Project evaluation

Oral presentation [25%]

Content [50%]

a. Data:
 - Correctly annotated (2 pt).

b. Algorithm:
 - Design rationale is explained, and implementation follows intended design (2 pt).
 - Outputs capture a large number of people/correlates well with number of people (3 pt).

c. Results were validated:
 - Image-level (1.5 pt).
 - Person-level (1.5 pt).

Document [25%]

 - Design rationale is explained. (2 pt).
 - Algorithm is well introduced with pseudocode/flow diagram/clear explanations (2 pt).
 - Sample images are shown in document/slides (2 pt).
 - Graphics/tables show quantitative results (2 pt).
 - Strong/weak points are discussed and explained (2 pt).