

Associations of leg length with increased colorectal cancer incidence in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study

Guillaume Onyeaghala¹, Pamela L. Lutsey¹, Ellen W. Demerath¹, Kristin E. Anderson^{1,2}, Aaron R. Folsom¹, Corinne E. Joshu^{3,4}, Elizabeth A. Platz³⁻⁵, Anna E. Prizment^{1,2}

¹ Division of Epidemiology and Community Health, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN. ² Masonic Cancer Center, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN. ³ Department of Epidemiology, John Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD. ⁴ Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins, Baltimore, MD. ⁵ Department of Urology and the James Buchanan Brady Urological Institute, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

Introduction

- Colorectal cancer is the third most common cancer diagnosed in both men and women in the United States, and the third leading cause of cancer-related deaths in the United States.
- Several epidemiologic studies reported an association between taller people and an increased risk of colorectal cancer¹.
- Possible physiologic explanations include:
 - an increased production of growth hormones during puberty
 - a larger pool of at-risk colonic cells.

Goal of the study

- **Hypothesis**: Long-bone growth, indicated by leg length, would be the component of height that is more strongly associated with colorectal cancer.
- We evaluated the association of leg length, sitting height and total height with colorectal cancer risk in Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) prospective cohort.


Methods


- The ARIC participants included 14,605 men and women, cancer-free at baseline (1987-1989), and followed until 2006.
 - Leg length was estimated as standing height minus sitting height; these measurements were performed by trained research staff.
- Colorectal cancers cases were ascertained by linkage to cancer registries and supplemented by hospital records. A total of 344 Incident colorectal cancer cases were identified.
- Cox proportional hazards regression estimated hazard ratios (HR) of colorectal cancer and 95% confidence intervals (CI) across quartiles of height, leg length, and sitting height.
 - The final models were adjusted for age, sex, race, study center, education level, waist-to-hip ratio, female hormone replacement therapy use, and smoking status.


Baseline participant characteristics stratified by sex and leg length quartile in the ARIC Study.

Sex Specific Leg Quartiles				
Men	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
N	1471	1666	2044	1457
Range	38 - 80	81 - 83	84 - 87	88 - 103
Height (cm), median \pm sd	169 ± 4.39	174 ± 3.61	178 ± 3.74	183 ± 4.72
Sitting Height (cm), median \pm sd	91 ± 3.65	92 ± 3.49	93 ± 3.52	93 ± 3.88
Leg length (cm), median \pm sd	79 ± 2.43	82 ± 0.80	85 ± 1.09	90 ± 2.55
Demographics				
Age (yrs), mean \pm sd	55.2 ± 5.7	54.4 ± 5.8	54.4 ± 5.7	54.0 ± 5.7
Weight (kg), mean \pm sd	175.9 ± 29.1	184.6 ± 30.8	191.6 ± 31.5	198.0 ± 32.1
WHR, mean \pm sd	$.97 \pm .05$	$.96 \pm .05$	$.96 \pm .05$	$.96 \pm .05$
Smokers n(%)	414 (22.3)	504 (27.2)	550 (29.7)	385 (20.8)
African American n(%)	167 (10.7)	280 (18.0)	485 (31.2)	622 (40.0)
Education Beyond High School n(%)	620 (19.3)	784 (24.4)	1069 (33.3)	741 (23.1)
Women	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
N	1905	2168	1951	1933
Range	37 - 73	74 - 76	77 - 79	80 - 97
Height (cm), median \pm sd	156 ± 4.04	161 ± 3.40	164 ± 3.52	169 ± 4.33
Sitting Height (cm), median \pm sd	85 ± 3.52	86 ± 3.25	86 ± 3.46	86 ± 3.60
Leg length (cm), median \pm sd	72 ± 2.36	75 ± 0.81	78 ± 0.80	82 ± 2.44
Demographics				
Age (yrs), mean \pm sd	54.0 ± 5.7	53.7 ± 5.7	53.7 ± 5.7	53.5 ± 5.6
Weight (kg), mean \pm sd	150.5 ± 32.9	157.7 ± 35.0	163.9 ± 35.7	176.5 ± 39.9
WHR, mean \pm sd	$0.89 \pm .08$	0.89 ± 0.08	0.89 ± 0.08	0.90 ± 0.08
Smokers n(%)	1057 (24.9)	1119 (26.4)	1024 (24.1)	1042 (24.6)
African American n(%)	226 (9.1)	484 (19.6)	668 (27.0)	1095 (44.3)
Education Beyond High School n(%)	711 (22.6)	857 (27.3)	771 (24.6)	800 (25.5)

Association of Leg Length, Overall Height and Sitting Height with Incident Colorectal Cancer Cases







Results

- Leg length was correlated with total (Pearson r=0.83), and sitting height (Pearson r=0.52) in the whole cohort.
- Participants in the highest quartile of leg length were at a 42% (95% CI, 1.02-1.99) greater risk of colorectal cancer, relative to the lowest quartile (p-trend=0.04).
- The associations were weaker for
 - -- total height: HR=1.30, 95% CI, 0.95-1.78, p-trend=0.10
 - -- sitting length: HR=1.25, 95% CI, 0.90-1.74, p-trend=0.18
- For the highest versus the lowest quartile of leg length:
 - --Men: HR=1.91, 95% CI, 1.16-3.12
 - --Women: HR=1.09, 95% CI, 0.69-1.73; p-interaction=0.16.
 - -- Whites: HR=1.51, 95% CI, 1.02-2.26
 - -- African-Americans: HR=1.21, 95% CI, 0.61-2.53; p-interaction=0.62.

Conclusions

- Longer leg length was more strongly associated with an increased risk of colorectal cancer than overall height or sitting height.
- This association is most likely driven by biological mechanisms correlated to long bone growth, such as insulin-like growth factor-1, a risk factor for colorectal cancer².
- The sex-specific association between longer leg length and CRC risk may be explained by differences in IGF-1 production between males and females during puberty.

Main citations

- Engeland A, Tretli S, Austad G, Bjørge T. Height and body mass index in relation to colorectal and gallbladder cancer in two million Norwegian men and women. Cancer Causes Control. 2005 Oct;16(8):987-96.
- 2. Yamaji T, Iwasaki M, Sasazuki S, Tsugane S. Gender difference in the association of insulin and the insulin-like growth factor axis with colorectal neoplasia. Int J Obes (Lond). 2012 Mar;36(3):440-7.

Acknowledgements

The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study is carried out as a collaborative study supported by National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute contracts (HHSN268201100005C, HHSN268201100006C, HHSN268201100007C, HHSN268201100008C, HHSN268201100009C, HHSN268201100010C, HHSN268201100011C, and HHSN268201100012C). The authors thank the staff and participants of the ARIC study for their important contributions.

Research reported was supported by the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R25CA163184 (Jean Forster, PhD, MPH and Kola Okuyemi, MD, MPH, Co-Pls). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health."

Studies on cancer in ARIC are also supported by the National Cancer Institute (U01 CA164975). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. Cancer incidence data have been provided by the Maryland Cancer Registry, Center for Cancer Surveillance and Control, Department of Mental Health and Hygiene, 201 W. Preston Street, Room 400, Baltimore, MD 21201. We acknowledge the State of Maryland, the Maryland Cigarette Restitution Fund, and the National Program of Cancer Registries (NPCR) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for the funds that helped support the availability of the cancer registry data.