Sparse Upcycling: Training Mixture-of-Experts From Dense Checkpoints

<u>Main Idea</u>: the paper aims to provide an efficient way to train an MoE model from a dense checkpoint (a pre-trained dense transformer) to minimize training costs, that is, provide an MoE training strategy that is cheaper than training from scratch.

- The paper shows that training a MoE from a dense checkpoint outperforms continued dense training.
- Expert-choice routing (with CF of 2) is generally used for the encoder and top-k (with k=2) is used for the decoder.
 - The T5 encoder-decoder model is used as the dense checkpoint.
- Each expert's weights are initialized as the exact MLP of the dense checkpoint, and the router needs to be trained from scratch.
- The layer-norm, attention, embedding and output layers are copied to the new model from the dense checkpoint.

Results:

- When continuing pre-training, the larger the training continues after the checkpoint, the bigger the advantage obtained by the upcycle model vs a dense model.
 - The continued pre-training is referred to as sparse upcycling.
- When sparse upcycling for language, there are two comparisons made:
 - Upcycle vs dense upcycle performs better, with continued dense pre-training giving inconsistent results.

- Upcycle vs MoE upcycle generally performs better for small computational budgets. When enough computational budget is given (>100% of the initial pretrained dense computational budget), MoE can catch up and perform better than upcycled models.
- Sparse upcycling is also shown to perform better than warm starting ("dense upcycling").

My takeaways:

- t sounds like the approach studied takes T5 (encoder-decoder model) and stretches its feedforward layers horizontally (in other words, transforms them in MoE layers). All other layers remain static assuming the sparse upcycling is only done on the new MoE layers and routing mechanism, while other layers remain frozen during this process.
- The main takeaway of this paper is that it indicates that with enough training computing budget, it is more efficient to train an MoE model than a dense one, and when not much training computing budget is given, the best-performing approach is to train a sparse upcycled model from a dense checkpoint.