

# Note Summary: Multivariate Calculus

Guoxuan Ma\*

August 10, 2022

In this lecture, we quickly review some important concepts in multivariate variable calculus, skipping the proofs of many of the results. we use the Euclidean distance  $d_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  by default when talking about openness, closedness, compactness, limit, and continuity. Also, the product of two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is the dot product, and the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  of a vector is the Euclidean norm, or  $L_2$  norm.

## 1 Derivatives

**Definition 1.** Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and  $x \in A \cap A'^1$ . A function  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be differentiable at  $x$  iff the limit

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

exists. In that case, define the derivative of  $f$  at  $x$  as the limit above, denoted as  $f'(x)$ .

A function  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be **differentiable** iff  $A \subset A'$  and  $f$  is differentiable at any  $x \in A$ .

Let  $\hat{A}$  be the set of points in  $A \cap A'$  at which  $f$  is differentiable. Then the function  $f' : \hat{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called the derivative (function) of  $f$ . Clearly, if a function  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$ , then it is continuous at  $x$ . This is because

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} |f(x') - f(x)| &= \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} \left[ \frac{f(x') - f(x)}{x' - x} \cdot (x' - x) \right] \\ &= \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} \left[ \frac{f(x') - f(x)}{x' - x} \right] \cdot \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} [x' - x] \\ &= f'(x) \cdot 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

---

\*This note mainly borrows from math camp material in Columbia University. <https://www.sites.google.com/site/mathcamp2018cu>

<sup>1</sup> $A'$  is the set of limit points of  $A$ .

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and  $S$  a subset of  $X$ . A point  $x \in X$  is a limit point of  $S$  iff  $(B_r(x) \setminus \{x\}) \cap S \neq \emptyset \forall r > 0$ . The set of limit points of  $S$  is denoted as  $S'$ . The condition  $(B_r(x) \setminus \{x\}) \cap S \neq \emptyset \forall r > 0$  states that the open ball  $B_r(x)$  with the center removed always contains some points in the set  $S$ , no matter how small the radius  $r$  is. That is, a point  $x$  is a limit point of  $S$  iff we can use points in  $S$  to approximate  $x$  arbitrarily well (the point  $x$  itself may be a point in  $S$ , but we are not allowed to use  $x$  to approximate itself).

A function continuous at  $x$  may fail to be differentiable at  $x$ , since the function may have a kink point. In fact, a function can be continuous everywhere, but not differentiable at a single point (e.g. Weierstrass function).

In Rudin's book, a much simpler way to define the derivative as

**Definition 2.** Let  $f$  be defined (and real-valued) on  $[a, b]$ . For any  $x \in [a, b]$  form the quotient

$$\phi(t) = \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x}, \quad (a < t < b, t \neq x)$$

and define

$$f'(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \phi(t),$$

provided this limit exists (we use the epsilon-delta definition here). We thus associate with the function  $f$  a function  $f'$  whose domain is the set of points  $x$  at which the limit exists;  $f'$  is called the derivative of  $f$ . If  $f'$  is defined at a point  $x$ ,  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$ .

Derivatives of some commonly used functions:

$$\begin{aligned} (x^\alpha)' &= \alpha x^{\alpha-1}, \quad \alpha > 1 \\ (\ln x)' &= \frac{1}{x} \\ (e^x)' &= e^x \\ (\sin x)' &= \cos x \end{aligned}$$

Because a derivative is essentially the limit of the slope function  $\frac{f(x+h)-f(x)}{h}$  when the deviation  $h$  tends to 0, it inherits the properties of limits of functions. Especially, if  $f$  and  $g$  are both differentiable at  $x$ , then  $f+g$  is also differentiable at  $x$ , and  $(f+g)'(x) = f'(x) + g'(x)$ . Also, it can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda f)' &= \lambda f' \\ (fg)' &= f'g + fg' \\ (f/g)' &= \frac{f'g - fg'}{g^2} \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.** (*L'Hospital Rule*). Let  $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$ , and  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  are differentiable in  $(a, b)$ . If  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x)$  are both 0 or  $\pm\infty$ , and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f'(x)/g'(x)$  has a finite value or is  $\pm\infty$ , then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}$$

The statement is also true for  $x \rightarrow b$ .

L'Hospital rule is particularly useful in obtaining the limit of some particular expression. For example, it might seem difficult to determine the behavior of

the function  $(\ln x)\sqrt{x}$  when  $x$  diverges to  $+\infty$ , because both the numerator and the denominator diverge to  $+\infty$ . However, because

$$\frac{(\ln x)'}{(\sqrt{x})'} = \frac{\frac{1}{x}}{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{x}} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , we  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (\ln x)/\sqrt{x} = 0$  by L'Hospital rule.

According to the theorem, L'Hospital rule applies to functions with the form  $0/0$  or  $\infty/\infty$ , i.e. both the numerator and the denominator converges/diverges to 0 or  $\pm\infty$ . When a function does not have this form, it must be transformed to this form before L'Hospital rule can be applied. For example, consider the limit  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} (1 + x^{-1})^x$ . It does not have the form  $0/0$  or  $\infty/\infty$ , but its log

$$\ln(1 + x^{-1})^x = x \ln(1 + x^{-1}) = \frac{\ln(1 + x^{-1})}{x^{-1}}$$

takes the form  $0/0$ , to which L'Hospital rule can be applied.

## 1.1 Total derivatives

Now we generalize the notion of derivatives to multivariate functions.

**Definition 3.** Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x \in \text{int}(A)$ . A function  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is said to be differentiable at  $x$  iff  $\exists$  an  $m \times n$  real matrix  $C$  s.t.

