Machine Learning, Tutorial 4 Universität Bern

Simon Jenni (simon.jenni@inf.unibe.ch)

Logistic Regression

In Logistic Regression we want to maximize the following log likelihood w.r.t. the model parameters θ

$$\ell(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} y^{(i)} \log h_{\theta} \left(x^{(i)} \right) + \left(1 - y^{(i)} \right) \log \left(1 - h_{\theta} \left(x^{(i)} \right) \right), \tag{1}$$

where the hypothesis function h is given by $h_{\theta}(x) = g\left(\theta^T x\right) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^T x}}$. Compute the Hessian of the log likelihood.

Solution:

The Hessian matrix is defined as $H_{ij}(\theta) = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j}$, i.e., it is the matrix of second partial derivatives. Let us first compute the first partial derivates of $\ell(\theta)$. Remember that g(z) is the sigmoid function with derivative g'(z) = g(z)(1 - g(z)).

The first partial derivates of $\ell(\theta)$ are given by (see lecture notes):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \ell(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^m (y^{(i)} - h_\theta(x^{(i)})) x_j^{(i)}. \tag{2}$$

Let us now compute the Hessian, i.e., the second partial derivates of $\ell(\theta)$:

$$\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta_{k} \partial \theta_{j}} \ell(\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{k}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} (y^{(i)} - g(\theta^{T} x^{(i)})) x_{j}^{(i)} \right)
= -\sum_{i=1}^{m} g(\theta^{T} x^{(i)}) (1 - g(\theta^{T} x^{(i)})) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{k}} (\theta^{T} x^{(i)}) x_{j}^{(i)}
= -\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) (1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) x_{k}^{(i)} x_{j}^{(i)}$$
(3)

We can right this result more succinctly in vector form:

$$H(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) (h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - 1) x^{(i)} (x^{(i)})^{T}$$
(4)

Generalized Linear Models

Consider the Laplace distribution with PDF

$$p(y; \mu, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \exp\left(-\frac{|y - \mu|}{\lambda}\right),$$
 (5)

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is a location parameter and $\lambda > 0$ is a scale parameter.

Show that the Laplace distribution, if parametrized only on λ (i.e., with fixed μ), is part of the exponential family.

Solution:

The exponential family is defined as all the distributions that can be written in the form

$$p(y;\eta) = b(y) \exp(\eta^T T(y) - a(\eta))$$
(6)

where η is called natural parameter, T(y) is called sufficient statistic and $a(\eta)$ is called log partition function.

The Laplace distribution, if parametrized only on λ , with μ fixed, can be written in the above form:

$$p(y;\lambda) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}|y-\mu|\right)\frac{1}{2\lambda} = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}|y-\mu| - \log(2\lambda)\right),\tag{7}$$

that is,
$$b(y)=1,$$
 $\eta=-\frac{1}{\lambda},$ $T(y)=|y-\mu|$ and $a(\eta)=\log\left(-\frac{2}{\eta}\right)=\log(2\lambda).$

Naive Bayes

Consider a binary classification with one binary output y and two binary features x_1 and x_2 . The Naive Bayes classifier assumes the following distribution for a pair:

$$p(y, x_1, x_2) = p(x_1|y) p(x_2|y) p(y).$$
(8)

Let the values of the probabilities be:

$$p(y = 0) = 0.5 p(y = 1) = 0.5$$

$$p(x_1 = 1|y = 0) = 0.9 p(x_1 = 1|y = 1) = 0.2$$

$$p(x_2 = 1|y = 0) = 0.5 p(x_2 = 1|y = 1) = 0.5$$

1. What are the simplifying assumptions in the Naive Bayes model?

Solution

The features x_i are assumed to be conditionally independent given the target y. For example $p(x_1|y,x_2) = p(x_1|y)$.

2. Compute the posterior values $p(y=1|x_1=1,x_2=1)$ and $p(y=0|x_1=1,x_2=1)$. How would you classify an example with $x_1=1$ and $x_2=1$?

Solution:

Using Bayes rule we have:

$$p(y = 1|x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1) \propto p(x_1 = 1|y = 1) p(x_2 = 1|y = 1) p(y = 1) = 0.2 * 0.5 * 0.5$$

 $p(y = 0|x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1) \propto p(x_1 = 1|y = 0) p(x_2 = 1|y = 0) p(y = 0) = 0.9 * 0.5 * 0.5$

Therefore we get $p(y = 1|x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1) = 0.2/1.1 \approx 0.18$

The example should be classified as y = 0