
LIE ALGEBROIDS, POISSON MANIFOLDS AND JACOBI STRUCTURES

BASED ON MINI-COURSE BY CARLOS ZAPATA-CARRATALÁ

by

Guillaume Trojani

Supervisor : Pr Richard Szabo

ABSTRACT: Notes based on a course given by Carlos Zapata-Carratala at the EMPG in 2020. A lot of the material stems from his thesis [1] for the first half of the course. Material was added over time by myself. Mistakes almost certainly mine. **WIP**

May 13, 2021

Contents

1	Lecture 1: Poisson and Presymplectic geometry	1
1.1	Poisson Algebra	1
1.2	Poisson Manifolds	2
1.3	Presymplectic manifold	6
1.4	Symplectic manifold	8
2	Lie Groupoids	10
2.1	Definition and structure maps	10
2.2	Bisections	10
2.3	Lie Groupoids	10
2.4	Examples of Lie Groupoids	10
2.5	Morphisms of Lie Groupoids	10
2.6	Vector fields on Lie Groupoids	10
2.7	Action Lie Groupoid	10
3	Lie Algebroids	11
3.1	Vector Bundles	11
3.2	Definition and Examples	14
3.3	Morphisms of Lie algebroids	16
3.4	Algebraic structures associated with Lie algebroid	16
3.5	Connections on Lie algebroids	18
3.6	Lie integration of Algebroids	19
4	Lecture 4: Differential Operators I	20
4.1	Derivations	20
4.2	Differential operators	21
4.3	From differential operators to Poisson algebras	22
4.4	Weyl algebras	23
5	Lecture 5: Differential Operators II	25
5.1	Differential operators on Manifolds	25
5.2	Differential operators on Vector Bundles	27
5.3	Derivations on Vector Bundles	28
6	Lecture 6: Local Lie Algebras	29
6.1	General Local Lie Algebras	29
6.2	Derivative Lie algebras	30
7	Jacobi Geometry I	31
7.1	Local Lie algebra	31
8	Jacobi Geometry II	32
9	Lecture 9: Dirac Geometry	33
9.1	Courant Spaces	33
9.2	Dorfman Algebras	37

9.3	Courant Algebroids	40
-----	------------------------------	----

Bibliography		43
---------------------	--	-----------

1 Lecture 1: Poisson and Presymplectic geometry

The first lecture is mostly based on section 2.4 of [1].

1.1 Poisson Algebra

Definition 1.1.1. A **Poisson Algebra** is a triple $(A, \cdot, \{, \})$ such that

1. (A, \cdot) is a commutative, associative and unital \mathbb{R} -algebra (or \mathbb{C} algebra maybe?)
2. $(A, \{, \})$ is a Lie \mathbb{R} -algebra, which means that the bracket follows the Jacobi identity:

$$\{\{a, b\}, c\} + \{\{b, c\}, a\} + \{\{c, a\}, b\} = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

3. The Poisson bracket follows the Leibniz identity in the sense that for $a, b, c \in A$,

$$\{a, b \cdot c\} = \{a, b\} \cdot c + b \cdot \{a, c\} \quad (1.2)$$

$$:= \text{ad}_a(b \cdot c) \quad (1.3)$$

where we have defined the adjoint map of the Lie algebra.

4. Equivalently to 3, the $\text{ad}_{\{, \}} : A \rightarrow \text{Der}(A, \cdot)$, which takes an element of the algebra to a derivation on the commutative algebra (A, \cdot) . We also see that the $\text{ad}_{\{, \}}$ induces a derivation on $(A, \{, \})$ using the Jacobi identity.

Therefore the adjoint map of a Lie Algebra is a morphism from A to $\text{Der}(A, \cdot) \cap \text{Der}(A, \{, \})$, the derivations of both bilinear structures of a Poisson algebra.

Definition 1.1.2. A **Poisson derivation** is a derivation on both bilinear forms of a Poisson algebra, that is $X \in \text{Der}(A, \cdot) \cap \text{Der}(A, \{, \}) \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(A)$. If a Poisson derivation is generated by the adjoint map, $X_a = \{a, \}$, we say that it is a **Hamiltonian derivation**.

Definition 1.1.3. A Poisson Algebra morphism is a linear map $\psi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\psi : (A, \cdot) \rightarrow (B, \cdot)$ is an algebra morphism and $\psi : (A, \{, \}) \rightarrow (B, \{, \})$ is a Lie algebra morphism.

Definition 1.1.4. A subalgebra $I \subset A$ is **coisotrope** if

- $I \subset (A, \cdot)$ is a multiplicative ideal
- $I \subset (A, \{, \})$ is a Lie subalgebra

Proposition 1.1.1. *Reduction of Poisson algebra*

Suppose $I \subset A$ coisotrope and consider the Lie normaliser (or in ring theory the idealiser)

$$N(I) = \{a \in A \mid \{a, I\} \subset I\}, \quad (1.4)$$

which is the largest subalgebra of A that contains I as an ideal. We claim that $A' := N(I)/I$ inherits a Poisson algebra structure.

Proof. Condition 1 is automatically satisfied as A' is a subalgebra of A , with a Lie algebra structure given by the same bracket. For $a', b', c' \in A'$, consider the adjoint action of a' on $b' \cdot c'$ and look at coset representative a, b, c of $N(I)$. Using the fact that I is coisotrope, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\{a + I, (b + I) \cdot (c + I)\} &= \{a + I, b \cdot c + I\} \\ &= \{a, b \cdot c\} + I\end{aligned}$$

by linearity of the bracket and closure of elements in $N(I)$ w.r.t I . The Jacobi identity is checked by similar arguments. \square

Definition 1.1.5. The *reduced Poisson structure* is characterised by the projection map $p : (N(I), \cdot, \{, \}) \rightarrow (A', \cdot', \{, \}')$, and by the above proposition, this is a Poisson Algebra morphism.

1.2 Poisson Manifolds

Definition 1.2.1. A **Poisson manifold** is a smooth manifold P whose commutative algebra of smooth functions has the structure of a Poisson algebra $(C^\infty(P), \cdot, \{, \})$. Alternatively, a Poisson manifold can be defined with the help of the **Poisson Bivector** $\Pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 TP)$.

Definition 1.2.2. A map $\phi : P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ is a **Poisson map** if $\phi^* : C^\infty(P_2) \rightarrow C^\infty(P_1)$ is a Poisson morphism of algebras.

Definition 1.2.3. So following through definition definition 1.1.2, the Poisson derivations on a Poisson manifolds are called **Poisson vector fields**. And Hamiltonian derivations on Poisson manifolds are called **Hamiltonian vector fields**. Hamiltonian vector fields are generated by the adjoint map

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ad} : C^\infty(P) &\rightarrow \Gamma(TP) \\ f &\mapsto X_f := \{f, \cdot\}\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.2.1. A manifold P , with a commutative algebra of smooth functions $(C^\infty(P), \cdot, \{, \})$, and a Poisson bivector

$$\Pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 TP) \tag{1.5}$$

$$\Pi(df, dg) = \{f, g\}; \tag{1.6}$$

is a Poisson manifold if and only if Π has vanishing Schouten bracket

$$[[\Pi, \Pi]] = 0. \tag{1.7}$$

Before proving this statement, we recall facts about the Schouten-Nijenhuis which forms a special case of a *Gerstenhaber algebra*. We will define Gerstenhaber algebras in definition 3.4.1.

Proof. The Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket is defined as a degree -1 bracket on the differential graded algebra of alternating multivector fields, so

$$[[\cdot, \cdot]] : \Gamma(\wedge^2 TP) \times \Gamma(\wedge^2 TP) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^3 TP). \tag{1.8}$$

By considering $f, g, h \in C^\infty(P)$ with corresponding forms $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega^1(P)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [[\Pi, \Pi]](\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= \Pi(\Pi(\alpha, \beta), \gamma) + \text{cyclic} \\ &\Leftrightarrow = \{\{f, g\}, h\} + \{\{g, h\}, f\} + \{\{h, f\}, g\} \\ &\Leftrightarrow = 0 \quad (\text{Jacobi}) \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 1.2.4. Given a Poisson bivector Π , the sharp *musical map* \cdot^\sharp is defined as

$$\Pi^\sharp : T^*P \rightarrow TP \quad (1.9)$$

Such a map can be used to construct the set of Hamiltonian vector field on P by

$$df \mapsto \Pi(df, \cdot) := \{f, \cdot\}. \quad (1.10)$$

Furthermore, on sections we have the **Hamiltonian distribution**

$$X_\cdot = \Pi^\sharp \circ d : C^\infty(P) \rightarrow \Gamma(TP)$$

is an *Hamiltonian map*. Note that the space of Hamiltonian distribution $\Pi^\sharp(T^*P)$ is involutive as it is a Lie algebra morphism.

Maybe extend on isotropic, coisotropic and so on here.

Definition 1.2.5. A submanifold $C \subset (P, \Pi)$ is **coisotropic** if $TC \subset (TP, \Pi)$ is a coisotropic subspace, that is $TC \supset (TC)^0$ an isotropic (sometimes denoted $TC \supset TC^\perp$) subspace of TC with respect to the bivector:

$$\Pi(\alpha, \beta) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in (T^*C)^0, \forall \beta \in T^*C \quad (1.11)$$

Consequently, the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow (T^*C)^0 \xrightarrow{\Pi^\sharp} TC \rightarrow C^\infty(C) \rightarrow 0^1 \quad (1.12)$$

It is useful to make the connection between geometry and algebra, relating manifolds to ideals of the algebra of functions on said manifold. In [2], a nice treatise is presented in the following.

Remark 1.2.1. It is useful to study manifolds M in a ‘dual’ way by considering the commutative algebra of smooth functions $C^\infty(M)$. There exists an isomorphism between M and the set \mathcal{M} of all maximal ideal $I(p)$ consisting of functions $f \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $f|_p = 0$.

Proposition 1.2.2. Let $\iota : C \hookrightarrow P$ be a closed submanifold of Poisson manifold (P, Π) of codimension k . A manifold given by the zero locus of $\Phi : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$. Then the following are equivalent,

- C is coisotropic
- The vanishing ideal $I_C = \ker(\iota^*) := \{g \in C^\infty(P) \mid g|_C = 0\}$ is a coisotrope of the Poisson algebra $(C^\infty(P), \cdot, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$.
- Hamiltonian vector fields X_g generated by $g \in I_C$ are tangent to C : $X_g|_C \in \Gamma(TC)$

¹i think this is right, but not sure

We note as well that this is equivalent to saying that the normal bundle $NC = T_{P/C}$ is trivial in the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow TC \rightarrow TP \rightarrow T_{P/C} \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.13)$$

Proof. • (1) \Rightarrow (2): First (I_C, \cdot) is a multiplicative ideal of $(C^\infty(P), \cdot)$ by construction. Further, if $f, g \in I_C$ then $df, dg \in (T^*C)^\perp$ and the associated Poisson bracket vanishes, making I_C into a Lie subalgebra. So I_C is coisotropic to the Poisson Algebra on P .

• (2) \Rightarrow (3): for a basis $g \in I_C$, the Hamiltonian vector fields $X_g = \{g, \cdot\}$ span the $\text{Der}(C^\infty(C))$ which is the space of tangent vector to C .

• (3) \Rightarrow (1): $\iota^*\{g, f\} = 0$ for $g \in I_C, \forall f \in C^\infty(P)$ ²

□

Definition 1.2.6. Consider 2 Poisson manifold (P_1, Π_1) and (P_2, Π_2) , the *product Poisson manifold* is $(P_1 \times P_2, \Pi_1 + \Pi_2)$, where the canonical isomorphism $T(P_1 \times P_2) \cong \text{pr}_1^*TP_1 \oplus \text{pr}_2^*TP_2$.

The Whitney sum of vector bundle A_M, B_M over manifold P is defined as above by

$$A_M \boxplus B_M = \text{pr}_1^*A_M \oplus \text{pr}_2^*B_M \quad (1.14)$$

Also it's easy to see that pulling back onto either P_1, P_2 commutes with the bracket structure, with "cross-pulling" bracket vanishing

Definition 1.2.7. Given a Poisson manifold (P, Π) , **opposite Poisson manifold** is $\bar{P} = (P, -\Pi)$.

Proposition 1.2.3. Let two Poisson manifold $(P_1, \Pi_1), (P_2, \Pi_2)$ and a smooth map $\phi : P_1 \rightarrow P_2$, then ϕ is a Poisson map if and only if

$$\text{grph}(\phi) := \{(p, \phi(p)) \mid \forall p \in P_1\} \subset P_1 \times \bar{P}_2$$

is a coisotropic submanifold.

Proof. Consider the tangent bundle of the graph submanifold

$$T\text{grph}(\phi) = \{(X, Y) \mid \text{if } \exists Y \in TP_2 \text{ such that } X, Y \text{ are } \phi\text{-related: } \phi^*Y = \phi_*X\}.$$

Full proof in [3] but they have a weird definition of Π^\sharp there. ³

□

We now consider the important notion of coisotropic reduction. A full treatment is given in [4], but we focus on the simpler case where the involutive distribution on $C \hookrightarrow P$ is given by the sets of its Hamiltonian vector fields.

