In [1]: import numpy as np import pandas as pd import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns from scipy import stats import datetime pd.set option("display.precision", 2) pd.set\_option('display.max\_columns', None) sns.set style("darkgrid") In [2]: raw\_df = pd.read\_csv('TRAIN\_SET\_2021.csv') print(raw\_df.shape) raw\_df.head() (15673, 28)Out[2]: RESTAURANT\_SERIAL\_NUMBER RESTAURANT\_PERMIT\_NUMBER RESTAURANT\_NAME RESTAURANT\_LOCATION RESTAURANT\_CATEGO SANDS EXPO HALL SANDS EXPO & 0 DA1117270 PR0004527 Snack **B2 CONCESSION CONVENTION CENTER** THAI NOODLES CAFE 1 DA1014948 PR0024221 THAI NOODLES CAFE Restau - RESTAURANT SANTA FE SPORTS Santa Fe Station Hotel & PR0019017 2 DA0861994 Restau **BOOK GRILL** Bracken, Walter Elem Bracken, Walter Elem PR0001343 3 DA0896719 Elementary School Kitc School Kit School HARD ROCK JOINT HARD ROCK HOTEL & DA1031041 PR0006084 4 Bar / Tav EAST SVC BAR FL 1 **CASINO** In [3]: raw\_df.columns Out[3]: Index(['RESTAURANT SERIAL NUMBER', 'RESTAURANT PERMIT NUMBER', 'RESTAURANT\_NAME', 'RESTAURANT\_LOCATION', 'RESTAURANT\_CATEGORY', 'ADDRESS', 'CITY', 'STATE', 'ZIP', 'CURRENT\_DEMERITS', 'CURRENT\_GRADE', 'EMPLOYEE COUNT', 'MEDIAN EMPLOYEE AGE', 'MEDIAN EMPLOYEE TENURE', 'INSPECTION\_TIME', 'INSPECTION\_TYPE', 'INSPECTION\_DEMERITS', 'VIOLATIONS\_RAW', 'RECORD\_UPDATED', 'LAT\_LONG\_RAW', 'FIRST\_VIOLATION', 'SECOND\_VIOLATION', 'THIRD\_VIOLATION', 'FIRST\_VIOLATION\_TYPE', 'SECOND VIOLATION TYPE', 'THIRD VIOLATION TYPE', 'NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS', 'NEXT INSPECTION GRADE C OR BELOW'], dtype='object') Initial Thoughts Relevant Attributes for Model Fitting RESTAURANT\_CATEGORY ZIP CURRENT\_DEMERITS CURRENT\_GRADE EMPLOYEE\_COUNT MEDIAN\_EMPLOYEE\_AGE MEDIAN\_EMPLOYEE\_TENURE INSPECTION\_TIME INSPECTION\_TYPE INSPECTION\_DEMERITS RECORD\_UPDATED FIRST\_VIOLATION\_TYPE SECOND\_VIOLATION\_TYPE • THIRD\_VIOLATION\_TYPE NUMBER\_OF\_VIOLATIONS NEXT\_INSPECTION\_GRADE\_C\_OR\_BELOW¹ Irrelevant or Redundant Attributes for Model Fitting RESTAURANT\_SERIAL\_NUMBER RESTAURANT\_PERMIT\_NUMBER RESTAURANT\_NAME RESTAURANT\_LOCATION ADDRESS CITY STATE VIOLATIONS\_RAW LAT\_LONG\_RAW FIRST\_VIOLATION (FIRST\_VIOLATION\_TYPE can capture ranking) SECOND\_VIOLATION (SECOND\_VIOLATION\_TYPE can capture ranking) THIRD\_VIOLATION (THIRD\_VIOLATION\_TYPE can capture ranking) Update: after doing some research, it seems that LAT\_LONG\_RAW may be better than ZIP for tree-based approaches **Data Cleaning** In [4]: | df = raw\_df.copy() df.head() Out[4]: RESTAURANT\_SERIAL\_NUMBER RESTAURANT\_PERMIT\_NUMBER RESTAURANT\_NAME RESTAURANT\_LOCATION RESTAURANT\_CATEGO SANDS EXPO HALL SANDS EXPO & 0 DA1117270 PR0004527 Snack **B2 CONCESSION CONVENTION CENTER** PR0024221 THAI NOODLES CAFE THAI NOODLES CAFE DA1014948 1 Restau - RESTAURANT SANTA FE SPORTS Santa Fe Station Hotel & PR0019017 Restau 2 DA0861994 **BOOK GRILL** Bracken, Walter Elem Bracken, Walter Elem 3 PR0001343 DA0896719 Elementary School Kitc School Kit HARD ROCK JOINT HARD ROCK HOTEL & 