

Economic Growth

Lecture 8: Technological Progress and Efficiency

İlhan Güner

University of Kent | EC569

Overview

- Models of endogenous growth
 - How is the level of innovation in a market economy determined?
 - Is the level of innovation in a market economy socially optimal?
 - Designed to analyze the economic growth in the advanced economies
- Role of efficiency in productivity

How is the level of innovation determined in a market economy?

The Romer Model

- Developed by Paul Romer
- Expanding-variety type of innovation
 - An innovation is an introduction of a new intermediate input in the market
 - E.g. introduction of phone, mobile phones, smart phones, foldable smart phones,...
- In both models to produce a new intermediate input, one needs to own the blueprints of production
 - Ownership of blueprints of productions brings in monopoly profits
- Blueprints are produced by researchers and sold to monopolists
 - Reason to do R&D

The Schumpeterian model

- Insights of Joseph Schumpeter
 - Creative destruction: existing firms/technologies are replaced by new and better firms/technologies
- Developed by Aghion and Howitt (1992) and Grossman and Helpman (1991)
- Quality-ladder type of innovation:
 - Horse cart is replaced by Ford Model T, which is replaced by modern cars

Determination of the fraction of labor force working in R&D

Return to innovation: expected discounted sum of future profits

Determination of the fraction of labor force working in R&D

Return to innovation: expected discounted sum of future profits

Fraction of labor force working in R&D depends

- positively on the growth rate of the economy
 - fast growing profits incentivizes inventions

Determination of the fraction of labor force working in R&D

Return to innovation: expected discounted sum of future profits

Fraction of labor force working in R&D depends

- positively on the growth rate of the economy
 - fast growing profits incentivizes inventions
- negatively on the discount rate
 - high discount rate means future consumption is valued less
 - the lower the value of future consumption, the lower the incentive to give up current consumption to have higher future consumption \Rightarrow lower incentives to innovate

Determination of the fraction of labor force working in R&D

Return to innovation: expected discounted sum of future profits

Fraction of labor force working in R&D depends

- positively on the growth rate of the economy
 - fast growing profits incentivizes inventions
- negatively on the discount rate
 - high discount rate means future consumption is valued less
 - the lower the value of future consumption, the lower the incentive to give up current consumption to have higher future consumption \Rightarrow lower incentives to innovate
- positively on the probability of innovation
 - The higher the chance of a successful innovation, the higher the incentives to innovate

Determination of the fraction of labor force working in R&D

Return to innovation: expected discounted sum of future profits

Fraction of labor force working in R&D depends

- positively on the growth rate of the economy
 - fast growing profits incentivizes inventions
- negatively on the discount rate
 - high discount rate means future consumption is valued less
 - the lower the value of future consumption, the lower the incentive to give up current consumption to have higher future consumption \Rightarrow lower incentives to innovate
- positively on the probability of innovation
 - The higher the chance of a successful innovation, the higher the incentives to innovate
- negatively on the probability of innovation
 - The higher the chance of being replaced by subsequent inventors, the lower the incentives to innovate
 - Notice that this motive is missing in the Romer model.

The fraction of labor force working in R&D and economic growth?

- Assuming the idea production is of the form: $\dot{A} = \frac{(\gamma_A L)^\lambda}{\mu} A^\phi$, where $0 < \phi < 1$. $\Rightarrow g_A \equiv \frac{\dot{A}}{A} = \frac{(\gamma_A L)^\lambda}{\mu} \frac{A^\phi}{A}$.
- An increase in γ_A leads higher rate of technological progress in the short-run, which leads to economic growth.
- Long-run growth rate is independent of the fraction of labor force engaging in innovation.
 - $\dot{A} = \frac{(\gamma_A L)^\lambda}{\mu} A^\phi \Rightarrow \frac{\dot{A}}{A} = \frac{(\gamma_A L)^\lambda}{\mu} \frac{A^\phi}{A}$
 - (+) Larger γ_A makes idea creation easier, and boosts economic growth
 - (-) Higher level A makes it harder to achieve same growth rate
 - Net effect: long-run growth rate of technology (and output per worker) is independent of the fraction of labor force engaging in innovation if $\phi < 1$
- In this regard, the analysis is similar to an increase in the investment rate in the Solow model.
- More on appendix

Insights from the Romer model and the Schumpeterian model

- In both models, long-run **growth rate** is independent of the fraction of labor force engaging in research
 - Consistent with the empirical evidence.

