CSE 302: Compiler Design — Lab 3

Control Structures

Out: 2019-09-26 13:15:00 Tests due: 2019-10-03 15:15:00 Compilers due: 2019-10-10 15:15:00

1 INTRODUCTION

In this lab you will modify the compiler you built in lab 2 to support the language BX1, which is an extension of BX0 with booleans, comparisons, and control structures.

- Tests: by 2019-10-03, we would like you to submit 5 *non-trivial* test programs written in the BX1 language. These programs will be made available to everyone during the second week.
- This lab is intended to be worked on individually, not in teams.

This lab will be assessed. It is worth 15% (i.e., 3 points) of your final grade. To get full credit, you must submit the final version of your compiler in 2 weeks, i.e., strictly before 2019-10-10 15:15:00 CEST. Every additional hour past this deadline incurs a (20/24)% = 0.83% penalty.

2 THE **BX1** LANGUAGE

2.1 Syntax

The BX1 language is a strict superset of the BX0 language, but not all valid BX0 programs will be valid BX1 programs. This is because BX1 adds *variable declarations* and a new requirement that every variable that is used in the program must be declared at the top of the program.

LINE COMMENTS BX1 allows for comments in the source text. Each comment begins with the literal text //, which causes the entire rest of the line (i.e., up to the next occurrence of a newline character or the end of the file) to be ignored. Note that C-style comments delimited between /* and */ are not supported. An entire line comment is interpreted as the equivalent of a single space character. Thus, the following code is syntactically equivalent to the ill-formed statement y = x < 0.5

```
y = x <// cannot break in the middle of a multi-character operator or keyword < 10;
```

Types Unlike in BX0 where all variables (and temporaries) were of integral type, in BX1 there are two types: signed 64-bit integers (int64) and booleans (bool). These two types are kept completely separate, so a boolean cannot be implicitly converted to an integer, nor can an integer be interpreted as a boolean. The boolean type has two new boolean-valued immediates (i.e., constants): true and false.

```
⟨type⟩ ::= "int64" | "bool"
```

¹Stylistic note: we will keep the word "boolean" uncapitalized in this course.

operator	description	arity	precedence
11	boolean or	binary	3
&&	boolean and	binary	6
1	bitwise or	binary	10
٨	bitwise xor	binary	20
&	bitwise and	binary	30
==, !=	equality	binary	33
<,<= >,>=	inequalities	binary	36
<<,>>	bitwise shifts	binary	40
+,-	addition, subtraction	binary	50
*,/,%	multiplication, division, modulus	binary	60
-,!	integer/boolean negations	unary	70
~	bitwise complement	unary	80

Figure 1: Operator precedence table for BX1 (higher precedence binds tighter)

VARIABLE DECLARATIONS All variable declarations in BX1 are prefixed with the keyword <u>var</u> and suffixed with the type of the variables being defined. Here are some examples:

```
var x : int64;
                               // uninitialized
var y = 20 : int64;
                               // initialized
\underline{\text{var}} z = x + y : \text{int64};
                              // can initialize with expressions
var u, v = 42, w = v + 20 : int64;
                               // can mix initialized and uninitialied vars;
                               // can also initialize wrt. other initialized vars
                               // declared earlier in the same "var" declaration
// \ var \ x, \ y, \ x : int64;
                               // illegal: x declared multiple times
// var m = m : int64;
                               // illegal: m unknown when trying to initialize m
// var m = n, n = 20 : int64;
                               // illegal: n unknown when trying to initialize m
var n = 20, m = n : int64; // OK
                               // boolean vars
\underline{\text{var}} b1, b2 = \underline{\text{true}} : bool;
```

In the BX1 grammar, we add a new non-terminal called $\langle vardecl \rangle$ to stand for variable declarations. It is defined in terms of $\langle varinit \rangle$, which stands for a *possibly initialized variable*.

COMPARISON AND BOOLEAN OPERATORS BX1 adds the equality (==) and inequality (!=) operators between two expressions *of the same type*. Additionally, for integer expressions, BX1 adds the usual inequality relations (<, <=, >, >=). All such operators are binary and produce an expression of bool type.