4 DA1031041 PR0006084 Bar / Tav EAST SVC BAR FL 1 CASINO In [5]: print(df.info()) <class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'> RangeIndex: 15673 entries, 0 to 15672 Data columns (total 28 columns): # Column Non-Null Count Dtype 0 RESTAURANT\_SERIAL\_NUMBER 15673 non-null object 15673 non-null object RESTAURANT PERMIT NUMBER RESTAURANT NAME 15608 non-null object 3 RESTAURANT\_LOCATION 15473 non-null object 15543 non-null object 4 RESTAURANT CATEGORY 5 ADDRESS 15603 non-null object 15437 non-null object 6 CITY 7 STATE 15464 non-null object 15614 non-null object 8 15457 non-null float64 9 CURRENT DEMERITS 15365 non-null object 10 CURRENT GRADE 15580 non-null float64 11 EMPLOYEE COUNT 15639 non-null float64 12 MEDIAN EMPLOYEE AGE 13 MEDIAN EMPLOYEE TENURE 15376 non-null float64 14 INSPECTION TIME 15490 non-null object 15 INSPECTION TYPE 15452 non-null object 15419 non-null object 16 INSPECTION DEMERITS 17 VIOLATIONS\_RAW 15508 non-null object 18 RECORD\_UPDATED 15554 non-null object 19 LAT\_LONG\_RAW 15658 non-null object 15461 non-null float64 15588 non-null float64 15612 non-null float64 20 FIRST\_VIOLATION 21 SECOND\_VIOLATION
22 THIRD\_VIOLATION 15527 non-null object 23 FIRST\_VIOLATION TYPE 15406 non-null object 24 SECOND VIOLATION TYPE 25 THIRD\_VIOLATION\_TYPE 15500 non-null object 15504 non-null object 26 NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS 27 NEXT\_INSPECTION\_GRADE\_C\_OR\_BELOW 15633 non-null object dtypes: float64(7), object(21) memory usage: 3.3+ MB None **Outliers** The following attributes show no outstanding values: RESTAURANT\_CATEGORY MEDIAN\_EMPLOYEE\_AGE • MEDIAN\_EMPLOYEE\_TENURE THIRD\_VIOLATION\_TYPE NUMBER\_OF\_VIOLATIONS In [6]: # df.groupby(df['RESTAURANT CATEGORY']).size() # df.groupby(df['MEDIAN EMPLOYEE AGE']).size() # df.groupby(df['MEDIAN EMPLOYEE TENURE']).size() # df.groupby(df['THIRD VIOLATION TYPE']).size() # df.groupby(df['NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS']).size() In [7]: | df.groupby(df['FIRST\_VIOLATION\_TYPE']).size() # remove records that are not 'Critical', 'Imminent Health Hazard', 'Major', 'Non-Major' # since the rest of the options are not appropriate df = df[df['FIRST VIOLATION TYPE'].isin(['Critical', 'Imminent Health Hazard', 'Major', 'Non-Major'])] df = df[df['SECOND\_VIOLATION\_TYPE'].isin(['Critical', 'Imminent Health Hazard', 'Major', 'Non-Major'])] df = df[df['THIRD\_VIOLATION\_TYPE'].isin(['Critical', 'Imminent Health Hazard', 'Major', 'Non-Major'])] df.groupby(df['FIRST VIOLATION TYPE']).size() Out[7]: FIRST VIOLATION TYPE 6988 Critical Imminent Health Hazard Major 6550 1550 Non-Major dtype: int64 In [8]: # replacing the violation type columns with numerical data # this is ordinal data so we can select the order intuitively violation\_types = {'Non-Major': 0, 'Major': 1, 'Critical': 2, 'Imminent Health Hazard': 3} df.replace({'FIRST\_VIOLATION\_TYPE': violation\_types}, inplace=True) df.replace({'SECOND\_VIOLATION\_TYPE': violation\_types}, inplace=True) df.replace({'THIRD