \hat{\psi}_{m}(x) dx = \sum_{n'=0}^{N} \hat{w}'_{n} \hat{\psi}_{n}(\hat{x}_{n'}) \hat{\psi}_{m}(\hat{x}_{n'}) = \hat{w}_{n} \delta_{mn}$$
(2.43)

for all $m, n \in \{0, 1, ..., N\}$ (i.e. no summation over n), the set is even an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{Q}_N([0,1])$ with respect to the L^2 -scalar product as defined above. In this derivation we used the fact that $\hat{\psi}_n\hat{\psi}_m$ has degree 2N and that Gauss-Legendre integration with N+1 nodes is exact for polynomials up to degree 2N+1.

Scalar-valued basis functions on the spatial reference element

Let us define the set of scalar-valued spatial basis functions $\{\hat{\phi}_n\}_{n\in\{0,1,...,N\}^D}$ on $\hat{K}=[0,1]^D$ as

$$\hat{\phi}_{n}(\xi) = \prod_{d=1}^{D} \hat{\psi}_{[n]_{d}}([\xi]_{d}) = \hat{\psi}_{[n]_{d}}([\xi]_{d}), \tag{2.44}$$

i.e. $\{\hat{\phi}_n\}_{n\in\{0,1,\dots,N\}^D}$ is the tensor product of $\{\hat{\psi}_n\}_{n\in\{0,1,\dots,N\}}$ and as such it is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}([0,1]^D) = \mathbb{Q}(\hat{K})$. If we define

$$\left[\hat{\xi}_{n}\right]_{d} = \hat{\xi}_{\left[n\right]_{d}} \tag{2.45}$$

and

$$\prod_{d=1}^{D} \hat{\omega}_{[n]_{d'}} \tag{2.46}$$

for all $d \in \{1, 2, ..., D\}$ and $n \in \{0, 1, ..., N\}^D$, we furthermore observe that the basis is even orthogonal with respect to the L^2 -scalar product, since

$$\left\langle \hat{\phi}_{n}, \hat{\phi}_{m} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(\hat{K})} = \int_{\hat{K}} \hat{\phi}_{n}(\xi) \hat{\phi}_{m}(\xi) d\xi =$$

$$\sum_{n' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^{D}} \left(\hat{\omega}_{n'} \hat{\phi}_{n}(\hat{\xi}_{n'}) \hat{\phi}_{m}(\hat{\xi}_{n'}) \right) = \hat{\omega}_{n} \delta_{nm}$$
(2.47)

for all $n, m \in \{0, 1, ..., N\}^D$. The natural extensions of the Kronecker delta for vector-valued indices is defined as follows:

$$\delta_{nm} = \prod_{d=1}^{D} \delta_{[n]_d[m]_d} = \delta_{[n]_d[m]_d}.$$
 (2.48)

Scalar-valued basis functions on the space-time reference element

Analogously to the procedure illustrated above for the spatial reference element \hat{K} we can define a basis $\{\hat{\theta}_{nl}\}_{n\in\{0,1,\dots,N\}^D,l\in\{0,1,\dots,N\}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_N(\hat{K}\times(0,1))$ on the reference space-time element $\hat{K}\times(0,1)$ as

$$\hat{\theta}_{nl}(\xi,\tau) = \hat{\phi}_n(\xi)\hat{\psi}_l(\tau), \tag{2.49}$$

which again is orthogonal, since

$$\left\langle \hat{\theta}_{nl}, \hat{\theta}_{mk} \right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\hat{K}\times(0,1)\right)} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\hat{K}} \hat{\theta}_{nl} \hat{\theta}_{mk} d\boldsymbol{\xi} d\tau = \hat{\omega}_{n} \hat{\omega}_{l} \delta_{nm} \delta_{lk}$$
 (2.50)

for all $n, m \in \{0, 1, ..., N\}^D$ and $l, k \in \{0, 1, ..., N\}$.