BX1 also adds the *short-circuiting* version of boolean and (&&) and boolean or (||) along with boolean neagation (!). Short-circuiting means that when evaluating the arguments of the binary operators left-to-

right, if the left operand evaluates to a value that renders the right operand moot then the computation of the right operand is simply omitted. Specifically, in the following cases, the expression E is not computed.

```
u = false && E;
u = true || E;
```

Figure 1 contains the opdated operator precedence table.

BX1 PROGRAMS Unlike in BX0, in BX1 all valid (program)s begin with a sequence of variable declarations, which are then followed by a sequence of statements. The statements are only allowed to use the declared variables. BX1 adds *three* new kinds of statements: (block)s, (ifelse)s, and (while)s.

```
\begin{split} \langle \mathsf{program} \rangle &::= \langle \mathsf{vardecl} \rangle^* \, \langle \mathsf{statement} \rangle^* \\ \langle \mathsf{statement} \rangle &::= \langle \mathsf{move} \rangle \, | \, \langle \mathsf{print} \rangle \, | \, \langle \mathsf{block} \rangle \, | \, \langle \mathsf{ifelse} \rangle \, | \, \langle \mathsf{while} \rangle \end{split}
```

BX0 STATEMENT FORMS The (move) and (print) statement forms from BX0 continue to be valid BX1 statements, except now the terminal semi-colon is part of their syntax. In other words, semi-colons are not used to separate statements any more in BX1.

```
\langle move \rangle ::= \langle variable \rangle "=" \langle expr \rangle ";" \langle print \rangle ::= "print" \langle expression \rangle ";"
```

STATEMENT BLOCKS A sequence (possibly empty) of statements delimited with { and }.

```
\langle \mathsf{block} \rangle ::= "\{" \langle \mathsf{statement} \rangle^* "\}"
```

CONDITIONALS (if ... else ...) BX1 follows the form of C-like languages and adds a condition statement, (ifelse), that begins with the keyword else. Immediately after the parenthesized condition expression, there is a block that is executed if the condition computes to true. There is an optional else clause that handles the case where the condition is false: this clause can be a block that to be executed, or can be another (ifelse) statement to continue in an if ... else if ... chain.

```
\langle \mathsf{ifelse} \rangle ::= \texttt{"if" "("} \langle \mathsf{expr} \rangle ") \texttt{"} \langle \mathsf{block} \rangle \, (\, \texttt{"else"} \, (\langle \mathsf{ifelse} \rangle \mid \langle \mathsf{block} \rangle) \, )?
```

Unstructured Loops (while) BX1 also uses the C-like while loops which repeatedly evaluate the condition expression until it becomes false. Every time the condition computes to true, the body of the loop (a $\langle block \rangle$) is executed.

```
\langle \mathsf{while} \rangle ::= \mathsf{"while"} \mathsf{"("} \langle \mathsf{expr} \rangle \mathsf{")"} \langle \mathsf{block} \rangle
```

2.2 Semantics and Type-Checking

Not every BX1 program that can be parsed will be *well-formed*, and not all well-formed programs will *type-check*. From the parse tree that the parser builds based on the grammar, you will have to perform some *syntactic analysis* to build the abstract syntax tree (AST), and then perform *type-checking* to check that all the type restrictions are followed. Type-checking will also be used to annotate the internal nodes of the AST with the types of the corresponding subexpressions for use by later stages of the compiler.

Well-Formed BX1 Programs A BX1 program is considered to be well-formed if:

- Whenever a variable is used in the program, it occurs syntactically earlier in the variable declarations. Note that variables can also be used to initialize other variables.
- No *uninitialized* variable is read from before it is written to at least once.

The first restriction forces the programmer to make conscious decisions about all the variables they need to use in a BX1 program, while the second restriction catches inadvertent mistakes.

TYPE-CHECKING A well-formed BX1 program may nevertheless fail to have a sensible meaning because it does not respect the type discipline. In particular, BX1 has the following type restrictions:

- The arithmetic operators (+, -, *, /, %) and bitwise operators (&, |, ^, ~, <<, >>) are only allowed to have int64 arguments and they build int64 expressions.
- The inequality operators (<, <=, >, >=) must have int64 arguments and they build bool expressions.
- The comparison operators (==, !=) must have two operands of the same type and they build bool expressions.
- The boolean operators (&&, ||,!) must have bool arguments and they build bool expressions.

Note that the argument to <u>print</u> can be an expression of any type. As BX1 evolves we will try our best to retain this property of <u>print</u>: it will be able to display the result of any BX expression.