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|f(x+h) - f(x) - Ch\|}{\|h\|} = 0$$

In this case, define the (total) derivative of  $f$  at  $x$  as the matrix  $C$ , denoted as  $f'(x)$ , or  $Df(x)$ .

A function  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be differentiable iff  $A$  is open and  $f$  is differentiable at any  $x \in A$ . Let  $A_1 \subset \text{int}(A)$  be the set of points at which  $f$  is differentiable. Then the function  $f' : A_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{mn}$  is called the derivative (function) of  $f$ .

Because the  $m \times n$  real matrix  $C$  can also be viewed as an  $mn$ -dimensional real vector, the codomain of the derivative function  $f'$  can be viewed as  $\mathbb{R}^{mn}$ . For a real-valued function  $f$  from  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , its derivative  $f'(x)$  at  $x \in \text{int}(A)$  reduces to a  $1 \times n$  row vector. In this case, the derivative is also called the gradient of  $f$  at  $x$ , sometimes denoted as  $\nabla f(x)$ , which is essentially the same as  $f'(x)$  or  $Df(x)$ .

Clearly, if a function  $f$  from  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is differentiable at  $x \in \text{int}(A)$ , then it is continuous at  $x$ . To see this, by triangle inequality of  $\|\cdot\|$ ,

$$0 \leq \|f(x') - f(x)\| \leq \|f(x') - f(x) - f'(x)(x' - x)\| + \|f'(x)(x' - x)\|$$

Because the first term

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x') - f(x) - f'(x)(x' - x)\| &= \frac{\|f(x') - f(x) - f'(x)(x' - x)\|}{\|x' - x\|} \|x' - x\| \\ &\rightarrow 0 \cdot 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $x' \rightarrow x$ , and the second term

$$\|f'(x)(x' - x)\| \rightarrow \|f'(x)(x - x)\| = 0$$

as  $x' \rightarrow x$ , we know that  $\|f(x') - f(x)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $x' \rightarrow x$ . Therefore,  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ .

If two functions  $f$  and  $g$  from  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  are both differentiable at  $x \in \text{int}(A)$ , then the function  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is also differentiable at  $x$ , and furthermore we have  $(f + g)'(x) = f'(x) + g'(x)$ .

Similarly, we can show  $(\lambda f)' = \lambda f'$ . Therefore, taking derivative is a linear operator, i.e.

$$(\lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2)' = \lambda_1 f_1'(x) + \lambda_2 f_2'(x)$$

For a function  $f$  from  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , each coordinate  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  of  $f$  can be regarded as a function  $f_i$  from  $A$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . By definition, it is straightforward to show that  $f$  is differentiable at  $x \in \text{int}(A)$  iff  $f_i$  is differentiable at  $x$  for each  $i$ , and furthermore we have

$$f'(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f_1(x) \\ \nabla f_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ \nabla f_m(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

We say that  $f$  from  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , is  $k$ -th continuously differentiable at  $x$  iff  $x \in \text{int}(A)$  and  $f^k(x)$  is continuous at  $x$ , where  $A_k$  is the set of points at which  $f^{(k-1)}$  is differentiable. In this case,  $f$  is said to be  $C^k$  at  $x$ . We say that  $f$  is  $k$ -th continuously differentiable iff  $A$  is open and  $f$  is  $k$ -th continuously differentiable at all  $x \in A$ . In this case,  $f$  is said to be  $C^k$ .

## 1.2 Partial Derivatives

**Definition 4.** Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x \in \text{int}(A)$ . For a function  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , its **partial derivative of the  $i$ -th coordinate w.r.t. the  $j$ -th argument at  $x \in A$**  is

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x) := \frac{d}{dt} f_i(x + te_j)|_{t=0}$$

if the right-hand side derivative exists.

The vector  $e_j$  above is the  $j$ -th canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.  $e_j := (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ .

In the expression  $\frac{d}{dt} f_i(x + te_j)|_{t=0}$ , we fix  $x$  and consider  $f_i(x + te_j)$  as a single variable function in  $t$ , then take derivative of this single variable function, and finally evaluate the derivative at  $t = 0$ .

The vector  $x + te_j$  is a deviation from  $x$  only in the  $j$ -th argument. Therefore, intuitively, the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x)$  measures the sensitivity of the  $i$ -th coordinate  $f_i$  of the function  $f$  w.r.t. the  $j$ -th argument  $x_j$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x \in \text{int}(A)$ . If function  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is differentiable at  $x$ , then  $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x)$  exists for any  $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and furthermore we have

$$f'(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(x) & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2}(x) & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n}(x) \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1}(x) & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2}(x) & \dots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n}(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1}(x) & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_2}(x) & \dots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n}(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice that the theorem above only states that existence of the total derivative implies the existence of all partial derivatives. The reverse is not true, since we can find a function  $f$  s.t.  $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x)$  exists for all  $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$ , but  $f$  is not differentiable at  $x$ , i.e. its total derivative does not exist. In fact,  $f$  may even be discontinuous at  $x$ . See the example below.

Consider the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$f(x, y) := \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 y}{x^2 + y^2} & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$$

By definition of partial derivatives, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0) &= \frac{d}{dt} f(t, 0)|_{t=0} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t, 0) - f(0, 0)}{t - 0} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{0 - 0}{t - 0} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0, 0) &= \frac{d}{dt} f(0, t)|_{t=0} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0, t) - f(0, 0)}{t - 0} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{0 - 0}{t - 0} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

So both of the partial derivatives of  $f$  exist. However,  $f$  is not differentiable at  $(0, 0)$ . In fact,  $f$  is not even continuous at  $(0, 0)$ . To see this, notice that  $f$  constantly take the value  $1/2$  along the path  $y = x^2$  except for at the point  $(0, 0)$ , where the  $f$  takes the value  $0$ .