Insights from the Romer model and the Schumpeterian model

- In both models, long-run **growth rate** is independent of the fraction of labor force engaging in research
 - Consistent with the empirical evidence.
- The **level** of income per capita in the long run is impacted by the fraction of labor force engaging in research

Insights from the Romer model and the Schumpeterian model

- In both models, long-run **growth rate** is independent of the fraction of labor force engaging in research
 - Consistent with the empirical evidence.
- The **level** of income per capita in the long run is impacted by the fraction of labor force engaging in research
- A larger population size leads to higher levels of income per capita in the long-run. Due to non-rivalrous nature of ideas
 - Larger population, more inventors, higher levels of technology
 - Larger population, larger market for inventions, more inventors, higher levels of technology

Insights from the Romer model and the Schumpeterian model

- In both models, long-run **growth rate** is independent of the fraction of labor force engaging in research
 - Consistent with the empirical evidence.
- The **level** of income per capita in the long run is impacted by the fraction of labor force engaging in research
- A larger population size leads to higher levels of income per capita in the long-run. Due to non-rivalrous nature of ideas
 - Larger population, more inventors, higher levels of technology
 - Larger population, larger market for inventions, more inventors, higher levels of technology
- Long-run economic growth rate is positively related to population growth rate
 - Higher population growth rate generates steady increase in the number of researchers
 - Higher rates of economic growth

Insights, cont'd

- Long-run economic growth rate is positively related to knowledge spillovers, ϕ
 - If current research benefits future researchers more, the economy can achieve higher economic growth rates
- If the discount rate applied to monopoly profits is large, the Schumpeterian model imply a larger fraction of labor force engaging in innovation
 - because relative importance of being replaced by others is small
- If the discount rate is relatively small, the Schumpeterian model imply a smaller fraction of labor force engaging in research
 - because people are sensitive to the future destruction of profits

Socially optimal R&D

Because of the externalities in the innovation process, competitive equilibrium R&D level is not socially optimal. Remember that $\dot{A} = \frac{L_A^\lambda}{\mu} A^\phi$

- if $\phi > 0$: "standing on shoulders"
 - Current researchers do not financially benefit from the positive impact of their inventions on the subsequent inventors
 - leads to under-investment in R&D in the market economy

Socially optimal R&D

Because of the externalities in the innovation process, competitive equilibrium R&D level is not socially optimal. Remember that $\dot{A} = \frac{L_A^\lambda}{\mu} A^\phi$

- if $\phi > 0$: "standing on shoulders"
 - Current researchers do not financially benefit from the positive impact of their inventions on the subsequent inventors
 - leads to under-investment in R&D in the market economy
- if $\lambda < 1$: "stepping on toes"
 - Researchers do not take into account potential duplication of research efforts
 - leads to over-investment in R&D in the market economy

Socially optimal R&D

Because of the externalities in the innovation process, competitive equilibrium R&D level is not socially optimal. Remember that $\dot{A} = \frac{L_A^\lambda}{\mu} A^\phi$

- if $\phi > 0$: "standing on shoulders"
 - Current researchers do not financially benefit from the positive impact of their inventions on the subsequent inventors
 - leads to under-investment in R&D in the market economy
- if $\lambda < 1$: "stepping on toes"
 - Researchers do not take into account potential duplication of research efforts
 - leads to over-investment in R&D in the market economy
- Consumer surplus effect
 - Private gain of an innovation = profit < Consumer surplus = Social gain
 - leads to under-investment in R&D in the market economy

Socially optimal R&D, cont'd

- Also, specific to the Schumpeterian model:
 - Inventors steal markets from existing producers
 - *Business stealing effect*: Innovators do not internalize the cost they impose on incumbent producers
 - Leads to over-investment in innovation
- Overall,
 - markets under-invests in innovation
 - Lucking, Bloom, and Van Reenen (2018) estimate that, in the US
 - social returns to R&D in the United States are about 60 percent
 - private returns are about 15 percent
- Ground for government interference to correct for the externalities
- Anti-trust policy should take into account the contrasting effects of market power on the economy:
 - in standard markets, monopolies involve deadweight losses, hence inefficient
 - to provide incentive to innovate, markets need to be imperfect

Do interactions with other inventors boost innovation?

