

# Redes Funcionales Cerebrales: Estructura y Deterioro

Javier M. Buldú

<http://www.complex.etsit.urjc.es/jmbuldu>



Complex Systems Group



Universidad Rey Juan Carlos (Fuenlabrada, Madrid, Spain)

&



Center for Biomedical Technology (Boadilla, Madrid, Spain)

# Functional Brain Networks: structure and impairment

Javier M. Buldú

<http://www.complex.etsit.urjc.es/jmbuldu>



Complex Systems Group




Universidad Rey Juan Carlos (Fuenlabrada, Madrid, Spain)

&



Center for Biomedical Technology (Boadilla, Madrid, Spain)

# Collaborators




- 1.- Complex Systems Group, (URJC) and Laboratory of Biological Networks (CTB), Madrid, Spain
- 2.- Laboratory of Cognitive and Computational Neuroscience, CTB , Madrid, Spain
- 3.- Centro MEG, Complutense University, Madrid, Spain
- 4.- CNR-Institute for Complex Systems, Florence, Italy
- 5.- Computational Systems Biology, Centre for Biomedical Technology, Madrid, Spain

# Outline of the Seminar


## *Complex networks and the brain*

- Anatomical Networks*
- Functional Networks*
- From Healthy to Impaired Networks*



## *Applications*

- Mild Cognitive Impairment*
- Evaluation of Trauma Therapy*



## *Evolutionary Network Models*

- Mild Cognitive Impairment*
- Evaluation of Trauma Therapy*




## *Conclusions*

# Outline of the Seminar


## *Complex networks and the brain*

- Anatomical Networks*
- Functional Networks*
- From Healthy to Impaired Networks*



## *Applications*

- Mild Cognitive Impairment*
- Evaluation of Trauma Therapy*



## *Evolutionary Network Models*

- Mild Cognitive Impairment*
- Evaluation of Trauma Therapy*




## *Conclusions*

# Complex Networks and the Brain



Read more at:  
Boccaletti et al.,  
*Phys. Rep.*, (2006)


- Complex Networks Analysis has been **SUCCESSFULLY** applied to many kinds of different **COMPLEX SYSTEMS**:



From: R.V. Solé and S. Valverde,  
Lecture Notes in Physics, **650**, 189, 2004

# Complex Networks and the Brain

- The brain is the most challenging complex systems that we are coping with:




# Complex Networks and the Brain




Read more at:  
Bullmore et al.,  
Nature Rev. 10,186 (2009)

## Anatomical Networks




- Histological Analysis
- DTI (MRI)

## Functional Networks



From Bullmore & Sporns, Nature Rev. 10, 186 (2009)

# Anatomical Networks






Read more at:  
Sporns et al.,  
PLoS Comp. Biol. 1, e42 (2005)

## □ Anatomical Networks: The connectome

- A connectome is a comprehensive map of neural connections in the brain. The production and study of connectomes, known as **connectomics**, may range in scale from a detailed map of the full set of neurons and synapses of an organism to a macro scale description of the **structural connectivity** between all cortical areas and subcortical structures.



# Anatomical Networks


## □ Anatomical Networks:

C. Elegans: It is the **only living system** that has been fully mapped. It has 302 neurons and average degree  $\langle k \rangle \approx 29$ .

It has low shortest path and high clustering: it is a **small-world network**.

Existence of **network motifs**.

The tail of the distribution of degrees  $p(k)$  is **power-law**.




Gap junctions connections and chemical synapses of C. Elegans neurons. From Varshney, PLoS Comp. Biol, 7, 1001066 (2011)

# Anatomical Networks


- Other anatomical networks:

- Macaque cortex:



N=71 Brain Areas and L=746  
Small-world  
No power-law

- Cat cortex:




N= 52 Brain Areas and L=820  
Small-world  
No power-law

From Sporns et al., Neuroinformatics, 2, 145 (2004)

# Anatomical Networks

## □ The anatomical structure of Human Brain:



□ Main results are obtained from magnetic resonance imaging

□ Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI) and Diffusion Spectrum Imaging (DSI) allow reconstruction of region connectivity (white matter) by measuring the diffusion of water molecules.

Hagmann et al. (2008) *PLoS Biol.* 6, e159

# Anatomical Networks


## □ The anatomical structure of Human Brain:

- Exponential (not scale-free) degree distribution (note that there are 66 subregions and 998 ROIs).

- Small-world attributes.

- Multiple modules interlinked by hub regions.

- Positive assortativity.