Proposition 1.2.4. (Coisotropic Reduction of Poisson manifold)

Let (P, Π) a Poisson manifold, and $\iota : C \hookrightarrow P$ a closed coisotropic submanifold. Let $\{X_{I_C}\}$ be the set of Hamiltonian vector field tangent to C generated by the ideal $I_C = \ker(\iota^*)$. This integrates to a regular foliation χ_C on C because of the involution

$$[X_{I_C}, X_{I_C}] \subset X_{\{I_C, I_C\}} \subset X_{I_C}. \quad (1.15)$$

²continue later

³continue one day

Further assume that the leaf space is smooth $P' := C/\chi_C$ such that there is a submersion⁴(quotient map) q fitting in the reductive diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xhookrightarrow{\iota} & (P, \Pi) \\ \downarrow q & & \\ (P', \Pi') & & \end{array} \quad (1.16)$$

Then P' inherits a Poisson structure on functions $(C^\infty(P'), \{\cdot, \cdot\}')$ that is uniquely determined by the condition

$$\iota^*\{F, G\} = q^*\{f, g\}' \quad (1.17)$$

for all $f, g \in C^\infty(P')$ and $F, G \in C^\infty(P)$ such that F, G are the leaf-wise constant extensions of f, g , i.e

$$\begin{aligned} q^*f &= \iota^*F \\ q^*g &= \iota^*g \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Following [4], theorem 2.2. As opposed to the theorem in the paper, we consider the trivial case where the involutive distribution is given by the set of Hamiltonian vector fields X_{I_C} . It's clear from proposition 1.2.2 that on C , the distribution of Hamiltonian vector field vanishes: $X_{I_C} = 0 \subset TC$. \square

The upshot is, we have identified a Poisson submanifold (P', Π') with a reduced Poisson structure, all this is because of the coisotropic datum given.

Remark 1.2.2. Let $\tilde{P} \subset P$ a Poisson submanifold, then $\Pi^\sharp(T^*\tilde{P}) = X_{I_{\tilde{P}}} = 0$ is equivalent to $\tilde{P} \hookrightarrow P$ is a Poisson morphism.

An important concept to grasp is the notion of **reduction**, in this case Poisson reduction. Consider a Lie group action $G \curvearrowright (P, \Pi)$ via the Poisson map $G \times P \rightarrow P$ that is also a morphism of Poisson algebras. Infinitesimally, this is the action of $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ on P by the Lie algebra-valued Hamiltonian vector fields given by the map ψ and the comoment map $\bar{\mu}$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \Gamma(TP) \\ \bar{\mu} : \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow C^\infty(P) \end{aligned}$$

such that, for all $\xi, \zeta \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\psi(\xi) = X_{\bar{\mu}(\xi)} := \{\bar{\mu}(\xi), \cdot\} \quad (1.20)$$

$$\bar{\mu}([\xi, \zeta]) = \{\bar{\mu}(\xi), \bar{\mu}(\zeta)\} \quad (1.21)$$

⁴Recall that a *submersion* is a differential map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ such that

$$D\phi_p : T_p M \twoheadrightarrow T_{\phi_p} N \quad (1.18)$$

for all $p \in M$. Dually, an *immersion* is a differential map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ such that

$$D\phi_p : T_p M \hookrightarrow T_{\phi_p} N \quad (1.19)$$

for all $p \in M$.

The map $\bar{\mu}$ is called the **comoment map** and it is a Lie algebra morphism between the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and the Poisson algebra. Dually, we define the **moment map** such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} & C^\infty(P) \\ \text{Hom} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathfrak{g}^* & \xleftarrow{\mu} & P \end{array} \quad (1.22)$$

or by the relation

$$\langle \mu(p), \xi \rangle = X_{\bar{\mu}(\xi)}(p) \quad (1.23)$$

for $p \in P$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$.

If $0 \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a regular value, then $C := \ker(\mu) \subset P$ is a coisotropic submanifold because the equation above defines a tangent distribution $X_{\bar{\mu}(\xi)} = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ (see proposition 1.2.2). We say that C is the zero locus of an *equivariant moment map*. An important consequence is that if the Poisson action is free and proper, then $\ker(\mu)/G$ is the coisotropic reduction of (P, Π) , and in this case this is an *Hamiltonian reduction*.

Add example on 2d Chern-Simmons from geometry of gauge fields here

Question: If the quotient space is not a manifold, can we still construct a groupoid action and reduction?

1.3 Presymplectic manifold

Definition 1.3.1. A **presymplectic manifold** (S, ω) is a smooth manifold M and a closed 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2(S) \cong \Gamma(\wedge^2 T^*S)$ with $d\omega = 0$. We also say that S carries a symplectic structure ω .

If the symplectic structure ω is exact, we say that S has an exact presymplectic structure.

Definition 1.3.2. A smooth map $\phi : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ between presymplectic manifold is a *presymplectic map* if

$$\phi^* \omega_2 = \omega_1.$$

Definition 1.3.3. A diffeomorphism $\phi : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ that is also a presymplectic is called a **symplectomorphism**. Infinitesimally, this is generated by vector fields $X \in \Gamma(TS_1)$ that respect the symplectic form on S_1 ,

$$\mathcal{L}_X \omega_1 = 0. \quad (1.24)$$

In this case, we say that $X \in \Gamma(TS_1)$ is a **symplectic vector field**. Notice that a symplectic vector field $X \in \Gamma(TS)$ has by Cartan's magic formula

$$d\omega^\flat(X) = 0 \quad (1.25)$$

Definition 1.3.4. Given a presymplectic manifold (S, ω) , we define the flat *musical map* \cdot^\flat as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^\flat : TS &\rightarrow T^*S \\ X &\mapsto \omega(X, \cdot) := \iota_X \omega. \end{aligned} \quad (1.26)$$

The kernel of this map is called its characteristic distribution $\ker(\omega^\flat) \subset TS$. A standard calculation in (pre)symplectic geometry is to show that vector field in the characteristic distribution are in involution.

For $X, Y \in \ker(\omega^\flat)$, $Z \in TS$,

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega(X, Y, Z) &= 0 \\ X(\omega(Y, Z)) + Y(\omega(Z, X)) + Z(\omega(X, Y)) \\ -\omega([X, Y], Z) - \omega([Y, Z], X) - \omega([Z, X], Y) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\omega([X, Y], Z) = 0$ for all $Z \in TS$, or in other words $[X, Y] \in \ker(\omega^\flat)$ is involutive.

Remark 1.3.1. Unlike Poisson manifold, we can't always cook up Hamiltonian distributions on presymplectic manifolds. We can however do so on a subset of $C^\infty(S)$.

Definition 1.3.5. A vector field $\zeta \in \Gamma(TS)$ on a presymplectic manifold (S, ω) is said to be **Hamiltonian** if there exists some $f \in C^\infty(S)$ such that

$$\omega^\flat(\zeta) = -df. \quad (1.27)$$

The notation $\zeta = \chi_f$ for associated Hamiltonian vector field is sometimes used. The set of *Admissible functions* on (S, ω) is the subring of $C^\infty(S)$ such that there exists associated Hamiltonian vector fields, i.e:

$$C^\infty(S)_\omega = \{f \in C^\infty(S) \mid \exists \chi_f \in \Gamma(TS) \text{ is Hamiltonian}\} \quad (1.28)$$

We sign convention chosen will be made clear in the following proposition.

Lemma 1.3.1. The Lie bracket of 2 Symplectic vector field is Hamiltonian, for $\zeta, \xi \in \Gamma(TS)$

$$[\zeta, \xi] = \chi_{\omega(\zeta, \xi)}. \quad (1.29)$$

To prove this, we use Cartan's second formula $\iota_{[X, Y]} = [\mathcal{L}_X, \iota_Y]$ for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TS)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{[\zeta, \xi]}\omega &= [\mathcal{L}_\zeta, \iota_\xi]\omega \\ &= -d(\omega(\zeta, \xi)) \\ &= \iota_{\chi_{\omega(\zeta, \xi)}}. \end{aligned}$$

We identify the Hamiltonian function above to be $\omega(\zeta, \xi)$ and so eq. (1.29) is verified.

Proposition 1.3.1. The subring of admissible function $C^\infty(S)$ on a presymplectic manifold forms a Poisson Algebra (definition 1.1.1) with

$$\{f, g\}_\omega = \omega(\chi_f, \chi_g). \quad (1.30)$$

The sign convention is such that $\omega(\chi_f, \chi_g) = dg(\chi_f) = -df(\chi_g)$

Proof. We check that this defines a derivation on admissible functions,

$$\omega(\chi_f, \chi_{gh}) = \omega(\chi_f, \chi_g) \cdot h + g \cdot \omega(\chi_f, \chi_h). \quad (1.31)$$

To check the Jacobi identity, we consider Hamiltonian vector field (which are symplectic) and using the fact that brackets of symplectic vector field are Hamiltonian lemma 1.3.1, denote

$$[\chi_f, \chi_g] = \chi_{\omega(\chi_f, \chi_g)} = \chi_{\{f, g\}}. \quad (1.32)$$

Since this forms a closed subalgebra, the Jacobi identity is satisfied and the proposition is proven. Can also show directly the Jacobi identity by considering $d\omega(\chi_f, \chi_g, \chi_h) = 0$. \square

Definition 1.3.6. A submanifold $C \hookrightarrow S$ of a presymplectic manifold is **isotropic** if the presymplectic form is zero when restricted to C , ie:

$$i^*\omega = 0 \quad (1.33)$$

Let's now walk through the reduction of presymplectic manifolds, providing ample details.

Remark 1.3.2. Consider a Lie group action on presymplectic manifold (S, ω) that preserves the form. The presymplectic action

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : G \times S &\rightarrow S \\ \phi_g^*\omega &= \omega \quad \forall g \in G \end{aligned}$$

induces an infinitesimal action on vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \Gamma(TS) \\ \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L}_{\psi(X)}\omega &= 0 \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned}$$

A necessary condition for the action to be presymplectic is then eq. (1.25)

$$d\omega^\flat(\psi(X)) = 0 \quad (1.34)$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, the set of symplectic vector field contains the image of the infinitesimal action.

However, we now show that Hamiltonian vector field induce presymplectic actions. For this, consider an admissible function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S)_\omega$ as defined in eq. (1.28) to be the set of function admitting an Hamiltonian vector field X_f , then

$$\omega^\flat(X_f) = -df \quad \Rightarrow \quad d\omega^\flat(X_f) = 0. \quad (1.35)$$

So at least on the set of admissible functions of a presymplectic manifold, Hamiltonian and Symplectic vector field define the first de Rham cohomology group.

Definition 1.3.7. Going back to the problem at hand, we see that pulling back the problem to the algebra of symmetries, and using the infinitesimal action, we define the **comoment** map of presymplectic action as the linear map $\bar{\mu} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(S)_\omega$ such that

$$\omega^\flat(\psi(X)) = -d\bar{\mu}(X). \quad (1.36)$$

Since admissible functions forms a Poisson algebra proposition 1.3.1, contracting by another comoment map with values in the admissible functions yields the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\psi(X), \psi(Y)) &= -d\bar{\mu}(\psi(X))(\psi(Y)) \\ &= \{\bar{\mu}(X), \bar{\mu}(Y)\}_\omega \\ &= \bar{\mu}([X, Y]). \end{aligned}$$

Strictly speaking the last line is true up to a locally constant function. Should write something about Whitehead's lemma here.

1.4 Symplectic manifold

Definition 1.4.1. A **Symplectic manifold** (S, ω) is a smooth manifold S with a closed *non-degenerate* 2-form $\omega \in \Omega^2(S)$. In other words, the characteristic distribution $\ker(\omega^\flat) \subset TS$ is trivial and this induces

a bundle isomorphism by the contraction map

$$\omega^\flat : TS \rightarrow T^*S. \quad (1.37)$$

A symplectic manifold is also a presymplectic manifold whose set of admissible function is maximal.

Definition 1.4.2. A submanifold $\iota : L \hookrightarrow S$ of symplectic manifold (S, ω) is Lagrangian if

- it is isotropic: $\iota^*\omega = 0$ on TL .
- it is non-degenerate: the induced map $\omega^\flat : TL \rightarrow N^*(L)$, where $N^*(L)$ is the Conormal bundle to L (see definition 3.1.14) is a bundle isomorphism.

Remark 1.4.1. If S is a symplectic manifold, then the set of admissible function is maximal and our discussion in remark 1.3.2 gives us the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\text{Ham}}(S) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\text{Symp}}(S) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(S, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.38)$$

Definition 1.4.3.

Definition 1.4.4. Lagrangian relations

Definition 1.4.5.

2 Lie Groupoids

2.1 Definition and structure maps

Some notation will use some category theory, so we recall here that a small category is a category where objects and morphisms are *small*. This means that they are small enough to fit in the category of **Set**.

Definition 2.1.1. A Groupoid $\mathcal{G} := (G_1 \rightrightarrows G_0)$ is a *small category* with morphisms that are all invertible. Let's unpack this definition (or parts of it for now):

- G_0 is the set of objects
- G_1 is the set of morphisms
- An element $g \in G_1$ is denoted, given a pair of functions called the *source* and *target* $s, t : G_1 \rightrightarrows G_0$ such that $s(g) \rightarrow t(g)$. Technically, this defines the set of composable arrows $G_1 \times_{s \times t} G_1$.

2.2 Bisections

2.3 Lie Groupoids

2.4 Examples of Lie Groupoids

2.5 Morphisms of Lie Groupoids

2.6 Vector fields on Lie Groupoids

2.7 Action Lie Groupoid

We follow [5] for a brief but useful description of the action of Lie groupoids on manifolds. We recall that the orbit space M/G of a group action $\rho : G \times M \rightarrow M$ by a Lie group G can be badly behaved (eg: not free, so singular quotient space). One way out of this problem is to consider the action of a Lie groupoid.

Definition 2.7.1. The action Lie groupoid of a group G on manifold M is the category $G \ltimes M \rightrightarrows M$ (sometimes denote $M//G$) or $M//_\rho G$ for map ρ an automorphism on the manifold). For $g \in G$ and $x \in M$, the map

$$x \mapsto g \triangleright x$$

There is a good review about this topic on blog entry. Talk about functor $M//G \rightrightarrows G$ that is faithful.