VIOLATION\_TYPE': violation\_types}, inplace=True) df.groupby(df['FIRST\_VIOLATION\_TYPE']).size() Out[8]: FIRST VIOLATION TYPE 0 1550 1 6550 2 6988 3 3 dtype: int64 First, Second, and Third violation type now have matching unique values In [9]: | df['INSPECTION TIME'] = pd.to datetime(df['INSPECTION TIME'], errors='coerce').dt.date df.groupby(df['INSPECTION TIME']).size() Out[9]: INSPECTION TIME 1900-01-01 2010-01-02 1 2010-01-03 1 2010-01-04 18 2010-01-05 21 2017-10-05 2 2017-10-06 2017-10-09 2017-10-10 4 2017-10-11 1 Length: 1956, dtype: int64 In [10]: # remove all dates before 1901 and dates that are in the future df = df[(df['INSPECTION TIME'] > datetime.date(1901, 1, 1)) & (df['INSPECTION TIME'] <= datetime.date.t</pre> oday())] In [11]: | df.groupby(df['INSPECTION\_TYPE']).size() Out[11]: INSPECTION TYPE Re-inspection 830 13867 Routine Inspection Routine Non-Inspection 1 This Value Intentionally Left Blank dtype: int64 In [12]: | df = df[df['INSPECTION TYPE'].isin(['Re-inspection', 'Routine Inspection'])] inspection types = {'Routine Inspection': 0, 'Re-inspection': 1} df.replace({'INSPECTION\_TYPE': inspection\_types}, inplace=True) df.groupby(df['INSPECTION\_TYPE']).size() Out[12]: INSPECTION TYPE 13867 0 830 1 dtype: int64 In [13]: df.groupby(df['EMPLOYEE COUNT']).size() print(df.describe(include='all')['EMPLOYEE COUNT']) # need to remove all 'EMPLOYEE COUNT' records where the value is negative. # There are two outliers that have the number of employees greater than 900 df = df[(df['EMPLOYEE COUNT'] > 0) & (df['EMPLOYEE COUNT'] < 900)]</pre> df.groupby(df['EMPLOYEE COUNT']).size() 14609.00 count unique NaN NaN freq NaN 22.68 mean 921.98 std -7.00 min 25% 8.00 50% 14.00 75% 21.00 111447.00 Name: EMPLOYEE\_COUNT, dtype: float64 Out[13]: EMPLOYEE\_COUNT 1989 3.0 4.0 332 5.0 389 407 6.0 7.0 425 8.0 486 9.0 488 10.0 543 11.0 585 12.0 555 13.0 596 14.0 610 15.0 587 16.0 553 17.0 554 18.0 566 19.0 512 20.0 441 21.0 443 22.0 463 23.0 398 24.0 374 342 25.0 26.0 327 27.0 255 28.0 209 29.0 211 30.0 173 31.0 151 32.0 123 33.0 111 34.0 101 35.0 73 36.0 32 37.0 36 38.0 37 39.0 36 40.0 18 41.0 19 42.0 17 43.0 14 44.0 8 45.0 5 46.0 2 47.0 4 48.0 2 49.0 1 3 52.0 53.0 1 dtype: int64 In [14]: df.groupby(df['CURRENT\_DEMERITS']).size() Out[14]: CURRENT DEMERITS -8.00 1 0.00 3677 1.00 44 1.41 1 2.00 31 2.20 1 2915 3.00 3.14 4.00 56 717 5.00 6.00 2046 7.00 106 8.00 2272 9.00 1743 10.00 417 11.00 14 12.00 13 13.00 7 14.00 34 15.00 4 16.00 11 17.00 23 18.00 11 19.00 66 20.00 47 21.00 2 22.00 12 23.00 6 24.00 6 25.00 11 26.00 6 27.00 16 28.00 5 9 30.00 31.00 9 32.00 11 33.00 1 35.00 5 37.00 1 38.00 39.00 7 42.00 3 43.00 46.00 9 48.00 1 51.00 6 88.00 1 89.00 1 100.00 dtype: int64 In [15]: | df = df[(df['CURRENT DEMERITS'] >= 0) & (df['CURRENT DEMERITS'] <= 100)]</pre> There are a few attributes that have a data type of 'object' when it appears that they should be a numeric type, for example: NUMBER\_OF\_VIOLATIONS and NEXT\_INSPECTION\_GRADE\_C\_OR\_BELOW. In [16]: df.groupby(df['NEXT\_INSPECTION\_GRADE\_C\_OR\_BELOW']).size() Out[16]: NEXT INSPECTION GRADE C OR BELOW 12053 0 2304 1 3 1 1 7 1 Goat dtype: int64 After inspecting the 'NEXT\_INSPECTION\_GRADE\_C\_OR\_BELOW', our classification attribute, we see that there are more than two options. Operating under the assumption that the values should be binary, we will filter out the rows that are not classified as either 0 or 1. In [17]: df['NEXT INSPECTION GRADE C OR BELOW'] = pd.to numeric(df['NEXT INSPECTION GRADE C OR BELOW'], downcast ="integer", errors='coerce') df = df[df['NEXT\_INSPECTION\_GRADE\_C\_OR\_BELOW'].isin([0, 1])] In [18]: df.groupby(df['NEXT INSPECTION GRADE C OR BELOW']).size() Out[18]: NEXT INSPECTION GRADE C OR BELOW 0.0 12053 1.0 2304 dtype: int64 In [19]: | df.groupby(df['NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS']).size() # print(df.describe(include='all')['NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS']) Out[19]: NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS 10 457 11 303 12 255 13 176 14 124 15 90 16 67 34 17 18 24 19 13 20 9 21 4 22 6 23 5 24 4 25 4 28 1 3 3425 30 1 3181 4 42 1 5 1845 6 1580 7 1099 8 904 9 586 dtype: int64 Since 'Nevada' is not an appropriate value for this attribute, we will remove the row with this value and convert this column to a numeric type. In [20]: df = df[df['NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS'] != 'Nevada'] df['NUMBER\_OF\_VIOLATIONS'] = pd.to\_numeric(df['NUMBER\_OF\_VIOLATIONS'], downcast="integer", errors='coer In [21]: # convert to datetime for processing df['RECORD UPDATED'] = pd.to datetime(df['RECORD UPDATED'], errors='coerce').dt.date # df.groupby(df['RECORD UPDATED']).size() In [22]: df = df[(df['RECORD UPDATED'] > datetime.date(1901, 1, 1)) & (df['RECORD UPDATED'] <= datetime.date.tod</pre> ay())] In [23]: | df.groupby(df['CURRENT\_GRADE']).size() Out[23]: CURRENT GRADE Α 13565 196 В 94 С 13 Ν 1 NASA 0 31 65 Χ dtype: int64 According to the "fe-inspection-report.pdf", the only acceptable values for the 'CURRENT\_GRADE' attribute are ('A', 'B', 'C'). We will limit the data to only rows with a CURRENT\_GRADE that is appropriate. In [24]: df = df[df['CURRENT GRADE'].isin(['A', 'B', 'C'])] grade types = {'A': 0, 'B': 1, 'C': 2} df.replace({'CURRENT GRADE': grade types}, inplace=True) In [25]: df.groupby(df['CURRENT GRADE']).size() Out[25]: CURRENT GRADE 0 13565 196 1 94 dtype: int64 # df.groupby(df['INSPECTION\_DEMERITS']).size() In [26]: df['INSPECTION\_DEMERITS'] = pd.to\_numeric(df['INSPECTION\_DEMERITS'], downcast="integer", errors='coerc In [27]: df['RESTAURANT CATEGORY'] = df['RESTAURANT CATEGORY'].astype('category').cat.codes In [28]: df['ZIP'] = df['ZIP'].str[:5] df['ZIP'] = pd.to numeric(df['ZIP'], downcast="integer", errors='coerce') **Null Values** In [29]: print(df.isnull().sum()) RESTAURANT SERIAL NUMBER 0 RESTAURANT PERMIT NUMBER 0 RESTAURANT NAME 56 RESTAURANT LOCATION 181 RESTAURANT CATEGORY 0 58 ADDRESS CITY 211 STATE 191 ZIP 51 0 CURRENT DEMERITS 0 CURRENT GRADE EMPLOYEE COUNT 0 MEDIAN EMPLOYEE AGE 34 MEDIAN EMPLOYEE TENURE 263 