Contents

1	Intr	roduction	1	
	1.1	Background	1	
	1.2	Problem Description	1	
	1.3		2	
2	Methodology 3			
	2.1	Understanding the Current Input Instructions	3	
	2.2	Designing the New Input Format	3	
		2.2.1 Writing the Grammar	3	
		2.2.1.1 Scope and Structure	3	
	2.3	Building the Translator	4	
		2.3.1 Lexical Analysis	4	
		2.3.1.1 Comments	4	
		2.3.2 Syntactic Analysis	4	
		2.3.3 Semantic Analysis	4	
		2.3.4 Translation Process	4	
	2.4	Testing	5	
3	Res	sults	6	
	3.1	NJOY Input Format (NIF)	6	
	3.2	NJOY Input Format Translator (nifty)	6	
4	Disc	cussion	7	
5	Con		8	
	5.1	Future Work	8	
Bi	Bibliography 9			

Introduction

1.1 Background

The NJOY Nuclear Data Processing System [1] is a software package used for nuclear data management. In particular, it is used to convert Evaluated Nuclear Data Files (ENDF) [2] into different formats, as well as performing operations on the nuclear data.

NJOY is currently being used within the MACRO project [3] at the Division of Applied Nuclear Physics, at the Department of Physics and Astronomy at Uppsala University.

1.2 Problem Description

The NJOY input instructions are complex and hard to read compared to a high-level programming language. For example, the following listing is an example of a *short* and *simple* NJOY job which illustrates what the input instructions look like.

Algorithm 1.1 NJOY Test Problem 14

```
1
  acer
2 20 21 0 31 32
  1 0 1/
   'proton + 7-n-14 apt la150 njoy99 mcnpx'/
  725 0./
6
  /
7
  /
8
  acer
9
  0 31 33 34 35
  7 1 2/
   'proton + 7-n-14 apt la150 njoy99 mcnpx'/
12
  viewr
13
  33 36/
14 stop
```

Without consulting the documentation, one might guess that line 4 and 11 is some kind of descriptive title, which is correct. Users, whom are not familiar with NJOY, would probably not guess that line 2 denotes input and output files (each number indicates a specific file) which the acer module will operate on. It is also hard to deduce that the first number on line 5 denotes the material to be processed, and that that the second number denotes the desired temperature in kelvin.

Even though one can annotate the input instructions with comments, it is not an optimal input format. Working with larger and more complex jobs easily becomes a daunting task.

1.3 Motivation

XXX

- A user friendly, and readable format
- Resemble a high-level programming language?

Methodology

2.1 Understanding the Current Input Instructions

XXX Current input instructions for NJOY.

- Complex
- Strict sequential order
- Terminology: input deck, decks of cards

2.2 Designing the New Input Format

XXX Define a grammar for the new input format?

2.2.1 Writing the Grammar

XXX

2.2.1.1 Scope and Structure

- Static scope? Only top-level declarations?
- Block structure? e.g. module { variable-declarations }

2.3 Building the Translator

XXX Python, UN*X.

2.3.1 Lexical Analysis

XXX

• Use a lexical analyzer to generate tokens and detect errors?

2.3.1.1 Comments

XXX Investigate the possibility to use comments in the input?

2.3.2 Syntactic Analysis

XXX

- Use a parser generator such as YACC which can detect and report syntax errors?
- Building an AST?

2.3.3 Semantic Analysis

XXX

- Enforce a type system? Type and scope rules defined by grammar.
- Detect and report errors?

2.3.4 Translation Process

XXX Code generation. No intermediate code necessary.

- Process of translating the *source* language (new input format) into the *target* language (old input format)?
- Detect and report errors?
- Traverse the AST and output NJOY input instructions.

2.4 Testing

XXX Continuously testing during all phases.

 \bullet Compare output from ${\tt nifty}$ with expected output.

Results

3.1 NJOY Input Format (NIF)

- Describe design?
- The Grammar?

3.2 NJOY Input Format Translator (nifty)

- PLY
 - Lex
 - YACC
- Translation of AST?
 - Code generation: generating the target language instructions?

Discussion

- Testing not that rigorous. NJOY is a large and complex program, there's a lot of scenarios (e.g. input instructions) that hasn't been tested.
- Efficiency?
- \bullet Answer the "Why?" questions.
- Significant findings?

Conclusion

- Improvement on the existing situation?
- Challenges?
 - Constructing a decent input format.
 - The physics (even if it's not within the scope). Documentation is full of it, kind of.
- Usable?
 - Production: not adviceable. Grammar not verified. "Toy" translator.

5.1 Future Work

- Possible improvements?
- Context-free grammar?
- GUI for viewr and plotr?
- Efficient implementation, e.g. C?

Bibliography

- [1] http://t2.lanl.gov/codes/njoy99/
- [2] http://www.nndc.bnl.gov/endf/
- [3] MAssive Computation methodology for Reactor Operations (http://www.fysast.uu.se/tk/en/content/macro-project)