

Effectiveness of Bitswap Discovery Process



Gui Michel
@guissou

**Probelab,
Protocol Labs**

**IPFS Thing
15th April 2023**



Motivation

- ⬠ Measure Bitswap content discovery efficiency
- ⬠ Evaluate Bitswap `ProviderSearchDelay` magic value set to 1 second
- ⬠ Optimize content routing efficiency

Bitswap Discovery Process

1. go-bitswap broadcasts a WANT-HAVE request to directly all connected peers
2. If the content is found, request is done
3. If after 1s no positive answer is return from the broadcast, start a DHT lookup
4. When a Provider is found in the DHT, go-bitswap sends a WANT-BLOCK request


Measurements Setup

- ✦ Request CIDs collected by sniffing the Bitswap network
- ✦ Bitswap has 15 seconds to find and fetch 1 block
- ✦ Prevent DHT lookup inside Bitswap
- ✦ If Bitswap fails to discover content, verify if content is still available
- ✦ Prevent recursive content resolution
- ✦ Ran on a Google Cloud VM in Central Europe in Dec. 2022

Discovery Process Stats

- 🟡 98.37% discovery success rate (within 15 seconds)
- 🟡 On average 856 distinct remote peers are solicited for each Bitswap request
- 🟡 On average 1714 messages are sent for each Bitswap request



Content Providers Stats

 Total requests: 50'062



Top N providers	Percentage of blocks served
Top 1	10.61%
Top 3	25.65%
Top 5	37.93%
Top 10	58.12%
Top 20	75.41%
Top 50	84.39%
Top 361	98.49%
Top 723	100.0%

	PeerID	Number of blocks served	Operator
1.	12D3KooWGtRcWvihm4hX2gT6bQu3uyjb78rgyQR3hPhkxMwivscY	5398	
2.	12D3KooWLsSWaRsoCejZ6RMsGqdftpKbohczNqs3jvNfPgRwrMp2	4113	nft.storage
3.	12D3KooWGRJo1vLDBtfS8a4cVss2QVqvbCaPgtmwwgpUtW675QRa	3543	nft.storage
4.	12D3KooWAuBxG5uMBkeyFwHD9JyHaJGTqn7NhJbmmukNDPHSLKts	3167	nft.storage
5.	12D3KooWJc7GbwkjVg9voPNxdRnmEDS3i8NXNwRXD6kLattaMnE4	3085	nft.storage
6.	12D3KooWEGeZ19Q79NdzS6CJBoCwFZwujqi5hoK8BtRcLa48fjdu	2357	
7.	12D3KooWJ59N9z5CyLTtcUTnuTKnRTEVxiztijiEAYbP16aZjQ3D	2287	nft.storage
8.	12D3KooWENiDwDCPnbEQKHHSdnSsE5Y3oLyXnxuyhcCEBK9TvkU	2051	
9.	12D3KooWC9L4RjPGgqzpBUBkcVpKjJYofCkC5i5QdQftg1LdsFb2	1826	
10.	12D3KooWKd92H37a8gCDZPDAAGTYvEGAq7CNk1TcaCkcZedkTwFG	1750	nft.storage

Bitswap Discovery + Fetch Latencies



Bitswap Discovery + Fetch Latencies Zoom



Recent Developments

- 🟡 Connection manager now limits to 32/96 inbound connections (ipfs/kubo#9483)
- 🟡 Worse TTFB when `ProviderSearchDelay` is set to 0 (ipfs/kubo#8807)
- 🟡 Certainly caused by side effects/bugs in go-bitswap (ipfs/kubo#9530)

Takeaways

- ✦ Bitswap is currently **fast** (discovery+fetch \leq 200ms in 80%) and **accurate** (98.37% of accessible content found)
- ✦ Bitswap is **inefficient** (856 peers solicited for each request)
- ✦ Bitswap content discovery **does NOT scale** (e.g if the network grows by 10x, the number of open connection must be 10x to keep the same discovery success rate)
- ✦ The top 20 peers serve 75% of the requested content
- ✦ Data transfer and content routing should probably NOT be bundled together

References

- ◆ Complete measurement methodology
- ◆ Additional data and plots
- ◆ Improvement recommendations



RFM-16 Report