INSPECTION TIME 0 INSPECTION TYPE 0 INSPECTION DEMERITS 228 148 VIOLATIONS RAW RECORD UPDATED 0 LAT LONG RAW 14 FIRST VIOLATION 187 75 SECOND VIOLATION THIRD VIOLATION 54 FIRST VIOLATION TYPE 0 SECOND VIOLATION TYPE 0 THIRD VIOLATION TYPE 0 153 NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS NEXT INSPECTION GRADE C OR BELOW dtype: int64 Where null, the 'NUMBER\_OF\_VIOLATIONS' attribute can be filled in using the 'VIOLATIONS\_RAW' attribute, by counting the number of violations that are comma delimited. For the null values of 'VIOLATIONS\_RAW', we will fill these in with an empty string. The empty string will lead the 'NUMBER\_OF\_VIOLATIONS' attribute to be filled with zero. The attributes 'MEDIAN\_EMPLOYEE\_AGE', 'MEDIAN\_EMPLOYEE\_TENURE', 'INSPECTION\_DEMERITS', will have their missing values filled in with the mean of the column. The attributes 'RESTAURANT\_CATEGORY', 'ZIP', will have thier missing values filled in with the mode of their column. In [30]: df['VIOLATIONS RAW'].fillna('', inplace=True) df['NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS'].fillna(df['VIOLATIONS RAW'].str.split(",").str.len(), inplace=True) df['MEDIAN\_EMPLOYEE\_AGE'].fillna(df['MEDIAN\_EMPLOYEE\_AGE'].mean(), inplace=True) df['MEDIAN EMPLOYEE TENURE'].fillna(df['MEDIAN EMPLOYEE TENURE'].mean(), inplace=True) df['INSPECTION DEMERITS'].fillna(df['INSPECTION DEMERITS'].mean(), inplace=True) df['RESTAURANT CATEGORY'].fillna(df['RESTAURANT CATEGORY'].mode()[0], inplace=True) df['ZIP'].fillna(df['ZIP'].mode()[0], inplace=True) **Data Visualization** In [31]: df.head() Out[31]: RESTAURANT SERIAL NUMBER RESTAURANT PERMIT NUMBER RESTAURANT NAME RESTAURANT LOCATION RESTAURANT CATEGO SANDS EXPO HALL SANDS EXPO & 0 PR0004527 DA1117270 **B2 CONCESSION CONVENTION CENTER** THAI NOODLES CAFE PR0024221 THAI NOODLES CAFE 1 DA1014948 - RESTAURANT SANTA FE SPORTS Santa Fe Station Hotel & PR0019017 2 DA0861994 **BOOK GRILL** Bracken, Walter Elem Bracken, Walter Elem DA0896719 PR0001343 3 School Kit HARD ROCK JOINT HARD ROCK HOTEL & 4 DA1031041 PR0006084 EAST SVC BAR FL 1 **CASINO** In [32]: sample features = \ ['CURRENT DEMERITS', 'EMPLOYEE COUNT', 'MEDIAN EMPLOYEE AGE', 'MEDIAN EMPLOYEE TENURE', 'INSPECTION DEMERITS', 'NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS', 'NEXT INSPECTION GRADE C OR BELOW'] sns.pairplot(data=df[sample features], hue='NEXT INSPECTION GRADE C OR BELOW', height=2) Out[32]: <seaborn.axisgrid.PairGrid at 0x13a31dd30> CURRENT DEMERITS 80 60 40 20 50 EMPLOYEE\_COUNT 40 30 20 50 AGE INSPECTION\_DEMERITS MEDIAN\_EMPLOYEE\_TENURE MEDIAN\_EMPLOYEE 40 NEXT\_INSPECTION\_GRADE\_C\_OR\_BELOW 60 40 20 NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS 30 MEDIAN\_EMPLOYEE\_AGEMEDIAN\_EMPLOYEE\_TENURE INSPECTION\_DEMERITS NUMBER\_OF\_VIOLATIONS CURRENT\_DEMERITS EMPLOYEE\_COUNT From the plots above, we can see that many of the distributions are uniform. However, we can see some clusting as well. For example, if the number of violations are high and the inspection demerits are high, we expect