Watch this short video by Stefanie Stantcheva: Where does innovation come from?

Efficiency

Efficiency

Productivity = Technology × Efficiency

- Technology: Knowledge about how factors of production can be combined to produce output
- Efficiency: How effectively given technology and factors of production actually used
- Productivity is much lower in poor countries than in rich countries
 - Not obvious the only reason is a gap of technology
 - Many of the most advanced technologies are being used in poor countries

Types of Inefficiency

- Poor management practices
- Unproductive activities
- Idle resources
- Misallocation among sectors
- Misallocation among firms
- Technology blocking

Management practices


- World Management Survery (Bloom, Sadun, and Van Reenen (2017))
- Survey of thousands of managers across 40 countries
- Core management practices:
 - setting sensible targets
 - providing proper incentives
 - credibly monitoring performance
- Read the op-ed on the article
- Bloom, Lemos, Sadun, Scur, Van Reenen (2014):

'about a quarter of cross-country and within-country TFP gaps can be accounted for by management practices.'

Management quality and productivity

- Average management quality differs enormously across countries


Figure 1: Average Management Scores by Country



Note: Unweighted average management scores; # interviews in right column (total = 15,489); all waves pooled (2004-2014)

- Firm level productivity and management quality are positively correlated

Figure A3: Firm TFP is increasing in management



Notes: This plots the lowest predicted value of TFP against management (bandwidth=0.5). TFP calculated as residual of regression of $\ln(\text{sales})$ on $\ln(\text{capital})$ and $\ln(\text{labor})$ plus a full set of 3 digit industry, country and year dummies controls. N = 10,900.

Source: Bloom, Sadun, and Van Reenen (2017)

Unproductive Activities

- Illegal activities: theft, smuggling, kidnapping for ransom, ..
- Rent seeking: involve the use of laws or government institutions to bring private benefits
 - Economic rent: payment to a factor of production in excess of what is required to elicit the supply of that factor
 - E.g.: quotas to limit the imports of some goods, lobbying, ...
 - Costs: a good deal of effort, bright people work in unproductive activities

Unproductive Activities

- Illegal activities: theft, smuggling, kidnapping for ransom, ..
- Rent seeking: involve the use of laws or government institutions to bring private benefits
 - Economic rent: payment to a factor of production in excess of what is required to elicit the supply of that factor
 - E.g.: quotas to limit the imports of some goods, lobbying, ...
 - Costs: a good deal of effort, bright people work in unproductive activities


Idle Resources

- Factors of production not used at all
- Unemployment, underemployment
- Factory that sits unused
- Factory running at less than full capacity
- Example: In 2001,
 - Air Afrique: 500 employees per airplane,
 - EasyJet: 66 employees per airplane
- Example: *Firemen* employed in diesel engines of the United States and Canada railroads during the middle of the 20th century

Misallocation Among Sectors

Misallocation among sectors: marginal product of inputs are not equal across sectors

- barriers to mobility
 - geographical isolation
 - wage policy: e.g. sectoral minimum wage
 - market segmentation: potentially productive people are unable to work in certain sectors (due to licensing requirements for example)
 - tax rate differences across industries
- wages \neq marginal product of labor



Graphic from: Weil (2013)

Efficiency Gains from Sectoral Reallocation

Reallocation from agriculture to manufacturing

- Taiwan: 0.7% of 5.4% annual growth over 1966-1991
- Korea: 0.7% of 5.7% annual growth over 1960-1990
- US: fraction of agricultural labor decreased from 50% to 3% over 1880-1980
- China: fraction of agricultural labor decreased from 69% to 40% over 1980-2009

Misallocation Among Firms

Misallocation among firms: marginal product of inputs are not equal across firms

- government-owned firms over-employ: political power
- monopolies under-employ: monopolistic profit
- financial frictions prevent efficient allocation of capital
- discrimination
 - preventing many talented women, ethnic minorities, and others from working on jobs that better suits their talents.