As is shown in the example above, the existence of  $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x)$  for all  $(i, j)$  does not imply differentiability of  $f$  at  $x$ . However, if for each  $(i, j)$ , the partial  $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x)$  exists not only at  $x$ , but also on an open ball around  $x$ , and  $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x)$  is continuous at  $x$ , then  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$ . This result is formulated by following theorem.

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x \in \text{int}(A)$ , and function  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then  $f$  is  $C^1$  at  $x$  iff  $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(x)$  exists on an open ball around  $x$  and is continuous at  $x$  for any  $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, m\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

It is typically difficult to find the total derivative of a function  $f$ , since we need to find a  $m \times n$  matrix that satisfies the limit condition specified by the

definition. However, the  $mn$  partial derivatives are much easier to find, since they are essentially derivatives of single variable derivatives. Therefore, to find the total derivative of a function at  $x$ , we usually don't directly work with the definition of total derivatives. Instead, we look at all partial derivatives of  $f$  and see if all of them exist in an open ball around  $x$  and are continuous at  $x$ . If yes, then by the theorem above we know that the total derivative exists at  $x$ , and is exactly the matrix of all partial derivatives at  $x$ .

### 1.3 Directional Derivatives

**Definition 5.** Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x \in \text{int}(A)$ . For a function  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , and a vector  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\|z\| = 1$ , the directional derivative of  $f$  along the vector  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  at  $x \in A$  is

$$f'_z(x) := \frac{d}{dt} f(x + tz)|_{t=0} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{dt} f_1(x + tz)|_{t=0} \\ \frac{d}{dt} f_2(x + tz)|_{t=0} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{d}{dt} f_m(x + tz)|_{t=0} \end{bmatrix}$$

if the right-hand side derivative exists.

Consider a function  $f$  from  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \text{int}(A)$ , the gradient  $\nabla f(x)$  can be interpreted as the direction in which  $f$  increases the fastest at  $x$ . This is formulated in the proposition below.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $f$  be a function from  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  that is differentiable at  $x \in \text{int}(A)$ , and  $\nabla f(x) \neq 0$ . Then the directional derivative  $f'_z(x)$  is maximized when  $z = \frac{\nabla f(x)}{\|\nabla f(x)\|}$ , and the maximized directional derivative is  $\|\nabla f(x)\|$ .

### 1.4 Chain Rule

**Proposition 2.** (Chain Rule). Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $T$  be a set s.t.  $f(S) \subset T \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and  $g : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$ , and  $g$  is differentiable at  $f(x)$  ( $\mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at  $x$ , and we have

$$(g \circ f)'(x) = g'(f(x)) \cdot f'(x)$$

The chain rule for single variable functions can be generalized to multivariate functions.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x \in \text{int}(S)$ , and  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . Let  $T$  be s.t.  $f(S) \subset T \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $f(x) \in \text{int}(T)$ , and let  $g : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ . If  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$ , and  $g$  is differentiable at  $f(x)$ , then  $g \circ f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is differentiable at  $x$ . Furthermore, we have

$$(g \circ f)'(x) = g'(f(x)) \cdot f'(x)$$

In the equation  $(g \circ f)'(x) = g'(f(x)) \cdot f'(x)$ , the equality between the  $(i, j)$ -th entries of the matrices on two sides is

$$\frac{\partial (g \circ f)_i}{\partial x_j}(x) = \sum_{l=1}^m \left[ \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y_l}(f(x)) \cdot \frac{\partial f_l}{\partial x_j}(x) \right]$$

## 2 Mean Value Theorem

**Theorem 3.** (Mean Value Theorem). Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , differentiable on  $(a, b)$ , and continuous on  $[a, b]$ . Then there exists  $x \in (a, b)$  s.t.

$$f'(x) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}$$

One implication of mean value theorem is: if  $f' > (\geq) 0$  on  $(a, b)$ , then  $f$  is strictly (weakly) increasing on  $(a, b)$ . If we have  $f' < (\leq)$ , then  $f$  is strictly (weakly) decreasing on  $(a, b)$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $f : A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $C^1$  in an open set in  $A$  which contains  $[x, y]$  ( $x_i < y_i, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ). Then there exists a point  $\mathbf{w}$  in  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  (i.e.  $x_i < w_i < y_i, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) s.t.

$$f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y}) = \nabla f(\mathbf{w}) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$$

Finally, here is a similar theorem that does not require differentiability.

**Theorem 5.** (Intermediate Value Theorem). Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous and  $u$  is a number between  $f(a)$  and  $f(b)$ , then there exists  $c \in [a, b]$  s.t.  $u = f(c)$ .

## 3 Higher Order Derivatives and Taylor Expansion

### 3.1 Second Order Derivatives of $f : A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

For a function  $f$  from  $f : A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we know that its gradient at  $x \in \text{int}(A)$  is equal to the vector of partial derivatives, i.e.

$$\nabla f(x) = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x), \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(x), \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(x) \right)$$

The second derivative of the real-valued function  $f$  at  $x$  is also known as the Hessian matrix of  $f$  at  $x$ , denoted as  $H_f(x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} H_f(x) &:= f''(x) = (\nabla f)'(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \left( \nabla \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right)(x) \\ \left( \nabla \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right)(x) \\ \vdots \\ \left( \nabla \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right)(x) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right)}{\partial (x_1)}(x) & \frac{\partial \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right)}{\partial (x_2)}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right)}{\partial (x_n)}(x) \\ \frac{\partial \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right)}{\partial (x_1)}(x) & \frac{\partial \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right)}{\partial (x_2)}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right)}{\partial (x_n)}(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right)}{\partial (x_1)}(x) & \frac{\partial \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right)}{\partial (x_2)}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right)}{\partial (x_n)}(x) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that in the expressions above, the notation  $(\nabla \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i})(x)$  stands for the gradient of the function  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$  at  $x$ . The notation  $\frac{\partial(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i})}{\partial x_j}(x)$  stands for the partial derivative of the function  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$  at  $x$  w.r.t. the  $j$ -th argument, which is usually referred to as a cross partial at  $x$ . The notation for the cross partial  $\frac{\partial(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i})}{\partial x_j}$  is usually simplified as  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ .

Notice that the cross partial

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(x) := \frac{\partial(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i})}{\partial x_j}(x)$$

and the cross partial

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) := \frac{\partial(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j})}{\partial x_i}(x)$$

are conceptually very different when  $i \neq j$ . However, they are equal if  $f$  is twice-differentiable at  $x$ , and this result is usually known as Young's theorem or Schwarz's theorem.

Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x \in \text{int}(A)$ . If function  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^2$  at  $x$ , then for any  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  both  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x)$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(x)$  exists and

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(x) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x)$$

By the theorem above, when  $f$  is twice-differentiable at  $x$ , the Hessian matrix of  $f$  at  $x$

$$H_f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{(\partial x_1)^2}(x) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_1}(x) \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}(x) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_2}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_2}(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n}(x) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_n}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_n}(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

is a symmetric matrix.

### 3.2 Taylor's Theorem

**Definition 6.** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $C^{n-1}$  and  $f^{(n)}(t)$  exists at every  $t \in (a, b)$ . Let  $a$  and  $b$  be distinct points in  $[a, b]$ , and define

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n-1}(t) &:= f(\alpha) + f'(\alpha)(t - \alpha) + \frac{f''(\alpha)}{2}(t - \alpha)^2 \\ &\quad + \dots + \frac{f^{(n-1)}(\alpha)}{(n-1)!}(t - \alpha)^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$



Then there exists  $x$  strictly between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  s.t.

$$f(\beta) = P_{n-1}(\beta) + \frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!}$$

In the theorem,  $\beta$  is allowed to be greater or less than  $\alpha$ . Notice that Taylor's theorem reduces to the mean value theorem when  $n = 1$ , and so Taylor's theorem can be viewed as a generalization of the mean value theorem.

This theorem states that under some differentiability and continuity conditions,  $f(\beta)$  can be approximated by the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n-1}(\beta) &:= f(\alpha) + f'(\alpha)(\beta - \alpha) + \frac{f''(\alpha)}{2}(\beta - \alpha)^2 \\ &+ \dots + \frac{f^{(n-1)}(\alpha)}{(n-1)!}(\beta - \alpha)^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

and the error is  $\frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!}(\beta - \alpha)^n$ . if we rewrite  $\beta$  as  $\alpha + h$ , then  $f(\alpha + h)$  can be approximated by the polynomial

$$f(\alpha) + f'(\alpha)h + \frac{f''(\alpha)}{(n-1)!}h^{n-1}$$

and the error is  $\frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!}h^n$ , where  $x$  is some point between  $x$  and  $x + h$ .

If we further assume that  $f \in C^n$ , then  $f^{(n)}$  is continuous at  $\alpha$ , and thus

$$\frac{\frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!}h^n}{h^{n-1}} = \frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!}h \rightarrow \frac{f^{(n)}(\alpha)}{n!}0 = 0$$

as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , which means that the error is small compared to  $h^{n-1}$  as  $h$  tends to 0. Conventionally, the notation  $o(f(t))$  is used to denote any function  $g(t)$  s.t.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} g(t)/f(t) = 0$ . So the error term is  $o(h^{n-1})$ . Therefore, Taylor's theorem can be rewritten as

$$f(a + h) = f(\alpha) + f'(\alpha)h + \frac{f''(\alpha)}{2}h^2 + \dots + \frac{f^{(n-1)}(\alpha)}{(n-1)!}h^{n-1} + o(h^{n-1})$$

when  $f$  is  $C^n$ , and this is sometimes known as the  $(n-1)$ -th order Taylor expansion of  $f$  at  $\alpha$ . Notice that the correct interpretation of the equality above is

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a + h) - \left[ f(\alpha) + f'(\alpha)h + \frac{f''(\alpha)}{2}h^2 + \dots + \frac{f^{(n-1)}(\alpha)}{(n-1)!}h^{n-1} \right]}{h^{n-1}} = 0$$

we can also write the  $(n-1)$ -th order Taylor approximation of  $f$  at  $\alpha$ :

$$f(a + h) \approx f(\alpha) + f'(\alpha)h + \frac{f''(\alpha)}{2}h^2 + \dots + \frac{f^{(n-1)}(\alpha)}{(n-1)!}h^{n-1}$$

The following Theorem states the first and second order Taylor expansion  
Let  $f$  be a function from  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $f$  is  $C^2$  at  $x \in \text{int}(A)$ . Then we have

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + \nabla f(x)h + o(\|h\|)$$

If  $f$  is  $C^3$  at  $x$ , we have

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + \nabla f(x)h + \frac{1}{2}h^T H_f(x)h + o(\|h\|^2)$$

Recall that the correct interpretation of the two equations above is

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - [f(x) + \nabla f(x)h]}{\|h\|} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - [f(x) + \nabla f(x)h + \frac{1}{2}h^T H_f(x)h]}{\|h\|^2} = 0$$

## 4 Log-linearization

In dynamic macro economics models, we sometimes use log-linearization to approximate a non-linear dynamic system using a linear dynamic system. This invokes Taylor's theorem, which tells us how to construct linear approximations of (non-linear) functions, at least near some point  $x$  (which is usually the steady state point of the system).