there to be a higher probability that the inspection recieves a grade of C or below. sns.catplot(x='NEXT INSPECTION GRADE C OR BELOW', y='CURRENT DEMERITS', data=df) In [33]: Out[33]: <seaborn.axisgrid.FacetGrid at 0x13d159cd0> 80 CURRENT\_DEMERITS 20 0 NEXT\_INSPECTION\_GRADE\_C\_OR\_BELOW sns.countplot(x='CURRENT GRADE', hue='NEXT INSPECTION GRADE C OR BELOW', data=df) In [34]: Out[34]: <AxesSubplot:xlabel='CURRENT GRADE', ylabel='count'> NEXT\_INSPECTION\_GRADE\_C\_OR\_BELOW 0.0 10000 8000 6000 4000 2000 0 CURRENT\_GRADE In [35]: sns.catplot(x='NEXT INSPECTION GRADE C OR BELOW', y='EMPLOYEE COUNT', data=df) Out[35]: <seaborn.axisgrid.FacetGrid at 0x13d1e0ca0> 50 40 EMPLOYEE\_COUNT

8 10 NEXT\_INSPECTION\_GRADE\_C\_OR\_BELOW sns.catplot(x='NEXT INSPECTION GRADE C OR BELOW', y='MEDIAN EMPLOYEE AGE', data=df) In [36]: Out[36]: <seaborn.axisgrid.FacetGrid at 0x13d244a60> 50 45 NEDIAN\_EMPLOYEE\_AGE

⋈ ⋈ ⋈ ⋈ ⋈ 25 20 NEXT\_INSPECTION\_GRADE\_C\_OR\_BELOW We can see that the chances improve greatly for an inspection to pass when the number of employees is greater than 40. In [37]: sns.catplot(x='NEXT INSPECTION GRADE C OR BELOW', y='NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS', data=df) Out[37]: <seaborn.axisgrid.FacetGrid at 0x13d81ef10> 40 NUMBER\_OF\_VIOLATIONS

N

N

N 10 0 NEXT\_INSPECTION\_GRADE\_C\_OR\_BELOW In [38]: sns.countplot(x='FIRST VIOLATION TYPE', hue='NEXT INSPECTION GRADE C OR BELOW', data=df) Out[38]: <AxesSubplot:xlabel='FIRST VIOLATION TYPE', ylabel='count'> NEXT\_INSPECTION\_GRADE\_C\_OR\_BELOW 5000 4000 3000 2000 1000 0 FIRST\_VIOLATION\_TYPE In [39]: sns.countplot(x='RESTAURANT\_CATEGORY', hue='NEXT\_INSPECTION\_GRADE\_C\_OR\_BELOW', data=df) locs, labels = plt.xticks() plt.setp(labels, rotation=90) plt.show() 7000 NEXT\_INSPECTION\_GRADE\_C\_OR\_BELOW 0.0 6000 5000 4000 3000 2000 1000 0 RESTAURANT\_CATEGORY **Feature Selection** In [40]: # 'INSPECTION TIME', 'RECORD UPDATED', relevant\_features = \ ['RESTAURANT CATEGORY', 'ZIP', 'CURRENT GRADE', 'CURRENT DEMERITS', 'EMPLOYEE COUNT', 'MEDIAN EMPLOYEE AGE', 'MEDIAN EMPLOYEE TENURE', 'INSPECTION TYPE', 'INSPECTION DEMERITS', 'FIRST VIOLATION TYPE', 'SECOND VIOLATION TYPE', 'THIRD VIOLATION TYPE', 'NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS'] X = df[relevant features] y = df['NEXT INSPECTION GRADE C OR BELOW'] X.head() Out[40]: ZIP CURRENT\_GRADE CURRENT\_DEMERITS EMPLOYEE\_COUNT MEDIAN\_EMPLOYEE\_AGE MEDIAN\_E RESTAURANT\_CATEGORY 0 28 89169.0 0.0 25.0 27.96 26 89108.0 0 3.0 7.0 27.72 1 2 26 89130.0 8.0 23.0 26.44 11 89101.0 0 0.0 14.0 33.99 3 3 89169.0 0 0.0 10.0 21.98 4

The content of the co
Procession of the content of the c
Comparison of the Comparison
The control of the co
Property
Production of the control of the con
Productions
The control of the co
Control of the Contro
Comment   Comm
The control of the co
Security of the content of the con
Controlled process   Process   Controlled process
Brown and Michael for Month Petrop  - Control
SECRETION CONTROL     SECRETION     SECRETION CONTROL     SECRETION     SECRETION CONTROL     SECRETION C