Vector-valued basis functions on the spatial reference element

If we define $\{\boldsymbol{\hat{\phi}}_{nv}\}_{n\in\{0,1,\dots,N\}^D,v\in\{1,2,\dots,V\}}$ as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{nv} = \hat{\phi}_n \boldsymbol{e}_v, \tag{2.51}$$

where e_v is the v-th unit vector, i.e.

$$[\mathbf{e}_v]_{v'} = \delta_{vv'} \tag{2.52}$$

for all $v, v' \in \{1, 2, ..., V\}$. Since

$$\left\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\boldsymbol{n}v}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\boldsymbol{n}'v'} \right\rangle_{L^{2}(\hat{K})^{V}} = \int_{\hat{K}} \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\boldsymbol{n}v} \right]_{j} \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\boldsymbol{n}'v'} \right]_{j} d\boldsymbol{\xi} =$$

$$\left(\left[\boldsymbol{e}_{v} \right]_{j} \left[\boldsymbol{e}_{v'} \right]_{j} \right) \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\hat{K}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\boldsymbol{n}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\boldsymbol{n}'} d\boldsymbol{\xi} = \hat{\omega}_{\boldsymbol{n}} \delta_{\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{n}'} \delta_{\boldsymbol{v}v'}$$

$$(2.53)$$

for all $n, n' \in \{0, 1, ..., N\}^D$ and $v, v' \in \{1, 2, ..., V\}$ the set is an orthogonal basis for $\mathbb{Q}_N(\hat{K})^V$.

Vector-valued basis functions on the space-time reference element

The set $\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{nlv}\}_{n\in\{0,1,...,N\}^D,l\in\{0,1,...,N\},v\in\{1,2,...,V\}}$ defined as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{nlv}(\boldsymbol{\xi},\tau) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{nl}(\boldsymbol{\xi},\tau)\boldsymbol{e}_v = \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{n}(\boldsymbol{\xi})\hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{l}(\tau)\boldsymbol{e}_v \tag{2.54}$$

is a basis of $\mathbb{Q}_N(\hat{K} \times (0,1))^V$. Since furthermore

$$\left\langle \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\boldsymbol{n}lv}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\boldsymbol{n}'l'v'} \right\rangle_{L^{2}\left(\hat{K}\times(0,1)\right)^{V}} = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\hat{K}} \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\boldsymbol{n}lv} \right]_{j} \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\boldsymbol{n}'l'v'} \right]_{j} d\xi d\tau = \hat{\omega}_{\boldsymbol{n}} \hat{\omega}_{l} \delta_{\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{n}'} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{vv'}, \tag{2.55}$$

for all $n, n' \in \{0, 1, ..., N\}^D$, $l, l' \in \{0, 1, ..., N\}$ and $v, v' \in \{1, 2, ..., V\}$, the set is an orthogonal basis with respect to the respective L^2 -scalar product.

2.1.9 Basis functions in global coordinates

We can use the mappings derived in ch. 2.1.7 to map the basis functions to global coordinates. For the vector-valued basis functions on a spatial element *K* we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\boldsymbol{n}v}^{K}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{cases} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\boldsymbol{n}v} \circ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{K}^{-1}\right)(\boldsymbol{x}) & \text{if } \boldsymbol{x} \in K\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
 (2.56)

and for the vector-valued basis functions on a space-time element $K \times (t_i, t_i + \Delta t_i)$ we have

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{nlv}^{Ki}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \begin{cases} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{nlv} \circ \left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{K}^{-1}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{i}^{-1}\right)\right)(\boldsymbol{x},t) & \text{if } \boldsymbol{x} \in K \text{ and } t \in (t_{i}, t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(2.57)

for $n \in \{0, 1, ..., N\}^D$, $l \in \{0, 1, ..., N\}$ as well as $v \in \{1, 2, ..., V\}$ and for all $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ and $i \in \{0, 1, ..., I - 1\}$.

2.1.10 A fully-discrete iterative method for the space-time predictor

We recall relation (2.22) for the space-time predictor. Plugging in the initial condition (2.21) yields

$$\int_{K} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{h}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}} \right]_{j} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{h}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}} \right]_{j} d\boldsymbol{x} - \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{h}^{Ki} \right]_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{h}^{Ki} \right]_{j} d\boldsymbol{x} dt =$$

$$\int_{K} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{h}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_{i}} \right]_{j} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{h}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_{i}} \right]_{j} d\boldsymbol{x} + \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\boldsymbol{F} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{h}^{Ki}) \right]_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{h}^{Ki} \right]_{j} d\boldsymbol{x} dt +$$

$$\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i} + \Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\boldsymbol{s} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{h}^{Ki} \right) \right]_{j} \left[\tilde{\boldsymbol{w}}_{h}^{Ki} \right]_{j} d\boldsymbol{x} dt$$

$$(2.58)$$

which we require to hold for all $\tilde{w}_h \in \tilde{W}_h$, $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$ and $i \in \{0, 1, ..., I-1\}$.