Hagmann et al. (2008) *PLoS Biol.* 6, e159

# Anatomical Networks

## □ Small-world every where!

REVIEW ■

### Small-World Brain Networks

DANIELLE SMITH BAS

The Journal of Neuroscience, January 4, 2006, 26(1):63-72; doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3874-05.2006

Many complex networks of connections belie the existence of regular anatomical and functional distributed/integrated mathematical concepts that have been applied to quite different systems. In the macaque monkey, for example, pressure to develop techniques and concepts from electroencephalogram recordings of brain systems to models provide a good example. NEUROSCIENCE

Behavioral/Systems/Cognitive

## A Resilient Human Brain Highly Connected

Sophie Achard,<sup>1</sup> Ray Bullmore<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Brain Mapping Unit and Departments of Psychiatry, Cambridge CB2 2QQ, Sant Boi de Llobregat, GlaxoSmithKline, Cambridge, UK

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006 December 19; 103(51): 19518–19523. PMCID: PMC1838565  
Published online 2006 December 11. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0606005103](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0606005103).

Copyright © 2006 by the Society for

Neuroscience  
From the Cover

Save & Share      Printer Friendly      Font Size - +

## Adaptive resonance theory: functional neuroimaging evidence

Danielle S. Bassett,<sup>†</sup> Thomas Duke,<sup>‡</sup> Michael S. Gazzola,<sup>‡</sup> and Michael S. Greicius<sup>†</sup>

\*Brain Mapping Unit, Department of Psychiatry, Cambridge CB2 2QQ, UK

<sup>†</sup>Unit for Systems Neuroscience, Institute of Mental Health, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri 65211, USA

<sup>‡</sup>Biological and Soft Computing Engineering, Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

<sup>§</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: [etb23@cam.ac.uk](mailto:etb23@cam.ac.uk)

Edited by Marcus E. Raichle, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA

October 23, 2006

## MENTAL HEALTH & EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING



## It's a small world in your brain after all

Abnormal brain organization in Alzheimer's disease patients may lead to easier diagnoses  
by Jane Liaw

Your brain is a complex structure, a vast network of neurons responsible for thought, feeling, impulse. Michael Greicius, assistant professor of neurology, has long been fascinated with the mysterious workings of the brain, calling it "the organ that talks back to you." Now Greicius and his co-workers have discovered differences in the brain networks between people with Alzheimer's disease (AD) and healthy controls—differences that may soon lead to easier diagnosis of the disease.

Networks of all kinds work best when they include many hubs, such that data, people or other elements can zip between them. This networking structure is called "small-world" and occurs in many areas of life, including our own brains.

The hubs in small-world networks aren't necessarily close to one another, but they can be reached from other hubs through just a few steps, making flow more efficient. Take, for example, the path of news from a small town in the Bay Area, such as Vallejo. A story from Vallejo might be reported by the media hub in San Francisco, and perhaps picked up and reprinted by media hubs in New York or internationally. The news doesn't travel from that Bay Area town to New York through every small town media outlet in between.

# Anatomical Networks

- Small-world every where!... so what?

One of the first contributions of the Complex Network Theory to biological systems is the seminal paper of Watts and Strogatz




	$L_{\text{actual}}$	$L_{\text{random}}$	$C_{\text{actual}}$	$C_{\text{random}}$
Film actors	3.65	2.99	0.79	0.00027
Power grid	18.7	12.4	0.080	0.005
<i>C. elegans</i>	2.65	2.25	0.28	0.05


The small-world of *C. Elegans* neural network , with an edge joining two neurons if they are connected by either a synapse or a gap junction ( $n = 282$ ,  $\langle k \rangle = 14$ ). Table from Watts et al., 393, 440 (1998)

# Anatomical Networks

## □ The Watts and Strogatz (WS) model:



Small-world region




□ Nevertheless, the WS model does not take into account many features of the brain networks such as: modularity, assortativity, existence of hubs...

# Anatomical Networks

- ... in addition almost any network is small-world!

The larger the network, the higher probability to be small-world.



The rewiring of the links in order to enter the small world-region goes with:

$$p \sim 1/N$$

Figure from Barthelemy, PRL, 82,3180 (1999)

# Anatomical Networks

- Are anatomical networks efficient in transmitting information?

OPEN  ACCESS Freely available online

PLOS COMPUTATIONAL BIOLOGY

## Nonoptimal Component Placement, but Short Processing Paths, due to Long-Distance Projections in Neural Systems

Marcus Kaiser<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Claus C. Hilgetag<sup>3,4</sup>


<sup>1</sup> School of Computing Science, University of Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup> Institute of Neuroscience, University of Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom, <sup>3</sup> International University Bremen, School of Engineering and Science, Bremen, Germany, <sup>4</sup> Boston University, Sargent College, Department of Health Sciences, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America