Consider multivariate function  $f : A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we want to approximate it around point  $x^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*)$  s.t.  $x_i^* \neq 0, \forall i$ , for each variable  $x_i$ , we define  $\hat{x}_i := \ln(x_i/x_i^*)$  to be its log-deviation when  $x_i$  and  $x_i^*$  have the same sign (which is reasonable when  $x$  is "near"  $x^*$ ).

Since  $x_i = x_i^* e^{\hat{x}_i}$ , we can rewrite  $f(\mathbf{x}) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  as a function  $h$  of  $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_n$ :

$$h(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_n) = f(x_1^* e^{\hat{x}_1}, x_2^* e^{\hat{x}_2}, \dots, x_n^* e^{\hat{x}_n}) = f(\mathbf{x})$$

Note that  $h(\mathbf{0}) = f(\mathbf{x}^*)$  and  $h'_i(\mathbf{0}) = f'_i(\mathbf{x}^*)x_i^*, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

We then take a first order Taylor expansion of  $h$  around the point  $\mathbf{0}$  (replace  $\approx$  with  $=$  here)

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) &= h(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_n) = h(\mathbf{0}) + h'_1(\mathbf{0})\hat{x}_1 + h'_2(\mathbf{0})\hat{x}_2 + \dots + h'_n(\mathbf{0})\hat{x}_n \\ &= f(\mathbf{x}^*) + f'_1(\mathbf{x}^*)x_1^*\hat{x}_1 + f'_2(\mathbf{x}^*)x_2^*\hat{x}_2 + \dots + f'_n(\mathbf{x}^*)x_n^*\hat{x}_n \end{aligned}$$

The approximation above, in the form of  $f(\mathbf{x}) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \hat{x}_i$ , is called the log-linear approximation of function  $f$  around point  $\mathbf{x}^*$ .

Often, instead of log-linearizing a function, we want to log-linearize an equation (which is (a part of) the characterization of a system at its steady state):

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

around root  $\mathbf{x}^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*)$  satisfying  $f(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$ . In this case, we first write a log-linear approximation of the LHS,  $f(\mathbf{x})$ , then we set this log-linear approximation equal to zero. So we have

$$f'_1(\mathbf{x}^*)x_1^*\hat{x}_1 + f'_2(\mathbf{x}^*)x_2^*\hat{x}_2 + \dots + f'_n(\mathbf{x}^*)x_n^*\hat{x}_n = 0$$

which, in the form of  $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \hat{x}_i = 0$ , is called the log-linearization of equation  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  around  $\mathbf{x}^*$  s.t.  $f(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$ . The discussion below is devoted to showing how to perform log-linearization of equations (faster).

If  $f(\mathbf{x}^*) \neq 0$ , define  $\eta_i := \frac{f'_i(\mathbf{x}^*)x_i^*}{f(\mathbf{x}^*)}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) the elasticity of  $f$  w.r.t  $x_i$  at  $\mathbf{x}^*$ , we can also write:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}^*)[1 + \eta_1\hat{x}_1 + \eta_2\hat{x}_2 + \dots + \eta_n\hat{x}_n]$$

therefore

$$\frac{f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)}{f(\mathbf{x}^*)} = \eta_1\hat{x}_1 + \eta_2\hat{x}_2 + \dots + \eta_n\hat{x}_n$$

Now we define the log-deviation of function  $f$  around some point  $\mathbf{x}^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*)$  s.t.  $f(\mathbf{x}^*) \neq 0$ :

$$f(\hat{x}) := \ln(f(\mathbf{x})/f(\mathbf{x}^*))$$

(when  $f(\mathbf{x})$  and  $f(\mathbf{x}^*)$  have the same sign). Notice that  $\ln(f(\mathbf{x})/f(\mathbf{x}^*)) \approx \frac{f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)}{f(\mathbf{x}^*)}$ , we then have

$$f(\hat{x}) := \eta_1\hat{x}_1 + \eta_2\hat{x}_2 + \dots + \eta_n\hat{x}_n$$

The following are the log-deviations of some simple functions (please verify by yourselves), which are "shortcuts" you might want to memorize (note here  $x, x_1, x_2$  are scalars):

- $\widehat{\alpha x} = \hat{x}$
- $\widehat{x_1 + x_2} = \frac{x_1^*}{x_1^* + x_2^*}\hat{x}_1 + \frac{x_2^*}{x_1^* + x_2^*}\hat{x}_2$
- $\widehat{x_1 x_2} = \hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2$
- $\widehat{x^\alpha} = \alpha\hat{x}$
- $\hat{c} = 0$  where  $c$  is a constant

Sometimes the function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  can be written in the form of  $f(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{x}) - l(\mathbf{x})$ . Then the equation  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  can be written as  $g(\mathbf{x}) = l(\mathbf{x})$ . To log-linearize equation  $g(\mathbf{x}) = h(\mathbf{x})$  around some  $\mathbf{x}^*$  satisfying  $g(\mathbf{x}^*) = l(\mathbf{x}^*)$ , we can just derive the log-deviation  $\widehat{g(\mathbf{x}^*)}$  and  $\widehat{h(\mathbf{x}^*)}$  around  $\mathbf{x}^*$ , and set them equal to one another.

## 5 Implicit Function Theorem and Inverse Function Theorem

For a function  $f$  from  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and a point  $(x_0, y_0) \in A$ , the Jacobian matrix  $f'_x(x_0, y_0)$  at  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a  $k \times n$  matrix defined as the derivative of  $f(x, y_0)$  viewed as a function of  $x$ , evaluated at  $x = x_0$ . Similarly, the Jacobian matrix  $f'_y(x_0, y_0)$  at  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a  $k \times m$  matrix defined as the derivative of  $f(x_0, y)$  viewed as a function of  $y$ , evaluated at  $y = y_0$ .