Making use of the bases we derived in the previous section the cell-local space-time predictor \tilde{q}_h^{Ki} can be represented by a tensor of coefficients \hat{q}^{Ki} ("degrees of freedom") as follows:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_{h}^{Ki} = \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}^{Ki} \right]_{nlv} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{nlv}^{Ki}. \tag{2.59}$$

The initial condition $\left. \tilde{\pmb{u}}_h^{Ki} \right|_{t:}$ can be represented as

$$\left. \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{h}^{Ki} \right|_{t_{i}} = \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{nv} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{nv}^{K}, \tag{2.60}$$

where

$$\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}^{Ki}\right]_{nv} = \left[\left.\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_{h}^{Ki}\right|_{\left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}_{K}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{n}),t_{i}\right)}\right]_{v}.$$
(2.61)

Inserting eqs. (2.59) and (2.60) into eq. (2.58) and introduction of the iteration index $r \in \{0, 1, ..., R\}$ leads to the following iterative scheme for the degrees of freedom of the cell-local space-time predictor:

$$\underbrace{\int_{K} \left[\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{nlv}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \right]_{j} \left[\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \right]_{j} dx - \underbrace{\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{nlv}^{Ki} \right]_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \right]_{j} dx dt} = \underbrace{\int_{K} \left[\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}^{Ki} \right]_{nv} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{nv}^{K} \right]_{j} \left[\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_{i}} \right]_{j} dx + \underbrace{\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[F \left(\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}^{K,i,r} \right]_{nlv} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{nlv}^{Ki} \right) \right]_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \left[\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \right]_{j} dx dt + \underbrace{\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[s \left(\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}^{K,i,r} \right]_{nlv} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{nlv}^{Ki} \right) \right]_{j} \left[\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \right]_{j} dx dt .} \tag{2.62}$$

We require this relation to hold for all $\alpha \in \{0, 1, ..., N\}^D$, $\beta \in \{0, 1, ..., N\}$ and $\gamma \in \{1, 2, ..., V\}$.

As initial condition, i.e. for r = 0, we use

$$\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}^{K,i,0}\right]_{\boldsymbol{n}^{r,l}} = \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}^{Ki}\right]_{\boldsymbol{n}^{r,l}} \tag{2.63}$$

for all time degrees of freedom $l \in \{0, 1, ..., N\}$.

We will now proceed in a term-by-term fashion to rewrite all integrals with respect to reference coordinates so that we can finally derive a complete rule on how to compute $\hat{q}^{K,i,r+1}$ that holds for all $K \in \mathcal{K}_h$.

Term 1

The first term of eq. (2.62) can be rewritten with respect to reference coordinates as follows:

$$\int_{K} \left[\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlw} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{nlv}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \right]_{j} \left[\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \right]_{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} dx =$$

$$\int_{K} \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n}^{K} \left(\psi_{l}^{i} \Big|_{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \right) \left[\boldsymbol{e}_{v} \right]_{j} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha}^{K} \left(\psi_{\beta}^{i} \Big|_{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \right) \left[\boldsymbol{e}_{\gamma} \right]_{j} dx =$$

$$J_{X_{K}} \int_{\hat{K}} \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{n} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{l} \Big|_{1} \right) \left[\boldsymbol{e}_{v} \right]_{j} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\alpha} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\beta} \Big|_{1} \right) \left[\boldsymbol{e}_{\gamma} \right]_{j} d\xi =$$

$$J_{X_{K}} \sum_{\alpha' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^{D}} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\alpha'} \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{n} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{\alpha'}) \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{l} \Big|_{1} \right) \left[\boldsymbol{e}_{v} \right]_{j} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\alpha} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{\alpha'}) \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\beta} \Big|_{1} \right) \left[\boldsymbol{e}_{\gamma} \right]_{j} \right) =$$

$$J_{X_{K}} \sum_{\alpha' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^{D}} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\alpha'} \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \delta_{n\alpha'} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{l} \Big|_{1} \right) \delta_{vj} \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\beta} \Big|_{1} \right) \delta_{j\gamma} \right) =$$

$$J_{X_{K}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\alpha} \underbrace{\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\beta} \Big|_{1} \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{l} \Big|_{1} \right]}_{[\boldsymbol{f}} \underbrace{\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{\alpha l\gamma'}}_{[\boldsymbol{e}l,\gamma']} \right] (2.64)$$

where we remember from eq. (2.32) that

$$J_{\mathcal{X}_K} = \prod_{d=1}^D \left[\Delta x \right]_d. \tag{2.65}$$

Term 2

The second term of eq. (2.62) can be rewritten with respect to reference coordinates as follows:

$$\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\left[\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{nlv}^{Ki} \right]_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \right]_{j} dx dt =$$