It has been suggested that neural systems across several scales of organization show optimal component placement, in which any spatial rearrangement of the components would lead to an increase of total wiring. Using extensive connectivity datasets for diverse neural networks combined with spatial coordinates for network nodes, we applied an optimization algorithm to the network layouts, in order to search for wire-saving component rearrangements. We found that optimized component rearrangements could substantially reduce total wiring length in all tested neural networks. Specifically, total wiring among 95 primate (Macaque) cortical areas could be decreased by 32%, and wiring of neuronal networks in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* could be reduced by 48% on the global level, and by 49% for neurons within frontal ganglia. Wiring length reductions were possible due to the existence of long-distance projections in neural networks. We explored the role of these projections by comparing the original networks with minimally rewired networks of the same size, which possessed only the shortest possible connections. In the minimally rewired networks, the number of processing steps along the shortest paths between components was significantly increased compared to the original networks. Additional benchmark comparisons also indicated that neural networks are more similar to network layouts that minimize the length of processing paths, rather than wiring length. These findings suggest that neural systems are not exclusively optimized for minimal global wiring, but for a variety of factors including the minimization of processing steps.


# Anatomical Networks

- Are anatomical networks efficient in transmitting information?


## Macaque cortex:




■ original ■ optimized




## C. Elegans:



■ original ■ minimal




# Functional Brain Networks




# Functional brain networks

- How to obtain a functional network:


**STEP 1**



**STEP 2**



**STEP 3**



**Measurement of brain activity**

**Time series analysis**

**Network Analysis**

# Functional brain networks

## □ STEP 1: How to measure the brain activity

- **Functional MRI (fMRI).** The detection of changes in regional brain activity through their effects on **blood flow** and blood oxygenation (which, in turn, affect magnetic susceptibility and tissue contrast in magnetic resonance images). **High spatial resolution (~mm<sup>3</sup>)** but low temporal resolution (~ seconds).
- **Electroencephalography (EEG).** A technique used to measure neural activity by monitoring **electrical signals** from the brain, usually through scalp electrodes. EEG has **good temporal resolution** but relatively poor spatial resolution.
- **Magnetoencephalography (MEG).** A method of measuring brain activity by detecting perturbations in the extracranial **magnetic field** that are generated by the electrical activity of neuronal populations. Like EEG, it has **good temporal resolution** but relatively poor spatial resolution. It has better resolution than EEG.

# Functional brain networks



Read more at:  
Bassett et al.,  
PNAS, 103, 19518(2006)

## □ How to measure the brain activity

MEG and EEG allow the band decomposition of the signal into frequency bands

Wavelet decomposition level	Frequency range, Hz	Corr	$\tau$	$k$	$L$	$C$	$\sigma$	$\zeta$	$S (\times 10^{-3})$
Resting									
1	37.5–75	$0.18 \pm 0.02$	$0.50 \pm 0.05$	$16.3 \pm 5.1$	$4.5 \pm 0.5$	$0.23 \pm 0.02$	$1.9 \pm 0.2$	$61 \pm 14$	$9.7 \pm 1.8$
2	18.8–37.5	$0.26 \pm 0.02$	$0.74 \pm 0.04$	$12.6 \pm 3.1$	$5.2 \pm 0.5$	$0.21 \pm 0.02$	$1.9 \pm 0.1$	$70 \pm 41$	$8.2 \pm 2.3$
3	9.4–18.8	$0.30 \pm 0.03$	$0.81 \pm 0.03$	$12.4 \pm 1.8$	$5.4 \pm 0.4$	$0.20 \pm 0.01$	$1.9 \pm 0.2$	$100 \pm 72$	$6.3 \pm 2.7$
4	4.7–9.4	$0.30 \pm 0.03$	$0.82 \pm 0.03$	$12.3 \pm 2.0$	$5.4 \pm 0.4$	$0.21 \pm 0.01$	$1.9 \pm 0.2$	$106 \pm 75$	$6.4 \pm 3.3$
5	2.3–4.7	$0.30 \pm 0.02$	$0.81 \pm 0.02$	$12.5 \pm 2.0$	$5.2 \pm 0.4$	$0.21 \pm 0.01$	$2.0 \pm 0.1$	$118 \pm 71$	$7.6 \pm 2.9$
6	1.1–2.3	$0.33 \pm 0.05$	$0.83 \pm 0.02$	$13.7 \pm 3.3$	$5.1 \pm 0.4$	$0.23 \pm 0.02$	$1.9 \pm 0.1$	$137 \pm 62$	$6.0 \pm 2.4$
Tapping									
1	37.5–75	$0.18 \pm 0.03$	$0.49 \pm 0.09$	$16.9 \pm 5.1$	$4.4 \pm 0.6$	$0.23 \pm 0.02$	$1.8 \pm 0.2$	$132 \pm 21$	$10.2 \pm 3.6$
2	18.8–37.5	$0.23 \pm 0.02$	$0.69 \pm 0.04$	$13.0 \pm 2.6$	$5.0 \pm 0.4$	$0.21 \pm 0.01$	$2.0 \pm 0.1$	$105 \pm 9$	$9.8 \pm 2.7$
3	9.4–18.8	$0.27 \pm 0.02$	$0.77 \pm 0.03$	$12.2 \pm 1.7$	$5.2 \pm 0.4$	$0.21 \pm 0.01$	$2.0 \pm 0.1$	$118 \pm 27$	$8.4 \pm 2.8$
4	4.7–9.4	$0.28 \pm 0.03$	$0.79 \pm 0.02$	$12.7 \pm 2.1$	$5.2 \pm 0.5$	$0.21 \pm 0.01$	$1.9 \pm 0.2$	$116 \pm 35$	$8.2 \pm 2.9$
5	2.3–4.7	$0.30 \pm 0.05$	$0.81 \pm 0.01$	$13.8 \pm 4.9$	$5.1 \pm 0.5$	$0.21 \pm 0.01$	$1.9 \pm 0.2$	$137 \pm 47$	$7.2 \pm 2.6$
6	1.1–2.3	$0.34 \pm 0.06$	$0.82 \pm 0.01$	$16.7 \pm 8.3$	$4.9 \pm 0.8$	$0.22 \pm 0.02$	$1.7 \pm 0.2$	$144 \pm 55$	$5.2 \pm 1.6$