International Hospital (ADDICE)
endournition is designed, departed and so not provided by section from the product processing distributions of an extension from an explanation. The control country control and an explanation of country are sequenced and an explanation of country and expl
Data Transformation  The first, second, and fillid violation types were respect to the following numerical values:  - "New Mayor: 2" - "Control 12" - "Contr
- Position improduct ID - Positionaction II - Restricted and the state of the following numerical values: - A 20 -
a numeric data type. Furthermore, the ap codes were stripped to only include the first 5 characters. This was done for convenience strafed numerical convention.  The NULL values in the "NUMBER.OF_VIOLATIONS column were filled by counting the number of violations in the VIOLATIONS. Toolumn.  The NULL values in the "MEDIAL EMPLOYEE_AGE," MEDIAN_EMPLOYEE_TENURE, and "INSPECTION_DEMERITS" columns were used to the respective column.  The NULL values in the "RESTAURANT_CATEGORY" and 'ZIP' columns were filled using the mode of the values of each respective.  Modeling Process  Feature Selection  The following features were selected:  - "RESTAURANT_CATEGORY" - "ZIP" - "CURRINTY_GRADE" - "CURRINTY_
Feature Selection  The following features were selected:  • TRESTAURANT_CATEGORY' • 2P' • CUPRENT_GRADE • CURRENT_DEMERITS' • EMPLOYEE COUNT • MEDIAN_EMPLOYEE AGE • MEDIAN_EMPLOYEE AGE • MEDIAN_EMPLOYEE, TENURE' • INSPECTION_TYPE' • INSPECTION_TYPE' • SECOND VIOLATION TYPE' • THEN VIOLATION TYPE: • THEN VIOLATION TYPE: • THEN VIOLATION TYPE: • NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS'  Model Testing  The following models were explored: • K Nearest Neighbors • Decision Tree • Bernoulli Naive Bayes • Gaussian Naive Bayes • Random Forest  These models were cross-validated using Stratified K-Fold cross-validation, and the performance was as follows:  1. Bernoulli Naive Bayes - 0.8408 • Random Forest  These models were cross-validated using Stratified K-Fold cross-validation, and the performance was as follows:  1. Bernoulli Naive Bayes - 0.8156 • Gaussian Naive Bayes - 0.8156 • A. Gaussian Naive Bayes - 0.7886 • Decision Tree - 0.7198  Since Bernoulli Naive Bayes performed the best according to the cross-validation score, we chose is as the classifier for this task: classifier was then fir with the selected features. The test data was then processed in the same fashion as the training data, and prover made using the Bernollii Naive Bayes classifier.  Recommendations  I believe that a workable model can be built with the data provied. However, I believe that this can be greatly improved. Additional attributes to explore:  • Commen posts in area • Equipment Age • Number of Sinks • Food Surface Material • Building Age • Restaurant Popularity • Restaurant Popularity • Restaurant Popularity • Waste Management Type