$$\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}+\Delta t_{i}} \int_{K} \left[\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n}^{K} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{l}^{i} \left[e_{v} \right]_{j} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha}^{K} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\beta}^{i} \right) \left[e_{\gamma} \right]_{j} dx dt =$$

$$I_{T_{i}} I_{X_{K}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{K} \left[\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{n} \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{l} \left[e_{v} \right]_{j} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\beta} \right) \left[e_{\gamma} \right]_{j} d\xi d\tau =$$

$$I_{T_{i}} I_{X_{K}} \sum_{\alpha' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^{D}} \sum_{\beta' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\alpha'} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\beta'} \left[\hat{\mathbf{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{n} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{\alpha'}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{l} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{\beta'}) \left[e_{v} \right]_{j} \dots \right.$$

$$\dots \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\alpha} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}_{\alpha'}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\beta} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{\beta'}) \right) \left[e_{\gamma} \right]_{j} \right) =$$

$$I_{T_{i}} I_{X_{K}} \sum_{\alpha' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^{D}} \sum_{\beta' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\alpha'} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\beta'} \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{nlv} \delta_{n\alpha'} \delta_{l\beta'} \delta_{vj} \dots \right.$$

$$\dots \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta t_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\beta} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{\beta'}) \right) \delta_{\gamma j} \right) =$$

$$I_{T_{i}} I_{X_{K}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\Delta t_{i}} \sum_{\beta' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{\beta'} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{\beta} (\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{\beta'}) \right] \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}^{K,i,r+1} \right]_{\alpha\beta'\gamma} \right), \tag{2.66}$$

where we remember from eq. (2.34) that

$$J_{\mathcal{T}_i} = \Delta t_i, \tag{2.67}$$

so that Δt_i and $1/\Delta t_i$ in eq. (2.66) cancel. In the derivation we made use of the fact that due to the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_{\beta}^{i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\hat{\psi}_{\beta}\circ\mathcal{T}_{i}^{-1}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\hat{\psi}_{\beta}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{T}_{i}^{-1}\right) = \frac{1}{\Delta t_{i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\hat{\psi}_{\beta}.$$
 (2.68)

Term 3

The third term of eq. (2.62) can be rewritten with respect to reference coordinates as follows:

$$\int_{K} \left[\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{nv} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{nv}^{K} \right]_{j} \left[\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{Ki} \Big|_{t_{i}} \right]_{j} dx =$$

$$\int_{K} \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{nv} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{n}^{K} \left[e_{v} \right]_{j} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\alpha}^{K} \left(\psi_{\beta}^{i} \Big|_{t_{i}} \right) \left[e_{\gamma} \right]_{j} dx =$$

$$J_{\mathcal{X}_{K}} \int_{\hat{K}} \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{nv} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{n} \left[e_{v} \right]_{j} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\alpha} \left(\hat{\psi}_{\beta} \Big|_{0} \right) \left[e_{\gamma} \right]_{j} d\xi =$$

$$J_{\mathcal{X}_{K}} \sum_{\alpha' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^{D}} \left(\hat{\omega}_{\alpha'} \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{nv} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{n} (\xi_{\alpha'}) \left[e_{v} \right]_{j} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_{\alpha} (\xi_{\alpha'}) \left(\hat{\psi}_{\beta} \Big|_{0} \right) \left[e_{\gamma} \right]_{j} \right) = (2.69)$$

$$J_{\mathcal{X}_{K}} \sum_{\alpha' \in \{0,1,\dots,N\}^{D}} \left(\hat{\omega}_{\alpha'} \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{nv} \delta_{n\alpha'} \delta_{vj} \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \left(\hat{\psi}_{\beta} \Big|_{0} \right) \delta_{\gamma j} \right) =$$

$$J_{\mathcal{X}_{K}} \hat{\omega}_{\alpha} \underbrace{\left[\hat{\psi}_{\beta} \Big|_{0} \right]}_{[F0]_{\beta}} \left[\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}^{Ki} \right]_{\alpha\gamma}.$$

2.2 Profiling and Energy-aware Computing

A profiling infrastructure for ExaHyPE

- General architecture
- Architecture profiling
- Functionality

Preliminary profiling results, case studies

- Analytic benchmark: Introduction, derivation
- Pie-chart per kernel
- $\bullet \ \, \text{Case-study: Cache-misses, compile-time } (\to \text{Toolkit philosophy})$
- ullet Degree o Wallclock, Energy (AMR)
- Static mesh $\Delta x \rightarrow$ Error for polynomials (convergence tables)

Conclusion and Outlook

- PA is important
- ExaHyPE as an answer to exascale challenges
- Applications

Acknowledgment