Corr: average correlation of the whole brain network before thresholding;  $\tau$ : threshold applied to wavelet correlation matrices;  $k$ : average degree of the network;  $L$ : average path length;  $C$ : average clustering;  $\sigma$ : small-world scalar value;  $\zeta$ , characteristic length scale in millimeters;  $S$ , synchronizability. ( $N=275$ )

# Functional brain networks

- STEP 1: How to measure the brain activity
- LIMITATIONS:
  - Low spatial resolution (we have  $\sim 10^{11}$  neurons)
  - Overlapping of measurements (not clear parcelation)
  - High variability in the results
  - Functional networks are not static
  - Brain is not an isolated system

# Functional brain networks

- STEP 2: Time series analysis


- We have to extract correlations between nodes/regions

- Several linear and nonlinear techniques:

- Cross-correlation
    - Wavelet coherence
    - Synchronization Likelihood
    - Generalized Synchronization
    - Phase Synchronization
    - Mutual Information
    - Granger Causality

For a review read:

Pereda et al, Prog. Neurobiol, 77 (2005)





# Functional brain networks

- STEP 2: Time series analysis
- LIMITATIONS:
  - It is difficult to evaluate causality
  - High variability in the results
  - Functional networks are not static
  - In EEG and MEG, we only measure cortical activity (missing interactions)

# Functional brain networks

## □ STEP 3: Complex Networks Analysis

- We analyze the network structure and its influence in the processes occurring in it:



For a review read:

Rubinov et al., Neuroimage, 52, 1059 (2010)



# Functional brain networks

## □ STEP 3: Complex Networks Analysis



### □ LIMITATIONS:

- Real networks are weighted and directed
- High variability in the results
- Functional networks are not static
- In EEG and MEG, we only measure cortical activity

**weighted undirected networks**  
structural datasets: diffusion MRI, structural MRI  
functional datasets: functional MRI, MEG



**binary undirected networks**



# Functional brain networks

- Complex networks methods give **useful information** at 3 different levels:
  - ✓ Characterize the topology of brain functional networks and its influence in the processes occurring in them:
    - Small-world topology -> High efficiency in information transmission.
    - High clustering -> Good local resilience.
    - Modularity -> Segregation & integration of information.
  - Identify differences between healthy brains and those with a certain pathology:
    - Quantify evolution towards random topologies.
    - Evaluate the loss of modularity in the networks.
    - Quantify the increase of energy expenses.
  - Develop models in order to explain the changes found in impaired functional networks:
    - Identify what are the rules that determine the network distortion.

# Functional brain networks

- What are the main characteristics of brain functional networks:
  - **Small-world topology** -> High efficiency in information transmission.
  - **High clustering** -> Good local resilience.
  - For a low number of nodes: **power-law distribution** with exponential decay
  - For high number of nodes: **scale-free** behavior
  - **Modular** networks, related with the anatomical parcelation
  - **Assortative** networks: hubs are linked together

# Functional brain networks

- Let's see some fingerprints of the functional brain networks:

PRL 94, 018102 (2005)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending  
14 JANUARY 2005

## Scale-Free Brain Functional Networks

Victor M. Eguílez,<sup>1</sup> Dante R. Chialvo,<sup>2</sup> Guillermo A. Cecchi,<sup>3</sup> Marwan Baliki,<sup>2</sup> and A. Vania Apkarian<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Instituto Mediterraneo de Estudios Avanzados, IMEDEA (CSIC-UIB), E07122 Palma de Mallorca, Spain*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physiology, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois, 60611, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*IBM T.J. Watson Research Center, 1101 Kitchawan Rd., Yorktown Heights, New York 10598, USA*