3 Lie Algebroids

A good reference to add to the mix is [6].

3.1 Vector Bundles

Let's first review facts about vector bundles, but with a more 'categorical' mindset.

Definition 3.1.1. A **vector bundle** $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ over a smooth manifold M is a fibre bundle whose fibre E_x is a vector space $V \in \mathbf{Vect} \forall x \in M$. The dimension of the typical fibre E_M is called the rank and $\dim(E_M) := \dim(E_x)$ for all x .

Definition 3.1.2. A *local trivialisation* is a map φ such that on $U \subset M$ open, $\varphi : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times V$ is a diffeomorphism.

On overlaps $U_1 \cap U_2 \subset M$, local trivialisations define $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -valued *transition functions*.

Definition 3.1.3. A smooth map F between 2 vector bundles $F : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ is a bundle **vector bundle morphism** if there exists smooth map $\phi \in C^\infty(M_1, M_2)$ between the bases such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 & \xrightarrow{F} & E_2 \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \pi_2 \\ M_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M_2 \end{array} \quad (3.1)$$

commutes. We say that F is a *covering* for ϕ . Equivalently, F restricts to a linear map on the fibre $F_x : (E_1)_x \rightarrow (E_2)_{\phi(x)}$.

Definition 3.1.4. Vector bundles over smooth manifolds with vector bundle morphism forms the **category of vector bundles** denoted $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathrm{Man}}$.

Remark 3.1.1 (Categorification). Fixing a base manifold M , the point-wise construction of fibre bundles over M restricts us to the subcategory of vector bundles over M denoted \mathbf{Vect}_M . So the point-wise construction of vector bundle over base manifold M forms a **abelian symmetric monoidal category**.⁵

Definition 3.1.5. Given vector bundle E_1, E_2 over manifold M ,

- the vector bundle direct sum called the *Whitney sum* is the fiberwise direct sum $E_1 \boxplus E_2$ (as seen in definition 1.2.6),
- the vector bundle tensor product is the fiberwise tensor product $(E_1)_x \otimes (E_2)_x$ for all $x \in M$,
- and the dual vector bundle is given by $E^* = \mathrm{Hom}(E, \mathbb{R})$ (which is a functor by the way).

Definition 3.1.6. Given a vector bundle $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$, and a smooth map $\phi : N \rightarrow M$, **categorical pullback** of E by ϕ is defined as $\phi^*E = N \times_{\phi \times \pi} E$ such that the diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \times_{\phi \times \pi} E & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ N & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M \end{array} \quad (3.2)$$

which is simply $\phi^*E = \{(p, e) \in N \times E \mid \phi(p) = \pi(e)\}$. We will see in more detail what it entails shortly.

⁵One day, try to understand category stuff

Definition 3.1.7. A **section** s of vector bundle $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ is a map $s : M \rightarrow E$ such that $\pi \circ s = \text{id}_M$. The set of all sections of E forms a $C^\infty(M)$ -module and is denoted

$$\Gamma(E) = \{s : M \rightarrow E \mid \pi \circ s = \text{id}_M\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Definition 3.1.8. On an open set $U \subset M$, a basis of sections (or **frame**) is a set

$$\{e_i : U \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U) \mid \pi \circ e_i = \text{id}_U\}_{i=1}^{\text{rk}(E)} \quad (3.4)$$

that defines a local trivialisation (definition 3.1.2). If such sections are globally defined, the bundle is trivial (or trivialisable).

Remark 3.1.2. We would naturally guess that the functor $C^\infty : \mathbf{Man} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ring}$ would similarly extend to sections on manifold. But the assignment $\Gamma : \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbf{Man}} \rightarrow R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ fails to be a functor. To see this, consider the vector bundle morphism $F : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ covering $\phi : M \rightarrow N$, and the pullback bundle along ϕ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E_1 & \xrightarrow{F} & \phi^* E_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{E_2}} & E_2 \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \text{pr}_2 & \nearrow \phi^* s_2 & \downarrow \pi_2 \\ M & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M} & M & \xrightarrow{\phi} & N \end{array} \quad (3.5)$$

(Note: In the original image, $\phi^* s_2$ is written in red and there are dashed arrows s_1 and s_2 from M to E_1 and N to E_2 respectively.)

For sections on the vector bundle, we have the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(E_1) &\xrightarrow{F} \Gamma(\phi^* E_2) \xleftarrow{\phi^*} \Gamma(E_2) \\ s_1 &\rightarrow F \circ s_1 ; s_2 \circ \phi \leftarrow s_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Meaning that regardless of whether ϕ is diffeomorphic, we can push sections $s_1 \in \Gamma(E_1)$ to sections $F(s_1) \in \phi^* E_2$ and sections $s_2 \in \Gamma(E_2)$ can be pullbacked to $\phi^* s_2 \in \Gamma(\phi^* E_2)$.

If ϕ is **not** a diffeomorphism⁶, then one *cannot* in general construct such maps. However, when maps agree

$$F \circ s_1 = s_2 \circ \phi \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad s_2 \sim_F s_1 \quad (3.7)$$

we say that the sections are **F-related**.

Definition 3.1.9. If $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is a diffeomorphism, and $F : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ a covering of vector bundle then the **pushforward** of sections

$$F_* : \Gamma(E_1) \rightarrow \Gamma(E_2) \quad (3.8)$$

$$s_1 \mapsto F \circ s_1 \circ \phi^{-1} \quad (3.9)$$

is well-defined. The pushforward satisfies

$$F_*(s + r) = F_*(s) + F_*(r) \quad (3.10)$$

$$F_*(f \cdot s) = (\phi^{-1})^* f \cdot F_*(s) \quad (3.11)$$

for $s, r \in \Gamma(E_1)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Remark 3.1.3. Note that you can *always* pushforward tangent vectors via the differential map $\phi_* : TM \rightarrow TN$, but to pushforward vector fields, you need ϕ to be a diffeomorphism.

⁶which it isn't for sigma models.

Definition 3.1.10. In contrast, ϕ need not be a diffeomorphism for the **pullback** of dual sections (aka: forms) to be well-defined.

$$\begin{aligned} F : \Gamma(E_2^*) &\rightarrow \Gamma(E_1^*) \\ \alpha &\mapsto F^*\alpha = \alpha \circ \phi \end{aligned}$$

So for any sections $s_1 \in \Gamma(E_1)$,

$$F^*\alpha(s_1) = (\alpha \circ \phi)(F \circ s_1) \quad (3.12)$$

since $F(s_1) \in \Gamma(\phi^*E_2)$.⁷

Definition 3.1.11. The pullback naturally extends to all tensor powers of the dual bundles $\otimes^k E_2^*$, such that for $\eta, \omega \in \Gamma(\otimes^\bullet E_2^*)$, and function $f \in \Gamma(\otimes^0 E_2) \cong C^\infty(N)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F^*(\eta + \omega) &= F^*\eta + F^*\omega \\ F^*(\eta \otimes \omega) &= F^*\eta \otimes F^*\omega \\ F^*(f) &= f \circ \phi = \phi^*f. \end{aligned}$$

Importantly, we can pullback vector bundle through smooth maps by extending the map $\phi^* : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(\phi^*E)$ over the ring of functions of the target $C^\infty(N)$.

Proposition 3.1.1. Let $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ a smooth map between manifolds and let $E \rightarrow N$ a vector bundle over N . Then the **pullback vector bundle** is isomorphic to

$$\Gamma(\phi^*E) = C^\infty(M) \otimes_{C^\infty(N)} \Gamma(E), \quad (3.13)$$

Apparently this is an example of the Serre-Swan theorem but not today.

Definition 3.1.12. Given vector bundle morphisms $F : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$, $G : E_2 \rightarrow E_3$, we see that on the tensor powers of dual bundles we have the contravariant identity:

$$(G \circ F)^* = F^* \circ G^*. \quad (3.14)$$

This motivates the definition of the *contravariant tensor functor* to the category of associative unital algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} : \mathbf{Vect}_{Man} &\rightarrow \mathbf{AssAlg} \\ E &\mapsto \Gamma\left(\otimes^\bullet E^*\right) \\ F &\mapsto F^* \end{aligned}$$

Look into spanning functions and restrictions of C^∞ functor.

Before continuing with the definition of connections on vector bundle, we will spend a little bit of time exploring the subbundles arising in the case of a submersion $f : Z \hookrightarrow M$.

Definition 3.1.13. Let N be a smooth manifold and $f : Z \hookrightarrow M$ be a smooth immersion (that is the map df is also injective), the **Normal bundle** $N(Z) = T_{M/Z}$ to Z is the quotient bundle defined by the identification of tangent vector to Z in the pullback bundle f^*TM .

That is $N(Z)$ is defined such that the following sequence is exact

$$0 \rightarrow TZ \rightarrow f^*TM \rightarrow N_f(Z) \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.15)$$

⁷In the case of cotangent bundle, I should expand on the fact that ϕ needs to be diffeomorphism to define pullbacks. See notes on int systems

Definition 3.1.14. Dually, the **Conormal bundle** $N_f^*(Z) \subset f^*T^*M$ must be the annihilator $\text{Ann}_{f^*T^*M}(TZ)$ of vector field tangent to the embedded surface, since the dual short exact sequence yields

$$T^*Z \cong f^*T^*M / N_f^*(Z) \quad (3.16)$$

In summary, thinking of the differential map as the pushforward $df : TZ \rightarrow TM$,

$$N_f^*(Z) = \left\{ (z, \alpha) \in Z \times T^*X \mid \alpha \in T_{f(z)}^*X \text{ such that } \alpha(df_z(v)) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in T_zZ \right\} \quad (3.17)$$

Definition 3.1.15. Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a smooth vector bundle, a **Koszul connection** on the sections of E is an \mathbb{R} -linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \Gamma(E) &\rightarrow \Gamma(E) \\ (X, s) &\mapsto \nabla_X s \end{aligned}$$

such that the Leibniz identity is satisfied.

$$\nabla(f \cdot s) = f \nabla s + df \cdot s \quad (3.18)$$

Definition 3.1.16. Given a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$, we construct the **Koszul complex** on sections of the vector bundle. We define a boundary map $\delta : \Gamma(\wedge^q E) \rightarrow \Gamma(\wedge^{q-1} E)$ such that

$$\delta(e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_q) = \sum_{i=1}^q (-1)^{i+1} \rho(e_i)(e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{e}_i \wedge \dots \wedge e_q) \quad (3.19)$$

for $e_i \in \Gamma(E)$ and $\rho \in \Gamma(E^*)$. This map enjoys the property $\delta^2 = 0$, from which we can take the *homology* of this complex.

Definition 3.1.17. Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle, the space of **E -valued p -forms** is denoted

$$\Omega^p(M; E) = \Gamma(E \otimes \bigwedge^p T^*M). \quad (3.20)$$

Given a Koszul connection ∇ on E , there is a unique way to extend ∇ to an **exterior covariant derivative** d^∇ , mapping

$$d^\nabla : \Omega^p(M; E) \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1}(M; E). \quad (3.21)$$

If the connection d^∇ is flat, then this forms the *Koszul complex* with $(d^\nabla)^2 = 0$.

We extend linearly from

$$\begin{aligned} d^\nabla(\omega \otimes s) &= d\omega \otimes s + (-1)^{|\omega|} \omega \otimes d^\nabla s \\ (d^\nabla s)X &= \iota_X ds \end{aligned}$$

for $s \in \Gamma(E)$, $\omega \in \Omega^p(M; E)$, $X \in TM$.

3.2 Definition and Examples

Definition 3.2.1. A **Derivative Lie algebra** is a vector bundle $\alpha : A \rightarrow M$ whose \mathbb{R} -linear module of sections $(\Gamma(A), [\cdot, \cdot])$ acts as a derivation on each of its arguments. That is

$$\text{ad}_{[\cdot, \cdot]} : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \text{Der}(A)$$

is well-defined, which in this case means that the derivation property respects the $C^\infty(M)$ -module structure.

Example 3.2.1. A Poisson algebra is a derivative Lie algebra.

Definition 3.2.2. A **Lie Algebroid** $\{A \rightarrow M; \rho : A \rightarrow TM; [\cdot, \cdot]\}$ over smooth manifold M is a vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$ together with a derivative Lie algebra structure on $(\Gamma(A), [\cdot, \cdot])$ and a vector bundle morphism $\rho : A \rightarrow TM$ called the *anchor map*.

The anchor map induces a Lie algebra homomorphism between $C^\infty(M)$ -modules of sections

$$\rho_* : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM) \quad (3.22)$$

by the *symbol-squiggle theorem* which we will see in 6.2.1 with

$$\rho_*[s_1, s_2]_A = [\rho(s_1), \rho(s_2)]_{TM} \quad (3.23)$$

Being a *derivative Lie algebra* means that for $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \Gamma(A)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, the following equations are satisfied:

$$[s_1, f \cdot s_2]_A = f \cdot [s_1, s_2]_A + \rho_*(s_1)[f] \cdot s_2 \quad (3.24)$$

$$[s_1, [s_2, s_3]_A]_A = [[s_1, s_2]_A, s_3]_A + [s_2, [s_1, s_3]_A]_A \quad (3.25)$$

Locally, if the algebroid structure is given by structure functions $C_{ab}{}^c(x) \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2 A^* \otimes A)$ in a chart U_α with coordinates x^i . Considering local structure functions as two-form valued sections on the algebroid, we deduce a Jacobi type identity, with the derivative map given by the pushforward ρ_* :

$$C_{[ab}{}^e C_{c]e}{}^d + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} C_{[ab}{}^d \rho^i{}_{c]} = 0, \quad (3.26)$$

where we have put $\rho_*^i(\xi_a) = \rho^i{}_a$ is the components of vector field associated to a local trivialisation when anchored down to the tangent bundle. Unlike Leibniz algebras (definition 9.2.1), the bracket over sections is skew-symmetric.

