


# Chapter 2

## Application Layer



### *Computer Networking: A Top-Down Approach*

8<sup>th</sup> edition

Jim Kurose, Keith Ross  
Pearson, 2020

Acknowledgement: Based on the textbook's website:  
[https://gaia.cs.umass.edu/kurose\\_ross/index.php](https://gaia.cs.umass.edu/kurose_ross/index.php)


# Application Layer: Overview

- Principles of network applications
- Web and HTTP
- E-mail, SMTP, IMAP
- The Domain Name System DNS
- P2P applications
- video streaming and content distribution networks
- socket programming with UDP and TCP



# Peer-to-peer (P2P) architecture


- *no* always-on server
- arbitrary end systems directly communicate
- peers request service from other peers, provide service in return to other peers
  - *self scalability* – new peers bring new service capacity, and new service demands
- peers are intermittently connected and change IP addresses
  - complex management
- examples: P2P file sharing (BitTorrent), streaming (KanKan), VoIP (Skype)



# File distribution: client-server vs P2P


Q: how much time to distribute file (size  $F$ ) from one server to  $N$  peers?

- peer upload/download capacity is limited resource



# File distribution time: client-server

- **server transmission:** must sequentially send (upload)  $N$  file copies:
  - time to send one copy:  $F/u_s$
  - time to send  $N$  copies:  $NF/u_s$
- **client:** each client must download file copy
  - $d_{min}$  = min client download rate
  - max client download time:  $F/d_{min}$




*time to distribute  $F$   
to  $N$  clients using  
client-server approach*

$$D_{c-s} \geq \max\{NF/u_s, F/d_{min}\}$$

increases linearly in  $N$

# File distribution time: P2P

- **server transmission:** must upload at least one copy:
  - time to send one copy:  $F/u_s$
- **client:** each client must download file copy
  - max client download time:  $F/d_{min}$
- **clients:** as aggregate must download  $NF$  bits
  - max upload rate (limiting max download rate) is  $u_s + \sum u_i$




time to distribute  $F$   
to  $N$  clients using  
P2P approach

$$D_{P2P} \geq \max\{F/u_s, F/d_{min}, NF/(u_s + \sum u_i)\}$$

increases linearly in  $N$  ...  
... but so does this, as each peer brings service capacity

# Client-server vs. P2P: example

client upload rate =  $u$ ,  $F/u = 1$  hour,  $u_s = 10u$ ,  $d_{min} \geq u_s$




# P2P file distribution: BitTorrent

- file divided into 256Kb chunks
- peers in torrent send/receive file chunks

*tracker*: tracks peers  
participating in torrent


*torrent*: group of peers  
exchanging chunks of a file





# P2P file distribution: BitTorrent

- peer joining torrent:
  - has no chunks, but will accumulate them over time from other peers
  - registers with tracker to get list of peers, connects to subset of peers (“neighbors”)
- while downloading, peer uploads chunks to other peers
- peer may change peers with whom it exchanges chunks
- *churn*: peers may come and go
- once peer has entire file, it may (selfishly) leave or (altruistically) remain in torrent



# BitTorrent: requesting, sending file chunks

## Requesting chunks:

- at any given time, different peers have different subsets of file chunks
- periodically, Alice asks each peer for list of chunks that they have
- Alice requests missing chunks from peers, rarest first

## Sending chunks: tit-for-tat

- Alice sends chunks to those four peers currently sending her chunks *at highest rate*
  - other peers are choked by Alice (do not receive chunks from her)
  - re-evaluate top 4 every 10 secs
- every 30 secs: randomly select another peer, starts sending chunks
  - “optimistically unchoke” this peer
  - newly chosen peer may join top 4

# BitTorrent: tit-for-tat

- (1) Alice “optimistically unchokes” Bob
- (2) Alice becomes one of Bob’s top-four providers; Bob reciprocates
- (3) Bob becomes one of Alice’s top-four providers

