Heuristic analysis By Xinlin Feng

Heuristic 1:

Calculates the square difference between player's move and opponent's move.

```
if game.is_loser(player):
    return float("-inf")
if game.is_winner(player):
    return float("inf")
own_moves = len(game.get_legal_moves(player))
next_player = game.get_opponent(player)
opp_move = len(game.get_legal_moves(next_player))
return float(own_moves**2 - opp_move**2)
```

own_moves is the number of steps player can move, opp_move is the number of steps opponent player can move.

Result:

It has 61.8%(173/280) of win rate overall, but it is lower when meet AB_improved opponent with only 30% win rate.

Heuristic 2:

Calculates the average square sum of player's move and opponent's move.

```
if game.is_loser(player):
    return float("-inf")
if game.is_winner(player):
    return float("inf")
own_moves = len(game.get_legal_moves(player))
next_player = game.get_opponent(player)
opp_move = len(game.get_legal_moves(next_player))
return float((own_moves**2 + opp_move**2) / 2)
```

own_moves is the number of steps player can move, opp_move is the number of steps opponent player can move.

Result:

It has 60.0%(168/280) of win rate overall, it wins more than Heuristic 1 when meet AB_improved.

Heuristic 3:

Outputs a score equal to square of the distance from the center of the board to the position of the player and opponent. It state the average between own location and opponent location.

```
if game.is_loser(player):
    return float("-inf")
if game.is_winner(player):
    return float("inf")
w, h = game.width / 2., game.height / 2.
y, x = game.get_player_location(player)
y1, x1 = game.get_player_location(game.get_opponent(player))
return float((((h - y)**2 + (w - x)**2)+((h - y1)**2 + (w - x1)**2))/2)
```

Result:

It has 58.9%(165/280) win rate overall, it wins more than Heuristic 1 when meet AB_improved opponent.

I have ran 4 times of the board:

Win Rate:

61.4%

Match #	Opponent	AB_Imp	proved AB_Custom		stom	AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	7	3	6	4	7	3	8	2
2	MM_Open	6	4	8	2	7	3	6	4
3	MM_Center	9	1	6	4	7	3 5	7	3
4	MM_Improved	8	2	7	3	5	5	6	4
5	AB_Open	7	3	8	2	7	3	4	6
6	AB_Center	8	2	5	5	4	6	4	6
7	AB_Improved	5	5	3	7	4	6	4	6
	Win Rate:	71.	4%	61.4%		58.6%		55.7%	
Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom		AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3	
1	Random	Won 9	Lost	Won 10	Lost 0	Won 8	Lost	Won 8	Lost
2		5	5	8	1 2	6		5	2
3	MM_Open			8	1 2	7	4	9	•
	MM_Center	8	2						1
4	MM_Improved	7	3	7	3	5	5	5	5
5	AB_Open	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	6
6	AB_Center	4	6	3	7	4	6	4	6
7	AB_Improved	5	5	3	7	7	3	5	5

62.9%

60.0%

57.1%

Match # Opponent		AB_Improved Won Lost		AB_Custom Won Lost		AB_Custom_2 Won Lost		AB_Custom_3 Won Lost	
1	Random	10	0	8	2	7	3	10	0
2	MM_Open	6	4	5	5	7	3	6	4
3	MM_Center	4	6	7	3	8	2	7	3
4	MM_Improved	6	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
5	AB_Open	6	4	4	6	4	6	3	7
6	AB_Center	6	4	7	3	5	5	8	2
7	AB_Improved	3	7	5	5	6	4	5	5
	Win Rate:	58.6%		58.6%		60.0%		62.9%	
Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom		AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	7	3	10	0	9	1	8	2
2	MM_Open	4	6	6	4	6	4	6	4
3	MM_Center	8	2	8	2	7	3	8	2
4	MM_Improved	8	2	7	3	8	2	5	5
5	AB_Open	5	5	5	5	3	7	5	5
6	AB_Center	5	5	3	7	6	4	4	6
7	AB_Improved	4	6	6	4	4	6	6	j 4
	Win Rate:	58.6%		64.3%		61.4%		60.0%	

As we can see from above, Heuristic 1 gives the best win rate over all, but it is still lower than AB_improved in some test. In general, it is more stable.

First, it gives higher win rate and sometimes performs better than AB_improved and more stable win rate;

Second, it is easier to implement compared to third heuristic;

Third, the square difference between player's move and opponent's move can predict further game result. If it is positive, player will have a good chance to win the game.