**Theorem 6.** (*Implicit Function*). *Let  $f$  be a function from  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$  to  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Let  $(x_0, y_0) \in \text{int}(A)$  s.t.  $f(x_0, y_0) = 0$ . If  $f$  is  $C^1$  at  $(x_0, y_0)$  and the  $m \times m$  Jacobian matrix  $f'_y(x_0, y_0)$  is invertible, then there exist an open ball  $B_x$  around  $x_0$  and an open ball  $B_y$  around  $y_0$  s.t.  $\forall x \in B_x$  there exists a unique  $y \in B_y$  s.t.  $f(x, y) = 0$ . Therefore, the equation  $f(x, y) = 0$  implicitly defines a function  $g : B_x \rightarrow B_y$  with the property*

$$f(x, g(x)) = 0$$

for any  $x \in B_x$ . Furthermore, we know that the function  $g$  is differentiable at any  $x \in B_x$ , and

$$g'(x) = -[f'_y(x, g(x))]^{-1} f'_x(x, g(x))$$

Here let's admit that the implicit function  $g$  is well-defined and is differentiable, and provide some intuitions only for the derivative formula  $g'(x) = -[f'_y(x, g(x))]^{-1} f'_x(x, g(x))$  using chain rule.

The next theorem, often known as the inverse function theorem, is just a special case of the implicit function theorem.

**Theorem 7.** (*Inverse Function*). *Let  $f$  be a function from  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $x_0 \in \text{int}(A)$  and  $y_0 := f(x_0)$ . If  $f$  is  $C^1$  at  $(x_0, y_0)$  and the derivative  $f'(x_0)$  is invertible, then there exists an open ball  $B_y$  around  $y_0$  and an open ball  $B_x$  s.t.  $\forall y \in B_y$  there exists a unique  $x \in B_x$  s.t.  $f(x) = y$ . Therefore, the equation  $f(x) = y$  implicitly defines a function  $g : B_y \rightarrow B_x$  with the property*

$$f(g(y)) = y$$

for any  $y \in B_y$ . Furthermore, the function  $g$  is differentiable at any  $y \in B_y$ , and we have

$$g'(y) = f'(g(y))^{-1}$$

To see why the inverse function theorem is a special case of the implicit function theorem, define

$$F(y, x) := y - f(x)$$

for any  $(y, x) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times A$ . Clearly,  $(y_0, x_0) \in \text{int}(\mathbb{R}^n \times A)$  and  $F(y_0, x_0) = 0$ , and  $F$  is  $C^1$ . Furthermore  $F'_x(y_0, x_0) = -f'(x_0)$  is invertible by assumption. Invoke the implicit function theorem for function  $F$ , and we know that  $x$  is implicitly defined as a function  $g$  of  $y$  on an open ball  $B_y$  around  $y_0$ , with the property

$F(y, g(y)) = 0$  for any  $y \in B_y$ . Furthermore, the function  $g$  is differentiable at any  $y \in B_y$ , and

$$g'(y) = -[F'_x(y, g(y))]^{-1} F'_y(y, g(y)) = -[-f'(g(y))]^{-1} \cdot I_n = f'(g(y))^{-1}$$

So we have the implicit function theorem. Again, we can obtain some intuitions of this result using chain rule. Think of both sides of the equation  $f(g(y)) = y$  as a function in  $y$  and take derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dy} f(g(y)) &= \frac{d}{dy} y \\ f'(g(y)) \cdot g'(y) &= I_n \end{aligned}$$

Because  $f'(g(y))$  is invertible when  $y = y_0$ , and so we can set the open ball  $B$  to be small enough s.t.  $f'(g(y))$  is invertible for any  $y \in B_y$ . Left multiplying the equation above by  $f'(g(y))^{-1}$ , and we have  $g'(y) = f'(g(y))^{-1}$ .

## 6 Integrals

### 6.1 Riemann Integrability

In  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , a finite partition  $P$  of the cell  $C = [a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_k, b_k]$  is a finite set of points  $\left\{ \{x_i^n\}_{n=0}^{N_i} \right\}_{i=1}^k$  s.t.  $\{x_i^n\}_{n=0}^{N_i}$  is a partition of  $[a_i, b_i]$  for each dimension  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , i.e.,

$$a_i = x_i^0 \leq x_i^1 \leq \dots \leq x_i^{N_i} = b_i$$

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of all finite partitions of  $C$ .

We can interpret a partition  $\left\{ \{x_i^n\}_{n=0}^{N_i} \right\}_{i=1}^k$  as partitioning the cell  $[a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_k, b_k]$  into  $\prod_{i=1}^k N_i$  sub-cells. Denote the set of sub-cells of  $[a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_k, b_k]$  under  $P$  as  $\mathcal{C}(P)$ . For each subcell  $c \in \mathcal{C}(P)$ , let the "volume", or measure, of it be defined as the product of the lengths of the cell in each dimension, and we denote it as  $\mu(c)$ . For any bounded function  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a partition  $P$  of the cell  $C = [a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_k, b_k]$ , for each sub-cell  $c \in \mathcal{C}(P)$  let

$$\begin{aligned} M_c &:= \sup\{f(x) : x \in c\} \\ m_c &:= \inf\{f(x) : x \in c\} \end{aligned}$$

and then define

$$\begin{aligned}
U(P, f) &:= \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(P)} M_c \mu(c) \\
L(P, f) &:= \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(P)} m_c \mu(c) \\
\overline{\int}_C f dx &:= \inf \{ U(P, f) : P \in \mathcal{P} \} \\
\underline{\int}_C f dx &:= \sup \{ L(P, f) : P \in \mathcal{P} \}
\end{aligned}$$

