Chapter 7: The Reduction theorem.

In which we develop a generic solution to a class of problems.

So far you have seen, and used, our method of program construction employed to solve a small number of programming problems. We have mentioned that we choose our notation so that in a sense it does the work for us; all we have to do in many cases is to manipulate the notation according to a small set of laws. But our notation has another major benefit, it allows us to develop solutions which can be re-used.

It is a good idea, that every time you solve a new problem using our method, you should try to abstract the solution to a generic one. In that way you will build up a very useful "toolbox" of correct and re-useable solutions. Let us show how to do this.

Consider the postconditions for the problems you have seen so far.

Compute the sum of the values in f[0..N)

$$r = \langle +j : 0 \le j < N : f.j \rangle$$

Compute the product of the values in f[20..100)

$$p = \langle *i : 20 \le i < 100 : f.i \rangle$$

Determine the largest value in the array f[0.200)

$$1 = \langle \uparrow j : 0 \le j < 200 : f.j \rangle$$

Now if we look at the shape of each of these postconditions we notice that the are quite similar. They all are instances of a more abstract shape

$$r = \langle \oplus j : \alpha \leq j < \beta : f.j \rangle$$

Where \oplus is of course an associative, symmetric binary operator which has an identity element, and α and β are the lower and upper bounds on the range.

Model the problem domain.

Now let us develop a little model of this problem domain.

* (0) C.n =
$$\langle \oplus j : \alpha \le j < n : f.j \rangle$$
 , $\alpha \le n \le \beta$

Consider

C.
$$\alpha$$

$$\{(0) \text{ in model }\}$$

$$\langle \oplus j : \alpha \leq j < \alpha : f.j \rangle$$

$$\{ \text{ empty range } \}$$

$$\text{Id} \oplus$$

Which gives us

$$-$$
 (1) C.α = Id⊕

Consider

$$C.(n+1)$$
=\{(0) in model \}
\langle \theta \cdot j : \alpha \leq j < n+1 : f.j \rangle
\text{ split off } j = n term \}
\langle \theta \cdot j : \alpha \leq j < n : f.j \rangle \theta f.n

Which gives us

$$-(2) C.(n+1) = C.n \oplus f.n$$
 , $\alpha \le n < \beta$

Rewrite the postcondition in terms of the model.

Given this model we can now rewrite our postcondition as follows.

Post:
$$r = C.\beta$$

Invariants.

$$p0 : r = C.n$$

P1 : $\alpha \le n \le \beta$

Noting that $P0 \land P1 \land n = \beta \implies Post$, we choose our loop guard

Guard.

$$n \neq \beta$$

Establish invariants.

Appealing to (1) we can establish both invariants by the assignment

$$n, r := \alpha, Id_{\oplus}$$

Variant.

Loop body.

$$(n, r := n+1, E).P0$$

$$= \{textual substitution\}$$

$$E = C.(n+1)$$

$$= \{(2) above\}$$

$$E = C.n \oplus f.n$$

$$= \{P0\}$$

$$E = r \oplus f.n$$

Finished program.

$$n, r := \alpha, Id_{\oplus}$$

 $; do n \neq \beta \rightarrow$
 $n, r := n+1, r \oplus f.n$
 od
 $\{P0 \land P1 \land n = \beta\}$
 \Rightarrow
 $\{r = C. \beta\}$

This is known as the Reduction Theorem.

Now, whenever we are faced with the problem of writing a program to achieve a postcondition of the shape

$$r = \langle \oplus j : \alpha \leq j < \beta : f.j \rangle$$

we can simply appeal to this theorem, instantiate \oplus , α , and β appropriately, and be guaranteed that we have a correct solution.