Discussion of homework problems among students is encouraged. However, all material handed in for credit must be your own work.

Please hand in each problem in a separate file with your name on it.

- 1. Let Y be Poisson with mean μ . Recall that $EY = \mu$, $Var(Y) = E(Y \mu)^2 = \mu$, $E(Y \mu)^3 = \mu$.
 - (a) Show that

$$E\sqrt{Y} \sim \sqrt{\mu} \left(1 - \frac{1}{8\mu}\right)$$
, and $Var(\sqrt{Y}) \sim \frac{1}{4}$.

Hint: Define $Z = (Y - \mu)/\mu$, write $Y = \mu \cdot (1 + Z)$ and use the <u>Taylor expansion</u>.

This is called a <u>variance stabilizing</u> <u>transformation</u>. The <u>variance</u> of the <u>transformed Poisson</u> <u>no</u> longer depends on the mean.

- (b) Use the data warpbreaks in the R datasets. This provides the number of breaks in different types of wool at different levels of tension. Use a Poisson glm to fit a main effects model mod1. Plot the residuals and summarize the conclusions of the model.
- (c) Use the variance stabilizing transformation sqrt and fit a linear model mod2 with the same predictors. Again plot the residuals and summarize the conclusions of this model on the transformed data.

Compare the fitted values of mod1, mod2.

2. Recall the gamma distribution has the form:

$$G(\mu,\nu)(y) = \frac{\nu^{\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)\mu^{\nu}} y^{\nu-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\nu}{\mu}y\right)$$

- (a) The coefficient of variation is the <u>ratio</u> of the <u>Sd</u> to the <u>mean of a variable</u>. Denote by $\sigma^2 = \frac{var(Y)/(E(Y))^2}{2}$. Show that $\sigma^2 = 1/\nu$.
- (b) Show that if $Y \sim G(y; \mu, \nu)$ then $E \log Y \sim \log \mu \sigma^2/2$ and $Var(\log(Y)) \sim \sigma^2$. (Hint: expand log around μ .) This is a variance stabilizing transformation for the Gamma distribution.
- (c) Using the moment generating function of log Y, show the following exact equalities:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{E \log Y}{} = \log \mu + \psi(\nu) - \log(\nu) \\ \frac{Var(\log Y)}{} = \psi'(\nu), \\ \text{where } \psi(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)}. \end{cases}$$

fixed v

(d) Suppose you have independent observations $Y_i \sim G(\mu_i, \nu)$, with log-link: $\log(\mu_i) = \alpha + x_i^t \beta$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Write out the score equations and the information matrix J at the estimated $\frac{\partial}{\partial L}$. Don't use the general formulas of glms' do this directly on the log-likelihood.

(e) Now instead of fitting the generalized linear model you fit the <u>linear model</u>: $E[\log(Y_i)] = \alpha^* + x_i^t \beta^*$. What is the <u>covariance matrix of $\hat{\beta}^*$.</u>

How does this compare to the covariance matrix of $\hat{\beta}$?

- (f) Load the data ('fly.Rdata'). We are given information on the duration duration of the embryonic period of flies subjected to different temperatures temp. The experiment was done with batches of flies and only the mean duration and standard deviation of the duration dur.sd is reported for each batch. The number of flies in the batch is given in batch.
 - i. Plot <u>dur.sd</u> on <u>duration</u> and explain <u>why</u> a <u>Gamma</u> glm would make sense for this data.

- ii. Plot log(duration) against temp and l/temp explain why you would try to fit duration $\sim 1/temp$.
- iii. Fit mod1 with duration regressed on 1/temp using the Gamma glm, log link, with weights=batch to adjust for the size of each batch. Plot the Pearson residuals against temp and comment on the fit.
- iv. On the plot of the data plot the <u>curve</u> <u>defined by your estimate</u>. What do you notice for <u>large</u> values of temperature.
- v. To fix this problem we make two modifications to the model. First we add an additional predictor temp and we change 1/temp to 1/(temp-d). Explain why we subtract the constant d.
- vi. For the range of values $d \in [45, 100]$ fit the glm with the two predictors temp, 1/(temp-d) and see at which d^* gives the smallest deviance. Provide the summary of the model mod2 with the optimal d^* . What are the residual degrees of freedom? Estimate the σ for this model and plot the standardized residuals against temp. Plot the estimated curve on top of the data. How does this compare to mod1?
- vii. We saw above that the log transform stabilizes the variance. So now fit <u>log(duration)</u> using a <u>linear model</u> with the <u>same predictors</u> as <u>mod2</u>. How do the <u>coefficients</u> of this model compare to mod2.