SUMMARY FOR LEAD SCORE CASE STUDY

Problem Statement

An education company named X Education sells online courses to industry professionals.

X Education needs help in selecting the leads that are most likely to convert into paying customers. The company requires you to build a model wherein you need to assign a lead score to each of the leads such as lead score have a higher conversion and vice versa.

The CEO, in particular, has given a ballpark of the target lead conversion rate to be around 80%.

Our solution/approach:

Steps:-

1. Reading and understanding the data :inspect

2. Data cleaning and preparation:

- Changing to required format, removed unwanted columns.
- Dropped columns with more than 40%missing values.
- Changed columns containing "select" category as null value.
- Imputed missing values based on value counts; such as mode value for categorical columns.
- Dropped unwanted columns after checking its value count and plots.
- Segregated variables according to its types numerical and categorical.

3. Analysis:

- Univariate, Bivariate and multivariate analysis done on both numerical and categorical columns – Boxplots, barplot, countplot, scatterplot, histogram created.
- Pairplots and heat maps created to study the correlation.
- Bivariate analysis with target variable "converted" done.
- Many useful insights drawn from the plots and graphs.

4. Data transformation:

- Encoding of variables to binary form "0" and "1".
- Creation of dummy variables for categorical columns.

5. Data split into train and test:

Data Split in 70-30 ratio (train-test).

6. Rescaling of features:

• Numerical columns rescaled using minmax scaler.

7. Model building:

- Logistic regression model building done by using Recursive Feature Elimination (RFE) and selected top 18 features.
- Assessed the model using statsmodel summary and checked the p-values and dropped the insignificant p-values > 0.05.
- The Variance inflation Factor was also assessed to explain the predictor variable against all other predictors.
- Finally ended up with predictor variables with significant p value and good VIF.
- On train set,
 - Optimal cutoff found on using ROC curve and the curve obtained was good with area under coverage (AUC) 95%.
 - Confusion matrix plotted and check the precision, accuracy, recall and specificity; calculated the conversion probability.
 - A trade-off between precision and recall was plotted to visually see the intersecting at optimal cutoff.
- Implemented the learning to test data and run the model to measure its predictions on test data.
- Performed all the functions of train data on test data and calculated the conversion probability.

8. Comparison of metrics for train and test data:-

parameters	train data	test data
accuracy	0.8913	0.8821
Precision /Positive predictive value	0.8400	0.8180
sensitivity / Recall	0.8867	0.8685
specificity	0.8942	0.8898
false postive rate	0.1057	0.1101
Negative predictive value	0.9265	0.9222

9. Conclusion:

 Important positive predictors of the case with decreasing coefficient values (top 5):-

1.	Tags_Closed by Horizzon	8.2871
2.	Tags_Lost to EINS	8.2116
3.	Total Time Spent on Website	4.3958
4.	Lead Origin_Lead Add Form	3.8077

5. Tags_Will revert after reading the email 3.6800

• Important negative predictors of the case with decreasing coefficient values:-

1.	Do Not Email	-1.8844
2.	Tags_Ringing	-1.6169
3.	What is your current occupation_Unemployed	-1.5669
4.	Tags_switched off	-1.0927

• Conversion percentage of more than 80% obtained(86.85) as per the problem statement and requirement from CEO.