

$v_1 = \lambda _ : () \rightarrow \text{close}(\text{send}() w)$
 $x_2 = \text{let}(x, n) = \text{receive } n \text{ in Wait } n$
 $x = \text{let}(w, n) = \text{new } !() \cdot \text{end!} \text{ in } x_2; (\text{fork } v_1); x$

Type Derivation

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{T-Const} \\
 \hline
 \vdash \text{send} : () \rightarrow (!() \cdot \text{end!}) \rightarrow \text{end!} \quad \vdash () : () \quad \text{T-Const} \\
 \hline
 \vdash \text{send} : () \rightarrow (!() \cdot \text{end!}) \rightarrow \text{end!} \quad \vdash () : () \quad \text{T-APP} \\
 \hline
 \text{T-Const} \quad \vdash \text{send} : () \rightarrow (!() \cdot \text{end!}) \rightarrow \text{end!} \quad \vdash w : !() \cdot \text{end!} \vdash w : !() \cdot \text{end!} \quad \text{T-VAR} \\
 \vdash \text{close} : \text{end!} \rightarrow () \quad \vdash w : !() \cdot \text{end!} \vdash \text{send}() \quad \vdash w : \text{end!} \quad \text{T-APP} \\
 \hline
 \vdash w : !() \cdot \text{end!} \vdash \text{close}(\text{send}() w) : () \quad \text{T-ABS} \\
 \hline
 ** \vdash w : !() \cdot \text{end!} \vdash \lambda _ : () \rightarrow \text{close}(\text{send}() w) : () \rightarrow ()
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{T-VAR} \quad \text{T-Const} \quad ** \\
 \vdash x : () \vdash x : () \quad \vdash \text{fork} : () \rightarrow () \rightarrow () \quad ** \\
 \hline
 \vdash (\lambda _ : (). x) : () \rightarrow () \quad \vdash w : !() \cdot \text{end!} \vdash \text{fork}(\lambda _ : () \rightarrow \text{close}(\text{send}() w)) : () \rightarrow () \quad \text{T-APP} \\
 \hline
 \vdash w : !() \cdot \text{end!} \vdash (\lambda _ : (). x) \text{fork}(\lambda _ : () \rightarrow \text{close}(\text{send}() w)) : () \rightarrow () \quad \text{T-APP} \\
 \hline
 \vdash w : !() \cdot \text{end!} \vdash (\lambda _ : (). (\lambda _ : (). x) \text{fork}(\lambda _ : () \rightarrow \text{close}(\text{send}() w))) : () \rightarrow () \quad \text{T-ABS} \\
 \hline
 \vdash w : !() \cdot \text{end!}, n : ?() \cdot \text{end?} \vdash (\lambda _ : (). (\lambda _ : (). x) \text{fork}(\lambda _ : () \rightarrow \text{close}(\text{send}() w))) \text{let}(x, n) = \text{receive } n \text{ in } \equiv \\
 \text{T-NEW} \quad \text{T-CONST} \quad \text{T-Const} \quad \text{T-VAR} \\
 \vdash \text{new } !\text{Wait} \cdot \text{end!} : (!() \cdot \text{end!}) \times (!() \cdot \text{end!}) \quad \vdash w : !() \cdot \text{end!}, n : ?() \cdot \text{end?} \vdash \text{let}(x, n) = \text{receive } n \text{ in Wait } n; \text{fork}(\lambda _ : () \rightarrow \text{close}(\text{send}() w)); x : () \quad \text{T-APP} \\
 \hline
 \vdash \text{let}(w, n) = \text{new } !() \cdot \text{end!} \text{ in } x_2; (\text{fork } v_1); x : () \quad \text{T-XELIM}
 \end{array}$$

Reduction Derivation Steps

$$\langle x \rangle \rightarrow (vxy) \langle \text{let } (w, n) = \text{ms } w \text{ !unit. end! in } e_2; (\text{fork } v_1); x \rangle \quad \text{R-NLW}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{let } (w, n) = (x, y) \text{ in } e_2; (\text{fork } v_1); x \rightarrow \text{let } (x, y) = \text{receive } y \text{ in wait } y; \text{fork } (\lambda. () \rightarrow \text{eval } (\text{end } () x)); x \\ \langle \text{let } (w, n) = (x, y) \text{ in } e_2; (\text{fork } v_1); x \rangle \rightarrow \langle e_2; (\text{fork } v_1); x \rangle \quad \text{R-THREAD} \\ (vxy) \langle \text{let } (w, n) = (x, y) \text{ in } e_2; (\text{fork } v_1); x \rangle \rightarrow (vxy) \langle e_2; (\text{fork } v_1); x \rangle \quad \text{R-RES} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \langle (\lambda. (). (\lambda. (). x) e_2) (\text{fork } v_1) \rangle \rightarrow \langle (\lambda. (). (\lambda. (). y) e_2) () \rangle \mid \langle v_1 () \rangle \quad \text{R-FORK} \\ (vxy) \langle (\lambda. (). (\lambda. (). x) e_2) (\text{fork } v_1) \rangle \rightarrow (vxy) \langle (\lambda. (). (\lambda. (). x) e_2) () \rangle \mid \langle v_1 () \rangle \quad \text{R-RES} \\ \Downarrow \\ e_2; (\text{fork } v_1); x \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} (\lambda. (). (\lambda. (). x) e_2) () \rightarrow (\lambda. (). x) e_2 \quad \text{E-APP} \\ \langle (\lambda. (). (\lambda. (). x) e_2) () \rangle \rightarrow \langle (\lambda. (). x) e_2 \rangle \quad \text{R-THREAD} \\ \langle (\lambda. (). (\lambda. (). x) e_2) () \rangle \mid \langle v_1 () \rangle \rightarrow \langle (\lambda. (). x) e_2 \rangle \mid \langle v_1 () \rangle \quad \text{R-PAR} \\ (vxy) \langle (\lambda. (). (\lambda. (). x) e_2) () \rangle \mid \langle v_1 () \rangle \rightarrow (vxy) \langle (\lambda. (). x) e_2 \rangle \mid \langle v_1 () \rangle \quad \text{R-RES} \end{array}$$

Repeating the last derivations ^{tree}, we have that:

$$(vxy) \langle (\lambda. (). x) e_2 \rangle \mid \langle v_1 () \rangle \rightarrow (vxy) \langle x \rangle \mid \langle v_1 () \rangle$$

We can conclude that, since the process $\langle x \rangle$ can never end up in a "wait x " or "eval y " format.

With this, we can conclude that R-close will never apply and we don't have to reduce any further to show this.