Remark 3.2.1. In general, vector bundle morphism **DO NOT** induce well-defined maps between modules of sections because Γ fails to be a functor in if the map between spaces is not a diffeomorphic (remark 3.1.2). We explore this further in §3.3.

Remark 3.2.2. The anchor map naturally defines two distributions $\ker(\rho) \subset A$ and $\text{im}(\rho) \subset TM$. Now fiberwise, the vector spaces $\mathfrak{g}_x := \ker(\rho_x) \subset A_x$ form a Lie algebra with bracket extending on the fibres A_x . We call $(\mathfrak{g}_x, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\Gamma(A)|_x})$ the **isotropy Lie algebras** of Lie algebroid A . Since ρ is a Lie algebra morphism, the image distribution $\rho(A)$ is involutive and it will be integrable by a singular foliation on M . We call the image distribution the **characteristic distribution** of the Lie algebroid A .

Example 3.2.2. • A natural first example is the vector bundle $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \star$, with \mathfrak{g} having a Lie algebra structure. This is a trivial Lie algebroid.

- The tangent bundle $TM \rightarrow M$ is a Lie algebroid with projection anchor map and the vector field forming a derivative algebra.
- Likewise, involutive distributions $D \hookrightarrow TM$ with trivial anchor form a sub Lie algebroid.
- The vector bundle $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$, with derivative Lie algebra being the commutative algebra of smooth functions over M . Then for $h \in C^\infty(M)$ and associated vector field $X_h \in \Gamma(TM)$, the anchor map is given by

$$\rho_X : f \mapsto f \cdot X$$

and the bracket is

$$[f, g]_X = fX(g) - gX(f). \quad (3.27)$$

We've just reinterpreted the regular Lie algebra of functions over a manifold as a Lie algebroid.

- The Atiyah algebroids A_P of a principal G -bundle $\pi : P \rightarrow M$, as a vector bundle appears in the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{ad}(P) \rightarrow A_P \rightarrow TM \rightarrow 0,$$

where the adjoint bundle $\text{ad}(P) \cong P \times_G \mathfrak{g}$ of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is the associated bundle of P on \mathfrak{g} by G . This is constructed from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow VP \rightarrow TP \xrightarrow{\pi_*} TM \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.28)$$

where VP is the *vertical bundle*, the kernel of the differential surjective map. Now the vertical bundle is isomorphic to the trivial bundle $VP \cong P \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. Now since P is a principal bundle, G acts on this short exact sequence yielding the Atiyah sequence as G acts as the adjoint map on the vertical bundle.

- The bundle of derivations $DE \rightarrow M$ for a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is a Lie algebroid.

3.3 Morphisms of Lie algebroids

We will talk about the notion of local Lie algebras later, but in the case of Lie algebroid, the extra structure of a vector bundle constrains morphisms between these algebraic structures. We start by considering a general vector bundle morphism $F : A \rightarrow B$, and demand that this map induces a Lie algebra morphism of the modules of sections, which is not always guaranteed.

Definition 3.3.1. Let $A \rightarrow M$ and $B \rightarrow M$ be Lie algebroids over the same base. A **Lie algebroid morphism over the same base** $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ is a vector bundle morphism (§3.3) inducing a map between smooth sections $\Gamma(B) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ such that the bracket is preserved

$$\Phi \circ [a_1, a_2]_A = [\Phi \circ a_1, \Phi \circ a_2]_B. \quad (3.29)$$

However, when the base is different, morphism in the category of Lie algebroid are more complicated. But using the notion of *relatedness*, a suitable construction can be established. Originally from [7] is presented here.

3.4 Algebraic structures associated with Lie algebroid

Let's first discuss a notion that combines the structure of \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebras and supercommutative rings. Attention not to confuse with regular supersymmetry and \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading for Poisson superalgebras for example.

Definition 3.4.1. A **Gerstenhaber algebra** A^\bullet is a graded commutative algebra with a Lie bracket $[\![\cdot, \cdot]\!]$ of degree -1 that satisfies the *Poisson identity* and a multiplication \cdot in the supercommutative associative ring. For $a, b, c \in A^\bullet$, we denote $|a|$ the degree of a and we have the following

- $|ab| = |a| + |b|$
- $|\llbracket a, b \rrbracket| = |a| + |b| - 1$
- $ab = (-1)^{|a||b|}ba$
- $\llbracket a, bc \rrbracket = \llbracket a, b \rrbracket c + (-1)^{(|a|-1)|b|}b\llbracket a, c \rrbracket$
- $\llbracket a, b \rrbracket = -(-1)^{(|a|-1)(|b|-1)}\llbracket b, a \rrbracket$
- $\llbracket a, \llbracket b, c \rrbracket \rrbracket = \llbracket \llbracket a, b \rrbracket, c \rrbracket + (-1)^{(|a|-1)(|b|-1)}\llbracket b, \llbracket a, c \rrbracket \rrbracket$

Given a Lie algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ over M , we can *uniquely* extend the bracket to a Gerstenhaber bracket on the graded algebra of multisections.

Definition 3.4.2. There exists a Gerstenhaber algebra $(\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A), \wedge, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$ such that, for $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$ and $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$

- $\llbracket a, b \rrbracket = [a, b]$
- $\llbracket a, f \rrbracket = \rho_* a[f]$
- $\llbracket f, g \rrbracket = 0$

Definition 3.4.3. We can construct a Lie algebroid counterpart to exterior calculus of the differential geometry of tangent bundles. This is a dual construction to the Gerstenhaber algebra seen above. Given a Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow M$, we construct a differential graded algebra $\Omega(A) = \bigoplus \Omega^k(A)$ of the sections $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*)$. The map

$$d_A : \Omega^k(A) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(A)$$

is called the *differential* and explicitly, acting on homogeneous element $\omega \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*)$ we have:

$$d_A \omega(a_0, \dots, a_k) = \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j-1} \omega([a_i, a_j], a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_k) + \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{i-1} \rho_* a_i [\omega(a_0, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_k)], \quad (3.30)$$

for $a_i \in \Gamma(A)$. This differential graded algebra $\Omega^\bullet(A) = (\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*), \wedge, d_A)$ is called the **exterior algebra** of the Lie algebroid A .

As usual we find $d_A^2 = 0$ which forms a *de Rham* complex and its cohomology is the **Lie algebroid cohomology with trivial coefficients**.

Definition 3.4.4. Just like a regular exterior algebra, there are natural notions of *interior product* and *Lie derivatives*, defined for $w \in \Gamma(\wedge^k A^*)$ and $a, a_0, \dots, a_{k-1} \in \Gamma(A)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_a \omega(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}) &= \omega(a, a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}) \\ \mathcal{L}_a \omega(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}) &= \sum_{i=0}^k \omega(\dots, a_{i-1}, [a, a_i], \dots, a_{k-1}) - \rho_* a \omega(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}), \end{aligned}$$

with extension by linearity.

Fact 3.4.1. These follow the usual Cartan calculus identity:

$$\mathcal{L}_a = \iota_a \circ d_A + d_A \circ \iota_a \quad (3.31)$$

$$[\mathcal{L}_a, \mathcal{L}_b] = \mathcal{L}_{[a,b]} \quad (3.32)$$

$$[\mathcal{L}_a, \iota_b] = \iota_{[a,b]} \quad (3.33)$$

$$[\iota_a, \iota_b] = 0 \quad (3.34)$$

$$[\mathcal{L}_a, d] = 0, \quad (3.35)$$

where we consider the commutators on the graded algebra, so be careful. So the operators ι, \mathcal{L}, d have respectively degrees $-1, 0, 1$.

Proposition 3.4.1. There is a 1-1 correspondence between Lie algebroids and *Linear Poisson structures*.

Maybe talk about splitting and covariant derivatives?

3.5 Connections on Lie algebroids

A good ref is [8]. Can view the concept of a Lie algebroid as a tool to transfer the differential geometry of tangent bundles to abstract vector bundles. An important notion to grasp is the extension of the Koszul connection (on vector bundle) to algebroid-valued connection on a vector bundle. This is the so called A -connection defined below.

Definition 3.5.1. Given a Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow M$, a A -connection ${}^A\nabla$ on the tangent bundle TM is a Koszul connection on TM that is compatible with the anchor map $\rho : A \rightarrow TM$ [9].

At the level of sections of A , the A -connection is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} {}^A\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(TM) &\rightarrow \Gamma(TM) \\ (s, X) &\mapsto {}^A\nabla_s X, \end{aligned}$$

which can be thought of as the lift of a TM -connection by the anchor ${}^A\nabla_s = {}^\tau\nabla_{\rho_*(s)}$. Further, it has to satisfy the Leibniz identity

$${}^A\nabla_s(f \cdot X) = \rho_*(s)(f)X + f {}^A\nabla_s X. \quad (3.36)$$

Alternatively, in the Leibniz characterisation (see later in proposition 5.2.3), the connection ${}^A\nabla \in \text{Diff}_1(TM, TM)$ is uniquely defined through maps ${}^A\nabla \in \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(T^*M \otimes TM)$ and $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ -linear symbol map $\delta : T^*M \rightarrow \Gamma(T^*M \otimes TM)$ by

$$\rho_*(s)(f) = \delta(df)(\rho_*(s)) \quad (3.37)$$

The compatibility with the anchor is integrated in the Leibniz identity, this is really the only equation we can write down. Below we explore other connections that can be induced on the algebroid itself and the tangent bundle. In some sense the A -connection intertwines between connections on an abstract vector bundle and a regular tangent bundle.

Definition 3.5.2. The **curvature** $R_\nabla \in \Omega^2(A)$ of A -connection ∇ of a Lie algebroid $A \rightarrow M$

$$\begin{aligned} R_\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) &\rightarrow \text{End}(\Gamma(TM)) \\ R_\nabla(a, b) &= [\nabla_a, \nabla_b] - \nabla_{[a,b]} \end{aligned}$$

for $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$. Alternatively, given $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, the curvature is a 2-form $R_\nabla \in \Omega(A)$. If the curvature vanishes, we say that the connection is *flat* and we call (A, ∇) a **representation** of the Lie algebroid over itself. This is because this definition can be extended where $A \rightarrow M$ a Lie algebroid and $E \rightarrow M$ a vector bundle and looking at morphisms between them. Fortunately we only need $A = E$.

You can play the same game with $\Omega_E^\bullet(A) = (\Gamma(\bigwedge^\bullet A^* \otimes E), \wedge, d_A^E)$ which will lead to *Lie algebroid cohomology with coefficient in E*. This maybe what I need for my problem.

3.6 Lie integration of Algebroids

4 Lecture 4: Differential Operators I

4.1 Derivations

Let A be a associative commutative unital \mathbb{C} -algebra, a vector space over \mathbb{C} such that for any pair $a, b \in A$, the product $ab \in A$ is bilinear and associative.

Definition 4.1.1. A **derivation** $\partial \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\partial : A \rightarrow A$ such that the Leibniz identity is satisfied,

$$\partial(ab) = \partial(a)b + a\partial(b) \quad (4.1)$$

for $a, b \in A$. Clearly, $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(A) \subseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$

Definition 4.1.2. More generally, if B is a commutative ring, A is a B -algebra and M an A -bimodule then $\text{Der}_B(A, M) = \{\partial \in \text{Hom}_B(A, M) \mid \forall a, b \in A, \partial(ab) = a\partial(b) + \partial(a)b\}$.

Proposition 4.1.1. If $\partial \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$ is a derivation $\Leftrightarrow \partial(\mathbb{C}) = 0$ and for all $a \in A$, $\partial a - a\partial \in A$.

Proof. Let $b \in A$, then the Leibniz identity is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial a - a\partial)(b) &= \partial(ab) - a\partial(b) \\ &= \partial(a)b. \end{aligned}$$

- \Rightarrow Assuming ∂ is a derivation, then the argument above shows that $\partial a - a\partial \in A \subseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$, where left multiplication by this operator is the endomorphism map induced. Furthermore, since ∂ is \mathbb{C} -linear, and considering \mathbb{C} as a \mathbb{C} -vector space over itself, the Leibniz identity implies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(1z) &= \partial(1)z + 1\partial(z) \\ \Rightarrow \partial(1) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\partial(\mathbb{C}) = 0$.

- \Leftarrow Suppose $a\partial - \partial a = c$ for some $c \in A$ and $\partial(\mathbb{C}) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial a - a\partial)(1) &= c(1) \\ \partial(a) &= c \end{aligned}$$

therefore ∂ follows Leibniz identity.

□

Example 4.1.1. On polynomial rings, we have $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}[x]) = \mathbb{C}(x)\frac{d}{dx}$. Clearly, the inclusion $\mathbb{C}[x]\frac{d}{dx} \subseteq \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}[x])$ is trivial by just checking that it satisfies Leibniz identity. However, for the reverse inclusion, consider a derivation $\partial \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}[x])$, then we claim that a basis is given by

$$\partial := \partial(x)\frac{d}{dx}. \quad (4.2)$$

Easy to check that acting on the unit $1 \in \mathbb{C}$ and x , these definitions agree. Therefore, by \mathbb{C} -linearity and Leibniz property, they agree on $\mathbb{C}[x]$.

More generally,

$$\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \quad (4.3)$$

Example 4.1.2. If $A = C^\infty(M)$, the algebra of smooth functions on M , then

$$\text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(C^\infty(M)) = \mathcal{X}(M) \quad (4.4)$$

4.2 Differential operators

In this section we define the more general concept of a differential operator, which are **not** necessarily derivations. There are two different ways to define them.

