## Chapter 24: The longest segment containing at most one zero.

*In which we continue to develop our calculational style.* 

Given f[0..N) of int,  $\{0 \le N\}$ , we are asked to construct a program to determine the length of the longest segment in f which contains at most one zero.

{f[0..N) of int contains values}

S

$$\{ r = \langle \uparrow i, j : 0 \le i \le j \le N \land OZ.i.j : j-i \rangle \}$$

Domain model.

As usual we begin by building a model of the domain.

\* (0) OZ.i.j = 
$$\langle +k : i \le k < j : g.(f.k) \rangle$$
  $\le$  1  $, 0 \le i \le j \le N$ 

Where g is defined as follows

$$g.x = 1 \iff x = 0$$
  
 $g.x = 0 \iff x \neq 0$ 

Exploiting the empty range and 1-point gives us

- (1) OZ.i.i ,0 
$$\leq$$
 i  $\leq$  N   
- (2) OZ.i.(i+1) ,0  $\leq$  i  $\leq$  N

We now consider OZ.i.(j+1) and appeal to associativity. We calculate as follows

```
 \begin{aligned} & OZ.i.(j+1) \\ & = & \{(0)\} \\ & \langle +k: i \leq k < j+1: g.(f.k) \rangle & \leq & 1 \\ & = & \{split \ off \ k=j \ term\} \\ & \langle +k: i \leq k < j: g.(f.k) \rangle + g.(f.j) & \leq & 1 \\ & = & \{case \ analysis, \ f.j \neq 0, \ defn. \ of \ g\} \\ & \langle +k: i \leq k < j: g.(f.k) \rangle + 0 & \leq & 1 \\ & = & \{Id+\} \\ & \langle +k: i \leq k < j: g.(f.k) \rangle & \leq & 1 \\ & = & \{(0)\} \\ & OZ.i.j \end{aligned}
```

Thus we have

We now consider the other case.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{OZ.i.}(j+1) \\ & = & \{(0)\} \\ & \langle +k: i \leq k < j+1: g.(f.k) \rangle & \leq & 1 \\ & = & \{\text{split off } k=j \text{ term} \} \\ & \langle +k: i \leq k < j: g.(f.k) \rangle + g.(f.j) & \leq & 1 \\ & = & \{\text{case analysis, } f.j = 0, \text{ defn. of } g \} \\ & \langle +k: i \leq k < j: g.(f.k) \rangle + 1 & \leq & 1 \\ & = & \{\text{arithmetic} \} \\ & \langle +k: i \leq k < j: g.(f.k) \rangle & \leq & 0 \\ & = & \{\text{left-hand term at least zero} \} \\ & \langle +k: i \leq k < j: g.(f.k) \rangle & = & 0 \\ & = & \{(5) \text{ see below} \} \end{aligned}$$

Giving

$$-(4) OZ.i.(j+1)$$
 =  $NZ.i.j$   $\Leftarrow f.j = 0$   $0 \le i \le j \le N$ 

And we have introduced a new named item

\*(5) NZ.i.j = 
$$\langle +k : i \le k < j : g.(f.k) \rangle$$
 = 0 ,  $0 \le i \le j \le N$ 

From this we can immediately get the following

$$- (6) \text{ NZ.i.i} \qquad \qquad , 0 \le i \le \text{N}$$

We once again look to exploit associativity.

Giving us

$$-(7) \text{ NZ.i.}(j+1)$$
  $\equiv \text{ NZ.i.j} \iff \text{f.j} \neq 0 \quad ,0 \leq i \leq j \leq N$ 

We consider the other case.

$$NZ.i.(j+1)$$

$$= \{(5)\}$$

$$\langle +k: i \le k < j+1: g.(f.k) \rangle = 0$$

$$= \{split \text{ off } k=j \text{ term} \}$$

$$\langle +k: i \le k < j: g.(f.k) \rangle + g.(f.j) = 0$$

$$= \{case \text{ analysis, } f.j = 0 \}$$

$$\langle +k: i \le k < j: g.(f.k) \rangle + 1 = 0$$

$$= \{arithmetic \}$$

$$\langle +k: i \le k < j: g.(f.k) \rangle = -1$$

$$= \{left-hand \text{ term must be at least } 0 \}$$

$$false$$

So we have

$$-(8) \text{ NZ.i.}(j+1)$$
 = false  $\Leftarrow \text{f.j} = 0$   $0 \le i \le j \le N$ 

Now let us return to our postcondition and package up the quantified expression in the postcondition.

\* (9) C.n = 
$$\langle \uparrow i, j : 0 \le i \le j \le n \land OZ.i.j : j-i \rangle$$
 ,  $0 \le n \le N$ 