If  $\overline{\int}_C f dx$  and  $\underline{\int}_C f dx$  give us the same value, we define this value as the Riemann integral of  $f$  over the cell  $C$ , denoted as  $\int_C f dx$ , or  $\int_C f(x) dx$ . In this case, we say that  $f$  is Riemann integrable over the cell  $C$ . We can define the generalized Riemann integral over an "infinite cell"  $[a_1, +\infty) \times \dots \times [a_k, +\infty)$  as the limit of the integral over  $[a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_k, b_k]$  when  $b_i \rightarrow +\infty$  for each  $i$ . We can also generalize the notion of Riemann integral to allow for integration over a general set  $S$  that may not be a cell. For a function  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we can find a potentially infinite cell  $C$  s.t.  $C \supset S$ , and extend the domain of  $f$  to  $C$  by defining a new function  $f_C : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$f_C(x) := \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in S \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in C \setminus S \end{cases}$$

and then we define  $\int_S f(x) dx := \int_C f_C(x) dx$ .

Note that not all functions are Riemann integrable. For example, consider the function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is rational} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational} \end{cases}$$

$f$  is not Riemann integrable over  $[0, 1]$ .

The following theorem provides a sufficient condition for Riemann integrability of single-variate functions.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $f$  be a bounded real function on  $[a, b]$ , and it is discontinuous only at finitely many points on  $[a, b]$ . Then  $f$  is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable over  $[a, b]$ .*

## 6.2 Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

The next theorem relates integration to differentiation. It provides us the most fundamental tool to calculate the value of a particular integral.

**Theorem 9.** (*Fundamental Theorem of Calculus*). If  $f$  is (Riemann) integrable w.r.t.  $x$  on  $[a, b]$ , and if there is a differentiable function  $F$  on  $[a, b]$  s.t.  $F' = f$ , then

$$\int_a^b f dx = F(b) - F(a)$$

$F$  is called the antiderivative (or indefinite integral) of  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ , noted  $\int f(x)dx$ .

The next three properties are especially useful in calculation of single integrals, and we state them below in an informal way.

1. Differentiation of  $\alpha$ :

$$\int_a^b f(x)d\alpha(x) = \int_a^b f(x)\alpha'(x)dx$$

2. Change of variable:

$$\int_a^b f(\phi(x))d\alpha(\phi(x)) = \int_{\phi(a)}^{\phi(b)} f(y)d\alpha(y)$$

3. Integration by part:

$$\int_a^b f(x)dg(x) = f(b)g(b) - f(a)g(a) - \int_a^b g(x)df(x)$$

### 6.3 Multiple Integrals over Product Domains

**Theorem 10.** (*Fubini*). Let  $C_X = [a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_k, b_k]$  and  $C_Y = [a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}] \times \dots \times [a_{k+m}, b_{k+m}]$ . Consider a continuous function  $f : C_X \times C_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We have

$$\int_{C_X \times C_Y} f(x, y)d(x, y) = \int_{C_Y} \left( \int_{C_X} f(x, y)dx \right) dy = \int_{C_X} \left( \int_{C_Y} f(x, y)dy \right) dx$$

Fubini's theorem allows us to rewrite a double integral as an iterated integral, and the order of integration does not matter. In the theorem above, I assume  $f$  to be continuous in order to make sure that all integrals are well-defined. However, this continuity assumption is not necessary and can be relaxed if we work with Lebesgue integrals.

If  $C = [a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_k, b_k]$  and  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, then we can repeatedly apply Fubini's theorem and calculate  $\int_C f(x)dx$  as  $k$  nested single

variable integrals. To see this,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_C f(x) dx &= \int_{[a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_k, b_k]} f(x) d(x_1, (x_2, \dots, x_k)) \\
&= \int_{[a_2, b_2] \times \dots \times [a_k, b_k]} \left( \int_{a_1}^{b_1} f(x) dx_1 \right) d(x_2, \dots, x_k) \\
&= \int_{[a_2, b_2] \times \dots \times [a_k, b_k]} \left( \int_{a_1}^{b_1} f(x) dx_1 \right) f(x) d(x_2, (x_3, \dots, x_k)) \\
&\dots \\
&= \int_{a_k}^{b_k} \left( \dots \left( \int_{a_2}^{b_2} \left( \int_{a_1}^{b_1} f(x) dx_1 \right) dx_2 \right) \dots \right) dx_k
\end{aligned}$$

### Double Integrals Over General Regions

We use double integral as an example to illustrate integration over general domains. Suppose we want to integrate continuous  $f(x, y)$  over a set  $A := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \in [a, b], y \in [u(x), v(x)]\}$ .

$$\int_A f(x, y) dx dy = \int_a^b \left( \int_{u(x)}^{v(x)} f(x, y) dy \right) dx$$

## 6.4 Change of Variables

Next we show change of variables in multiple integrals, still using double integral as an example. Consider double integral  $\int_A f(x, y) dx dy$ . Suppose that

$$x = g(u, v), \quad y = h(u, v)$$

defines a one-to-one  $C^1$  transformation from an open and bounded set  $A'$  in the  $uv$ -plane onto an open and bounded set  $A$  in the  $xy$ -plane, and assume the Jacobian determinant

$$\frac{\partial(g, h)}{\partial(u, v)} := \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial g / \partial u & \partial g / \partial v \\ \partial h / \partial u & \partial h / \partial v \end{pmatrix}$$

is bounded on  $A'$ . Assume  $f$  is bounded and continuous on  $A$ . Then

$$\int_A f(x, y) dx dy = \int_{A'} f(g(u, v), h(u, v)) d \left| \frac{\partial(g, h)}{\partial(u, v)} \right|$$

where  $\left| \frac{\partial(g, h)}{\partial(u, v)} \right|$  is the absolute value of the Jacobian determinant.

