

1.

D	$y_1$	$y_2$	$y_{num}$	$y_{class}$
$x_1$	1	1	1.25	B
$x_2$	1	3	7.0	A
$x_3$	3	2	2.7	C
$x_4$	3	3	3.2	A
$x_5$	2	4	5.5	B

$$w = (x^T \cdot x)^{-1} \cdot x^T \cdot z$$

Moore-Penrose  
solution

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad z = \begin{bmatrix} 1.25 \\ 7.0 \\ 2.7 \\ 3.2 \\ 5.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$W = \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1.25 \\ 7.0 \\ 2.7 \\ 3.2 \\ 5.5 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 10 & 13 \\ 10 & 24 & 27 \\ 13 & 27 & 39 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1.25 \\ 7.0 \\ 2.7 \\ 3.2 \\ 5.5 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{23}{11} & \frac{-13}{33} & \frac{-14}{33} \\ \frac{-13}{33} & \frac{26}{99} & \frac{-5}{99} \\ \frac{-14}{33} & \frac{-5}{99} & \frac{20}{99} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1.25 \\ 7.0 \\ 2.7 \\ 3.2 \\ 5.5 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{14}{11} & \frac{14}{33} & \frac{2}{33} & \frac{-4}{11} & \frac{-13}{33} \\ \frac{-2}{11} & \frac{-28}{99} & \frac{29}{99} & \frac{8}{33} & \frac{-7}{99} \\ \frac{-3}{11} & \frac{13}{99} & \frac{-17}{99} & \frac{1}{33} & \frac{28}{99} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1.25 \\ 7.0 \\ 2.7 \\ 3.2 \\ 5.5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{46}{33} \\ \frac{-1019}{990} \\ \frac{3499}{1980} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Output}(y_1, y_2) = \frac{46}{33} - \frac{1019}{990} \cdot y_1 + \frac{3499}{1980} \cdot y_2$$

2. Ridge regression loss difference is in the loss function

$$E(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (z_i - \hat{z}_i)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|_2^2$$

↓ Moore Penrose solution

$$w = (X^T \cdot X + \lambda \cdot I)^{-1} \cdot X^T \cdot z$$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad z = \begin{bmatrix} 1.25 \\ 7.0 \\ 2.7 \\ 3.2 \\ 5.5 \end{bmatrix} \quad \lambda = 1$$

$$w = \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} + \lambda \cdot I \right)^{-1} \cdot X^T \cdot z$$

$$= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 10 & 13 \\ 10 & 24 & 27 \\ 13 & 27 & 39 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \cdot X^T \cdot z$$

$$= \left( \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 10 & 13 \\ 10 & 25 & 27 \\ 13 & 27 & 40 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \cdot X^T \cdot z =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{271}{421} & \frac{-49}{421} & \frac{55}{421} \\ \frac{-49}{421} & \frac{31}{421} & \frac{-32}{421} \\ \frac{55}{421} & \frac{-32}{421} & \frac{59}{421} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1.25 \\ 7.0 \\ 2.7 \\ 3.2 \\ 5.5 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{167}{421} & \frac{57}{421} & \frac{14}{421} & \frac{-41}{421} & \frac{-47}{421} \\ \frac{-10}{421} & \frac{-74}{421} & \frac{100}{421} & \frac{68}{421} & \frac{-35}{421} \\ \frac{-34}{421} & \frac{63}{421} & \frac{-51}{421} & \frac{-1}{421} & \frac{81}{421} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1.25 \\ 7.0 \\ 2.7 \\ 3.2 \\ 5.5 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5117}{8420} \\ \frac{-1177}{2105} \\ \frac{13987}{8420} \end{bmatrix} \quad w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{46}{33} \\ \frac{-1019}{990} \\ \frac{3499}{1980} \end{bmatrix} \quad w_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5117}{8420} \\ \frac{-1177}{2105} \\ \frac{13987}{8420} \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we compare the norm of weight vectors.  
Ridge has a lower norm.

$$\|w_1\| = \frac{6136619}{71280} = 6.12541$$

$$\|w_2\| = \frac{121992561}{35448200} = 3.4416$$

Even if we remove the bias Ridge also has higher weight values.

$$\|w_1\| = \frac{3279284}{784080} = 4.18$$

$$\|w_2\| = \frac{217801433}{7086400} = 3.07$$

$$b = 1.3934$$

simple linear regression

$$b = 0.6077$$

Ridge regression

Both weights and bias are significantly penalized in Ridge regression.

$$3. \text{ RMSE} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(z_i - \hat{z}_i)^2}{n}}$$

$$x_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad x_7 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad x_8 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Simple linear regression:

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{46}{33} \\ \frac{-1019}{990} \\ \frac{3499}{1980} \end{bmatrix} \quad \hat{z}_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{46}{33} \\ \frac{-1019}{990} \\ \frac{3499}{1980} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{947}{330} = 2.8697$$

$$\hat{z}_7 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{46}{33} \\ \frac{-1019}{990} \\ \frac{3499}{1980} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{386}{99} = 3.8990$$

$$\hat{z}_8 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{46}{33} \\ \frac{-1019}{990} \\ \frac{3499}{1980} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{-3931}{1980} = -1.9854$$

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{(0.7 - 2.8697)^2 + (1.1 - 3.8990)^2 + (2.2 - (-1.9854))^2}{3}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{4.7076 + 7.8344 + 4.1854}{3}} = 2.361$$

Ridge Regression

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{5117}{8420} \\ \frac{-1177}{2105} \\ \frac{13987}{8420} \end{bmatrix} \quad \hat{z}_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot w = \frac{4735}{1684} = 2.8118$$

$$\hat{z}_7 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot w = \frac{28383}{8420} = 3.3709$$

$$\hat{z}_8 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot w = \frac{-1109}{2105} = -0.5268$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{(0.7 - 2.8118)^2 + (1.1 - 3.3709)^2 + (2.2 + 0.5268)^2}{3}} =$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{4.61597}{3} + \frac{5.1570}{3} + \frac{7.43543824}{3}} =$$

$$= \sqrt{5.68410} = 2.384$$

Simple linear regression  $\longrightarrow 2.361$

Ridge linear regression  $\longrightarrow 2.384$

Ridge regression usually prevents overfitting. In this case it did not improve the model's accuracy so it is likely that linear regression was underfitting, that is why ridge worsened the results.

$$4. \quad W^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.2 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \quad b^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$W^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad b^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad W^1 x_1 + b^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.2 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.4 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow z^1$$

$$W^2 z^1 + b^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.3 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{17}{3} \\ \frac{13}{10} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{20}{3} \\ \frac{23}{10} \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow z^2$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N e^{z_i^2} = e^{\frac{20}{3}} + e^{\frac{23}{10}} + e^2 = 803.1352$$

$$\frac{e^{\frac{20}{3}}}{803.1352} = 0.07838 \quad \hat{z}_1 = A$$

$$\frac{e^{\frac{23}{10}}}{803.1352} = 0.01241$$

$$\frac{e^2}{803.1352} = 0.00020$$

Our targets for  $x_1$  are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$