(Received 13 January 2004; published 6 January 2005)

Functional magnetic resonance imaging is used to extract *functional networks* connecting correlated human brain sites. Analysis of the resulting networks in different tasks shows that (a) the distribution of functional connections, and the probability of finding a link versus distance are both scale-free, (b) the characteristic path length is small and comparable with those of equivalent random networks, and (c) the clustering coefficient is orders of magnitude larger than those of equivalent random networks. All these properties, typical of scale-free small-world networks, reflect important functional information about brain states.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.018102

PACS numbers: 87.18.Sn, 87.19.La, 89.75.Da, 89.75.Hc


# Functional brain networks

## □ Scale-free brain functional networks

- Two activities: finger tapping and listening to music
- ~ 400 events every 2.5 seconds (fMRI)
- $36 \times 64 \times 64$  brain sites (147456 voxels)
- The linear cross-correlation is measured



$$r(x_1, x_2) = \frac{\langle V(x_1, t)V(x_2, t) \rangle - \langle V(x_1, t) \rangle \langle V(x_2, t) \rangle}{\sigma(V(x_1))\sigma(V(x_2))}$$

- Several thresholds are considered in order to obtain the adjacency matrix.



# Functional brain networks

## □ Scale-free brain functional networks





# Functional brain networks



Read more at:  
Eguiluz et al.,  
PRL, 94,018102 (2005)

## □ Scale-free brain functional networks

fMRI functional networks are **small-world, scale-free and assortative**





Read more at:  
Guimerà et al.,  
*Nature* 433, 895 (2005)

## □ Defining the role of nodes with regard to the community structure

Guimerà et al., investigated the role of the nodes inside the community


### ..... Functional cartography of complex metabolic networks

Roger Guimerà & Luís A. Nunes Amaral

NICO and Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

High-throughput techniques are leading to an explosive growth in the size of biological databases and creating the opportunity to revolutionize our understanding of life and disease. Interpretation of these data remains, however, a major scientific challenge. Here, we propose a methodology that enables us to extract

895




# Functional brain networks

## □ Defining the role of nodes with regard to the community structure

It is possible to evaluate the functionality of the nodes from the topological properties:


Within-module connectivity:

$$z_i = \frac{k_i - \bar{k}_{s_i}}{\sigma_{k_{s_i}}}$$



Participation coefficient:

$$P_i = 1 - \sum_{s=1}^{N_M} \left( \frac{k_{is}}{k_i} \right)^2$$



(Figures from R. Guimerà et al., Nature 433, 895 2005)

# Functional brain networks

- Community analysis gives information about the network characteristics and the role played by nodes (specially hubs).

NeuroImage 44 (2009) 715–723



Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](#)

NeuroImage  
journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimng](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimng)



## Age-related changes in modular organization of human brain functional networks

David Meunier <sup>a,b</sup>, Sophie Achard <sup>a,b,c</sup>, Alexa Morcom <sup>d</sup>, Ed Bullmore <sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Brain Mapping Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK


<sup>b</sup> Behavioural and Clinical Neurosciences Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

<sup>c</sup> Grenoble Image Parole Signal Automatique, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Grenoble, France

<sup>d</sup> Centre for Cognitive and Neural Systems, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK


# Functional brain networks

- Community analysis gives information about the network characteristics and the role played by nodes (specially hubs).




# Functional brain networks

- Community analysis gives information about the network characteristics and the role played by nodes (specially hubs).



# From Healthy to Impaired Networks



# From healthy to impaired networks

- Complex networks methods give **useful information** at 3 different levels:
  - ✓ Characterize the topology of brain functional networks and its influence in the processes occurring in them:
    - Small-world topology -> High efficiency in information transmission.
    - High clustering -> Good local resilience.
    - Modularity -> Segregation & integration of information.
  - Identify differences between healthy brains and those with a certain pathology:
    - Quantify evolution towards random topologies.
    - Evaluate the loss of modularity in the networks.
    - Quantify the increase of energy expenses.
  - Develop models in order to explain the changes found in impaired functional networks:
    - Identify what are the rules that determine the network distortion.

# From healthy to impaired networks

## □ Complex Network analyses of brain diseases

### □ Alzheimer.

- The overall synchronization of the network is decreased.
- The average path length increases (probably as a consequence of the reduction of the synchronization).
- The clustering coefficient is significantly reduced (the network evolves to random topologies).

### □ Mild Cognitive Impairment.

- The average synchronization increases.
- Network outreach increases as a consequence of an unbalanced increase of the synchronization in the long-range connections.
- The network becomes more random.

