

CSC343H1 Winter 2016 Assignment 3 Part 2

Name: Akhil Gupta

SN: 1000357071

Utorid: guptaak2

1. (a) BCNF requires that the LHS of an FD be a superkey.
 - i. A^+ : AE, A is not a superkey and $A \rightarrow E$ violates BCNF.
 - ii. M^+ : MEZA, M is not a superkey and $M \rightarrow EZA$ violates BCNF.
 - iii. S^+ : SFZBMEA, S is a superskey and $S \rightarrow FZB$ does not violate BCNF.
 - iv. SZ^+ : superset of S , SZ is a superset of S and $SZ \rightarrow M$ does not violate BCNF.
 - v. BF^+ : BFMEZA, BF is not a superskey and $BF \rightarrow M$ violates BCNF.
- (b) i. Decompose R using FD $M \rightarrow EZA$. M^+ : MEZA, so this yields two relations: $R1 = AEZM$ and $R2 = BFMS$.
- ii. Project the FD's onto $R1 = AEZM$.

A	E	Z	M	closure	FDs
1	0	0	0	A^+ : AE	$A \rightarrow E$: violates BCNF; abort the projection

- iii. Decompose $R1$ using $A \rightarrow E$. This yields two relations: $R3 = AMZ$ and $R4 = AE$.
- iv. Project the FD's onto $R3 = AMZ$.

A	M	Z	closure	FDs
1	0	0	A^+ : AE	$A \rightarrow E$: nothing
0	1	0	M^+ : MEZA	$M \rightarrow EZA$: M is a superkey of $R3$
0	0	1	Z^+ : Z	nothing
	supersets of M		irrelevant	can only generate weaker FDs than what we already have
1	0	0	AZ^+ : AZE	nothing

This relation satisfies BCNF.

- v. Project the FD's onto $R4 = AE$.

A	E	closure	FDs
1	0	A^+ : AE	$A \rightarrow E$: A is a superskey of $R4$
0	1	E^+ : E	nothing

This relation satisfies BCNF.

- vi. Return to $R2 = BFMS$ and project the FD's onto it.

B	F	M	S	closure	FDs
1	0	0	0	B^+ : B	nothing
0	1	0	0	F^+ : F	nothing
0	0	1	0	M^+ : MEZA	nothing
0	0	0	1	S^+ : BFMS	$S \rightarrow BFM$: S is a superkey of $R2$
1	1	0	0	BF^+ : BFM	$BF \rightarrow M$: violates BCNF; abort the projection

We must decompose $R2$ further.

- vii. Decompose $R2$ using FD $BF \rightarrow M$. This yields two relations: $R5 = BFS$ and $R6 = BFM$.
- viii. Project the FD's onto $R5 = BFS$

B	F	S	closure	FDs
1	0	0	B^+ : B	nothing
0	1	0	F^+ : F	nothing
0	0	1	S^+ : SBF..	$S \rightarrow BF$: S is a superkey of $R5$
1	1	0	BF^+ : BF..	nothing
	supersets of S		irrelevant	can only generate weaker FDs than what we already have

This relation satisfies BCNF.

- ix. Project the FD's onto $R6 = BFM$

B	F	M	closure	FDs
1	0	0	B^+ : B	nothing
0	1	0	F^+ : F	nothing
0	0	1	M^+ : M..	nothing
1	1	0	BF^+ : BFM	$BF \rightarrow M$: BF is a superkey of $R6$
0	1	1	FM^+ : FM..	nothing
1	0	1	BM^+ : BM..	nothing

This relation satisfies BCNF.

- x. Final decomposition:

- A. $R3 = AMZ$ with FD's $A \rightarrow E$ and $M \rightarrow EZA$,
- B. $R4 = AE$ with FD $A \rightarrow E$,
- C. $R5 = BFS$ with FD $S \rightarrow BF$,
- D. $R6 = BFM$ with FD $BF \rightarrow M$

2. $R = ABCDEF$.

- (a) Closures:

- i. A^+ : ADFB, A is not a superkey.
- ii. ABE^+ : ABECDF, ABE is a superkey.
- iii. $ACDF^+$: ACDFEB, $ACDF$ is a superskey.
- iv. AD^+ : ADBF, AD is not a superkey.
- v. C^+ : CD, C is not a superskey.

