# Static Type Checker Implementation for LX++

Guilherme Leitão ist199951

### 1 Architecture

### 1.1 Core Components

The type checker consists of four main components:

- 1. TypeChecker: Entry point that initiates type checking
- 2. **TypeEnvironment**: Manages variable-to-type bindings  $(\Gamma)$
- 3. **TypeDefEnvironment**: Manages type definitions  $(\Phi)$
- 4. Subtyping: Implements subtyping rules and type equality

### 1.2 Type Representation

Types are represented as AST nodes implementing the ASTType interface:

```
public interface ASTType {
    String toStr();
}

// Basic types
class ASTTInt implements ASTType { ... }
class ASTTBool implements ASTType { ... }
class ASTTString implements ASTType { ... }
class ASTTUnit implements ASTType { ... }

// Compound types
class ASTTRef implements ASTType { ... }
class ASTTList implements ASTType { ... }
class ASTTStruct implements ASTType { ... }
// labeled product types
class ASTTUnion implements ASTType { ... } // labeled sum types
```

Listing 1: Basic Type Hierarchy

# 2 Type Checking Algorithm

### 2.1 AST Node Type Checking

Each AST node implements a typecheck method following the typing rules:

Listing 2: Type Checking Interface

### 2.2 Example: Dereferencing Operations

The type checker ensures operands have compatible types:

Listing 3: Dereferencing Type Checking

### 3 Subtyping Implementation

### 3.1 Subtyping Rules

The subtyping system implements standard rules including:

- Reflexivity: A <: A
- Transitivity:  $A <: B \land B <: C \implies A <: C$
- Function countervariance:  $C <: A \land B <: D \implies A \rightarrow B <: C \rightarrow D$
- Labeled products width subtyping: structs with more fields are subtypes
- Reference invariance:  $A <:> B \implies \operatorname{ref}(A) <: \operatorname{ref}(B)$

```
return false; // Missing required field

ASTType subFieldType = subFields.get(fieldName);
if (!isSubtype(subFieldType, superFieldType, typeDefs))
    return false; // Field type mismatch
}
return true;
}
```

Listing 4: Struct Subtyping Implementation

### 3.2 Recursive Type Resolution

The type checker handles recursive types through careful resolution:

Listing 5: Recursive Type Resolution

# 4 Separate Match Constructs

LX++ implements two distinct pattern matching constructs for clarity:

- 1. **ASTMatch**: For list pattern matching (nil and cons cases)
- 2. ASTCaseMatch: For union type pattern matching

This separation provides better type safety and clearer semantics:

Listing 6: List Match Type Checking

### 5 Type Definitions and Environments

### 5.1 Type Definition Handling

Type definitions are processed before the main program body:

```
public ASTType typecheck(TypeEnvironment gamma,
                        TypeDefEnvironment typeDefEnv) throws TypeError {
    TypeDefEnvironment newTypeDefEnv = typeDefEnv.beginScope();
    TypeEnvironment newGamma = gamma.beginScope();
    // Register all type definitions
    for (Map.Entry < String, ASTType > entry : this.typeDefs.entrySet())
        newTypeDefEnv.assoc(entry.getKey(), entry.getValue());
    // Add union constructors to type environment
    for (Map.Entry < String, ASTType > entry : this.typeDefs.entrySet()) {
        if (entry.getValue() instanceof ASTTUnion unionType) {
            for (Map.Entry < String, ASTType > variant :
                 unionType.getVariants().entrySet()) {
                ASTType constructorType = new ASTTArrow(
                    variant.getValue(),
                    new ASTTId(entry.getKey())
                newGamma.assoc(variant.getKey(), constructorType);
            }
        }
   return this.body.typecheck(newGamma, newTypeDefEnv);
}
```

Listing 7: Type Definition Processing