# Static Type Checker Implementation for LX++

Guilherme Leitão ist199951

#### 1 Overview

The LX++ interpreter implements a static type checker that ensures type safety before program execution. The type system supports functional programming with mutable state, labeled types (products and sums), and recursive type definitions. The implementation follows the big-step typing rules studied in the course. As a side note, labeled product and sum types are terms I've personally interchanged with structs and unions, respectively.

#### 2 Architecture

#### 2.1 Core Components

The type checker consists of four main components:

- 1. TypeChecker: Entry point that initiates type checking
- 2. **TypeEnvironment**: Manages variable-to-type bindings  $(\Gamma)$
- 3. TypeDefEnvironment: Manages type definitions
- 4. **Subtyping**: Implements subtyping rules and type equality

#### 2.2 Type Representation

Types are represented as AST nodes implementing the ASTType interface:

```
public interface ASTType {
    String toStr();
}

// Basic types
class ASTTInt implements ASTType { ... }
class ASTTBool implements ASTType { ... }
class ASTTString implements ASTType { ... }
class ASTTString implements ASTType { ... }

// Compound types
class ASTTUnit implements ASTType { ... }
class ASTTList implements ASTType { ... }
class ASTTList implements ASTType { ... }
class ASTTStruct implements ASTType { ... } // Which are the labeled
    product types
class ASTTUnion implements ASTType { ... } // which are the labeled sum
    types
```

Listing 1: Basic Type Hierarchy

## 3 Type Checking Algorithm

#### 3.1 AST Node Type Checking

Each AST node implements a typecheck method following the typing rules:

Listing 2: Type Checking Interface

#### 3.2 Example: Binary Operations

The type checker ensures operands have compatible types:

Listing 3: Plus Operation Type Checking

#### 3.3 Dual Function Type System

LX++ implements a dual function type system to support both single-parameter and multiparameter functions elegantly:

- **ASTTArrow**: Traditional curried function type  $(A \to B)$
- **ASTTFunction**: Multi-parameter function type  $(A_1, ..., A_n) \to B$

This allows natural syntax for multi-parameter functions while maintaining compatibility with curried functions:

```
switch (this.expectedType) {
    case ASTTFunction funcType -> {
        inferredParamTypes = funcType.getParamTypes();
        expectedReturnType = funcType.getReturnType();
    }
    case ASTTArrow arrowType -> {
        inferredParamTypes = Arrays.asList(arrowType.getDomain());
        expectedReturnType = arrowType.getCodomain();
    }
}
// ... validate and type check body
}
```

Listing 4: Function Type Checking with Type Inference

## 4 Subtyping Implementation

#### 4.1 Subtyping Rules

The subtyping system implements standard rules including:

- Reflexivity: A <: A
- Transitivity:  $A <: B \land B <: C \implies A <: C$
- Function contravariance:  $C <: A \land B <: D \implies A \rightarrow B <: C \rightarrow D$
- Labeled products width subtyping: structs with more fields are subtypes
- Reference invariance:  $A <:> B \implies \operatorname{ref}(A) <: \operatorname{ref}(B)$

Listing 5: Struct Subtyping Implementation

#### 4.2 Recursive Type Resolution

The type checker handles recursive types through careful resolution:

Listing 6: Recursive Type Resolution

## 5 Separate Match Constructs

LX++ implements two distinct pattern matching constructs for clarity:

- 1. **ASTMatch**: For list pattern matching (nil and cons cases)
- 2. ASTCaseMatch: For union type pattern matching

This separation provides better type safety and clearer semantics:

```
// Ensure compatible branch types
if (!Subtyping.isSubtype(nilCaseType, consCaseType, typeDefs) &&
   !Subtyping.isSubtype(consCaseType, nilCaseType, typeDefs))
    throw new TypeError("Match_cases_must_have_compatible_types");

return /* more general type */;
}
```

Listing 7: List Match Type Checking

## 6 Type Definitions and Environments

#### 6.1 Type Definition Handling

Type definitions are processed before the main program body:

```
public ASTType typecheck(TypeEnvironment gamma,
                        TypeDefEnvironment typeDefEnv) throws TypeError {
    TypeDefEnvironment newTypeDefEnv = typeDefEnv.beginScope();
    TypeEnvironment newGamma = gamma.beginScope();
    // Register all type definitions
    for (Map.Entry < String, ASTType > entry : this.typeDefs.entrySet())
        newTypeDefEnv.assoc(entry.getKey(), entry.getValue());
    // Add union constructors to type environment
    for (Map.Entry < String, ASTType > entry : this.typeDefs.entrySet()) {
        if (entry.getValue() instanceof ASTTUnion unionType) {
            for (Map.Entry<String, ASTType> variant :
                 unionType.getVariants().entrySet()) {
                ASTType constructorType = new ASTTArrow(
                    variant.getValue(),
                    new ASTTId(entry.getKey())
                newGamma.assoc(variant.getKey(), constructorType);
            }
        }
    }
    return this.body.typecheck(newGamma, newTypeDefEnv);
}
```

Listing 8: Type Definition Processing

#### 7 Notable Features

#### 7.1 String Concatenation with Type Coercion

The + operator supports automatic type conversion to strings:

```
"The _{\sqcup} answer _{\sqcup} is: _{\sqcup}" + 42 // Results in "The answer is: 42"
```

## 7.2 Reference Type Invariance

Reference types require exact type matching to ensure memory safety:

```
ref(A) <: ref(B) iff A <:> B (A and B are equivalent)
```

## 8 Conclusion

The LX++ type checker implements a sophisticated type system that balances expressiveness with safety. The dual function type system, separate match constructs, and careful handling of subtyping relationships provide a robust foundation for static type checking in a functional-imperative language. The implementation closely follows the formal semantics while providing practical features like type inference and automatic string conversion.