Technology Blocking

Agents deliberately prevent the use of technology

- Gutenberg's printing press (1453): scribes
- automated weaving loom (19th century): Luddites
- margarine (late 19th century): dairy farmers
- Netscape browser: Microsoft

Isn't technological progress beneficial to the economy?

- creative destruction and technology blocking
 - the success of technology blocking depends on the relative power of the opposer/supporter



"Not everyone benefits from technological advances."

Source: Kaamran Hafeez, the New Yorker

Summary

- Analyzed the motives of innovation
- Analyzed externalities in the innovation process, and justified the role of government intervention
- Looked at the role of efficiency in productivity

To review our lectures (lectures 7 and 8) on technology and efficiency

Read

- Chapters 4 and 5 of Introduction to Economic Growth by Jones and Vollrath
- Mathematical appendix to Chapter 9 of Economic Growth by David Weil
- Chapter 10 of Economic Growth by David Weil

Appendix

Long-run growth rate

- How do we calculate long-run economic growth if $\dot{A} = \frac{L_A^\lambda}{\mu} A^\phi$?
- Growth rate of A , $g_A \equiv \frac{\dot{A}}{A} = \frac{(\gamma_A L)^\lambda}{\mu} A^{\phi-1} = \frac{(\gamma_A L)^\lambda}{\mu A^{1-\phi}}$
- For g_A to be constant in the long run, $(\gamma_A L)^\lambda$ and $\mu A^{1-\phi}$ should grow at the same rate.
- Growth rate of $(\gamma_A L)^\lambda$ is λn , where n is the population growth rate.
- Growth rate of $\mu A^{1-\phi}$ is equal to $(1 - \phi)g_A$
- $(1 - \phi)g_A = \lambda n$
- Growth rate of technology: $g_A = \frac{\lambda n}{(1-\phi)}$ if $\phi < 1$.
- g_A is positively correlated with λ , n , and ϕ

Determinants of productivity growth in the long run

In the long-run: $g_A = \frac{\lambda n}{(1-\phi)}$

To understand the intuition, suppose $\lambda = 1$ and $\phi = 0$

Then $g_A = \frac{(\gamma_A L)}{\mu} \frac{1}{A}$ all the time, $g_A = n$ in the long run

- If population does not grow, g_A will converge to 0
 - Recall $\dot{A} = \frac{\gamma_A L}{\mu}$ if $\lambda = 1$ and $\phi = 0$
- Hence the only source of growth is from population growth
- $g_A = n$ if $\lambda = 1$ and $\phi = 0$
- Larger population generates more ideas
- Since ideas are non-rivalrous, everyone benefits

Determinants of productivity growth in the long run, cont'd

- Now suppose $\lambda = 1$ and $\phi = 1$
- Then, $g_A = \frac{(\gamma_A L)}{\mu} \frac{A}{A} = \frac{(\gamma_A L)}{\mu}$ all the time
- Notice that this formulation is equivalent to our assumption in the last lecture
- We see sustained growth even if research effort is constant, i.e. even if $\gamma_A L$ is constant.
- Rejected by the data

Determinants of productivity growth in the long run, cont'd (2)

If $\phi > 0$ but $\phi < 1$:

- still positive spillovers from research

$$g_A = \frac{(\gamma_A L)^\lambda}{\mu} \frac{A^\phi}{A} \text{ all the time}$$

$$g_A \text{ at the steady state (or balanced growth path): } g_A = \frac{\lambda n}{(1-\phi)}$$

- Unaffected by the fraction of population engaging in R&D
 - Intuitively, higher γ_A leads to higher \hat{A} in the short run
 - In the long-run, because of diminishing marginal product of idea stock in idea creation, γ_A does not affect the long-run economic growth.

However, short-run growth rate of productivity is a still function of fraction of labor force engaging in R&D.

Income per capita in the long run

- Fraction of labor force engaging in R&D impacts income per capita
 - Positively: high level of productivity in the long run
 - Negatively: smaller fraction of workers in the production
- Size of labor force, $L(t)$, impacts income per capita positively (**scale effect**):
 - **demand effect:** $L \uparrow \Rightarrow$ larger market for an idea $\Rightarrow \uparrow$ return to research
 - **supply effect:** $L \uparrow \Rightarrow$ more potential creators of ideas