Definition 4.2.1 (First definition). The ring $D(A)$ of \mathbb{C} -linear **differential operators** on A is the subalgebra of $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$ generated by A and $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$. Let $\theta \in D(A)$, it has *order* p if it is the sum of products on at most p derivations.

e.g: $\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 1 = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 + 1$ has order 2.

We can generalise this definition a little.

Definition 4.2.2 (Second definition). A **regular** differential operator of order p is an element of

$$D^p(A) = \{\theta \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(A) \mid \theta a - a\theta = \theta(a) \in D^{p-1}(A) \quad \forall a \in A\}, \quad (4.5)$$

with $D^0(A) = A$. The ring of **regular differential operators** is $D(A) = \bigcup D^p(A)$ and it is easy to see that

$$D^p(A)D^r(A) \subseteq D^{p+r}(A). \quad (4.6)$$

and $D^{p+1}(A) \supseteq D^p(A)$ so this defines a filtration.

We relate the two definitions in the following sense. Suppose $\theta \in D^1(A)$, then

$$\theta = (\theta - \theta(1)) + \theta(1) \quad (4.7)$$

implying that $D^1(A) \cong \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(A) \oplus A$. So we can generate the ring of differential operators on A and clearly $\text{def1} \subset \text{def2}$.

Theorem 4.2.1 (Grothendieck). The two definitions are equivalent if and only if $X = \text{Spec}_A$ is non-singular. In this case, the ring of differential has the simple expression

$$D(A) = T_A(\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)) / \langle \theta \otimes \theta' - \theta' \otimes \theta - [\theta, \theta'] \rangle \quad (4.8)$$

where T_A is the tensor algebra. Recall that the *spectrum* of a ring $\text{Spec}(R)$ is the set of all prime ideals of R with the Zariski topology. [10]

Example 4.2.1. Consider the ring $A = \mathbb{C}[x]$ of rational functions over \mathbb{C} , then the algebra of derivations over this ring

$$\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(A) = \mathbb{C}[x] \frac{d}{dx} := W \quad (4.9)$$

is called the *Witt* algebra. However, the ring $D(A)$ of differential operators on A can also be viewed as the polynomial ring constructed by quotienting the free \mathbb{C} -algebra on x, ∂ by the ideal

$$D(A) = \mathbb{C}\langle x, \partial = \frac{d}{dx} \rangle / \langle x\partial - \partial x - 1 \rangle. \quad (4.10)$$

This is called a *Weyl* algebra.

As noted earlier, the second definition is more general. Here is an example where the equality fails.

Example 4.2.2. Consider $A = \mathbb{C}[t^2, t^3]$. Then $\text{Spec}(A)$ is the space of proper prime ideals

$$\text{Spec}(A) = \left\{ \langle t^2 - a, t^3 - b \rangle, (a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \right\} \cup \left\{ \langle f(t^2, t^3) \rangle, f \text{ is irreducible} \right\} \cup \{ \langle 0 \rangle \} \quad (4.11)$$

This space has a singular point and somehow this implies that there exists differential operators at that point that are not generated by sum-products of derivations. EXPAND ON THIS

Lemma 4.2.1. Let $\theta \in D^p(A)$ and $\theta' \in D^r(A)$ then

$$[\theta, \theta'] := \theta \cdot \theta' - \theta' \cdot \theta \in D^{p+r-1}(A) \quad (4.12)$$

In particular, $D^1(A)$ and $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$ are *Lie algebras*. Not true for higher order as it doesn't close. But below we will see a way to make it into a Lie algebra.

Question. Given algebras A, B with respective spectrum $X = \text{Spec}(A)$, $Y = \text{Spec}(B)$. If $D(A) \cong D(B)$, does that mean that $X \cong Y$? This turns out to be **false** if the algebraic varieties are allowed to be singular.

4.3 From differential operators to Poisson algebras

We have seen in lemma 4.2.1 that $[D^p(A), D^r(A)] \subseteq D^{p+r-1}(A)$. In particular, for Lie subalgebra $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(A) \subseteq D(A)$, if $\delta, \delta' \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$ then $[\delta, \delta'] \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta, \delta'](ab) &= \delta\delta'(ab) - \delta'\delta(ab) \\ &= \delta(\delta'(a)b + a\delta'(b)) - \delta'(\delta(a)b + a\delta(b)) \\ &= \delta\delta'(a)b + a\delta\delta'(b) - \delta'\delta(a)b - a\delta'\delta(b) \\ &= [\delta, \delta'](a)b + a[\delta, \delta'](b) \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.3.1. Given the filtration of regular differential operators $D(A)$ on algebra A , we define its grading $\text{gr } D(A)$ as

$$\text{gr } D(A) = \bigoplus_p D^p(A) / D^{p-1}(A) \quad (4.13)$$

Proposition 4.3.1. The grading of differential operators on A is a commutative ring under composition and a Poisson algebra with bracket generated by the commutator $[\cdot, \cdot]$.

Proof. • Let $\pi \in D^p(A)$ and $\rho \in D^r(A)$, then $\pi\rho, \rho\pi \in D^{p+r}(A)$ while $[\pi, \rho] \in D^{p+r-1}(A)$. So

$$\pi\rho \sim \rho\pi + D^{p+r-1}(A) \quad (4.14)$$

but as elements $\text{gr}(\pi\rho), \text{gr}(\rho\pi) \in \text{gr } D(A)$, we have $\text{gr}(\pi\rho) = \text{gr}(\rho\pi)$

- $(\text{gr } D(A), \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ is a Lie algebra. Taking the bracket on differential operators, we induce the Lie bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\} : \text{gr } D(A) \times \text{gr } D(A) \rightarrow \text{gr } D(A)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{gr } \rho, \text{gr } \pi\} &:= \text{gr} [\rho, \pi] \\ &= [\rho, \pi] + D^{p+r-2}(A) \end{aligned}$$

for $\pi \in D^p(A)$, $\rho \in D^r(A)$. Given that $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is a Lie bracket on $D^1(A)$, we extend it to $\text{gr } D(A)$ so that $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is a bracket up to an element of the quotient.

- The adjoint action is a derivation.⁹

□

In fact, if $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ is non-singular,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gr } D(A) &= \text{gr} \left(\frac{T_A(\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(A))}{\delta \otimes \delta' - \delta' \otimes \delta - [\delta, \delta']} \right) \\ &= \frac{T_A(\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(A))}{\delta \otimes \delta' - \delta' \otimes \delta}. \end{aligned}$$

So in this case, $\text{gr } D(A) = \text{Sym}_A(\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(A))$, and since we can identify the derivations with category of vector fields on X ,

$$\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(A) = \text{Vect}(X) = \mathbb{C}[T^*X] \quad (4.15)$$

Possible connection with L_{∞} -algebras. see [11]

4.4 Weyl algebras

Let $A = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ then the ring of differential operator on A is constructed akin to example 4.2.1 as the free algebra in $\{x_i, y_i = -\partial_i\}$ variables

$$D(A) \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle}{[x_i, y_j] = \delta_{ij}, \text{ rest commutes}}. \quad (4.16)$$

This is the n^{th} **Weyl Algebra** $D(A)$ which is a simple ring (i.e: it does not have a proper 2-sided ideal). Its grading is the Poisson simple algebra

$$\text{gr } D(A) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n] \quad (4.17)$$

with Poisson brackets

$$\{x_i, y_j\} = \delta_{ij} \quad \{x_i, x_j\} = 0 = \{y_i, y_j\} \quad (4.18)$$

This is sometimes called *the first example*.

Remark 4.4.1. $D(\mathbb{C}[x])$ has no non-trivial finite dimensional modules. This is because, assuming V is a $D(\mathbb{C}[x])$ -module of complex dimension d . Then $D(\mathbb{C}[x])$ acts on V as an endomorphism. Let $X, Y \in \text{Mat}_{d \times d}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $[X, Y] = \mathbb{1}_d$, then $\text{tr}([X, Y]) = 0 \neq d$, which is a contradiction.

Proposition 4.4.1. Let I be a right ideal of $D(A)$. Then $J = \text{gr}(I)$ is an ideal of $\text{gr } D(A)$ and it is **involutive/coisotrope**

$$\{J, J\} \subseteq J \quad (4.19)$$

Proof. Let $\theta, \eta \in I$ then $[\theta, \eta] \in I$ since it is a right ideal. Taking the grading, $\text{gr}[\theta, \eta] \equiv \{\text{gr } \theta, \text{gr } \eta\} \subseteq J$ □

Theorem 4.4.1 (Gabber). If $J = \text{gr}(I)$ is coisotrope for some right ideal I of $D(A)$, then the radical $\sqrt{J} := \{\theta \mid \exists k, \text{ s.t } \theta^k \in J\}$ is also coisotrope.

⁹do this SOMEDAY

Corollary 4.4.1 (Bernstein's inequality). Using Gabber's theorem and Hilbert Nullstellensatz $\sqrt{J} = I(V(J))$, we see that

$$\dim(V(J) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{2n}) \geq n \quad (4.20)$$

Example 4.4.1. Let $A = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ with $\{x, y\} = 1$. Consider the coisotrope subring $J = \langle x^2, xy, y^2 \rangle$. It has radical $\sqrt{J} = \langle x, y \rangle$, but the radical is *not* coisotrope. Therefore J is **not** the grading of some right ideal of $D(\mathbb{C}[x, y])$.

5 Lecture 5: Differential Operators II

5.1 Differential operators on Manifolds

In this section, we will build up a correspondence between the algebraic theory and the geometric theory of differential operators on manifolds. Throughout this lecture, we assume the algebra \mathcal{A} to be unital, associative and commutative over the real, and we'll restrict very soon to the algebra of smooth functions over a manifold.

Definition 5.1.1. A *point* x is an algebra morphism $x : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The dual $|\mathcal{A}|$ of the algebra \mathcal{A} is the set of all such algebra morphisms.

Definition 5.1.2. The set of \mathbb{R} -valued functions on $|\mathcal{A}|$ is denoted

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \{f_a : |\mathcal{A}| \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f_a(x) = x(a) \forall a \in \mathcal{A}\} \quad (5.1)$$

Definition 5.1.3. An algebra \mathcal{A} is said to be *geometric* if

$$\bigcap_{x \in |\mathcal{A}|} \ker(x) = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

The named geometric comes from the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \quad (5.3)$$

therefore putting an algebra structure on the \mathbb{R} -valued functions on the set $|\mathcal{A}|$. This is an identification between geometric algebras and sets. It is possible to put a topology on such spaces, or to find a smooth structure in order to define the notion of continuous/smooth algebras.

Let's consider a smooth manifold M and its ring of smooth functions $C^\infty(M)$, then the ring of regular differential operators $\text{Diff}(M)$ has the 2 equivalent definitions from theorem 4.2.1¹⁰:

$$\text{Diff}(M) = \bigcup_p D^p(C^\infty(M)) \quad (5.4)$$

We sometimes denote $\text{Diff}_p(M) = D^p(C^\infty(M))$ for simplicity.¹¹

A useful equivalence between elements of the algebra of smooth functions on a manifold, relating to differential operators is the following local relation.

Definition 5.1.4. Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$, the k -jet of f at $x_0 \in M$ is the a coordinate-free truncation of the Taylor expansion of to k -th order.

$$(j_{x_0}^k f)(z) = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\partial^i}{\partial x^i} f(x_0) \cdot \frac{z^i}{i!} \quad (5.5)$$

We can define an equivalence relation of jets in the algebra of smooth functions. For $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$

$$\begin{aligned} f &\sim_x^k g \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad f(x) &= g(x), \quad \partial^{[i]} f(x) = \partial^{[i]} g(x) \text{ for } i \leq k \end{aligned}$$

where $|k|$ is the order of the differential operator (potentially the sum of integers). This equivalence relation can be globalised into a vector bundle.

¹⁰I'm assuming here that the spectrum of the ring of smooth functions is non-singular...

¹¹Or even $\text{Diff}(M, \mathbb{R})$ more precisely.

Definition 5.1.5. The **k-jet** bundle of a manifold M is

$$J^k M := \bigcup_{x \in M} J_x^k M, \quad J_x^k := \{[f]_x^k, f \in C^\infty(M)\} \quad (5.6)$$

under the equivalence relation defined above. There is a natural projective resolution

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\pi^{k+1}} J^k M \xrightarrow{\pi^k} J^{k-1} M \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\pi^1} C^\infty(M) \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.7)$$

where the projective maps π^k sends classes of functions in the k -jet to the class of function that agree on a lower order derivative, i.e: $\pi^{n-1} \circ \pi^n = 0$. There exists also a map

$$j^k : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(J^k M) \\ f \mapsto j^k f(x) := [f]_x^k$$

sending functions to their k -jet by just truncation of the Taylor expansion.

Proposition 5.1.1.

$$\text{Diff}_k(M) \cong \Gamma((J^k M)^*) \quad (5.8)$$

Proof. (Sketch)

Let $\Delta \in \text{Diff}_k(M) \subset \text{End}(C^\infty(M))$ an \mathbb{R} -linear endomorphism then, by the universal property, there exists a $C^\infty(M)$ -linear map $\delta : J^k M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (in other words, the dual jet bundle) fitting the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\infty(M) & \xrightarrow{j_x^k} & J_x^k M \\ \downarrow \Delta & & \downarrow \delta \\ C^\infty(M) & \xleftarrow{\delta_*} & \mathbb{R} \end{array} \quad (5.9)$$

Meaning that at the level of sections, we have

$$\Delta = \delta_* \circ j^k \quad (5.10)$$

where the pushforward $\delta_* : \Gamma(J^k M^*) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ makes the diagram commute. \square

Example 5.1.1. For example, consider a first order differential operator treated as a regular differential operator over the ring of smooth functions,

$$\Delta \in \text{Diff}_1(M) = \{\Delta \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(C^\infty(M)) \mid \Delta a - a\Delta = \Delta(a) \in D^0(C^\infty(M)) \quad \forall a \in C^\infty(M)\}. \quad (5.11)$$

Then

Really not sure here, but this all relates to the fact that the jet of a functions factorises and that δ is a derivation.

