Readings Chapter 17.

Self test Exercises 17.1-2.

### Lecture 13

## Amortized Analysis

Often we perform sequences of operations on data structures and time complexity for processing the entire sequence is important. Define worst-case sequence complexity of sequence of m operations as the maximum runtime over all sequences of m operations.

WCSC  $\leq m \cdot \text{worst-case}$  time of any one operation in any sequence of m operations

Ex. sorted linked list, starting from empty, INS, DEL, SEARCH

### Upper Bound

Worst case for one operation is  $\Theta(k)$  where k is the size of the list. Also max size after k operations  $\leq k$ . Worst-case runtime of operation  $i \leq c(i-1)$ .

WCSC 
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} c(i-1) = O\left(\frac{c(m)(m-1)}{2}\right)$$

#### Lower Bound

Consider the sequence INS(1), INS(2), ..., INS(m)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} d(i-1) = \frac{d(m-1)m}{2}$$

Combing upper and lower bounds we get that

WCSC 
$$\in \left(\frac{\Theta(m^2)}{m}\right) = \Theta(m)$$
 ammortized sequence complexity

### **Binary Counter**

Sequence of k bits (k fixed) with single operation:

increment: add 1 to integer represented by counter. The "cost" (i.e. running time) of one increment operation is equal to the number of bits that change during increment. For example, if k=5:

```
(value = 0)
initial counter
                   00000
                           (value = 1)
after increment:
                   00001
                                         cost = 1
after increment: 00010
                           (value = 2)
                                         cost = 2
                           (value = 3)
after increment: 00011
                                         cost = 1
                           (value = 4)
                                         cost = 3
after increment:
                  00100
after increment:
                  00101
                           (value = 5)
                                         cost = 1
after increment: 11101
                           (value = 29)
                                         cost = 1
after increment: 11110
                           (value = 30)
                                         cost = 2
after increment: 11111
                           (value = 31)
                                         cost = 1
after increment: 00000
                           (value = 0)
                                         cost = 5
```

## Aggregate Approach

Compare worst-case sequence complexity of a sequence of operations and divide by the number of operations in the sequence.

k bits in counter, INCREMENT "cost"  $\rightarrow$  runtime (# of bits flipped)

bit number	changes	total number of changes
0	every time	n
1	every other time	$\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$
2	every other <sup>2</sup> time	$\lfloor n/4 \rfloor$
$i^{ m th}$	every other $^i$ time	$\lfloor n/2^i \rfloor$
k-1	every $2^{k-1}$ operations	$\lfloor n/2^{k-1} \rfloor$
	Total bits flipped $\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2^i} \right\rfloor \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \lg n \rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2^i} \right\rfloor \leq n \sum_{i=0}^{\lg n} \frac{1}{2^i} \leq n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} = 2n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i}$	

Ammortized cost is =2n/n=2.

# **Accounting Method**

Each operation is assigned a "cost" (representing running time) and a "charge" (representing ammortized worst-case running time, approximately). Goal: ensure total charge for sequence > total cost for sequence.

Imagine individual elements in data structure can store "credit": when operation's charge  $\geq$  cost, charge "pays" for cost and amount left over is assigned to specific elements in data structure; when operation's charge < cost, use some stored credit to "pay" for cost. To ensure this works, argue credit never negative (equivalent to total charge for sequence  $\geq$  total cost for sequence). Then ammortized complexity  $\leq$  average charge.

#### Binary counter example:

During one increment operation many bits may change from 1 to 0 but exactly one bit will change from 0 to 1. (for example, increment (00111)  $\rightarrow$  01000) Can we ensure enough credits to flip every 1 to 0? Then each operation only need be charged for flipping 0 to 1.

Idea: just charge each operaion \$2: \$1 to flip 0 to 1 and \$1 to store with the bit just changed to 1. Since counter starts at 0, possible to show "credit invariant":

"At any step during the sequence, each bit of the counter that is equal to 1 will have \$1 credit."

#### **Proof** by induction:

Initially, counter is 0 and no credit: invariant trivially true.

Assume invariant true and increment is performed

Cost of flipping 1's to 0's paid for by credits stored with each 1;

Cost of flipping 0 to 1 paid for by \$1 of \$2 charge;

Remaining \$1 stored with new 1.

No other bit changes so every 1 has \$1 credit at the end.

This shows total charge for sequence is upper bound on total cost. In this case, total charge = 2n, so ammortized cost per operation is < 2n/n = 2 (same as before).