mymeasure(1000,50,100) matmul: 0.00087308883667 my mult: 4.32397603989 1.69858318973e-25 mymeasure(1000,1000,1000) matmul: 0.0325238704681 my mult: 898.295146942 4.13814283199e-20 [Finished in 902.8s] #3. (optimal value is highlighted in bold below) M = 0; testing error = 66.1741164013; training error = 38.8665980131M = 1; testing error = 56.7049816345; training error = 28.5347137491 M = 2; testing error = 35.7481373476; training error = 21.8938134083 M = 3; testing error = 39.9602812728; training error = 19.2864615083 M = 4; testing error = 5.38486882018; training error = 2.73776960577 M = 5; testing error = 5.39869662787; training error = 2.71673170645 M = 6; testing error = 6.6885168059; training error = 2.21265824391 M = 7; testing error = 6.92866621969; training error = 2.19889002467 M = 8; testing error = 6.86327749913; training error = 2.19732954624 M = 9; testing error = 79.3720539565; training error = 1.9429048648M = 10; testing error = 133.671860772; training error = 1.91986460916 M = 11; testing error = 201.759217571; training error = 1.87475810502 M = 12; testing error = 173.934874322; training error = 1.87333639853 M = 13; testing error = 1733.969491; training error = 1.7945670175 M = 14; testing error = 3152977225.72; training error = 1.46188799934e-05 M = 15; testing error = 6865371022.83; training error = 2.61635899462e-06 #4. (optimal value is highlighted in bold below, but while trying different values of alpha, I found at alpha = -5 fits the polynomial best. I believe there is an error in my training error, test error and validation error calculations) alpha = -13; training error = 149.998440064; validation error = 122.775912571; test error = 173.909325821 alpha = -12; training error = 145.322095743; validation error = 123.710131343; test error = 169.821911096 alpha = -11; training error = 135.958442015; validation error = 124.187070257; test error = 161.560571606 alpha = -10; training error = 132.162800203; validation error = 112.534625599; test error = 152.156813384 alpha = -9; training error = 129.682933965; validation error = 95.9926304141; test error = 137.859090514

alpha = -8; training error = 130.502198171; validation error =

96.5870565638; test error = 131.502575838

```
alpha = -7; training error = 127.649483682; validation error =
100.594101755; test error = 126.334912998
alpha = -6; training error = 113.124004189; validation error =
98.4741648741; test error = 113.412013925
alpha = -5; training error = 86.3259796771; validation error =
74.8439697581; test error = 94.6179198541
alpha = -4; training error = 51.2970656163; validation error =
38.7152725039; test error = 64.3097959061
alpha = -3; training error = 22.0523781706; validation error =
17.6366339238; test error = 29.393171454
alpha = -2; training error = 16.3357087559; validation error =
23.7951844895; test error = 22.9004434438
alpha = -1; training error = 21.3614796762; validation error =
33.2623838459; test error = 26.685293809
alpha = 0; training error = 30.2578285171; validation error =
45.7307523313; test error = 33.5139536921
alpha = 1; training error = 29.932352322; validation error = 54.3476301526;
test error = 34.2792136907
alpha = 2; training error = 34.972579795; validation error = 71.7954710998;
test error = 40.8161441327
```

#5. I DON'T KNOW.

#2. Linear Regression: theory

y(x): wo + w, x + wex2+ ... + wmx = wx

1(00) = { [t/n - y(x(n))]2

Znm = [xm] M

j= [y(x(1)), y(x(2)), ..., y(x(N))]

(a) g: Zw

2 = Nx(M+1) mairx

w= (m+1) x 1 veder; Zw= Nx (m+1) x (m+1) x 1

= NXI vector

we know that is a NXI vector.

: y (x(N)) = NO + N, [x(N)] + ... + Nm [x(N)]

(b) 1(w)= 11 Ew- t112

< \(\(\{ \{ \text{Zw-t}\}^2 \) (by definition, \(\{ \text{NVII}\) = \(\{ \text{NVII}\} = \(\{ \text{NVII}\

= E (g-t) (from (a))

 $= 2 \left[y(x^{(n)}) - t^{(n)} \right]^{2} = \ell(\omega)$

Hilroy

(e) 2/h)=0, 2 2 is (M+1) x (M+1) matin

w= (2 2) 2 t

elvo)= {[t(n)-y(x(n))]2

elu) (2w-t) (2w-t)

y (x(n)) = w x(n) = [x(0)]. [wow, -...wm]

= WOYO + WIX, + W2x2+

1(w): ((Zw) -+ +)(Zw-+) e(m): (20) Zw - (2w) t - t (2w) + t t MW = NTZTEW - 2(ZW)T+ + tt 21(w) = 22 Zw - 22 t = 0

ossuming ZZ is invertible,

w= (2TZ) Zt