5.2 Differential operators on Vector Bundles

Definition 5.2.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{K} -algebra and \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} be \mathcal{A} -modules with

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{P} &\rightarrow \mathcal{P} \\ \mu_{\mathcal{Q}} : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{Q} &\rightarrow \mathcal{Q}\end{aligned}$$

their respective operation. For $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q})$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we define the **commutator** of \mathbb{K} -linear morphisms

$$\begin{aligned}c_a(\phi) &\in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) \\ c_a(\phi) &:= [\phi, a] = \phi \circ \mu_{\mathcal{P}}(a) - \mu_{\mathcal{Q}}(a) \circ \phi\end{aligned}\tag{5.12}$$

Definition 5.2.2. The set of **Differential Operator** of order less than n between the \mathcal{A} -modules \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} is

$$D^n(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) := \{\Delta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) \mid c_{a_0} \circ \dots \circ c_{a_n}(\Delta) = 0 \quad \forall a_i \in \mathcal{A}\}\tag{5.13}$$

Making the connection with regular differential operators on an algebra A over the complex numbers ineq. (4.5), the definition above is equivalent to the previous statement using $\theta a - a\theta = \theta(a) \equiv c_a(\theta)$.

We previously identified geometric/continuous/smooth algebras with sets/topological spaces/manifolds. Similarly, there is an equivalence between vector bundles and finitely generated projective modules over smooth algebras. Building upon the previous definition, we define what a differential operator on vector bundle means.

Definition 5.2.3. A **Differential Operator** between vector bundles $A, B \rightarrow M$ is defined as

$$\text{Diff}(A, B) := \bigcup_k \text{Diff}_k(A, B),\tag{5.14}$$

where $\text{Diff}_k(A, B) := D^k(\Gamma(A), \Gamma(B))$ is the set of k -differential operators on sections of vector bundles, treated as $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ -modules.

Proposition 5.2.1. As we have seen, differential operators form a filtered structure and we now show that this gives the **symbol short exact sequence** of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ -modules.

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Diff}_{k-1}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_k(A, B) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \Gamma(\bigodot^k TM \otimes A^* \otimes B) \rightarrow 0\tag{5.15}$$

Proof. Note that since vector bundles are commutative algebras that respect the Jacobi identity, we have

$$c_f \circ c_g = c_g \circ c_f\tag{5.16}$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$. The map σ is called the **symbol** and completes this sequence in the following way. For $f_i \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$,

$$\sigma : \Delta \mapsto \sigma_\Delta\tag{5.17}$$

$$\sigma_\Delta(df_1, \dots, df_k) := c_{f_1} \circ \dots \circ c_{f_k}(\Delta)\tag{5.18}$$

We prove this is a multiderivation by considering the case $k = 1$ and extending linearly. Acting on $a \in \Gamma(A)$, for $df \in T^*M$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_\Delta(df)(a) &= [\Delta, f](a) \\ &= \Delta(f \cdot a) - f \cdot \Delta(a) \in \Gamma(B)\end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $\Delta \in \text{Diff}_0(A, B)$, then $c_a(\Delta) = 0$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$, and so the image of Δ in the set of differential operators (between vector bundle) of order 1, is the kernel of the symbol map.

It is also easy to show that for any $a \in \Gamma(A)$, this acts as a derivation on functions on the manifold M , therefore $[\Delta, \cdot](a) \in \Gamma(TM)$. \square

Definition 5.2.4. The **k-jet bundle** of a vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$ is

$$J^k A := \bigcup J_x^k A, \quad (5.19)$$

where the equivalence class is on sections of the vector bundle i.e $J_x^k A = \{[s]_x^k := j^k s(x) \mid s \in \Gamma(A)\}$. The induced jet maps $j^k : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(J^k A)$ are defined analogously. As in definition 5.1.5, we have the long exact sequence

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\pi^{k+1}} J^k A \xrightarrow{\pi^k} J^{k-1} A \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\pi^1} \Gamma(A) \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.20)$$

Proposition 5.2.2.

$$\text{Diff}_k(A, B) \cong \Gamma((J^k A)^* \otimes B) \quad (5.21)$$

In particular, let us think about differential operators of degree less than 1 between vector bundles $A, B \rightarrow M$.

Proposition 5.2.3. A first order differential operator $\Delta \in \text{Diff}_1(A, B)$ between sections of vector bundles $A, B \rightarrow M$ is uniquely determined by a pair of maps (Δ, δ) where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta : \Gamma(A) &\rightarrow \Gamma(B) && \text{is } \mathbb{R}\text{-linear} \\ \delta : \Gamma(T^*M) &\rightarrow \Gamma(A^* \otimes B) && \text{is } \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)\text{-linear} \end{aligned}$$

such that the Leibniz property holds

$$\Delta(f \cdot s) = f \cdot \Delta(s) + \delta(df)(s). \quad (5.22)$$

We call this definition of first order differential operator the **Leibniz Characterisation**. For example, in the case of

Considering the differential operator of order 1 in the eq. (5.15),

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{End}(A)) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_1(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM \otimes \text{End}(A)) \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.23)$$

which is the induced short exact sequence of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ -modules by taking section on

$$0 \rightarrow \text{End}(A) \rightarrow (J^1 A)^* \otimes A \rightarrow TM \otimes \text{End}(A) \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.24)$$

5.3 Derivations on Vector Bundles

6 Lecture 6: Local Lie Algebras

We will now explore the important notion of **locality**, which we formalise from the physical intuition that things should only depend on local variables, or that an open neighbourhood around a point should be sufficient to reconstruct sections (onto whatever) at that point.

6.1 General Local Lie Algebras

Definition 6.1.1. The **support** of a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in X \mid x \notin \ker(f)\} \quad (6.1)$$

discuss support of a module at some point?

Definition 6.1.2. A structure is **local**, if for any two maps $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$

$$\text{supp}(fg) \subset \text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g) \quad (6.2)$$

and the support is compatible with the structure. Some examples to illuminate what we mean:

- The algebra of smooth functions on manifold M has,

$$\text{supp}(fg) \subset \text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g)$$

for $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$.

- Similarly for a vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$,

$$\text{supp}(f \cdot s) \subset \text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(s)$$

for $f \in C^\infty(M), s \in \Gamma(A)$.

- The Poisson bracket in a Poisson algebra as seen in definition 1.1.1 has manifestly the same property since it is an algebra over smooth functions.

Definition 6.1.3. A vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$ is a **local Lie Algebra** if the \mathbb{R} -linear bracket on the smooth sections is local, i.e

$$\text{supp}([a, b]) \subset \text{supp}(a) \cap \text{supp}(b) \quad (6.3)$$

for $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$. Regarding the Lie bracket as a differential operator on smooth sections above prompts us to extend our the historical definition of local Lie algebra to vector bundles with a local differential structure.

Definition 6.1.4. A vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$ is said to carry a local lie algebra *structure* on its sections $\Gamma(A)$ if the lie bracket on the space of sections is \mathbb{R} -linear and the adjoint map is a differential operator of degree 1.

$$\text{ad.} : \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_1(A)$$

Or in other words, $\text{ad.} \in \text{Diff}(A, J^1 A^* \otimes A)$, where $J^k A^* \otimes A$ is algebroid-valued k -jet on its dual using proposition 5.2.2.

Example 6.1.1. A first example of a local Lie algebra is the algebra of first order differential operators $\text{Diff}_1(A)$ over a vector bundle $A \rightarrow M$. Consider the operators; using the Leibniz characterisation (proposition 5.2.3), $\Delta, \nabla \in \text{Diff}_1(A)$ with respective symbol maps $\delta_\Delta, \delta_\nabla \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes \text{End}(A))$, where

$$\text{Diff}_1(A) = \left\{ \Delta \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)}(\Gamma(A)) \mid c_{a_0} \circ c_{a_1}(\Delta) = 0 \ \forall a_i \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M) \right\} \quad (6.4)$$

In a similar fashion to lemma 4.2.1, we have that $[\Delta, \nabla] \in \text{Diff}_1(A)$ from

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta, \nabla](f \cdot s) &= \Delta \left(f \cdot \nabla(s) + \delta_\nabla(df)(s) \right) - \nabla \left(f \cdot \Delta(s) + \delta_\Delta(df)(s) \right) \\ &= f \cdot [\Delta, \nabla](s) + \left([\delta_\Delta(df), \nabla] + [\Delta, \delta_\nabla(df)] \right)(s) \end{aligned}$$

In the second line above, we have used the definition of the symbol map from eq. (5.18), $\delta_\Delta(df) = \Delta(f) = [\Delta, f]$. Rewriting the last equation in terms of the symbol of the commutator $[\Delta, \nabla]$, we find

$$[\Delta, \nabla](f \cdot s) = f \cdot [\Delta, \nabla](s) + \delta_{\Delta\nabla}(df)(s). \quad (6.5)$$

Therefore $[\Delta, \nabla] \in \text{Diff}_1(A) \Leftrightarrow \delta_{\Delta\nabla}(df)$ is $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ -linear. We can further check that for this map to be linear, leads to another messy equation that measures the failure of differential operators to close under commutation. (as expected)

6.2 Derivative Lie algebras

Theorem 6.2.1. test

7 Jacobi Geometry I

7.1 Local Lie algebra

We recall that according to, a local Lie algebra is

8 Jacobi Geometry II

9 Lecture 9: Dirac Geometry

Aim of the lecture is to recover Dirac geometry. A lot of the ideas can be traced back to Courant's thesis [12]. As usual Gualtieri's thesis [13] serves us well. In the following the underlying field is \mathbb{R} .

9.1 Courant Spaces

Definition 9.1.1. Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{R} . A **Linear Courant space** is a triple $(C, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \rho)$ where $(C, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a inner product space (meaning the inner product is bilinear and non-degenerate but **not** positive-definite) and $\rho : C \rightarrow V$ is a linear homomorphism called the *anchor*.

Definition 9.1.2. With respect to the *bilinear form*, a subspace $N \subset C$ and its orthogonal complement $N^\perp := \{x \in C \mid \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \forall y \in N\}$, need not be disjoint as the inner product is not in general positive definite. Therefore a subspace $N \subset C$ is called the following ways if the orthogonality conditions hold:

- $N \subset N^\perp$ is isotropic,
- $N \supset N^\perp$ is coisotropic,
- $N = N^\perp$ is Lagrangian.

Definition 9.1.3. Since $(C, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is an inner product space, we have the usual *musical isomorphisms*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\flat} & C^* \\ C^* & \xrightarrow{\sharp} & C \end{array}$$

This data of a Courant space implies that we can construct a map $k : V^* \rightarrow V$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^* & \xleftarrow{\rho^*} & V^* \\ \downarrow \sharp & & \downarrow k \\ C & \xrightarrow{\rho} & V \end{array} \quad (9.1)$$

Definition 9.1.4. A Courant space is **exact** when we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V^* \xrightarrow{\sharp \rho^*} C \xrightarrow{\rho} V \rightarrow 0. \quad (9.2)$$

Define from now on $j = \sharp \rho^*$. In such a case, $\text{im}(j) = \ker(\rho) \subset C$ is maximally isotropic (i.e: Lagrangian) in C . Further, the injective map j is also isotropic in the sense that

$$\langle j(V^*), j(V^*) \rangle = 0 \quad (9.3)$$

Definition 9.1.5. Given a Courant space C, C' , we define

- the *opposite Courant space* $(\overline{C}, -\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \rho)$,
- the *direct sum* of two Courant spaces $(C \oplus C, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \oplus \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle', \rho \oplus \rho')$

Definition 9.1.6. For $V \in \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{R}}$ we define the **Standard Courant space** as $\mathbb{V} = (V \oplus V', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \text{pr}_1)$, with bilinear pairing

$$\langle v \oplus \alpha, w \oplus \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha(w) + \beta(v)) \quad (9.4)$$

Note that we can also define a skew-symmetric bilinear form as well, but we will only call the *inner* product the symmetric one. Further note that, the symmetry group preserving orientation is $\text{SO}(d, d)$, the non-compact special orthogonal group. ¹²

Definition 9.1.7. A **Dirac space** D is a Lagrangian subspace of Courant space $(C, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \rho)$ for which there exists $W \subset V$ and $\overline{W} \subset V^*$ such that the following sequence is exact

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{W} \xrightarrow{\sharp \rho^*} D \xrightarrow{\rho} W \rightarrow 0 \quad (9.5)$$

The space $W = \rho(D) = D / \overline{W}$ is generally called the *range* of D . We remark that the Lagrangian condition imposed on the space implies that the bilinear form is 0 along this subspace.