Appealing to the "1 point" rule and (1) gives us

$$-(10) C.0 = 0$$

In an effort to exploit associativity we calculate as follows

```
C.(n+1)
= \{(9)\}
\langle \uparrow i,j : 0 \le i \le j \le n+1 \land OZ.i.j : j-i \rangle
= \{\text{split off } j = n+1 \text{ term}\}
\langle \uparrow i,j : 0 \le i \le j \le n \land OZ.i.j : j-i \rangle \uparrow \langle \uparrow i : 0 \le i \le n+1 \land OZ.i.(n+1) : (n+1)-i \rangle
= \{(9)\}
C.n \uparrow \langle \uparrow i : 0 \le i \le n+1 \land OZ.i.(n+1) : (n+1)-i \rangle
= \{\text{name and conquer}\}
C.n \uparrow D.(n+1)
```

$$-(11) C.(n+1) = C.n \uparrow D.(n+1)$$
 
$$,0 \le n < N$$
 
$$*(12) D.n = \langle \uparrow i : 0 \le i \le n \land OZ.i.n : n-i \rangle$$
 
$$,0 \le n \le N$$

An appeal to the "1 point rule" and (1) gives us

$$-(13) D.0 = 0$$

Seeking to exploit associativity, we observe

$$\begin{array}{lll} D.(n+1) & & & & \\ & (12) \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\$$

We further observe

By 1-point we get the following

```
-(17) E.0 = 0
```

We observe

```
E.(n+1)
                       {(16)}
           \langle \uparrow i : 0 \le i \le n+1 \land NZ.i.(n+1) : (n+1)-i \rangle
                       \{\text{split off i} = \text{n+1 term}\}\
           \langle \uparrow i : 0 \le i \le n \land NZ.i.(n+1) : (n+1)-i \rangle \uparrow (n+1)-(n+1)
                       {arithmetic}
           \langle \uparrow i : 0 \le i \le n \land NZ.i.(n+1) : (n+1)-i \rangle \uparrow 0
                       {case analysis, f.n \neq 0, (7)}
           \langle \uparrow i : 0 \le i \le n \land NZ.i.n : (n+1)-i \rangle \uparrow 0
                       {+/↑ for non-empty ranges}
=
           (1 + \langle \uparrow i : 0 \le i \le n \land OZ.i.n : n-i \rangle) \uparrow 0
                       {(16)}
           (1 + E.n) \uparrow 0
                                                                                                        0 \le n < N
-(18) E.(n+1) =
                                  (1+E.n)\uparrow 0
                                                                     ← f.n≠0
```

We further observe

```
E.(n+1)
                        {(16)}
=
            \langle \uparrow i : 0 \le i \le n+1 \land NZ.i.(n+1) : (n+1)-i \rangle
                        \{\text{split off i} = \text{n+1 term}\}\
=
            \langle \uparrow i : 0 \le i \le n \land NZ.i.(n+1) : (n+1)-i \rangle \uparrow (n+1)-(n+1)
                        {arithmetic}
=
            \langle \uparrow i : 0 \le i \le n \land NZ.i.(n+1) : (n+1)-i \rangle \uparrow 0
                        {case analysis, f.n=0, (8) }
            \langle \uparrow i : 0 \le i \le n \land \text{ false } : (n+1)-i \rangle \uparrow 0
                        {empty range}
            Id_{\uparrow} \uparrow 0
                        \{(ID\uparrow\}
            0
-(19) E.(n+1) =
                                                                         \leftarrow f.n=0
                                                                                                             0 \le n < N
```

We can now return to the programming task. We rewrite the postcondition as

Post : 
$$r = C.n \land n = N$$

Invariants.

We choose the following invariants

P0: 
$$r = C.n \land d = D.n \land e = E.n$$
  
P1:  $0 \le n \le N$ 

Establish invariants.

$$n, r, d, e := 0, 0, 0, 0$$

Guard

n≠N

Variant.

N-n.

Loop body.

We observe

$$(n, r, d,e := n+1, U, U', U'').P0$$

$$= \{textual substitution\}$$

$$U = C.(n+1) \wedge U' = D.(n+1) \wedge U'' = E.(n+1)$$

$$= \{(11)\}$$

$$U = C.n \uparrow D.(n+1) \wedge U' = D.(n+1) \wedge U'' = E.(n+1)$$

$$= \{case analysis, f.n \neq 0, (14) twice (18)\}$$

$$U = C.n \uparrow (1+D.n) \uparrow 0 \wedge U' = (1+D.n) \uparrow 0 \wedge U'' = (1+E.n) \uparrow 0$$

$$= \{P0\}$$

$$U = r \uparrow (1+d) \uparrow 0 \wedge U' = (1+d) \uparrow 0 \wedge U'' = (1+e) \uparrow 0$$

We further observe

```
 (n, r, d,e := n+1, U, U', U'').P0 \\ = \{textual substitution\} \\ U = C.(n+1) \wedge U' = D.(n+1) \wedge U'' = E.(n+1) \\ = \{(11)\} \\ U = C.n \uparrow D.(n+1) \wedge U' = D.(n+1) \wedge U'' = E.(n+1) \\ = \{case analysis, f.n = 0, (15) twice (19)\} \\ U = C.n \uparrow (1+E.n) \uparrow 0 \wedge U' = (1+E.n) \uparrow 0 \wedge U'' = 0 \\ = \{P0\} \\ U = r \uparrow (1+e) \uparrow 0 \wedge U' = (1+e) \uparrow 0 \wedge U'' = 0
```

## Finished program.

```
n, r, d, e := 0, 0, 0, 0

;do n≠N →

If f.n=0 → n. r. d, e := n+1, r↑(1 + e) ↑0, (1 + e) ↑0, 0

[] f.n≠0 → n. r. d, e := n+1, r ↑ (1+d)↑0, (1+d)↑0, (1+e)↑0

fi

od

{ r = C.N }
```