2.3 *Interpreter*

To help you with writing the compiler, we provide an *interpreter* for BX1 programs. The interpreter directly executes a program from its source code, without first compiling it to machine code. The BX1 interpreter is available at the following location in the lab computers:²

/users/profs/info/kaustuv.chaudhuri/CSE302/BX1/interpret.exe

To run interpret. exe, you have to give it the BX1 source file as its sole argument. For example:

```
$ /users/profs/info/kaustuv.chaudhuri/CSE302/BX1/interpret.exe fibs.bx
0
1
1
2
3
:
```

The interpreter will complain about ill-formed and ill-typed BX1 programs. You don't have to mimic the error messages of the interpreter verbatim. Just use it as a guide.

²I plan to put the interpreter online so you can run it in a browser. This document will be updated once I get this working.

3 WEEK 1 TASK: WRITING THE **BX1** PARSER

We will illustrate three styles of writing parsers: Antlr, Lex/Yacc, and roll-your-own recursive descent (not recommended). We will use the following simple EBNF grammar for a language named E for illustration.

3.1 *Antlr Parsers*

The sample code that was given to you for lab 1 was based on a parser generator tool called Antlr4. Antlr4 takes a grammar description file that looks very similar to EBNF, and generates code for an efficient parser for that grammar. This generated parser internally builds a *parse tree* that closely mirrors the definition of the grammar. Antlr4 also generates code for a generic traversal class (called a *walker*) for the parse tree, which we then subclass to create any particular AST we desire.

To write an Antlr4-based parser, we have to first write a *grammar file*, which is a file with the .g4 extension. Here is the above E grammar, written in E .g4.

```
grammar E ; // name of the grammar
// each production of a nonterminal is given a unique name
// written after the # character
expr: NUMBER
                            # number
    // the productions are listed in order of decreasing precedence
    // i.e., higher productions are reduced before lower ones
    | expr '*' expr
                           # times
    // individual subtrees can be given names with =
    | l=expr op='+' r=expr # plus
    // here the first expr subtree is named 1, the
    // operator text is named op, and the second expr
    // subtree is named r
    | '(' expr ')'
                            # parens
    // the list of productions always ends with a semi-colon
// capitalized names stand for terminals, and they are
// mapped to regular expressions
NUMBER: [0-9]+;
// there are special non-terminals for whitespace, comments, etc.
// that are ignored, indicated with skip
WHITESPACE: [ \t\r\n] -> skip ;
```

The syntax of such a grammar is fairly straightforward and easily translated from the EBNF. You may also use parentheses and the operators *, +, ?, etc. to indicate regular structures in the grammar.

To generate a grammar from such a grammar file, we have to run the Antlr4 tool on it. By default the tool will generate a parser written in Java, but you can change the language to C++, Python, etc. using the -Dlanguage= option. The output directory can be specified with the -O option.

FROM FILES TO PARSE TREES The code that Antlr4 generates can be used to transform a syntactically valid E source file into a *parse tree*, which are subclasses of the class ParseTree (found in the Antlr4 library, called its *runtime*). Here is how to generate such a parse tree in Java:

```
import org.antlr.v4.runtime.*;
import org.antlr.v4.runtime.tree.*;
// ExprContext is a subclass of ParseTree corresponding
// to a subtree holding with an expr at the root node
class E {
  public static EParser.ExprContext parseFile(String file) throws Exception {
     CharStream input = CharStreams.fromFileName(file);
     // the lexer class is named <grammarname>Lexer
     ELexer lexer = new ELexer(input);
     // use the lexer to make a stream of tokens
     CommonTokenStream tokens = new CommonTokenStream(lexer);
     // create a parser that reads this token stream;
     // the parser class is named <grammarname>Parser
     EParser parser = new EParser(tokens);
     // every nonterminal is a function in the parser object
     return parser.expr();
  }
}
```

And here it is in C++:

```
#include <iostream>
#include "ELexer.h"

#include "EParser.h"

void process_e(std::string file) {
   std::ifstream stream;
   stream.open(file);
   antlr4::ANTLRInputStream input{stream};
   ELexer lexer{&input};
   antlr4::CommonTokenStream tokens{&lexer};
   EParser parser{&tokens};
   antlr4::tree::ParseTree *tree = parser->expr();
   // now we process the tree
}
```