Proposition 9.1.1. A Dirac space $D \subset (C, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, V)$ specifies a 2-form on its range $\rho(D)$,

$$\omega_D \in \bigwedge^2 W^* \quad (9.6)$$

Such that for $w_i = \rho(d_i) + j(\epsilon_i) := \rho(a_i)$, with $d_i \in D$, $\epsilon_i \in V \setminus W$ and some $a_i \in C$ (that restricts to ω_i in D); the 2-form is:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_D(w_1, w_2) &= \langle a_1, \sharp \rho^*(\epsilon_2) \rangle \\ &= -\langle \sharp \rho^*(\epsilon_1), a_2 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (9.7)$$

Proof. D is maximally isotropic so $\forall d_1, d_2 \in D \subset C$,

$$\langle d_1, d_2 \rangle = 0. \quad (9.8)$$

Since ρ is surjective there exists $w_1, w_2 \in W$ such that $\rho(d_i) = w_i$. Consider the extension of elements $w_1, w_2 \in W \subset V$ by ρ , that is $a_1, a_2 \in C$ such that

$$w_i = \rho(a_i). \quad (9.9)$$

where $a_i = d_i + \sharp \rho^*(\epsilon_i)$ for some $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in V^* \setminus W^*$.

$$\langle a_1 - \sharp \rho^*(\epsilon_1), a_2 - \sharp \rho^*(\epsilon_2) \rangle = 0 \quad (9.10)$$

The cross terms must vanish while the non-cross terms carry the non-zero part of the inner product, therefore

$$\langle a_1, \sharp \rho^*(\epsilon_2) \rangle = -\langle \sharp \rho^*(\epsilon_1), a_2 \rangle. \quad (9.11)$$

sketchy af proof. coset construction? do this

think about using construction from Vincenzo's notes on branes or from [14]

□

¹²probably add some example such as B transform here, for posterity

Definition 9.1.8. An *isotropic* relation (or Lagrangian relation) $\Lambda : A \dashrightarrow B$ between two exact Courant spaces $(A, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A, \alpha : A \rightarrow V)$, $(B, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B, \beta : B \rightarrow W)$ is a morphism such that $\Lambda \subset A \oplus \overline{B}$ is a Lagrangian subspace with the relations

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \sim_\Lambda b_1, \quad a_2 \sim_\Lambda b_2 \\ \Rightarrow \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle_A = \langle b_1, b_2 \rangle_B \end{aligned}$$

In this case, we say that elements $a, b \in \Lambda$ are Λ -related.

Definition 9.1.9. An isotropic relation $\Gamma : A \dashrightarrow B$ is a **Courant morphism** if there exists a map $\gamma : V \rightarrow W$ such that elements of $\text{graph}(\Gamma) \subset B \oplus \overline{A}$ have

$$\begin{aligned} b \oplus a \in \Gamma \\ \Rightarrow \beta(b) = (\gamma \circ \alpha)(a) \end{aligned}$$

Remark 9.1.1. A Courant morphism induces a Dirac space $\Gamma_\gamma \subset B \oplus \overline{A}$ and enters the following short exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{graph}(\gamma^*) \rightarrow \Gamma_\gamma \xrightarrow{\beta \oplus \alpha} \text{graph}(\gamma) \rightarrow 0 \quad (9.12)$$

where $\text{graph}(\gamma) \subset W \oplus V^*$ and similarly for γ^* . This exact sequence is easily read as both piece of the graphs are exact Courant morphisms, on which we take the direct sum. Courant morphisms are morphism in the category of Courant algebroids, and we have seen that elements are mapped appropriately. A lesser constraint would be to consider maps such that the inner product is preserved.

Definition 9.1.10. A **Courant map** $\Psi : A \rightarrow B$ of Courant algebroids is a linear map together with $\psi : V \rightarrow W$ such that the inner product is preserved,

$$\Psi^* \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A \quad (9.13)$$

and the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & B \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\ V & \xrightarrow{\psi} & W. \end{array} \quad (9.14)$$

Proposition 9.1.2. A map $\Psi : A \rightarrow B$ is Courant if and only if $\text{graph}(\Psi) \in B \oplus \overline{A}$ is a Courant morphism.

Proof. do later, but similar to proposition 1.2.3, done in p47 of [1]. □

Definition 9.1.11. The category of exact Courant spaces **Crnt** is the category whose objects are exact Courant spaces $C \rightarrow V$ and morphisms are Courant morphisms defined in definition 9.1.9 that extend linear maps between vector spaces.

Remark 9.1.2. It's easy to see that a linear map $\Psi : C \rightarrow D$ is Courant for some linear map $\psi : V \rightarrow W$ (definition 9.1.10) if and only if $\text{grph}(\psi) \subset D \oplus \overline{C}$.

Remark 9.1.3. Since Courant morphisms $\Gamma : A \dashrightarrow B$ between exact Courant spaces $(A \rightarrow V), (B \rightarrow W)$ define a Dirac space $\Gamma_\gamma \subset B \oplus \overline{A}$ (remark 9.1.1), and Dirac spaces specify a 2-form on the range of the anchor, we have a the fibration:


$$\Lambda^2 V^* \rightarrow \text{Crnt}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(V, W). \quad (9.15)$$

Furthermore, by considering Courant automorphisms, we abelianise this fibration to make the following short exact sequence exact:

$$0 \rightarrow (\Lambda^2 V^*, +) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{Crt}}(A) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V) \rightarrow 0. \quad (9.16)$$

It's probably a good idea to stop and think about what this means. By considering Courant algebroids over a vector space, we have extended the available symmetries by 2-forms on said vector space.

Definition 9.1.12. An **isotropic splitting** of an exact Courant space is a map ∇ such that the short exact sequence splits:

$$0 \longrightarrow V^* \xrightarrow{j} C \xrightarrow{\rho} V \longrightarrow 0,$$


with $\rho \circ \nabla = \text{id}_V$, and the distribution $\nabla(V)$ is isotropic in C with respect to the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Proposition 9.1.3. Exact Courant Spaces always admit an isotropic split. Furthermore, given $\nabla, \nabla' : V \rightarrow C$ two isotropic splits, there exists a 2-form $B \in \Lambda^2 V^*$ such that

$$\nabla - \nabla' = j \circ B^b \quad (9.17)$$

The following proof follows [1].


Proof. Consider two not necessarily isotropic sections $\nabla, \nabla' : V \rightarrow C$ such that $\rho \circ \nabla = \text{id}_V = \rho \circ \nabla'$, we can construct the map $\nabla - \nabla' = \gamma$ such that

$$\nabla - \nabla' : V \rightarrow \ker(\rho)$$

Since the sequence is exact, then $\gamma(v) \in \text{im}(j)$, so there must $B \in V^* \otimes V^*$ such that $B^b : V \rightarrow V^*$ and

$$\gamma = j \circ B^b. \quad (9.18)$$

In summary we have shown that general sections differ by elements in the Lagrangian subspace $\text{im}(j) = \ker(\rho)$.

$$0 \longrightarrow V^* \xrightarrow{j} C \xrightarrow{\rho} V \longrightarrow 0.$$


Now fix a non-isotropic section ∇_0 , we show that we can define an isotropic section ∇ , we show that defining implicitly

$$B_{\nabla_0}(v, w) = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla_0(v), \nabla_0(w) \rangle \quad (9.19)$$

allows us to make $\nabla = \nabla_0 + j \circ B^b$ into an isotropic map, in the sense

$$\langle \nabla(v), \nabla(w) \rangle = 0. \quad (9.20)$$

□

We note that just like any split short exact sequence, a choice of isotropic section ∇ induces a Courant isomorphism with the standard Courant space,

$$(C, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, V) \xrightarrow{\nabla} (V \oplus V', \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \text{pr}_1). \quad (9.21)$$

EXPAND BELOW, do diagram etc... Further to remark 9.1.3, the category of exact Courant spaces is explicitly given by $\mathbf{Crnt}(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W}) \cong \Lambda^2 V^* \times \mathbf{Vect}(V)$, where morphisms

$$(B, \phi) : \mathbb{V} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{W}$$

are both given by an isotropic relation (as defined in definition 9.1.8) and related by a 2-form. So

$$v \oplus \alpha \sim_{\psi}^B w \oplus \beta \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \psi(v) = w \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha + \iota_v B = \psi^* \beta. \quad (9.22)$$

Composition of morphism are given just like a semi-direct product:

$$(B', \varphi) \circ (B, \psi) = (B' + \psi^* B, \varphi \circ \psi). \quad (9.23)$$

Finally, by considering automorphisms of Courant spaces, the above argument reduces to

$$\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Crnt}}(\mathbb{V}) = \Lambda^2 V^* \rtimes \text{GL}(V). \quad (9.24)$$

9.2 Dorfman Algebras

Definition 9.2.1. A **Leibniz algebra** $(\mathfrak{a}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ over \mathbb{R} is a module with an \mathbb{R} -linear bracket that is **NOT** skew-symmetric, such that the bracket is a derivation.

$$[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + [b, [a, c]] \quad (9.25)$$

for $a, b, c \in \mathfrak{a}$. Of importance is to note that because the bracket is not antisymmetric, the right/left adjoint maps are not related, i.e:

$$\text{ad}_a^L = [a, \cdot] \neq [\cdot, a] = \text{ad}_a^R \quad (9.26)$$

In particular, Lie algebras are Leibniz algebras over \mathbb{R} with skew-symmetric brackets.

Definition 9.2.2. A map $\Psi : \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{b}$ is a Leibniz morphism if

$$\Psi([a, b]_{\mathfrak{a}}) = [\Psi(a), \Psi(b)]_{\mathfrak{b}}. \quad (9.27)$$

Definition 9.2.3. Let \mathfrak{a} be a Leibniz algebra and \mathfrak{h} a vector space. A **Leibniz representation** of \mathfrak{a} over \mathfrak{h} is a pair of maps (or equivalently right and left \mathfrak{a} -modules)

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot, \cdot]_L : \mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{h} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \\ [\cdot, \cdot]_R : \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{a} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \end{aligned}$$

such that all possible combination of Leibniz identities hold.

Definition 9.2.4. Given a Leibniz algebra \mathfrak{a} and an Leibniz \mathfrak{h} -representation, we define the Leibniz cochain complex $C^k(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{h}) := \bigotimes^k \mathfrak{a}^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^k : C^k(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{h}) &\rightarrow C^{k+1}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{h}) \\ h &\mapsto [\cdot, h]_L - [h, \cdot]_R \end{aligned}$$

We check explicitly that this exact forms are indeed closed in with this differential. Let $a, b \in \mathfrak{a}, h \in \mathfrak{h}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^0 h(a) &= [a, h]_L - [h, a]_R \\ \Rightarrow \delta^1 \circ \delta^0 h(a, b) &= [a, [b, h]_L]_L - [[b, h]_L, a]_R - [b, [h, a]_R]_L + [[h, a]_R, b]_R - ([[a, b], h]_L - [h, [a, b]]_R) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{using all the Leibniz identities.} \end{aligned}$$

We extend the differential maps to higher powers and construct a cohomology.

Definition 9.2.5. Given a Leibniz algebra \mathfrak{a} and an Leibniz \mathfrak{h} -representation, we denote $H^\bullet(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{h})$ the Leibniz cohomology of the cochain complex $(C^k(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{h}), \delta)$, with differential maps $\delta^2 = 0$.

Definition 9.2.6. A **Dorfman Algebra** (or Courant algebra) $(\mathfrak{a}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ over a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a Leibniz algebra \mathfrak{a} , together with a morphism of Leibniz algebra

$$\rho : (\mathfrak{a}, [\cdot, \cdot]) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]). \quad (9.28)$$

Since ρ is a homomorphism, $\ker(\rho)$ is a 2-sided Leibniz ideal and $\rho(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie subalgebra. It's probably good to underline that the bracket over the Lie algebra is antisymmetric.

Definition 9.2.7. A Dorfman algebra $(\mathfrak{a}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ over a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is called *exact* if

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0 \quad (9.29)$$

with $\ker(\rho) = \mathfrak{h}$ and \mathfrak{h} is an abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{a} , so

$$[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = 0. \quad (9.30)$$

We can always build such a sequence but the extra data comes from the fact that \mathfrak{h} is abelian.

Example 9.2.1. Consider a right \mathfrak{g} -module R over \mathfrak{h} when \mathfrak{g} is Lie

$$R : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}. \quad (9.31)$$

Denote the *hemisemidirect product*¹³ between a Lie algebra and a representation space by $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ with bracket

$$[h_1 \oplus g_1, h_2 \oplus g_2]_{\mathfrak{a}} := R(g_1, h_2) \oplus [g_1, g_2]_{\mathfrak{g}} \quad (9.32)$$

for $g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $h_1, h_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$. We claim that \mathfrak{a} is a Leibniz (in particular Dorfman) algebra if and only if R is a Leibniz representation.

Proof. • Suppose \mathfrak{a} is a Leibniz algebra, then the bracket over \mathfrak{a} is a derivation, so by considering the bracket between $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathfrak{a}$,

$$[a_1, [a_2, a_3]] = R(g_1, R(g_2, h_3)) \oplus [g_1, [g_2, g_3]].$$

We use the Leibniz identity for the Lie algebra part and we expand the LHS that also follows Leibniz and match with the \mathfrak{h} part of the RHS. Therefore

$$R([g_1, g_2], h_3) + R(g_2, R(g_1, h_3)) = R(g_1, R(g_2, h_3)) \quad (9.33)$$

is a *right* Leibniz representation of \mathfrak{g} on \mathfrak{h} .

• Conversely, if R is a Leibniz representation, we can split the brackets and set the left one to zero? \square

¹³Hemi: because we take only the right representation and discard the left.

Definition 9.2.8. A Dorfman morphism $\Psi : \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}'$ is a Leibniz morphism covering the map of algebras $\psi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that


$$\rho' \circ \Psi = \psi \circ \rho. \quad (9.34)$$

Proposition 9.2.1. Let \mathfrak{a} be an exact Dorfman algebra over \mathfrak{g} with anchor ρ and abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} = \ker(\rho)$, then \mathfrak{a} carries a Leibniz representation on \mathfrak{h} .