# From healthy to impaired networks



Read more at:  
Stam et al.,  
NBP, 1, 3 (2007)

## □ Complex Network analyses of brain diseases

### □ Schizophrenia.


- The small-world properties of the network are impaired (specially at low-frequency bands).
- Clustering and average path length are shifted to random configurations.
- The hierarchical configuration of the network is also affected.

### □ Epilepsia.

- Synchronization increases during the epileptic episodes.
- As a consequence, clustering coefficient increases and average path length decreases.
- Changes are more significant at delta, theta and alpha bands.

# Applications

## Mild Cognitive Impairment and Traumatic Brain Injury



# Applications: Mild Cognitive Impairment

## Advantages

- We have information of the brain as a whole and not only of its isolated components.
- We can relate the information contained in the topology with the dynamical processes occurring in it.
- We can try to identify differences between healthy and impaired brains in order to understand and prevent different brain diseases.

## Drawbacks

- We are projecting the activity of billions of neurons into a few nodes.
- The activity at each position is strongly influenced by its neighbors.
- Experiments are expensive and it is difficult to find volunteers.
- There exists a great variability of the recorded activity between individuals (and even in the same individual).
- Anatomical and, specially, functional networks are not static.

**GOOD NEWS**  
Possibility of clinical applications

**CAUTION!** High risk of GIGO  
(Garbage In, Garbage Out)

# Applications: Mild Cognitive Impairment


## □ What is Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI)?

A **brain disorder** in which thinking abilities are mildly impaired. Individuals with MCI are able to function in everyday activities but have **difficulty with memory**, trouble remembering the names of people they met recently, the flow of a conversation, and a tendency to misplace things. **Every year, around 10% of MCI patients develop Alzheimer.**



## □ The experiment


We performed magnetoencephalograms (MEG) to a group of 19 MCI's patients and 19 **control** subjects during a memory task. By means of the **synchronization likelihood** (SL) we quantified the interaction between the 148 channels of the MEG system and we obtained a weighted connectivity matrix between cortical areas.



# Applications: Mild Cognitive Impairment

- Network normalization allows analysis of the weighted matrices:

$$M : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0,1] \quad \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} \quad P\{p_{ij}\} \quad \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} \quad p_{ij} = \frac{\omega_{ij} - \min[\omega_{ij}]}{\max[\omega_{ij}] - \min[\omega_{ij}]}$$



# Applications: Mild Cognitive Impairment

## □ Topological analysis of the functional networks of both groups (Control and MCI):

### **Matrix normalization ( $P$ )**

We map the weights of the synchronization matrix  $\omega_{ij}$  into a continuous bijective map  $M:R \rightarrow [0,1]$ . The obtained matrix  $P \{p_{ij}\}$  can be interpreted as a matrix of probabilities that tell us how probable the existence of a link between node  $i$  and  $j$  is.

$$p_{ij} = \frac{\omega_{ij} - \min(\omega_{ij})}{\max(\omega_{ij}) - \min(\omega_{ij})}$$

### **Mean Shortest path ( $L$ )**

It measures the shortest topological (not Euclidean) distance  $l_{ij}$  between any pair of nodes in the network.

### **Mean Clustering ( $C$ )**

It measures the probability that two neighbors of a certain node are also connected with each other.

$$c_i = \frac{\sum_{j,k} p_{ij} p_{jk} p_{ik}}{\sum_{j,k} p_{ij} p_{ik}}$$

### **Network modularity ( $Q$ )**

Takes into account the number of links between the nodes of the same community and measures the statistical deviation from a random assignment of nodes between the existing communities

$$Q = \frac{1}{p_{net}} \sum_{i,j} [p_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_{net}}] \delta(c_i, c_j)$$

### **Network outreach ( $O$ )**

The outreach  $O_i$  balances the distance of the connections of a node  $i$  with their probability

$$O_i = \sum_{j \in V(i)} p_{ij} d_{ij}$$

### **Weighted neighbor degree ( $K_{nn}$ )**

Average number of neighbors of  $i$ 's neighbors over the average number of  $i$ 's neighbors.

$$k_i^{NN} = \frac{\sum_{j,k} p_{ij} p_{jk}}{\sum_j p_{ij}}$$

### **Parameter Normalization**

We generate a set of 100 network surrogates by random permutation of the matrix coefficients. Next we normalize each network parameter  $X$  with the average  $\hat{X} = X/X_{\text{ran}}$

# Applications: Mild Cognitive Impairment

## □ Differences between the MCI and Control groups:




Fig. 4. Percentage of variation and statistical significance. Percentage of variation of the average degree  $K$ , average shortest path  $L$  and its normalized value  $\hat{L} = \frac{L}{L_{ran}}$ , network outreach  $O$  and normalized outreach  $\hat{O} = \frac{O}{O_{ran}}$ , clustering  $C$  and normalized clustering  $\hat{C} = \frac{C}{C_{ran}}$  and network modularity. Circles correspond to  $p < 0.03$  and stars to  $p < 0.001$ , specifically:  $O$  ( $p = 0.007$ ),  $\hat{C}$  ( $p = 0.002$ ),  $Q$  ( $p = 0.0033$ ),  $K$ , ( $p = 0.018$ ),  $L_z$  ( $p = 0.025$ ) and  $\hat{O}$  ( $p = 0.027$ ).