Walking a Parse Tree The ParseTree object that is returned is a fairly generic tree implementation that you can modify with your own functions. However, one standard style of using the parse tree is to perform an inorder traversal of the parse tree and use that traversal to compute an AST. This is where the Listener class comes in: it is just a class with a collection of enter/exit callbacks, one per name of a production (i.e., the stuff after # in E.g4). This is what it looks like for the Java case.

```
public interface EListener
  extends org.antlr.v4.runtime.tree.ParseTreeListener
{
  void enterNumber(EParser.NumberContext ctx);
  void exitNumber(EParser.NumberContext ctx);
  void enterParens(EParser.ParensContext ctx);
  void exitParens(EParser.ParensContext ctx);
  void enterTimes(EParser.TimesContext ctx);
  void exitTimes(EParser.TimesContext ctx);
  void enterPlus(EParser.PlusContext ctx);
  void exitPlus(EParser.PlusContext ctx);
}
```

As the walker object is walking the parse tree, whenever it starts to visit an internal node that has been produced by a production named N, it calls the entern() function. Likewise, after it has fully walked the subtree rooted at this node, it calls the exitn() function. In both cases, the argument to the function for a production named N is the corresponding instance of a ParseTree subclass that holds the node, which is named like EParser.NContext.

It is your job to write a subclass of this EListener class by filling in the details of all the functions. In general, the exit() functions are what you will want to override and use them to build the AST subtree corresponding to a given production. For example, suppose we define the AST for expressions as follows:

```
class Expr { }
class Number extends Expr {
   public final int value;
   public Number(int value) { this.value = value; }
}
class Binop extends Expr {
   public final Expr left, right;
   public static enum Op { PLUS, TIMES; }
   public final Op op;
   public Binop(Expr left, Op op, Expr right) {
      this.left = left;
      this.op = op;
      this.right = right;
   }
}
```

Our EListener subclass needs to build the corresponding Expr subclass as it traverses the parse tree (subclass) returned by E.parseFile(). Since the exit() methods do not return anything, we will have to explicitly manage the "call stack" ourselves by maintaining a stack of Expr instances (corresponding to the siblings to the left of the parse tree). Here is how it looks.

```
// EBaseListener is an implementation of EListener that does
// nothing for all the methods; we will override the exit() methods
class EReader extends EBaseListener {
  public List<Expr> stack = new ArrayList<>();
  // all terminals just get pushed on the stack
  @Override void exitNumber(EParser.NumberContext ctx) {
    String txt = ctx.getText(); // the literal text that was parsed
    this.stack.push(new Number(Integer.parseInt(txt)));
  }
  // for non-terminals, the top of the stack contains the
  // operands, so we pop them off, build the AST node, and then
  // push the node back onto the stack
  @Override void exitTimes(EParser.TimesContext ctx) {
     // the operands are on the stack in reverse order
     Expr right = this.stack.pop();
     Expr left = this.stack.pop();
     // as a sanity, check that the operator was correct
     assert(ctx.op().getText().equals("*"));
     this.stack.push(new Binop(left, Binop.Op.TIMES, right));
  }
  @Override void exitPlus(EParser.PlusContext ctx) {
     Expr right = this.stack.pop();
     Expr left = this.stack.pop();
     // we *know* that the operator was "+", so no need to check
     this.stack.push(new Binop(left, Binop.Op.PLUS, right));
  }
}
```

Using this subclass of EListener is fairly simple: we create a walker object that traveres the parse tree using our listener, and in the end we retrieve the top of its stack (which would contain the contents of the root-most exit() call). Let us modify our parseFile() method.

```
class E {
  public static Expr parseFile(String file) throws Exception {
    // ... the stuff from before up to:
    EParser parser = new EParser(tokens);
    EReader reader = new EReader(); // our listener
    ParseTreeWalker walker = new ParseTreeWalker(); // the inorder traverser
    walker.walk(reader, parser.expr());
    // now reader's stack contains the final Expr that was built
    return reader.stack.pop();
}
```

The C++ version of this code is pretty similar. You can use the above guide together with the sample solution to lab 1 to see how to do it in C++.