Proof. DO LATER □

If we split the sequence of an exact Dorfman algebra

Definition 9.2.9. Let $\nabla : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ be a section of the following Dorfman algebra with \mathfrak{g} -representation

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0,$$


with $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = 0$. Moreover, sections of the Dorfman algebra provide a lift of the right/left Leibniz representation as

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot, \cdot]_L &: \mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \\ [a, g]_L &= [a, \nabla(g)]_{\mathfrak{a}} \\ [\cdot, \cdot]_R &: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \\ [g, a]_R &= [\nabla(g), a]_{\mathfrak{a}} \end{aligned}$$

for $a \in \mathfrak{a}, g \in \mathfrak{g}$. The **Leibniz Curvature** of ∇ is

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\nabla} &\in C^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \\ C_{\nabla}(g, g') &:= [\nabla(g), \nabla(g')]_{\mathfrak{a}} - \nabla([g, g']_{\mathfrak{g}}). \end{aligned} \quad (9.35)$$

We note that $C_{\nabla} \in \ker(\rho)$ so it takes values in the representation space \mathfrak{h} . Important to note that $C_{\nabla}(g, g') \neq C_{\nabla}(g', g)$.

Proposition 9.2.2. The Leibniz curvature defined above is a cocycle under the differential of Leibniz cohomology defined in definition 9.2.5,

$$\delta C_{\nabla} = 0. \quad (9.36)$$

Proof. For $a, b, c \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta C_{\nabla}(a, b, c) &= [a, C_{\nabla}(b, c)]_L - [C_{\nabla}(b, a), c]_R + [C_{\nabla}(c, a), b]_R \\ &\quad + C_{\nabla}([a, b]_{\mathfrak{g}}, c) - C_{\nabla}([a, c]_{\mathfrak{g}}, b) + C_{\nabla}(a, [b, c]) \end{aligned}$$

We then use the section ∇ to lift right and left representations on the Dorfman algebra to find

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta C_{\nabla}(a, b, c) &= [\nabla(a), [\nabla(b), \nabla(c)]] - [\nabla(a), \nabla([b, c])] \\
&\quad - [[\nabla(b), \nabla(a)], \nabla(c)] + [\nabla([b, a]), \nabla(c)] \\
&\quad + [[\nabla(c), \nabla(a)], \nabla(b)] - [\nabla([c, a]), \nabla(b)] \\
&\quad + [\nabla([a, b]), \nabla(c)] - \nabla([a, b], c) \\
&\quad - [\nabla([a, c]), \nabla(b)] + \nabla([a, c], b) \\
&\quad + C_{\nabla}(a, [b, c]) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

by collecting some terms, using Leibniz everywhere and identifying terms with the last line. \square

Since the Leibniz curvature is a cocycle in $C^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, and the Leibniz differential is closed, we define:

Definition 9.2.10. The *Characteristic class* of an exact Dorfman algebra is the 2nd cohomology class $[C_{\nabla}] \in H^2(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g})$. This is invariant under different splits since upon choosing a different $\nabla' = \nabla + \eta$ for $\eta : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$, a short computation shows

$$C_{\nabla'} = C_{\nabla} + \delta\eta. \quad (9.37)$$

Therefore an exact Dorfman algebra carries a Characteristic class

$$[C_{\nabla}] = [C_{\nabla'}] \in H^2(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g}). \quad (9.38)$$

Proposition 9.2.3. Two exact Dorfman algebras are isomorphic if and only if their Characteristic classes agree. Proof in [1], prop 2.5.7

Example 9.2.2. Construct a Dorfman algebra from the Leibniz representation of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over a vector space \mathfrak{h} in the following way. Let $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a Leibniz representation with

$$\begin{aligned}
[\cdot, \cdot]_L : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{h} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \\
[\cdot, \cdot]_R : \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{h},
\end{aligned}$$

that can be alternatively be understood as the Dorfman algebra $\mathfrak{w} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ with $\rho = \text{pr}_2$. Then the semidirect product Dorfman algebra is given by the bracket on \mathfrak{w} given by

$$[h \oplus g, h' \oplus g'] = ([g, h']_L - [h, g']_R + \eta(h, h')) \oplus [g, g']_{\mathfrak{g}} \quad (9.39)$$

for some bilinear form $\eta : \otimes^2 \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$.

9.3 Courant Algebroids

To study of Courant algebroids is to look at a structures encompassing vector bundles (§3.1), Courant spaces (§9.1) and Dorfman algebras (§9.2). Alternatively, one can start from a Lie algebroid and build a Leibniz representation on it, giving a Dorfman structure on it using a semidirect product as in example 9.2.2.

Example 9.3.1. Consider a Lie algebroid $(A, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$. Sections of this Lie algebroid enjoy the usual rules of Cartan calculus with operators ι, \mathcal{L}, d of degrees $-1, 0, 1$. We show that there exists a Leibniz

representation of $\Gamma(A)$ on its dual $\Gamma(A^*)$ with the brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot, \cdot] :_L \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A^*) &\rightarrow \Gamma(A^*) \\ (a, \alpha) &\mapsto \mathcal{L}_a \alpha \\ [\cdot, \cdot] :_R \Gamma(A^*) \times \Gamma(A) &\rightarrow \Gamma(A^*) \\ (\alpha, a) &\mapsto \iota_a d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

To check that this is indeed a Leibniz representation, we need to check all the Jacobi identities. For $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma(A^*)$, using fact 3.4.1

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha, [a, b]]_R &= \iota_{[a, b]} d\alpha \\ &= \mathcal{L}_{[a, b]} \alpha - d \circ \iota_{[a, b]} \alpha \\ &= \iota_b \circ d \circ \iota_a \circ d\alpha + \mathcal{L}_a (\iota_b d\alpha) \\ &= [[\alpha, a]_R, b]_R + [a, [\alpha, b]_R]_L \end{aligned}$$

and so on... Since we have found a Leibniz representation $\Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A^*)$, we construct the semidirect product $\Gamma(A) \oplus \Gamma(A^*)$, that is a Dorfman algebra with bracket

$$[a \oplus \alpha, b \oplus \beta] = [a, b] \oplus (\mathcal{L}_a \beta - \iota_b d\alpha). \quad (9.40)$$

We note that the lack of skew-symmetry is controlled by

$$\begin{aligned} [a \oplus \alpha, a \oplus \alpha] &= \mathcal{L}_a \alpha - \iota_a d\alpha \\ &= d \circ \iota_a \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Definition 9.3.1. A **Courant algebroid** is the tuple $(E \rightarrow M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ such that $E \rightarrow M$ is a vector bundle, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form on the fibres. We also ask that the anchor map $\rho : E \rightarrow TM$ is \mathbb{R} -bilinear on sections of the algebroid such that the pushforward of the anchor

$$\rho_* : (\Gamma(E), [\cdot, \cdot]_E) \rightarrow (\Gamma(TM), [\cdot, \cdot]) \quad (9.41)$$

forms a Dorfman algebra. Asking for a Dorfman structure means that the bracket on sections of the algebroid is non skew-symmetric but still follows Leibniz identity. So for sections $a, b \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$[a, f \cdot b]_E = f \cdot [a, b]_E + \rho_*(a)(f) \quad (9.42)$$

that adjoint action of a on f descends to the pushforward. Moreover, we ask for compatibility with the bilinear form in the following way

$$\rho_*(a)(\langle b, c \rangle) = \langle [a, b]_E, c \rangle + \langle b, [a, c]_E \rangle. \quad (9.43)$$

Finally, we ask for a so called "Tame symmetry", that is failure of the bracket to close is controlled by

$$[a, a]_E = D\langle a, a \rangle, \quad (9.44)$$

where $D = \sharp \circ \rho^* \circ d : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$.

This definition implies that Courant algebroids are bundles of linear *Courant spaces* (see definition 9.1.1)

$$\rho_x : (E_x, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x) \rightarrow T_x M, \quad (9.45)$$

whose sections carry a Dorfman algebra structure.

Definition 9.3.2. A Courant algebroid $(E \rightarrow M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is *exact* when it is fibrewise exact. Considering the entire bundle of sections, it is then Dorfman exact. So we have the short exact sequence of Leibniz algebras (definition 9.2.7)

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^1(M) \xrightarrow{i} \Gamma(E) \xrightarrow{\rho_*} \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow 0, \quad (9.46)$$

with

$$[i(\Omega^1(M)), i(\Omega^1(M))]_E = 0. \quad (9.47)$$

The upshot is that all structure introduced in §9.1 and §9.2 applies here. In particular we recall that exact Dorfman algebras $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ are represented by their characteristic classes $[C_\nabla] \in H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$.

Definition 9.3.3. Let $E \rightarrow M$ be an exact Courant algebroid, such that $(\Gamma(E), [\cdot, \cdot]_E) \rightarrow (\Gamma(TM), [\cdot, \cdot])$ is an exact Dorfman algebra, then this algebra is represented by an element

$$[\eta] \in H^2(\mathfrak{X}(M), \Omega^1(M)) \quad (9.48)$$

called the **Ševera class** of E . Since this cohomology has coefficients in the space of one-forms, it also defines a closed H -flux

$$[H] \in H^3(M). \quad (9.49)$$

The relation being $\delta\eta = 0 \Leftrightarrow dH = 0$.

Example 9.3.2. The *Standard Courant algebroid*, found when describing Supergravity is $TM = TM \oplus_M T^*M$. It has inner Courant bracket and Dorfman bracket given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X \oplus \alpha, Y \oplus \beta \rangle &= \frac{1}{2}(\alpha(Y) + \beta(X)) \\ [X \oplus \alpha, Y \oplus \beta] &= [X, Y] \oplus (\mathcal{L}_X \beta - \iota_Y d\alpha + \iota_X \iota_Y H). \end{aligned}$$

The standard bracket can be twisted by an H -flux. This standard result is made more clear in [1, section 2.5.2].

Definition 9.3.4. Let $(E \rightarrow M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \rho, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Courant algebroid, a **Dirac Structure** supported on submanifold $Q \subset M$ is a subbundle $D \subset E|_Q$, on the restricted support, such that

- D_q is maximally isotropic with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_q$ for all $q \in Q$
- $\rho : D \rightarrow TQ$ is an anchor over Q
- the space of sections on Dirac space D is involutive,

$$[\Gamma(D), \Gamma(D)] \subseteq \Gamma(D). \quad (9.50)$$

In other words, the bundle D is fiberwise a *Dirac space* (see definition 9.1.7). Since Dirac structures are fiberwise Dirac, extending proposition 9.1.1 to the entire bundle, there exists a 2-form given by eq. (9.7)

$$\omega_q^D \in \Lambda^2(\text{im}(\rho_q)) \subset \Lambda^2 T_q^* M \quad (9.51)$$

$$d\omega_q^D(X_q, Y_q, Z_q) = \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle|_q \quad (9.52)$$

NEEDS more work up here

Bibliography

- [1] Carlos Zapata-Carratala. A Landscape of Hamiltonian Phase Spaces: on the foundations and generalizations of one of the most powerful ideas of modern science. 2019. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1910.08469>.
- [2] José Miguel O’Farrill. BRST Cohomology and its Applications to Two Dimensional Conformal Field Theory. 1989. URL <https://inspirehep.net/literature/289080>.
- [3] Rui Loja Fernandes. Rui Loja Fernandes and Ioan Mărcuț, Lectures on Poisson Geometry. 2015. URL <http://www.math.illinois.edu/~ruiloja/Math595/book.pdf>.
- [4] Jerrold E. Marsden and Tudor Ratiu. Reduction of Poisson manifolds. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 11(2):161–169, feb 1986. ISSN 03779017. doi: 10.1007/BF00398428. URL <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00398428>.
- [5] Branislav Jurčo, Lorenzo Raspollini, Christian Sämann, and Martin Wolf. L -Algebras of Classical Field Theories and the Batalin–Vilkovisky Formalism. *Fortschritte der Physik*, 67(7), sep 2019. ISSN 15213978. doi: 10.1002/prop.201900025. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.09899>.
- [6] Kyle Wright. Lie algebroid gauging of non-linear sigma models. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 146, 2019. ISSN 03930440. doi: 10.1016/j.geomphys.2019.103490.
- [7] Philip J. Higgins and Kirill Mackenzie. Algebraic constructions in the category of lie algebroids. *Journal of Algebra*, 129(1):194–230, feb 1990. ISSN 1090266X. doi: 10.1016/0021-8693(90)90246-K.
- [8] S. L. Lyakhovich and A. A. Sharapov. BRST quantization of quasi-symplectic manifolds and beyond. dec 2003. doi: 10.1063/1.2190775. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0312075>.
- [9] Alexei Kotov and Thomas Strobl. Lie algebroids, gauge theories, and compatible geometrical structures. mar 2016. doi: 10.1142/S0129055X19500156. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.04490><http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0129055X19500156>.
- [10] S. C. Coutinho. *A Primer of Algebraic D-Modules*. Cambridge University Press, may 1995. ISBN 9780521551199. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511623653. URL <https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/primer-of-algebraic-dmodules/87B8F8AB3B53DBA8A8BD33A058E54473><https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/9780511623653/type/book>.
- [11] Christopher Braun and Andrey Lazarev. Homotopy BV algebras in Poisson geometry. apr 2013. doi: 10.1090/S0077-1554-2014-00216-8. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1304.6373><http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0077-1554-2014-00216-8>.
- [12] Theodore James Courant. Dirac manifolds. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 319(2):631–661, feb 1990. ISSN 0002-9947. doi: 10.1090/S0002-9947-1990-0998124-1. URL <https://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use><http://www.ams.org/jourcgi/jour-getitem?pii=S0002-9947-1990-0998124-1>.

-
- [13] Marco Gualtieri. Generalized complex geometry. jan 2004. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0401221>.
 - [14] Ioan Marcut. An Introduction To Dirac Geometry. Technical report, 2016. URL http://www.math.ru.nl/~imarcut/index_files/Dirac.pdf.