## COMP 531 – Advanced Theory of Computation Assignment #4

Guillaume Labranche (260585371)

due on 30 March 2016

## 3. Disjunction of sets of naturals

First, we define a fooling set for a function f as a subset  $F \subseteq X \times Y$  such that for any distinct pair  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in F$ :

- 1.  $f(x_1, y_1) = f(x_2, y_2)$
- 2.  $f(x_1, y_2) \neq f(x_1, y_1)$  or  $f(x_2, y_1) \neq f(x_1, y_1)$ .

Note that every f-monochromatic rectangle contains at most one element in F. Take a set  $F = \{(S, S^c) : S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ . F is a fooling set for DISJ:

- 1.  $\forall S \in F, DISJ(S, S^c) = 1$
- 2. for  $S \neq T$ , either  $S \cap T^c \neq \emptyset$  or  $T \cap S^c \neq \emptyset$  or both.

Since all inputs in F return 1, there must be at least one rectangle that returns 0. Since  $|F| = 2^n$ , the minimum # of monochromatic rectangles in a disjoint cover of  $X \times Y$  is  $2^n + 1$ . Using the lemma seen in class, we conclude that  $D(DISJ) \ge \lceil \log(2^n + 1) \rceil \ge n + 1$ .

The naive protocol sends x (of length n) to the other party, who then computes f(x, y) and returns the value (of length 1). The naive protocol has cost n+1 and therefore  $D(DISJ) \le n+1$ .

Therefore D(DISJ) = n + 1.

## 4. Intersection of a clique and an independent set

Here is a protocol with a total communication cost of  $O(\log^2 n)$ . Note that we use the word return to indicate that the protocol ends and whoever declares the f-value sends it to the other player so they get it too (cost of 1 bit). Follow these steps procedurally:

- 1. If  $\exists v \in C : deg(v) < \frac{n}{2}$ :
  - (a) Player 1 sends v to Player 2 ( $\log n$  bits)
  - (b) Player 2 can then check whether  $v \in I$ . If it is, return 1 and communicates it to Player 1 too (1 bit).
  - (c) Otherwise, repeat this entire protocol on  $H = \{u : u = v \text{ or } (u, v) \in E(G)\} \subseteq G$  (i.e. the closed neighbourhood of v). Note that  $|V(H)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|V(G)|$  and  $C \cap I \subseteq C \subseteq H$ . Therefore recursing on H will find the vertex in  $C \cap I$  if it exists.
- 2. Else if  $\exists v \in I : deg(v) \geq \frac{n}{2}$ :
  - (a) Player 2 sends v to Player 1 ( $\log n$  bits)
  - (b) Player 1 can then check whether  $v \in C$ . If it is, return 1 and communicates it to Player 2 (1 bit).
  - (c) Otherwise, repeat this entire protocol on  $H = \{u : u = v \text{ or } (u, v) \notin E(G)\} \subseteq G$  (i.e. v and its non-neighbours). Note that  $|V(H)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|V(G)|$  and  $C \cap I \subseteq I \subseteq H$ . Therefore recursing on H will find the vertex in  $C \cap I$  if it exists.
- 3. Else, Player 2 returns 1 and communicates it to Player 1 (1 bit). This is because this step is only reached if all nodes in C have degree  $< \frac{n}{2}$  and all nodes in I have degree  $\ge \frac{n}{2}$  meaning that C and I are disjoint.

Since every recursion step cuts the input size in half, there will be at most  $\log n$  recursion steps, who each send  $O(\log n)$  bits. Therefore the communication complexity of this function is  $O(\log^2 n)$ .