- "MEDIAN_EMPLOYEE_TENURE" - "INSPECTION_TYPE" - "INSPECTION_DEMERTS" - "IFIRST_VIOLATION_TYPE" - "SECOND_VIOLATION_TYPE" - "THIRD VIOLATION_TYPE" - "NUMBER_OF_VIOLATIONS"  Model Testing  The following models were explored: - K Nearest Neighbors - Decision Tree - Bernoull Naive Bayes - Gaussian Naive Bayes - Gaussian Naive Bayes - Random Forest  These models were cross-validated using Stratified K-Fold cross-validation, and the performance was as follows:  1. Bernoull Naive Bayes - 0.8408 2. Random Forest - 0.8393 3. K Nearest Neighbors - 0.8156 4. Gaussian Naive Bayes - 0.7198  Since Bernoulli Naive Bayes performed the best according to the cross-validation score, we chose is as the classifier for this task: classifier was then fit with the selected features. The test data was then processed in the same fashion as the training data, and prover made using the Bernoilli Naive Bayes classifier.  Recommendations  I believe that a workable model can be built with the data provied. However, I believe that this can be greatly improved.  Additional attributes to explore:  - Common pests in area - Equipment Age - Number of Sinka - Food Surface Material - Building Age - Restaurant Popularity - Restaurant Pipe
Decision Tree Bernoulli Naive Bayes Gaussian Naive Bayes Random Forest  These models were cross-validated using Stratified K-Fold cross-validation, and the performance was as follows:  Bernoulli Naive Bayes - 0.8406 Random Forest - 0.8593 Kearest Neighbors - 0.8156 Gaussian Naive Bayes - 0.7886 Decision Tree - 0.7198  Since Bernoulli Naive Bayes performed the best according to the cross-validation score, we chose is as the classifier for this task. classifier was then fit with the selected features. The test data was then processed in the same fashion as the training data, and prewere made using the Bernoilli Naive Bayes classifier.  Recommendations  I believe that a workable model can be built with the data provied. However, I believe that this can be greatly improved.  Additional attributes to explore:  Common pests in area Equipment Age Number of Sinks Food Surface Material Building Age Restaurant Age Restaurant Popularity Restaurant Profit Waste Management Type
4. Gaussian Naive Bayes - 0.7886 5. Decision Tree - 0.7198  Since Bernoulli Naive Bayes performed the best according to the cross-validation score, we chose is as the classifier for this task. classifier was then fit with the selected features. The test data was then processed in the same fashion as the training data, and provided using the Bernoilli Naive Bayes classifier.  Recommendations  I believe that a workable model can be built with the data provied. However, I believe that this can be greatly improved.  Additional attributes to explore:  Common pests in area  Equipment Age  Number of Sinks  Food Surface Material  Building Age  Restaurant Age  Restaurant Popularity  Restaurant Profit  Waste Management Type
<ul> <li>Equipment Age</li> <li>Number of Sinks</li> <li>Food Surface Material</li> <li>Building Age</li> <li>Restaurant Age</li> <li>Restaurant Popularity</li> <li>Restaurant Profit</li> <li>Waste Management Type</li> </ul>