## □ Global Parameters:

- The network strength  $K$  increases (+15.9%)
- Network outreach increases (+23.4%) (and more than the increase in  $K$ )
- The network modularity decreases (-13.5%)

## □ Normalized Parameters:

- Normalized clustering decreases (-13.6%):

$$\hat{C}_{\text{CONTROL}} = 1.76 \rightarrow \hat{C}_{\text{MCI}} = 1.52$$


- Normalized outreach increases (+6.7%):

$$\hat{O}_{\text{CONTROL}} = 0.63 \rightarrow \hat{O}_{\text{MCI}} = 0.67$$

**CAUTION! The functional network is becoming random**

# Applications: Mild Cognitive Impairment


- Differences between the MCI and Control groups at the INTER-LOBE connections:



**Fig. 5. Mesoscale analysis.** Percentages of variation in the MCI group with respect to the control one, of the strength inside each lobe (A), the strength of the links going out from each lobe (B), and the lobe modularity (C). In (D), percentages of variation of the lobe-to-lobe strength. Lobe code: 1=central, 2=frontal left, 3=frontal right, 4=temporal left, 5=temporal right and 6=occipital.

- Intra-lobe synchronization:

- The intra-lobe synchronization increases
- The inter-lobe synchronization increases (more than the intra-lobe sync.)
- Modularity decreases



**CAUTION! The segregated operation of the brain is decreasing**

# Applications: Mild Cognitive Impairment

- Degree, clustering, outreach and  $k_{nn}$  distributions:

- MCI networks have nodes with higher connectivity.
- The clustering increases with the degree (in both Control and MCI).
- For the same degree, outreach is higher at the MCI group.
- Networks are assortative




Fig. 3. Several network parameter distributions for the control (green circles) and MCI (red squares) groups. (A) Probability distribution of finding a node with a degree higher than  $k$ , (B) clustering coefficient  $C(k)$ , (C) outreach  $O(k)$  and (D) average nearest neighbors degree  $k_{nn}(k)$ .

# Applications: Mild Cognitive Impairment



- From macroscopic (network) to microscopic (node) analysis:

- Within module degree:

$$z_i = \frac{k_i - \bar{k}_{c_i}}{\sigma_{k_{c_i}}}$$

- Participation coefficient:

$$p_i = 1 - \sum_{c=i}^{N_c} \left( \frac{k_{c_i}}{k_i} \right)^2$$



**Fig. 6. Community structure and roles.** (A) Within-module degree  $z_i$  for each node in the network of the control group as a function of its corresponding participation coefficient  $p_i$ . Only the first 13 nodes with the highest  $z_i$  and  $p_i$  are labelled. Their positions within the corresponding lobe are marked in (B) with circles for those with the highest  $z_i$  and with triangles for those with the highest  $p_i$ .

# Applications: Mild Cognitive Impairment

- From macroscopic (network) to microscopic (node) analysis:




Fig. 6. Community structure and roles.

(C) Within-module degree differences between MCI and control group as a function of the participation coefficient differences for each node. Again, only the first 13 nodes with the highest differences are labelled and accordingly marked within their lobe. (D) Position of nodes with higher increase of the  $z_i$  (circles) and  $p_i$  (triangles). Lobe color scheme: red=central, blue=frontal left, black=frontal right, magenta=temporal left, green=temporal right, and cyan=occipital.

# Applications: Mild Cognitive Impairment

- Caution, GIGO is around...



..  
I'm Swedish!

“Lies, damned lies and statistics”

	1950-55	1956-60	1961-65	1966-70	1971-75	1976-80
Denmark	180.3	179.7	181.0	181.7	181.3	183.7
Sweden	179.6	179.4	180.9	180.5	180.4	181.2
Austria	176.3	177.0	179.2	178.5	178.7	179.6
Belgium	176.2	177.3	177.2	179.4	179.2	179.5
Finland	177.8	179.0	179.6	177.9	178.0	178.7
Greece	174.7	175.4	176.6	177.0	178.4	178.6
Ireland	174.9	176.3	176.1	176.9	177.0	177.4
Italy	172.5	174.3	174.9	174.7	175.4	177.1
Spain	171.3	171.7	173.3	174.7	175.7	176.1
Portugal	168.8	170.0	170.0	169.8	172.1	172.9

From :


The Evolution of Adult Height in Europe: A Brief Note\*

Jaume Garcia and Climent Quintana-Domeque

Table 1. Average heights by year of birth, men, centimeters

# Applications: Mild Cognitive Impairment


- Caution, GIGO is around...



