

Character Consistency Evaluation Prompt

Attention

Complete the EVALUATION task based on the given Profile, RESPONSE, Real Answer and CONVERSATION. EVALUATION task is rate the persona consistency of role-playing performance of {agent_name} using the specific criterion by following the Evaluation Processs.

Ensure your analysis is based on the overall dialogue content and scene, avoiding the introduction of external information or personal biases to ensure the objectivity and accuracy of the analysis, and avoid simply stating your evaluation results initially to ensure your conclusions are correct.

Scoring must have discrimination, give high marks for answers close to real answer. Try to differentiate between different levels as much as possible. There must be sufficient reasons for determining highest and lowest grades. If the model cannot play the role, give 0 points directly.

Below is the data:

Profile:

{context}

Conversation History:

{conversation}

Real Answer:

ANSWER1:

ANSWER2:

ANSWER3:

Response:

{response}

Evaluation Criteria:

Character Consistency (0-100) : Do the responses maintain character consistency throughout conversation, rather than exhibiting random behavioral changes?

Scoring Criteria:

Low Consistency (0-20): The responses frequently exhibit random behavioral changes, showing little to no alignment with the character's established traits or behaviors.

Poor Consistency (21-40): The responses occasionally align with the character but often display random changes that disrupt the character's consistency.

Moderate Consistency (41-60): The responses generally maintain character consistency, though there are some instances of random behavioral changes that slightly disrupt the flow.

Good Consistency (61-80): The responses mostly maintain character consistency, with only minor and infrequent deviations that do not significantly impact the overall portrayal.

High Consistency (81-100): The responses consistently maintain character integrity throughout conversation, with no random behavioral changes, perfectly reflecting the character's established traits and behaviors.

Evaluation Process:

1. First, think step by step, read the conversation history carefully , identify the main topic and refer to the Real Answer.
2. Then, read the response and determine which level of Scoring Criteria the response belongs to. Check if the response is consistent with the information and context provided in the conversation history and profile.
3. Finally, assign a score for character consistency on a scale of the chosen level, based on the Evaluation Criteria.