Notice that we sometimes do not need to solve for  $\frac{\partial(g, h)}{\partial(u, v)}$  explicitly. We have the following identity

$$\frac{\partial(g, h)}{\partial(u, v)} \frac{\partial(u, v)}{\partial(x, y)} = 1$$

The right-hand Jacobian is easy to calculate if you know  $u(x, y)$  and  $v(x, y)$ ; then the left-hand one - the one needed - will be its reciprocal. This result can be generalized to  $n$ -dimensional multiple integrals.



## 6.5 Derivatives of Integrals

Consider a parameterized integral

$$\int_{u(x)}^{v(x)} f(x, t) dt$$

The variable of integration is  $t$ , and  $x$  is a real-valued parameter. We allow both the function  $f(x, t)$  of  $t$  and the interval of integration  $[u(x), v(x)]$  to depend on the parameter  $x$ . As a consequence, the value of this integral also depends on the parameter  $x$ . Define the value of the integral as  $I(x) := \int_{u(x)}^{v(x)} f(x, t) dt$ , and then  $I(x)$  can be viewed as a function of the parameter  $x$ . The next theorem provides a sufficient condition for  $I(x)$  to be differentiable, and also a formula for calculating the derivative of  $I(x)$ .

**Theorem 11.** (*Leibniz's Formula*). *Let  $f$  be a function from a subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let rectangle  $E := [a, b] \times [c, d] \subset A$  with  $a < b$  and  $c < d$ . Let  $u$  and  $v$  be two  $C^1$  functions from  $[a, b]$  to  $[c, d]$ . If  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, t)$  exists for any  $(x, t) \in E$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$  is continuous on  $E$ , then  $I(x) := \int_{u(x)}^{v(x)} f(x, t) dt$  is differentiable on  $[a, b]$ , and*

$$I'(x) = f(x, v(x))v'(x) - f(x, u(x))u'(x) + \int_{u(x)}^{v(x)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, t) dt$$

The next theorem states that under some conditions on function  $f$ , Leibniz's Formula can be applied to cases where the region of integration is unbounded.

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $f$  be a function from a subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let infinite rectangle  $E := [a, b] \times [c, +\infty) \subset A$  with  $a < b$ . Let  $u$  be a  $C^1$  function from  $[a, b]$  to  $[c, \infty)$ . If*

1.  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, t)$  exists for any  $(x, t) \in E$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$  is continuous on  $E$ , and
2.  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, t)$  is integrably bounded, that is, there exists a function  $p : [c, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  s.t.  $|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, t)| \leq p(t)$  for any  $t \in [c, \infty)$ ,  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $\int_c^{+\infty} p(t) dt < \infty$

then  $I(x) := \int_{u(x)}^{\infty} f(x, t) dt$  is differentiable on  $[a, b]$ , and

$$I'(x) = -f(x, u(x))u'(x) + \int_{u(x)}^{\infty} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, t) dt$$

## 7 Homogeneous Functions

**Definition 7.** A set  $C$  in real vector space  $V$  is said to be a **cone**, iff  $\lambda v \in C$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  and  $v \in C$ .

**Definition 8.** Let  $C$  be a cone in real vector space  $V$ , and let  $W$  be another real vector space. For  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , a function  $f : C \rightarrow W$  is said to be homogeneous of degree  $k$  iff  $f(\lambda v) = \lambda^k f(v)$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  and  $v \in C$ .

In the definition above, because  $C$  is a cone, we know that  $f(\lambda v)$  is defined whenever  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  and  $v \in C$ .

In most applications,  $C$  is a cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (usually  $C = \mathbb{R}_{++}^n$  or  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ ),  $W = \mathbb{R}$ , and  $k$  is a non-negative integer.

**Definition 9.** Let  $C$  be a cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  homogeneous of degree  $k$ . Let  $x \in \text{int}(C)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . If  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$  exists at  $x$ , then  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$  exists at  $\lambda x$ , and we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\lambda x) = \lambda^{k-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x)$$

Shortly put, the theorem says that a partial of a function homogeneous of degree  $k$  is homogeneous of degree  $k - 1$ , if the partial exists.

The next theorem is known as Euler's equation for homogeneous functions.

**Theorem 13.** (*Euler's Equation*). Let  $C$  be a cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  homogeneous of degree  $k$  and differentiable at  $x \in \text{int}(C)$ , and then we have

$$\nabla f(x) \cdot x = k f(x)$$

**Definition 10.** Let  $C$  be a cone in real vector space  $V$ . A function  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be homothetic iff there exists  $h : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  homogeneous of some degree  $k$  and  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  strictly increasing s.t.  $f = g \circ h$ .

Clearly, if  $f$  is homothetic, we have  $f(\lambda v) = f(\lambda w)$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$  and  $v, w \in C$  s.t.  $f(v) = f(w)$ .

Intuitively, it says that the "marginal rate of substitution" of a homothetic function is preserved under scalar multiplication

Let  $C$  be a cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  homothetic. Let  $x \in \text{int}(C)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . If  $f$  is differentiable at  $x$  and  $\lambda x$ , and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\lambda x)$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x)$  are not zero, then we have

$$\frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\lambda x)}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\lambda x)} = \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x)}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x)}$$