MCI diagnostic must be done by analysing longitudinal recordings

# Applications: Mild Cognitive Impairment

## □ Some conclusions



# Applications: Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)

## □ A good candidate: Trauma recovering therapy





Accident



Head Trauma




Cognitive Therapy





MEG recording  
(after the accident)



MEG recording  
(9-14 months of therapy)




Comparison  
of both networks




# Applications: Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)

- A good candidate: Trauma recovering therapy




- Network changes:

- The alfa band is overconnected
- The delta band is underconnected
- The cognitive therapy shifts network parameters towards control values



# Evolutionary Network Models

Mild Cognitive Impairment and Traumatic Brain Injury




# Evolutionary network models

- ❑ Complex networks methods give useful information at 3 different levels:
  - ✓ Characterize the topology of brain functional networks and its influence in the processes occurring in them:
    - ❑ Small-world topology -> High efficiency in information transmission.
    - ❑ High clustering -> Good local resilience.
    - ❑ Modularity -> Segregation & integration of information.
  - ✓ Identify differences between healthy brains and those with a certain pathology:
    - ❑ Quantify evolution towards random topologies.
    - ❑ Evaluate the loss of modularity in the networks.
    - ❑ Quantify the increase of energy expenses.
  - Develop models in order to explain the changes found in impaired functional networks:
    - ❑ Identify what are the rules that determine the network distortion.

# Evolutionary network models

- Two specific applications of network modeling:

- Mild Cognitive Impairment




- Traumatic Brain Injury



# Evolutionary network models

## □ Mild Cognitive Impairment: Real data versus evolutionary models



Real data

Models

# Evolutionary network models

- Develop **models** in order to explain the changes found in impaired functional networks:

1) We select a link randomly.

2) We change the weight of the link according to a certain function:

$$w'_{ij} = w_{ij} [1 + \lambda + \eta] \xi(d_{ij})$$

3) We normalize and recalculate the network parameters.

4) We go back to step 1.

$w'_{ij}$  = modified link weight  
 $w_{ij}$  = previous link weight  
 $\lambda$  = degradation rate ( $\lambda > 0$ )  
 $\eta$  = noise term  
 $\xi(d_{ij})$  = length dependence function  
 $d_{ij}$  = link length

# Evolutionary network models

- Develop models in order to explain the changes found in impaired functional networks:




Figure 6. Modeling the disease. Evolution of network parameters [shortest path (A), clustering (B), outreach (C) and modularity (D)] as the number of impaired links increases. Red dashed lines are the mean values of the MCI group. Blue squares correspond to  $\xi(d_{ij}) = 1$  and black circles to  $\xi(d_{ij}) = \beta_d(\gamma\bar{d} - d_{ij})^3$ . Parameters used in the simulations are given in Fig. 5 caption.

# Evolutionary network models

## □ Modeling network recovery in Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI):

$$\mathbf{A}_t^i = \mathbf{T}^i \mathbf{A}_{t-1}^i \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathbf{T}^i = m \left[ \frac{\mathbf{A}_0^i}{\max(\mathbf{A}_0^i)} \right] + b$$

### Contrasting model (T+):

The goal of this model is enhancing those links with higher initial weights. This leads to an increase of the relative difference between higher and lower weights along the evolution.


$$m_i = \frac{1-k}{1-\min(A_0^i)}$$
$$b = \frac{k-\min(A_0^i)}{1-\min(A_0^i)}$$

### Unifying model (T-):


the global average strength of the matrix decreases and, in addition, the relative differences between link weights are reduced at each time step.

$$m_i = \frac{k-1}{1-\min(A_0^i)}$$
$$b = \frac{1-k \cdot \min(A_0^i)}{1-\min(A_0^i)}$$

# Evolutionary network models



# Evolutionary network models



# Conclusions

- Complex networks methods give useful information (from another perspective) about how functional brain networks behave.
- Network parameters give hints about how brain functional connectivity is affected by different diseases. They can be used in order to distinguish between healthy and damaged brains.
- We can develop evolutionary network models that mimic the evolution/recovery of different diseases.
- We have to be cautious since there is a high variability in the results.
- Its application to the early detection of brain diseases is still missing. Longitudinal experiments would help in order to understand the evolution of brain impairments and its early detection.

# Thanks for your attention!

More information at:

<http://complex.etsit.urjc.es>

or

[javier.buldu@urjc.es](mailto:javier.buldu@urjc.es)