

LINFO2266 - Solutions

November 30, 2021

1 Lagrangian Relaxation

1.1 Set Covering Problem

1. We introduce one Lagrangian multiplier for each constraint to obtain the Lagrangian relaxation:

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^m c_i x_i + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \left(1 - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ j \in S_i}}^m x_i \right) \quad (1)$$

with $\lambda_j \geq 0, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Note how we integrated the constraints: for any *feasible* solution of the original problem, we will have

$$1 - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ j \in S_i}}^m x_i \leq 0 \quad (2)$$

which means a *negative* value will be added to the objective function and that we will thus obtain a *lower bound* on the optimal value of the problem.

For clarity, we rewrite eq. (1) as:

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^m \left(c_i - \sum_{j \in S_i} \lambda_j \right) x_i + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \quad (3)$$

For fixed values of the Lagrangian multipliers λ_j , we can obtain a lower bound by solving eq. (3). This problem is very simple to solve if we notice that:

- each x_i is multiplied by a fixed coefficient $\left(c_i - \sum_{j \in S_i} \lambda_j \right)$
- the second term $\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \right)$ is constant

In order to minimize the objective function, it is sufficient to select the sets which have a negative coefficient:

$$x_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c_i - \sum_{j \in S_i} \lambda_j \leq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

2. By applying exactly what we described above, we get a lower bound value of 4.2.
3. We adapt the subgradient procedure covered in the lectures for this particular problem, and for multiple Lagrange multipliers in algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 Subgradient procedure for the Set Covering Problem.

```

1:  $\mathcal{L}^* \leftarrow -\infty, k \leftarrow 1, \mu_0 \leftarrow 1$ 
2:  $\lambda_{0,j} \leftarrow 0, 1 \leq j \leq n$ 
3:  $\mathcal{C}^* \leftarrow$  a trivial solution, or none
4: while  $\mu_k \geq \epsilon$  do
5:   Compute cover  $\mathcal{C}_k$  with weights  $\left(c_i - \sum_{j \in S_i} \lambda_{k,j}\right)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ 
6:    $\mathcal{L}_k \leftarrow \text{lagrangianValue}(\mathcal{C}_k)$  (given by eq. (3))
7:   if  $\mathcal{L}_k > \mathcal{L}^*$  then
8:      $\mathcal{L}^* \leftarrow \mathcal{L}_k$ 
9:   end if
10:  if  $\mathcal{C}_k$  is feasible and  $\text{value}(\mathcal{C}_k) < \text{value}(\mathcal{C}^*)$  then
11:     $\mathcal{C}^* \leftarrow \mathcal{C}_k$ 
12:  end if
13:  if  $\mathcal{L}^* = \text{value}(\mathcal{C}^*)$  then
14:    break
15:  end if
16:   $\lambda_{k+1,j} \leftarrow \max\left(0, \lambda_{k,j} + \mu_k \left(1 - \sum_{i \in S_i}^m x_i\right)\right)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ 
17:   $\mu_{k+1} \leftarrow \frac{1}{k}$  (or another valid update rule)
18:   $k \leftarrow k + 1$ 
19: end while
20: return  $\mathcal{L}^*$  and  $\mathcal{C}^*$ 

```

2 Local search

2.1 Pigment Sequencing Problem

In order to formulate the cost invariants, we use the variables $x_p \in I \cup \{idle\}$ which decide which item is produced at period $p \in [0, p_{max}]$ or if the machine is idle.

If we were to change the value of x_p from i to j and with $i, j \in I \cup \{idle\}$ and $d_i, d_j \geq p$ if $i, j \neq idle$ respectively, the cost of the solution would be increased by a Δ given by:

$$\Delta = \Delta_{stocking} + \Delta_{changeover} \quad (5)$$

where $\Delta_{stocking}$ and $\Delta_{changeover}$ respectively denote the variation of the total stocking and changeover costs.

We first define the individual stocking cost:

$$c_{stocking}(x_p = i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = idle, \\ (d_i - p)h & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

which allows to formulate $\Delta_{stocking}$ easily:

$$\Delta_{stocking} = c_{stocking}(x_p = j) - c_{stocking}(x_p = i) \quad (7)$$

Similarly, we will express the individual changeover cost. To simplify the coming equations, let us define:

$$q(i, j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = idle \text{ or } j = idle, \\ q^{t_i, t_j} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Let p', p'' respectively denote the previous and next periods where an item is produced (i.e. the machine is not idle). Formally, we write:

$$p' = \max(\{\pi \mid \pi \in [0, p-1] \text{ and } x_\pi \neq \text{idle}\}) \quad (9)$$

$$p'' = \min(\{\pi \mid \pi \in [p+1, p_{\max}] \text{ and } x_\pi \neq \text{idle}\}) \quad (10)$$

The items produced at those periods, or the value *idle* if there is no such period, are given by $k = x_{p'}$ and $l = x_{p''}$.

$$c_{\text{changeover}}(x_p = i) = \begin{cases} q(k, l) & \text{if } i = \text{idle}, \\ q(k, i) + q(i, l) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Finally, we can write:

$$\Delta_{\text{changeover}} = c_{\text{changeover}}(x_p = j) - c_{\text{changeover}}(x_p = i) \quad (12)$$