We know that A has to be a part of every key because it only appears on the LHS and never on RHS , whereas $BCDEF$ appears on both LHS and RHS so we have to check them. Additionally, the only way to get C is to have A or B or E on LHS , but we can get B if we have A on LHS . AC^+ : ABCDEF. Therefore, AC is key. If we don't start with E on LHS , we can never get E on RHS . AE^+ : ABCDEF. Therefore, AE is key. The only keys are AE and AC .

(b) i. **Step 1:** Split the *RHS* to get our initial set of FD's, *S1*:

- A. $A \rightarrow D$
- B. $A \rightarrow F$
- C. $ABE \rightarrow C$
- D. $ABE \rightarrow D$
- E. $ABE \rightarrow F$
- F. $ACDF \rightarrow E$
- G. $AD \rightarrow B$
- H. $C \rightarrow D$

ii. **Step 2:** For each FD, try to reduce the *LHS*:

- A. A^+ : AD.; keep
- B. A^+ : ADF.; keep
- C. A^+ : ADFB, B^+ : B, E^+ : E, AB^+ : ABDF, BE^+ : BE, AE^+ : AEDFBC; reduce to $AE \rightarrow C$
- D. A^+ : AD.; reduce to $A \rightarrow D$
- E. A^+ : ADF.; reduce to $A \rightarrow F$
- F. AC^+ : ADFBCE; reduce to $AC \rightarrow E$
- G. A^+ : ADFB.; reduce to $A \rightarrow B$
- H. C^+ : CD.; keep

Our new set of FD's, let's call it *S2*:

- A. $AE \rightarrow C$
- B. $A \rightarrow D$
- C. $A \rightarrow F$
- D. $AC \rightarrow E$
- E. $A \rightarrow B$
- F. $C \rightarrow D$

iii. **Step 3:** Try to eliminate each FD.

- A. $AE_{S2-(A)}^+$: AEDBF. We need this FD.
- B. $A_{S2-(B)}^+$: AFB. We need this FD.
- C. $A_{S2-(C)}^+$: ADB. We need this FD.
- D. $AC_{S2-(D)}^+$: ACDFB. We need this FD.
- E. $A_{S2-(E)}^+$: ADF. We need this FD.
- F. $C_{S2-(F)}^+$: C. We need this FD.

Our final set of FD's is *S2* (listed above)

(c) i. Let's call the revised FD's $S5$:

A. $AE \rightarrow C$

B. $A \rightarrow DFB$

C. $AC \rightarrow E$

D. $C \rightarrow D$

ii. The set of relations that would result would have these attributes:

$R1(A, E, C)$, $R2(A, D, F, B)$, $R3(A, C, E)$, $R4(C, D)$

iii. Since the attributes ACE occur within $R2$, we don't need to keep the relation $R3$.

iv. AE and AC are keys of R , so there is no need to add another relation that includes a key.

v. So, the final set of relations is:

$R1(A, E, C)$, $R2(A, D, F, B)$, $R4(C, D)$

(d) Redundancy: Project onto relations to find all FD's.

i. Project FD's onto $R1$:

A	E	C	closure	FDs
1	0	0	A^+ : ADBF	nothing
0	1	0	E^+ : E	nothing
0	0	1	C^+ : CD	nothing
1	1	0	AE^+ : AEC	$AE \rightarrow C$: AE is a superkey of $R1$
0	1	1	EC^+ : EC	nothing
1	0	1	AC^+ : ACE	$AC \rightarrow E$: AC is a superkey of $R1$

This relation satisfies BCNF.

ii. Project FD's onto $R2$:

A	D	B	F	closure	FDs
1	0	0	0	A^+ : ADBF	$A \rightarrow BDF$: A is a superkey of $R2$
0	1	0	0	D^+ : D	nothing
0	0	1	0	B^+ : B	nothing
0	0	0	1	F^+ : F	nothing
supersets of A				irrelevant	we already know that A is superkey
combinations of B, D, F				irrelevant	nothing

This relation satisfies BCNF.

iii. Project FD's onto $R3$:

C	D	closure	FDs
1	0	C^+ : CD	$C \rightarrow D$: C is superkey of $R3$
0	1	D^+ : D	nothing

This relation satisfies BCNF.

iv. Since all relations are in BCNF, this schema does not allow any redundancy.