

# Unilateral Control for Social Welfare of Iterated Game in Mobile Crowdsensing

## APPENDIX

### Proof of Theorem 1:

*Proof.* In the discrete case, the actions of platform and ISP are finite. If there is no existence of pure actions, mixed actions must exist because every finite strategic-form game has a mixed-strategy equilibrium [1]. For the continuous model; we fit the continuous payoff functions  $F_g(x_e, x_r)$  and  $F_t(x_e, x_r)$  for the platform and ISP under real trace, then the equilibrium exists in the convex space [2].  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 2:

*Proof.* For the continuous case, inspired by the work [2], we first construct a function  $\sigma(x_e, x_r, \vec{s}) = s_1 \times F_g(x_e, x_r) + s_2 \times F_t(x_e, x_r)$ ,  $s_i \geq 0$ , where  $\mathbf{s}$  is an nonnegative vector. We proof  $\sigma(x_e, x_r, \vec{s})$  is diagonally strictly concave [2] if the symmetric matrix  $(G(x_e, x_r, \vec{s}) + G'(x_e, x_r, \vec{s}))$  be negative definite for  $x_e, x_r \in \mathcal{R}$ , where  $\mathcal{R}$  is the domain of the actions vector,  $G(x_e, x_r, \vec{s})$  is the Jacobian with respect to  $x_e$  and  $x_r$ . Then we obtain that the equilibrium point is unique.  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 3:

*Proof.* We first divide the continuous action space into  $\eta$  parts. Then the action of the platform and ISP satisfy  $x_e \in \{l^e, l^e + \delta, l^e + 2\delta, \dots, l^e + \eta\delta\}$ , and  $x_r \in \{l^r, l^r + \delta, l^r + 2\delta, \dots, l^r + \eta\delta\}$ , respectively, where  $\delta$  is small enough and  $\eta$  is sufficiently large, satisfying  $l^e + \eta\delta = h^e$  and  $l^r + \eta\delta = h^r$ . When  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , the action

space is approximately continuous. Thus, the payoffs of the platform is  $\mathbf{M}^e = (F_g(l^e, l^r), \dots, F_g(l^e, l^r + \eta\delta), \dots, F_g(l^e + \eta\delta, l^r), \dots, F_g(l^e + \eta\delta, l^r + \eta\delta)) = (F_{g00}, \dots, F_{g0\eta}, \dots, F_{g\eta 0}, \dots, F_{g\eta\eta})$ ; the payoffs of the ISP is  $\mathbf{M}^r = (F_t(l^e, l^r), \dots, F_t(l^e, l^r + \eta\delta), \dots, F_t(l^e + \eta\delta, l^r), \dots, F_t(l^e + \eta\delta, l^r + \eta\delta)) = (F_{t00}, \dots, F_{t0\eta}, \dots, F_{t\eta 0}, \dots, F_{t\eta\eta})$ . The platform's mixed strategy at current round is  $p_{ij-k}, \forall i, j, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \eta\}$ , which indicates the probability of the platform chooses  $x_e = l^e + k\delta$  in the current round when the previous actions are  $x'_e = l^e + i\delta$  and  $x'_r = l^r + j\delta$ . Similarly, ISP's mixed strategy at current round is  $q_{ij-k}$ .

According to the above partition on the action space and utility space, we get the Markov state transition matrix as:

$$\mathbf{H}_d = (\mathbf{H}_{00}, \dots, \mathbf{H}_{0\eta}, \mathbf{H}_{10}, \dots, \mathbf{H}_{1\eta}, \mathbf{H}_{\eta 0}, \dots, \mathbf{H}_{\eta\eta}),$$

where each element  $\mathbf{H}_{ij}, \forall i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \eta\}$  is a vector, which contains the transition probability from all the possible combinations of the previous state  $x'_e x'_r$  to the current state  $x_e = l^e + i\delta$  and  $x_r = l^r + j\delta$ . Each element is written as:

$$\mathbf{H}_{ij} = (p_{00-i}q_{00-j}, \dots, p_{0\eta-i}q_{0\eta-j}, p_{10-i}q_{10-j}, \dots, p_{1\eta-i}q_{1\eta-j}, \dots, p_{\eta 0-i}q_{\eta 0-j}, \dots, p_{\eta\eta-i}q_{\eta\eta-j})^T.$$

We assume the stable vector of  $\mathbf{H}_d$  is  $\mathbf{v}_d$ , we have  $\mathbf{v}_d^T \mathbf{H}_d = \mathbf{v}_d^T$ , and the expected utilities of the platform and ISP are  $U^e = \mathbf{v}_d^T \mathbf{M}^e$  and  $U^r = \mathbf{v}_d^T \mathbf{M}^r$ , respectively.

We suppose  $\mathbf{H}'_d = \mathbf{H}_d - \mathbf{I}$ , and have  $\mathbf{v}_d \mathbf{H}'_d = 0$ . With the similar calculation as the one under the discrete model,  $\mathbf{v}_d^T$  is proportional to each row of  $\text{Adj}(\mathbf{H}'_d)$ . Thus, for any vector  $f = (f_{00}, f_{01}, \dots, f_{\eta\eta})$ , with the

known condition  $\sum_{k=0}^{\eta} q_{ij-k} = 1$ , we can calculate its dot product with  $\mathbf{v}_d$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{v}_d^T \cdot \mathbf{f} = D(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{f})$$

$$= \det \begin{pmatrix} p_{00-0}q_{00-0} & \cdots & p_{00-\eta} & f_{00} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_{(\eta-1)\eta-0}q_{(\eta-1)\eta-0} & \cdots & p_{(\eta-1)\eta-\eta} & f_{(\eta-1)\eta} \\ p_{\eta 0-0}q_{\eta 0-0} & \cdots & p_{\eta 0-\eta} - 1 & f_{\eta 0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_{\eta\eta-0}q_{\eta\eta-0} & \cdots & p_{\eta\eta-\eta} - 1 & f_{\eta\eta} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

It is obvious that the penultimate column of equation (1) is decided only by the platform, denoted as  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ . When  $\mathbf{f} = (\alpha \mathbf{M}^e + \beta \mathbf{M}^r + \gamma \mathbf{1})$ , we obtain  $\mathbf{v}_d^T \cdot \mathbf{f} =$

$(\alpha \mathbf{M}^e + \beta \mathbf{M}^r + \gamma \mathbf{1}) = \alpha U^e + \beta U^r + \gamma$ . Therefore, if  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = \phi(\alpha \mathbf{M}^e + \beta \mathbf{M}^r + \gamma \mathbf{1})$ , we have  $\alpha U^e + \beta U^r + \gamma = 0$ . When the small number  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , the theorem is proven.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Nash J F et al. Equilibrium points in n-person games. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, 1950.
- [2] Rosen J B. Existence and uniqueness of equilibrium points for concave n-person games. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 1965.