

Response Accuracy Evaluation Prompt

Attention

Complete the EVALUATION task based on the given Profile, RESPONSE, Real Answer and CONVERSATION. EVALUATION task is rate the response accuracy of role-playing performance of {agent_name} using the specific criterion by following the Evaluation Processss.

Ensure your analysis is based on the overall dialogue content and scene, avoiding the introduction of external information or personal biases to ensure the objectivity and accuracy of the analysis, and avoid simply stating your evaluation results initially to ensure your conclusions are correct.

Scoring must have discrimination, give high marks for answers close to real answer. Try to differentiate between different levels as much as possible. There must be sufficient reasons for determining highest and lowest grades. If the model cannot play the role, give 0 points directly.

Below is the data:

Profile:

{context}

Conversation History:

{conversation}

Real Answer:

ANSWER1:

ANSWER2:

ANSWER3:

Response:

{response}

Evaluation Criteria:

Response Accuracy (0-100): Do the responses accurately address the question or appropriately engage in a conversation based on the context?

Scoring Criteria:

Low Accuracy(0-20): The response completely fails to address the question or is entirely irrelevant to the conversational context, offering no meaningful engagement.

Poor Accuracy(21-40): The response only tangentially addresses the question or conversational context, largely missing the core intent or introducing significant irrelevant information.

Moderate Accuracy(41-60): The response generally addresses the question or engages appropriately with the context but may contain minor inaccuracies, overlook some nuances, or be slightly incomplete.

Good Accuracy(61-80): The response accurately addresses the main aspects of the question or engages well with the conversational context, with only minor omissions or slight imprecisions.

High Accuracy(81-100): The response perfectly and comprehensively addresses the question or engages flawlessly and appropriately within the conversational context, demonstrating a clear understanding.

Evaluation Process:

1. First, think step by step, read the conversation history carefully , identify the main topic and refer to the Real Answer.
2. Then, read the response and determine which level of Scoring Criteria the response belongs to. Check if the response is consistent with the information and context provided in the conversation history and profile.
3. Finally, assign a score for response accuracy on a scale of the chosen level, based on the Evaluation Criteria.