3.2 *Lex/Yacc Parsers*

A more traditional alternative to the Antlr4 style is the Lex/Yacc style that consists of:

- A lexical scanner (lex), which transforms input text to tokens based on a lexer specification. Modern implementations are flex (C/C++), JFlex (Java), ocamllex (OCaml), etc.
- A parser generator (Yacc), which builds efficient parsers of tokens based on a grammar slecification. These parser generators usually limit themselves to a fragment of LR grammars, generally LALR(k) for $k \le 2$, for reasons of efficiency. Modern implementations are bison (C/C++), Java-CUP (Java), Menhir (OCaml), etc.

Here we will give an example of this style of parsing in OCaml, using ocamllex and Menhir. Our target will be the following definition of the AST for the E language.

THE GRAMMAR SPECIFICATION In the Lex/Yacc style, it is common to write the grammar specification before writing the lexer specification, since the former informs what tokens the latter needs to scan for. The grammar file begins with a declaration of the terminal tokens, followed by a definition of the precedence and associativity of the operators. Then, the rules of the grammar are written with each rule annotated with a code snippet that assembles a value from the components of the parsed rule. Here is how it looks.

```
%token <int> NUM
                   /* NUM terminals have an associated int value */
/* other tokens have no values */
%token PLUS TIMES LPAREN RPAREN
%token ENDOFSTREAM
/* operators and arities ordered by increasing precedence */
%left PLUS
%left TIMES
/* the start symbol */
%start <expr> expr
/* the nonterminal section is separated from the above by %% */
%%
expr:
                             /* this is the production */
  | LPAREN e=expr RPAREN
                             /* this is the associated return value */
    { e }
  | n=NUM
    { Num n }
                            /* parts of the production are named using = */
  | l=expr PLUS r=expr
    { Plus(1, r) }
  | l=expr TIMES r=expr
    \{ Times(l, r) \}
                             /* Inside the { } is just normal OCaml code */
```

This grammar specification is placed in a file ending in .mly, such as eParser.mly, which is then fed to the Menhir program to generate the corresponding parser in eParser.ml.

```
$ menhir --strict --infer eParser.mly
```

The Lexer Specification The tokens used by the grammar are conveniently listed in eParser.mly, so the lexer specification is just a mapping from regular expressions to these tokens. The EParser.token type is an abstract data type where each token name is a constructor and the token values (if any) are the arguments to the constructor. For example, for teh above grammar we would have a generated datatype (in eParser.ml) such as:

The lexer specification will define a sequence of mappings from regular expressions to these tokens. These rules are put in a file such as elexer.mll with the following contents.

```
(* some regular expressions can be named for convenience *)
let number = ['0'-'9']+
<u>let</u> whitespace = ' ' | '\t' | '\r'
(* the actual tokenizer is named "token", but this name is arbitrary *)
rule token = parse
| whitespace { token lexbuf (* just run the token rule again *) }
| number as n { EParser.NUM (int_of_string n) }
| '('
         { EParser.LPAREN }
| ')'
           { EParser.RPAREN }
| '+'
           { EParser.PLUS }
| '*'
            { EParser.TIMES }
(* eof is a special name for the end of the input *)
| eof { EParser.ENDOFSTREAM }
(* newlines are used to increment a line count *)
(* any other character is an error *)
            { failwith ("Unexpected character: " ^ c) }
```

To generate the lexical scanner, we use ocamllex, which produces eLexer.ml.

```
$ ocamllex eLexer.mll
```

Assembling the Parser The modules Elexer and Eparser can now be used to write a function that converts a file to an expr:

```
let parse_file filename =
  let chan = open_in filename in
  at_exit (fun () -> close_in chan) ; (* could also close after parsing *)
  let lbuf = Lexing.from_channel chan in
  (* (Optional) inform the lexer which "file" it is coming from *)
  lbuf.lex_curr_p <- {lbuf.lex_curr_p with pos_fname = filename} ;
  EParser.prog ELexer.token lbuf ;;</pre>
```

3.3 Roll-Your-Own

If you want ultimate control over the code of your parser, you will probably want to write the parser by hand, generally following a *recursive descent* style. This trades of speed and ease of maintenance for

complete flexibility and the ability to create finely tuned error messages.

The Wikipedia article on recursive descent parsing does an admirable job of giving an overview of this area, together with examples in a number of programming languages. It is hard to do much better than this in terms of general guidance. If you're rolling your own parser and are facing difficulties, please contact the instructor ASAP.

4 WEEK 2 TASK: COMPILING BX1

STAY TUNED!